apply() call a function with arguments from a tuple

Peter Sommerlad 2013-09-27

Document Number:	NXXXX
Date:	2013-09-27
Project:	Programming Language C++

1 History

1.1 integer_sequence

N3658 and its predecessor N3493 introduced integer_sequence facility and provide application of this features, for example apply() that is proposed in this paper.

1.2 Observations

There is a lot of history I am unaware of and several implementations posted on Stack-Overflow. Also the C++14 CD contains an implementation of apply() as an example of std::integer_sequence in [intseq.general].

1.3 Using tuplevar... or operator...

Mike Spertus made me aware of the proposed language extension to form a parameter pack from a tuple, i.e., by overloading an operator... which might make the provision of apply() moot. However, up to now, no such feature has been proposed to the standard committee and it is unclear if it would make it into C++17. Even if it would, it would just make the implementation of apply trivial.

2 Introduction

Tuples are great for generic programming with variadic templates. However, the standard does not define a general purpose facility that allows to call a function/functor/lambda with the tuple elements as arguments. Such a feature should be provided, because it is useful (at least for me). It even is given as an example of std::integer_sequence in [intseq.general] coming from N3658.

N3779 2013-09-01

2.1 Rationale

It is easy to create tuples from variadic templates either from types directly as std::tuple<PACK...> or with std::make_tuple() or std::forward_as_tuple() the opposite mechanism of passing a tuple's elements as function arguments is not available.

Some suggested to not use the name apply() and reserve that to a mechanism like *INVOKE* in the standard library and use apply_from_tuple() instead.

2.2 Open Issues to be Discussed

It is open, if apply() should get a noexcept(noexcept(xxx)) specification delegating to the underlying effect. Advice from library working group is requested.

Should apply() be constexpr to make it applicable in meta programming or concepts?

2.3 Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements go to Jonathan Wakely for providing integer_sequence and providing apply() as the example in the working draft.

Acknowledgements go to Mike Spertus for making me aware of the ... pack formation approaches.

Acknowledgements to the following persons on the c++std-lib reflector for their feedback and encouragement: Jonathan Wakely, Andy Sawyer, Stephan T. Lavavej, Jared Hoberock, and Tony van Eerd.

3 Possible Implementation

The following implementation suggestion was derived from N3658, N3690, and Stack-Overflow (http://stackoverflow.com/a/12650100) and some simplification. It actually seems to work with current clang -std=c++1y.

```
template<typename F, typename Tuple, size_t ... I>
decltype(auto) apply_impl(F&& f, Tuple&& t, index_sequence<I...>) {
        return forward<F>(f)(get<I>(forward<Tuple>(t))...);
}
template<typename F, typename Tuple>
decltype(auto) apply(F&& f, Tuple&& t) {
    using Indices = make_index_sequence<tuple_size<decay_t<Tuple>>::value>;
    return apply_impl(forward<F>(f), forward<Tuple>(t), Indices{});
}
```

N3779 2013-09-24 3

4 Proposed Library Additions

1

Add the following declaration in [tuple.general] in the synops is under the group element access: