Minimal CBC Casper Isabelle/HOL proofs

${\rm Layer} X$

March 17, 2019

Contents

1	CBC Casper	4
2	Message Justification	11
3	Latest Message	15
4	Safety Proof	29
theory Strict-Order		
imports Main		
begin		
notation $Set.empty$ (\emptyset)		
definition strict-partial-order $r \equiv trans \ r \land irreft \ r$		
de	finition strict-well-order-on A $r \equiv strict$ -linear-order-on A $r \land wf$ r	
s	nma strict-linear-order-is-strict-partial-order: trict-linear-order-on $A \ r \Longrightarrow strict$ -partial-order r by (simp add: strict-linear-order-on-def strict-partial-order-def)	
	finition upper-bound-on :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where upper-bound-on A r $x = (\forall y. y \in A \longrightarrow (y, x) \in r \lor x = y)$	
	finition $maximum$ -on :: 'a $set \Rightarrow$ 'a $rel \Rightarrow$ 'a \Rightarrow bool where	

```
maximum-on A \ r \ x = (x \in A \land upper-bound-on A \ r \ x)
definition minimal-on :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
    minimal-on A \ r \ x = (x \in A \land (\forall \ y. \ (y, \ x) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A))
definition maximal-on :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
     maximal-on A \ r \ x = (x \in A \land (\forall y. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A))
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{maximal-and-maximum-coincide-for-strict-linear-order}\ :
  strict-linear-order-on A \ r \Longrightarrow maximal-on A \ r \ x = maximum-on A \ r \ x
 apply (simp add: strict-linear-order-on-def irreft-def total-on-def trans-def maximal-on-def
maximum-on-def upper-bound-on-def)
  by blast
lemma strict-partial-order-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-maximal:
  strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow finite A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists x. maximal-on A r x)
  have \bigwedge n. strict-partial-order r \Longrightarrow (\forall A. Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \ne A
\emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists x. maximal-on A r x))
  proof -
    assume strict-partial-order r
    then have (\forall a. (a, a) \notin r)
       by (simp add: strict-partial-order-def irrefl-def)
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    show \forall A. Suc n = card\ A \longrightarrow finite\ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists\ x.\ maximal-on\ A\ r
x)
       apply (induction \ n)
       unfolding maximal-on-def
       using \langle (\forall a. (a, a) \notin r) \rangle
       apply (metis card-eq-SucD empty-iff insert-iff)
    proof -
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
      assume \forall A. Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists x. \ x \in A \land (\forall y. A))
(x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A)
       have \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ A' \ b. \ B =
A' \cup \{b\} \land card A' = Suc \ n \land b \notin A'
         by (metis Un-commute add-diff-cancel-left' card-gt-0-iff card-insert-disjoint
card-le-Suc-iff insert-is-Un not-le not-less-eq-eq plus-1-eq-Suc)
       then have \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ A' \ b.
B = A' \cup \{b\} \land card A' = Suc \ n \land finite A' \land A' \neq \emptyset \land b \notin A'\}
         by (metis card-qt-0-iff zero-less-Suc)
       then have \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset
            \longrightarrow (\exists A' b x. B = A' \cup \{b\} \land b \notin A' \land x \in A' \land (\forall y. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y)
\notin A'))
         using \forall A. Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x. \ x \in A \land (\forall \ y.
(x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A)\rangle
         by metis
```

```
then show \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x. \ x
\in B \land (\forall y. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin B))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-insert-right \forall a. (a, a) \notin r \land strict-partial-order
r> insertE insert-iff strict-partial-order-def sup-bot.right-neutral transE)
    ged
  \mathbf{qed}
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis card.insert-remove finite.cases)
qed
{f lemma}\ strict	ext{-}partial	ext{-}order	ext{-}has	ext{-}at	ext{-}most	ext{-}one	ext{-}maximum:
  strict-partial-order r
  \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset
  \longrightarrow is-singleton \{x. maximum\text{-on } A \ r \ x\}
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume \neg (strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum \text{-on } A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow is\text{-singleton}
\{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\}
 then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow \neg \ is\text{-singleton}
\{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\}
    by simp
  then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x1 \ x2.
x1 \neq x2 \land \{x1, x2\} \subseteq \{x. maximum-on A r x\})
    by (meson empty-subset I insert-subset is-singleton I')
  then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \text{ maximum-on } A \text{ } r \text{ } x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists x1 x2.
x1 \neq x2 \land \{x1, x2\} \subseteq \{x \in A. \ \forall \ y. \ y \in A \longrightarrow (y, x) \in r \lor x = y\}
    by (simp add: maximum-on-def upper-bound-on-def)
  then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x1 \ x2.
x1 \neq x2 \land \{x1, x2\} \subseteq A \land (\forall y. y \in A \longrightarrow (y, x1) \in r \lor x1 = y) \land (\forall y. y \in A)
A \longrightarrow (y, x2) \in r \lor x2 = y)
    by auto
  then show False
    using strict-partial-order-def
      by (metis \neg (strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum \text{-on} \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow
is-singleton \{x. maximum-on A r x\}) insert-subset irrefl-def transE
qed
{\bf lemma}\ strict\mbox{-}linear\mbox{-}order\mbox{-}on\mbox{-}finite\mbox{-}non\mbox{-}empty\mbox{-}set\mbox{-}has\mbox{-}one\mbox{-}maximum\ :
 strict-linear-order-on A r \longrightarrow finite A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow is-singleton \{x.\ maximum-on
 \textbf{using} \ strict-linear-order-is-strict-partial-order \ strict-partial-order-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-maximal
      strict-partial-order-has-at-most-one-maximum maximal-and-maximum-coincide-for-strict-linear-order
  by fastforce
```

