





∂ Comparing the Composed WFST (Φ ∘ T) with the CTC Trellis for "ALL"

computational cost.

- Both the composed WFST (Φ ° T) and the CTC trellis for the string "ALL" represent possible alignments of the sequence with blank insertions and deletions allowed by the CTC model.
- 2. Φ ° T: A more general WFST structure. It can explicitly show all possible symbol emissions, blank insertions, sequence terminations, and deletions for the input sequence "ALL".
 CTC Trellis: A specialized trellis structure designed for efficient decoding in CTC. It focuses on the most likely alignments and might not explicitly represent all deletion paths due to

Note: since we have removed states which wont reach final stage. The WFST also is more comparable with CTC Trellis

3. Φ ∘ T: Uses the log-semiring for weight composition. This allows for combining symbol probabilities from Φ (stored as arc weights) with CTC operations (transitions in T) while considering penalties for deletions (weight of ∞ in the log-semiring).

CTC Trellis: focuses on maximizing the overall score of the alignment.

4. Φ ° T: Offers a overall view of all possible alignments with their weights in the logsemiring. This allows for analyzing alternative alignments and their costs.

CTC Trellis: Primarily focuses on finding the most likely or highest-scoring alignment for the specific input "ALL".

5. Trellis has less state space. WFST has more state space.

V Si	g	th.	91	aph		from	6)	and	lountin	g the	pasible
	a	lignme	nls								
alignments						d					
α	þ	l	þ	l		-		22.5			
a	l	ф	ф	1		-		31.2			
а	J	J	φ	l		-		14.0338			
a	a	J	þ	l		_		22. 1519			
ф	a	l		þ	l	-		14. 152			
Cu		þ			φ	-		26.3122			
а	J	ф)	l	l	_		al. 54			