## COL 726 Minor II

Give justifications for your answers. Without a valid reasoning, you may not get any marks. You may use any algorithm discussed in class.

1. (4 marks) Let A be a square matrix (which need not be Hermitian). We say that A is positive definite iff  $x^*Ax > 0$  for all non-zero vectors x. Is it true that if A is positive definite, then all of its eigenvalues are positive real numbers? Is it true that if all the eigenvalues of A are positive real numbers, then it is positive definite?

**Solution:** The first statement is true. Suppose  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A and let v be an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Then

$$v^*Av = \lambda \cdot v^*v.$$

Since we know that RHS is a real positive number and  $v^*v$  is real positive, it follows that  $\lambda$  must be real positive.

The converse is false. Consider for example the matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 10 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

The eigenvalues of a triangular matrix are on the diagonal, and so the only eigenvalue of A, i.e., 1, is positive. But it is easy to check that if  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $x^*Ax < 0$ .

2. (4 marks) While running Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting on a square matrix A, we say that the procedure *fails* if we are not able to find a non-zero pivot at some step. Is it possible that the procedure fails when A is an invertible square matrix? Either give a counter-example or a proof of this statement.

**Solution:** The procedure will never fail. Indeed, suppose the procedure fails in iteration i, let  $A_i$  be the current matrix. Then the first i columns have 0 in rows i upto n. But then we essentially have i vectors, where each of them has (i-1) non-zero coordinates. Any set of i vectors in (i-1)-dimensional subspace must be linearly independent. Then  $A_i$  is singular. Since  $A_i$  is obtained from A by left multiplying with invertible matrices, A is also singular, a contradiction.

3. (3 marks) A is a  $6 \times 6$  square Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues -10, -6, -2, 3, 7, 9. Starting with a randomly chosen vector, you run the following two algorithms on A: power iteration and power iteration with shift  $\mu = 2$ . Which eigenvalues will the two procedures converge to? In which case will the convergence be faster?

**Solution:** Power iteration converges to the largest eigenvalue in absolute value, so it converges to -10. In the second case, the eigenvalues of  $A - \mu I$  are -12, -8, -4, 1, 5, 7. The two smallest eigenvalues in absolute value are 1 and -4. So the inverse iteration would converge to 3 (or 1 of  $A - \mu I$ ). The second convergence will be faster because the rate of convergence would be proportional to 1/4, whereas in the first case it would be 9/10.

4. (5 marks) You are given a  $3 \times 3$  Hermitian matrix A whose eigenvalues are 5, -5, 2 and the corresponding eigenvectors are (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, -2), (1, -1, 0). You run power iteration on A starting from the initial vector (0, 1, 1). Will the power iteration on A converge to a vector? If so, what will this (unit) vector be? Will the corresponding Rayleigh quotient converge to a value? If so, what is this value?

Solution: Since there are two equal eigenvalues of same absolute value and the starting vector has non-zero components along both the corresponding eigenvectors, this will not converge to any vector. To see this, let  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  be the three normalized (to unit length) eigenvectors for 5, -5, 2 respectively, and suppose the starting vector is given by  $v = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \alpha_3 v_3$ . Then  $A^k v = 5^k \alpha_1 v_1 + (-5)^k \alpha_2 v_2 + 2^k \alpha_3 v_3$ . For large k, when you normalize this vector it will be either  $w = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2$  or  $w' = \alpha_1 v_1 - \alpha_2 v_2$  depending on whether k is odd or even. So this will NOT converge.

The Rayleigh quotient of the corresponding vector (this will be same for w' as well) will be

$$\frac{w^*Aw}{w^*w} = \frac{5\alpha_1^2 - 5\alpha_2^2}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}.$$

So it converges to the above value. A simple calculation shows that  $\alpha_1 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}, \alpha_2 = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$ .

5. (4 marks) Let W be a  $n \times n$  (real) symmetric positive definite matrix. For a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $||x||_W$  as  $\sqrt{x^T W x}$ . Let A be a real  $m \times n$  matrix, and b a real column vector of size n. Assume that  $m \geq n$ . Give an efficient algorithm to find a vector x such that  $||Ax - b||_W$  is minimised.

**Solution:** We reduce this to the usual least squares problem. We know that any positive definite matrix W can be written as  $L^TL$  for a matrix L (Cholesky factorization). Now notice that  $||Ax - b||_W^2 = (Ax - b)^T W (Ax - b) = (L(Ax - b))^T (L(Ax - b))$ . So if A' denotes LA and b' denotes Lb, the problem reduces to finding x such that ||L'x - b'|| is minimized. This is the usual least squares problem.