end

1 CBC Casper

theory CBCCasper

 $\mathbf{imports}\ \mathit{Main}\ \mathit{HOL}. \mathit{Real}\ \mathit{Libraries}/\mathit{Strict-Order}\ \mathit{Libraries}/\mathit{Restricted-Predicates}\ \mathit{Libraries}/\mathit{LaTeXsugar}$

begin

```
notation Set.empty (\emptyset)
typedecl validator
typedecl consensus-value
datatype message =
  Message\ consensus-value\ *\ validator\ *\ message\ list
type-synonym state = message set
\mathbf{fun} \ sender :: message \Rightarrow validator
  where
    sender (Message (-, v, -)) = v
\mathbf{fun} \ est :: message \Rightarrow consensus\text{-}value
  where
      est\ (Message\ (c, -, -)) = c
\mathbf{fun}\ \mathit{justification}\ ::\ \mathit{message}\ \Rightarrow\ \mathit{state}
  where
    justification (Message (-, -, s)) = set s
fun
   \Sigma i :: (validator\ set\ 	imes\ consensus\-value\ set\ 	imes (message\ set\ \Rightarrow\ consensus\-value
set)) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow state \ set \ and
   \mathit{Mi}::(\mathit{validator}\ \mathit{set}\ 	imes\ \mathit{consensus-value}\ \mathit{set}\ 	imes\ (\mathit{message}\ \mathit{set}\ \Rightarrow\ \mathit{consensus-value}
set)) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow message set
```

```
where
    \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ \theta = \{\emptyset\}
  |\Sigma i| (V,C,\varepsilon) n = \{\sigma \in Pow (Mi (V,C,\varepsilon) (n-1)). finite \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in \sigma \longrightarrow v)\}
justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
   \mid Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n = \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \in (\Sigma i) \}
(V, C, \varepsilon) n) \land est m \in \varepsilon \ (justification m) \}
locale Params =
   fixes V :: validator set
  and W :: validator \Rightarrow real
  \mathbf{and}\ t :: \mathit{real}
  fixes C :: consensus-value set
  and \varepsilon :: message set \Rightarrow consensus-value set
begin
  definition \Sigma = (\bigcup i \in \mathbb{N}. \ \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ i)
  definition M = (\bigcup i \in \mathbb{N}. \ Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ i)
  definition is-valid-estimator :: (state \Rightarrow consensus-value set) \Rightarrow bool
     where
       is-valid-estimator e = (\forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ e \ \sigma \in Pow \ C - \{\emptyset\})
  lemma \Sigma i-subset-Mi: \Sigma i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1) \subseteq Pow (Mi (V,C,\varepsilon) n)
     by force
  lemma \Sigma i\text{-}subset\text{-}to\text{-}Mi\text{: }\Sigma i\text{ }(V,C,\varepsilon)\text{ }n\subseteq\Sigma i\text{ }(V,C,\varepsilon)\text{ }(n+1)\Longrightarrow Mi\text{ }(V,C,\varepsilon)\text{ }n
\subseteq Mi(V,C,\varepsilon)(n+1)
     by auto
   lemma \mathit{Mi\text{-}subset\text{-}to\text{-}\Sigma{i}} : \mathit{Mi}\ (V,C,\varepsilon)\ n\subseteq \mathit{Mi}\ (V,C,\varepsilon)\ (n+1) \Longrightarrow \Sigma{i}\ (V,C,\varepsilon)
(n+1) \subseteq \Sigma i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ (n+2)
     by auto
  lemma \Sigma i-monotonic: \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \subseteq \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n+1)
     apply (induction \ n)
     apply simp
   apply (metis Mi-subset-to-\(\Si\) i Suc-eq-plus 1\(\Si\)-subset-to-Mi add.commute add-2-eq-Suc)
     done
   lemma Mi-monotonic: Mi (V,C,\varepsilon) n \subseteq Mi (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1)
     apply (induction n)
     defer
     using \Sigma i-monotonic \Sigma i-subset-to-Mi apply blast
     apply auto
     done
  lemma \Sigma i-monotonicity: \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \ m \leq n \longrightarrow \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ m \subseteq \Sigma i
(V,C,\varepsilon) n
     using \Sigma i-monotonic
```

```
by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 lift-Suc-mono-le)
  lemma Mi-monotonicity: \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \ m \leq n \longrightarrow Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ m \subseteq Mi
(V,C,\varepsilon) n
    using Mi-monotonic
    by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 lift-Suc-mono-le)
  lemma message-is-in-Mi:
    \forall m \in M. \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. m \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
