Def. A set CR is said to be industre if
1) 1 & I
2) XEI then X+1 & I

Pef. Let  $\varphi$  denote the set of all indutive subsets of R. We define the natural numbers to be the smallest inductive set of R. That is  $N = \bigcap_{i \in P} I$ 

Thm. finite induction principle
Let S S IN be an inductive Set, then S = IN
Proof. Let S S IN, but IN S , so S = IN []

Archimedean Property of IN Let a > 0 be a real number. Let b ER. Then I n EIN such that ha > b

proof. Suppose not. Let S= { na, nEIN} . by contradition we have na = b for every nEIN

The supremum property implies that exist SGIR s.t. s=SupS. This implies that S-a is not an upper bound for s. Then  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $s-a < na \implies s < Ch+1)a$ But  $Cn+1)a \in S$  and S=SupS. Contradiction  $\Box$ 

Application. Give 270, there exists new such that In < E (ne>1,b=1)

Thm Properties of IN. Let new

- i) n>1
- ii) if n>1 then n-1 ENT
- iii) if xER, x70 and x+nEN, then xEN
- iv) if mao, mtneIN then meIN
- V if a∈R, n<a<n+1, then a≠N

Provef. i) {x∈R:x>|} is inductive which means |N C{x∈IR:x>|} □

11) S= 213U { n-1: nGM} ?

Thm. closure: If m, n EN, then mith EN and mn EN

Proof. By induction, fix mEIN, noite  $S = \{ nEIN : m+nEIN \}$ and induct on n to show S = INSimilar for mn

Thm. Cruell Ordering Property)

Let I be a nonempty subset of IN. Then I has a smallest argument.

Proof. Suppose A does not have a smallest element.

Define  $S = \{ n \in H : n \in a \text{ for every } a \in A \}^d$  one disjoint

Base Case: 165: because offernise of would have a smallest element Industrie step. Let nes, if n+1 & 5 then n+1 > a for some a EA But nes means n<a, y a EA.

So n+1 is the Smallest of A, contradicting Essentially we show  $n \in S \Rightarrow n + l \in S$  by induction.

To finish, A+ \$ => = a+A CS=N

Then by definition of  $S \Rightarrow a < a$ , contradiction  $\square$