(a) to compute the LU decomposition of a sanded materix of without pivoting in O(lum) flops using the dolettle algorithm Set L to be the mxm identify matrix For K=1 to m do: For 1=k to min (m,k+u)do: compute (L(i, k)=A(i,k)/A(k, K) for j=k+1 to min (m, k+1) do: For i=max(kj-l) to k-1 do: compute A(i,i)=A(i,i)-L(i,k)\* A(k,i). Compute A(k,i) = A(k,i) - L(k,k+1:k+1) \*A(k+1:k+1,) the resulting motivies L and Vale the lower and cypel fringular 1 B we can use following matrix LU decomposition with partial proting will get this L=[100006] 00000 10000 

(2) Given Let A be a mxm SpD moters with cholesky
factorisation A= LLT. we want to compute cholesky fectorisation of M=[1 c] whose, A is mxm SpD material Let I be the man lower Irrangular materix such that A= LL we want to compute C.F of M The algorithm is as follows y'= L'b Z= V(c-yTy) then L' = [LO] the regulting metrix (L') is lower trangular materix of cholesky factorisation (C.F.) of M. to Justify the algo need to show I sufrifies the following L' is lover triangulal 3 2 0 7 LL = M. =) [LT Ly] put?
[Ly yy+zz] Ly:6 are get >\[ \b \ \c \] L'is indeed the lave. Isvangular meters of cholesky factorisation of M.

K. Laxman 20180520408 Assignment -2 1 to prove that all the eigenvalues of A lies in the interval [0.4, 1.6], we will use the fact that A is symmetric positive definite matrix \* given condition MI-III2 =0.6 Let I be an eigenvalue of A and it be its associated eigen Vector, we have  $Ax = \lambda x$ (A-I) x = (1-1) x (by realinging eq) taking the 2 norm on both sides MA-I) X/2 = ||A-II|2 ||X||2 by substituting  $|\lambda - 1| \|x\|_2 \le 0.6 \|x\|_2$ daysday both Sides by 11/2 (assuming X = 0) hence, from this we can say that absolute deforme this any agential to of A and 1 (eigenvalue of I) is less though a equal to on eigenvalue of A lie in the interval [1-0.6,1+0.6] ⇒ [o.4,1.6] in A-norm afterin steps The upper bound for ever en Menlla < 2 (JK-1) here k is the condition number of A. which is given by  $k = ||A||_2 ||A^{-1}||_2 = \frac{\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}}$ we know Amax = 1.6 and Anin = 10.4  $K \leq \frac{1.6}{0.4} \leq 4$ 

 $\frac{\|e_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}}{\|e_n\|_{\mathcal{A}}} \leq 2\left(\frac{\sqrt{4-1}}{\sqrt{4+1}}\right)^m \leq \left(2\left(\frac{3}{3}\right)^m\right)$ B) we are given symmetric positive definite matrix A with eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 1$  with associated eigenvector V, remaining eigenvectors are  $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 = 1$  m Now we are given, B=A+WWT B = A+49VVT Bx = Ax + 49 VV2  $BX = \lambda x + 49(v_x)V$ if x=V, we get and  $V^TV = 1$ BV = 1 V + 49 (VTV)V 50) BV = XV + 49V we get  $\lambda_1 = 50$  Now, if  $x \neq V$ ,  $V_x = 0$ Bx = Ax + 49(0) V BX =AX = AX Hence , we get eigenvalues of B as (50,12,13... 1m) and eigenvectors as (V1, V2,... Ym)

Now, 
$$\frac{\|e_n\|_R}{\|e_n\|_B} = 2\left(\frac{\sqrt{k_R} - 1}{\sqrt{k_R} + 1}\right)^n$$
 and  $k_B = \frac{(\lambda_{max})_B}{(\lambda_{min})_B}$   $\frac{1}{(\lambda_{min})_B} \leq \frac{1}{(\lambda_{min})_B} \leq \frac{1}{(\lambda_{max})_B} \leq \frac{$ 

< 2 ( 10.18 ) M

40 since 'A' is nonsingular symmetriz matrix The eigenvalues will be greater than zero and the highest eigenvalue of A-1 will be equal to smallest eigenvalue of A, with same eigen vectors for both. hence in order to find eigenvector of A, corresponding to smallest eigenvalue In absolute terms we will apply the power iteration method on A-1 The algorithm shorts with initial vector Xo, repeatedly applies transformation Xk+1 = A-1 Xk until convergence The eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue is obtained by normalifying the find vector in (1) Since A is symmetric real matrix hence it is hermetian and hence can be represented as tridiagonal. In order to find kth smallest eigen vector, we will apply Lancto's iteration, that will generate tridiagonal matrix T, which is similar to A. The eigenvectors of T are related to eigenvector of A & can be used to compute the eigenvector of A.. so, here the cancz's algorithm can be modified to compute the kth smallest eigenvalue and its corresponding eigenvectors by using using a reviewed called implicitly restarted lancto's method (IRLM)

The IRLM uses a sheft & invest strategy to shift the eigenvalue of To, so that

kth smallest eigenvalue becomes the largest eigenvalue.

\*\* IRLM then applies power iteration method to T to obtain eigenvector corresponding to kth smallest eigenvalue.

59) we are given that where P is an orthogonal  $Q A Q = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ O & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ 0 6 A = Q A11 A12 QT Consider that 'V' is an eigenvector of All with eigen Value A. Consider V' to be a RM vector such that we claim the QV' is an eigenvector of A with eigens value 1 Proof = A(Q.V) = P AII A12 P(QV) Q A11 A12 (00)V' = P A 11 A 22 [ 0] = P[A11V+A120] = P[A11V]  $= \phi \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right]$ = > QV. Since V was arbitary hence all eigenvectors of A as done above hence A will have all the eigenvalues of An. Now consider an eigenvector w of An withergervalue N consider w'= [w] Rmvector

A' = Q [AIT @ QT are claim that Qw' is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue, A(QW') = Q A11 0 (QTQ)W' = 0 [A11 0] [0] = 0 [A10+0w]
An A22 [w] = 0 [A120+A22w] = P O = P O J = MQ W since at was arbitrary, every eigenvector of And will provide eigenvector of AT with eigenvalue v. so, AT will have all the eigenvalues of Azz. we also know that A and AT have some characteristic equation and same eigenvalues so A will have all the eigenvalues of the For eigenvectors, we have produced eigenvectors corresponding

to eigenvalued of A11. for producing eigenvectors correctly pording to Any we have to solve equation

AX = MX

GB table " " "

(6) c) The set by: f(y)=0 is the fanget line to the wave f(x)=0 at point (x, f(x)).

if x her in the curve the f(x)=0, then this set is simply the tangent line to the wave at that point

whe can verify quadratic convergence by computing the ratio of order at each Helation to the error of previous iteration. so, if this ratio approuches const value as iteration. Inclease they we have quadratic convergence

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \left( \frac{\chi^{K+1} - \chi^{*}}{\chi^{K}} \right)^{2} = C$$

The relationship of xk+1 is given by

 $x^{K+1} = x^{K} - \left[ df(x^{K}) \right]^{1}, f(x^{k})$ Where df(x) is Jacobian matin of partiel derivative of f, and fr.