    apply (simp add: M-def \Sigma i.elims)
    by (metis Nats-1 Nats-add One-nat-def diff-Suc-1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
  \mathbf{lemma}\ state	ext{-}is	ext{-}in	ext{-}pow	ext{-}Mi:
   \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\exists \ n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n-1)) \land (\forall \ m \in \sigma. \ justification)
m \subset \sigma)
    apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
    apply auto
    proof -
      fix y :: nat and \sigma :: message set
      assume a1: \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ y
      assume a2: y \in \mathbb{N}
      have \sigma \subseteq Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) y
          using a1 by (meson Params.Σi-monotonic Params.Σi-subset-Mi Pow-iff
contra-subsetD)
      then have \exists n. n \in \mathbb{N} \land \sigma \subseteq Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n-1)
        using a2 by (metis (no-types) Nats-1 Nats-add diff-Suc-1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
       then show \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \sigma \subseteq \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \}
\in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n - Suc \ \theta) \land est \ m \in \varepsilon \ (justification \ m) \}
        by auto
    next
        justification \ m \Longrightarrow x \in \sigma
        using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
    qed
  \mathbf{lemma}\ message\text{-}is\text{-}in\text{-}Mi\text{-}n:
    \forall m \in M. \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. m \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) n
   by (smt Mi-monotonic Suc-diff-Suc add-leE diff-add diff-le-self message-is-in-Mi
neq0-conv plus-1-eq-Suc subsetCE zero-less-diff)
  lemma message-in-state-is-valid:
    \forall \ \sigma \ m. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in \sigma \longrightarrow \ m \in M
    apply (rule, rule, rule)
  proof -
    fix \sigma m
    assume \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in \sigma
    have
```

```
\exists n \in \mathbb{N}. m \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) n
      \implies m \in M
      using M-def by blast
    then show
      m \in M
      apply (simp add: M-def)
      by (smt\ Mi.simps\ Params.\Sigma i\text{-monotonic}\ PowD\ Suc\text{-}diff\text{-}Suc\ (}\sigma\in\Sigma\wedge m\in
\sigma add-leE diff-add diff-le-self gr0I mem-Collect-eq plus-1-eq-Suc state-is-in-pow-Mi
subsetCE zero-less-diff)
  qed
  lemma state-is-subset-of-M: \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \sigma \subseteq M
    using message-in-state-is-valid by blast
  lemma state-is-finite : \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. finite \sigma
    apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
    using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
  lemma justification-is-finite: \forall m \in M. finite (justification m)
    apply (simp add: M-def)
   using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
  lemma \Sigma is-subseteq-of-pow-M: \Sigma \subseteq Pow\ M
    by (simp add: state-is-subset-of-M subsetI)
 lemma M-type: \bigwedge m. m \in M \Longrightarrow est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m
    unfolding M-def \Sigma-def
    by auto
end
locale Protocol = Params +
 assumes V-type: V \neq \emptyset
  and W-type: \bigwedge w. w \in range \ W \Longrightarrow w > 0
 and t-type: 0 \le t \ t < Sum \ (W \ 'V)
 and C-type: card C > 1
 and \varepsilon-type: is-valid-estimator \varepsilon
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-are-non-empty: \bigwedge \sigma. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \varepsilon \ \sigma \neq \emptyset
  using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by auto
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-are-subset-of-C: \bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \varepsilon \sigma \subseteq C
  using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by auto
lemma (in Params) empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma-\theta: \emptyset \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) \theta
 by simp
```

```
lemma (in Params) empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma: \emptyset \in \Sigma
  apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
  using Nats-0 \Sigma i.simps(1) by blast
lemma (in Params) \Sigma i-is-non-empty: \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \neq \emptyset
  apply (induction \ n)
  using empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma-0 by auto
lemma (in Params) \Sigma is-non-empty: \Sigma \neq \emptyset
  using empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma by blast
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-exists-for-empty-set:
 \varepsilon \emptyset \neq \emptyset
 by (simp add: empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma estimates-are-non-empty)
lemma (in Protocol) non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0:
  \exists m. m \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ 0 \land justification \ m = \emptyset
 apply auto
proof -
  have \varepsilon \emptyset \subseteq C
    using Params.empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma \varepsilon-type is-valid-estimator-def by auto
  then show \exists m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m = \emptyset \land est \ m \in \varepsilon
(justification \ m) \land justification \ m = \emptyset
    by (metis V-type all-not-in-conv est.simps estimates-exists-for-empty-set justi-
fication.simps sender.simps set-empty subsetCE)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) Mi-is-non-empty: Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) n \neq \emptyset
  apply (induction \ n)
 using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 apply auto
 using Mi-monotonic empty-iff empty-subset by fastforce
lemma (in Protocol) Mis-non-empty: M \neq \emptyset
  using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 M-def Nats-0 by blast
lemma (in Protocol) C-is-not-empty : C \neq \emptyset
  using C-type by auto
lemma (in Params) \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma:
 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \subseteq \Sigma
 by (simp add: \Sigma-def SUP-upper)
lemma (in Protocol) message-justifying-state-in-\Sigma-n-exists-in-M-n:
 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. (\forall \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \longrightarrow (\exists m. m \in M i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \land justification)
m = \sigma)
 apply auto
proof -
  fix n \sigma
  assume n \in \mathbb{N}
```

```
and \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
  then have \sigma \in \Sigma
    using \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma by auto
  have \varepsilon \ \sigma \neq \emptyset
    using estimates-are-non-empty \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle by auto
  have finite \sigma
    using state-is-finite \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle by auto
  moreover have \exists m. sender m \in V \land est m \in \varepsilon \ \sigma \land justification m = \sigma
    using est.simps sender.simps justification.simps V-type \langle \varepsilon \ \sigma \neq \emptyset \rangle \langle finite \ \sigma \rangle
    by (metis all-not-in-conv finite-list)
  moreover have \varepsilon \sigma \subseteq C
    using estimates-are-subset-of-C \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma \langle n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \langle \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon)
n by blast
 ultimately show \exists m. est m \in C \land sender m \in V \land justification <math>m \in \Sigma i (V,
(C, \varepsilon) \ n \wedge est \ m \in \varepsilon \ (justification \ m) \wedge justification \ m = \sigma
    using Nats-1 One-nat-def
    using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle by blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) \Sigma-type: \Sigma \subset Pow\ M
proof -
  obtain m where m \in Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) 0 \land justification m = \emptyset
    using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 by auto
  then have \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (Suc \ \theta)
    using Params.\Sigma i-subset-Mi by auto
  then have \exists m'. m' \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) (Suc 0) \land justification m' = \{m\}
      using message-justifying-state-in-\Sigma-n-exists-in-M-n Nats-1 One-nat-def by
metis
  then obtain m' where m' \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) (Suc \theta) \land justification m' = \{m\}
by auto
  then have \{m'\} \in Pow M
    using M-def
    by (metis Nats-1 One-nat-def PowD PowI Pow-bottom UN-I insert-subset)
  moreover have \{m'\} \notin \Sigma
    using Params.state-is-in-pow-Mi Protocol-axioms (m' \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (Suc \ \theta))
\land justification m' = \{m\} \land \mathbf{by} \text{ fastforce }
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using \Sigma is-subseteq-of-pow-M by auto
qed
lemma (in Protocol) M-type-counterexample:
  (\forall \sigma. \varepsilon \sigma = C) \Longrightarrow M = \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \in C \}
\Sigma
  apply (simp add: M-def)
  apply auto
  using \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma apply blast
  by (simp add: \Sigma-def)
```

```
definition observed :: message \ set \Rightarrow validator \ set
  where
    observed \sigma = \{sender \ m \mid m. \ m \in \sigma\}
lemma (in Protocol) observed-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \in Pow \ M. \ observed \ \sigma \in Pow \ V
  using Params.M-type Protocol-axioms observed-def by fastforce
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) observed-type-for-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ observed \ \sigma \subseteq V
 using Params.M-type Protocol-axioms observed-def state-is-subset-of-M by fastforce
fun is-future-state :: (state * state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    is-future-state (\sigma 1, \sigma 2) = (\sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma 2)
lemma (in Params) state-difference-is-valid-message :
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' . \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land \sigma' \in \Sigma
  \longrightarrow is\text{-}future\text{-}state(\sigma, \sigma')
  \longrightarrow \sigma' - \sigma \subseteq M
  using state-is-subset-of-M by blast
definition justified :: message \Rightarrow message \Rightarrow bool
    justified m1 m2 = (m1 \in justification m2)
definition equivocation :: (message * message) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    equivocation =
      (\lambda(m1, m2). sender m1 = sender m2 \land m1 \neq m2 \land \neg (justified m1 m2) \land
\neg (justified m2 m1))
definition is-equivocating :: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow bool
    is-equivocating \sigma v = (\exists m1 \in \sigma. \exists m2 \in \sigma. equivocation (m1, m2) \land sender
m1 = v
definition equivocating-validators :: state \Rightarrow validator set
    equivocating-validators \sigma = \{v \in observed \ \sigma. \ is-equivocating \ \sigma \ v\}
lemma (in Protocol) equivocating-validators-type :
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ equivocating-validators \ \sigma \subseteq V
  using observed-type-for-state equivocating-validators-def by blast
```

```
definition (in Params) equivocating-validators-paper :: state \Rightarrow validator set
  where
    equivocating-validators-paper \sigma = \{v \in V. \text{ is-equivocating } \sigma v\}
lemma (in Protocol) equivocating-validators-is-equivalent-to-paper:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ equivocating-validators \ \sigma = equivocating-validators-paper \ \sigma
 \textbf{by} \ (smt \ Collect-cong \ Params \ equivocating-validators-paper-def \ equivocating-validators-def
is-equivocating-def mem-Collect-eq observed-type-for-state observed-def subset CE)
definition (in Params) equivocation-fault-weight :: state \Rightarrow real
  where
    equivocation-fault-weight \sigma = sum \ W \ (equivocating-validators \ \sigma)
definition (in Params) is-faults-lt-threshold :: state \Rightarrow bool
  where
   is-faults-lt-threshold \sigma = (equivocation-fault-weight \ \sigma < t)
definition (in Protocol) \Sigma t :: state set
  where
   \Sigma t = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma. \text{ is-faults-lt-threshold } \sigma \}
lemma (in Protocol) \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma : \Sigma t \subseteq \Sigma
  using \Sigma t-def by auto
type-synonym state-property = state \Rightarrow bool
type-synonym consensus-value-property = consensus-value \Rightarrow bool
end
2
      Message Justification
{f theory}\ {\it Message Justification}
{\bf imports}\ {\it Main}\ {\it CBCCasper}\ {\it Libraries/LaTeXsugar}
begin
```

definition (in Params) message-justification :: message rel

where

```
message-justification = \{(m1, m2), \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land justified \ m1 \ m2\}
lemma (in Protocol) transitivity-of-justifications:
  trans message-justification
 apply (simp add: trans-def message-justification-def justified-def)
 by (meson Params.M-type Params.state-is-in-pow-Mi Protocol-axioms contra-subsetD)
lemma (in Protocol) irreflexivity-of-justifications:
  irreft message-justification
 apply (simp add: irrefl-def message-justification-def justified-def)
 apply (simp add: M-def)
 apply auto
proof -
 \mathbf{fix} \ n \ m
 assume est m \in C
 assume sender m \in V
 assume justification m \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
 assume est m \in \varepsilon (justification m)
 assume m \in justification m
 have m \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
   by (smt\ Mi.simps\ One-nat-def\ Params.\Sigma i-subset-Mi\ Pow-iff\ Suc-pred\ (est\ m\in Subset-Mi)
C (est m \in \varepsilon (justification m)) (justification m \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n) (m \in justification
m \land (sender \ m \in V) \ add.right-neutral \ add-Suc-right \ diff-is-0-eq' \ diff-le-self \ diff-zero
mem-Collect-eq not-gr0 subsetCE)
  then have justification m \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n - 1)
   using Mi.simps by blast
  then have justification m \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) \theta
   apply (induction \ n)
   apply simp
    by (smt\ Mi.simps\ One-nat-def\ Params.\Sigma i-subset-Mi\ Pow-iff\ Suc-pred\ (m\in Mi.simps))
justification m > add.right-neutral add-Suc-right diff-Suc-1 mem-Collect-eq not-gr0
subsetCE \ subsetCE)
 then have justification m \in \{\emptyset\}
   by simp
 then show False
   using \langle m \in justification \ m \rangle by blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) message-cannot-justify-itself:
  (\forall m \in M. \neg justified m m)
proof -
 have irreft message-justification
   using irreflexivity-of-justifications by simp
 then show ?thesis
   by (simp add: irreflexivity-of-justifications irrefl-def message-justification-def)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) justification-is-strict-partial-order-on-M :
  strict-partial-order message-justification
```

```
apply (simp add: strict-partial-order-def)
  by (simp add: irreflexivity-of-justifications transitivity-of-justifications)
lemma (in Protocol) monotonicity-of-justifications:
 \forall m m' \sigma. m \in M \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land justified m' m \longrightarrow justification m' \subseteq justification
  apply simp
 by (meson M-type justified-def message-in-state-is-valid state-is-in-pow-Mi)
lemma (in Protocol) strict-monotonicity-of-justifications:
 \forall m \ m' \ \sigma. \ m \in M \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land justified \ m' \ m \longrightarrow justification \ m' \subset justification
 by (metis M-type message-cannot-justify-itself justified-def message-in-state-is-valid
monotonicity-of-justifications psubsetI)
lemma (in Protocol) justification-implies-different-messages:
 \forall m m'. m \in M \land m' \in M \longrightarrow justified m' m \longrightarrow m \neq m'
 using message-cannot-justify-itself by auto
lemma (in Protocol) only-valid-message-is-justified:
  \forall m \in M. \ \forall m'. \ justified \ m'm \longrightarrow m' \in M
 apply (simp add: justified-def)
  using Params.M-type message-in-state-is-valid by blast
lemma (in Protocol) justified-message-exists-in-Mi-n-minus-1:
  \forall n m m'. n \in \mathbb{N}
  \longrightarrow justified m' m
  \longrightarrow m \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) n
  \longrightarrow m' \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
proof -
  have \forall n m m'. justified m' m
  \longrightarrow m \in Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  \longrightarrow m \in M \land m' \in M
  \longrightarrow m' \in Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)
   apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
  proof -
   fix n m m'
   assume justified m' m
   assume m \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) n
   assume m \in M \land m' \in M
   then have justification m \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
     using Mi.simps \langle m \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle by blast
   then have justification m \in Pow(Mi(V,C,\varepsilon)(n-1))
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-diff-Suc \Sigma i.simps(1) \Sigma i.subset-Mi (justified
m' \ m add-leE diff-add diff-le-self empty-iff justified-def neq0-conv plus-1-eq-Suc
singletonD \ subsetCE)
   show m' \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)
        using (justification m \in Pow (Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) (n - 1)) (justified m' m)
justified-def by auto
```

```
qed
  then show ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) M-def UN-I only-valid-message-is-justified)
lemma (in Protocol) monotonicity-of-card-of-justification :
 \forall m m'. m \in M
  \longrightarrow justified m' m
  \longrightarrow card (justification m') < card (justification m)
  by (meson M-type Protocol.strict-monotonicity-of-justifications Protocol-axioms
justification-is-finite psubset-card-mono)
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) justification-is-well-founded-on-M:
  wfp-on justified M
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume \neg wfp-on justified M
  then have \exists f. \ \forall i. \ f \ i \in M \land justified \ (f \ (Suc \ i)) \ (f \ i)
   by (simp add: wfp-on-def)
  then obtain f where \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f (Suc i)) (f i) by auto
  have \forall i. card (justification (f i)) \leq card (justification (f 0)) -i
   apply (rule)
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ i
   have card (justification (f (Suc i))) < card <math>(justification (f i))
  using \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f(Suci))(fi) by (simp\ add:\ monotonicity-of-card-of-justification)
   show card (justification (f i)) \leq card (justification (f 0)) - i
     apply (induction i)
     apply simp
     using \langle card\ (justification\ (f\ (Suc\ i))) < card\ (justification\ (f\ i)) \rangle
      diff-is-0-eq le-iff-add less-Suc-eq-le less-imp-le monotonicity-of-card-of-justification
not-less-eq-eq trans-less-add1)
 then have \exists i. i = card (justification (f 0)) + Suc 0 \land card (justification (f i))
< card (justification (f 0)) - i
   by blast
  then show False
    using le-0-eq le-simps(2) linorder-not-le monotonicity-of-card-of-justification
nat-diff-split order-less-imp-le
  by (metis \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f (Suc i)) (f i) \land add.right-neutral add-Suc-right)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{subset-of-M-have-minimal-of-justification} :
 \forall S \subseteq M. S \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists m\text{-min} \in S. \forall m. justified m m\text{-min} \longrightarrow m \notin S)
 by (metis justification-is-well-founded-on-M wfp-on-imp-has-min-elt wfp-on-mono)
```

 \mathbf{end}

3 Latest Message

```
theory LatestMessage
```

 ${\bf imports}\ {\it Main}\ {\it CBCCasper}\ {\it Message Justification}\ {\it Libraries/LaTeX sugar}$ ${\bf begin}$

```
definition later :: (message * message set) \Rightarrow message set
  where
    later = (\lambda(m, \sigma), \{m' \in \sigma, justified \ m \ m'\})
lemma (in Protocol) later-type :
  \forall \ \sigma \ m. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land m \in M \longrightarrow later \ (m, \ \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: later-def)
  by auto
lemma (in Protocol) later-type-for-state :
  \forall \sigma m. \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in M \longrightarrow later (m, \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: later-def)
  using state-is-subset-of-M by auto
definition from\text{-}sender :: (validator * message set) \Rightarrow message set
  where
    from\text{-}sender = (\lambda(v, \sigma), \{m \in \sigma, sender m = v\})
lemma (in Protocol) from-sender-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land v \in V \longrightarrow from\text{-sender} \ (v, \ \sigma) \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: from-sender-def)
  by auto
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) from-sender-type-for-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \ \land \ v \in \ V \longrightarrow \mathit{from\text{-}sender} \ (v, \ \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: from-sender-def)
  using state-is-subset-of-M by auto
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{messages-from-observed-validator-is-non-empty} :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow from\text{-sender} \ (v, \ \sigma) \neq \emptyset
  apply (simp add: observed-def from-sender-def)
  by auto
```

```
{f lemma} (in Protocol) messages-from-validator-is-finite:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V\sigma \longrightarrow finite \ (from\text{-sender}\ (v, \sigma))
  by (simp add: from-sender-def state-is-finite)
definition from-group :: (validator set * message set) \Rightarrow state
    from-group = (\lambda(v\text{-}set, \sigma), \{m \in \sigma, sender m \in v\text{-}set\})
\mathbf{lemma}~(\mathbf{in}~\mathit{Protocol})~\mathit{from\text{-}group\text{-}type}~:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V \longrightarrow from\text{-}group \ (v\text{-set}, \ \sigma) \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: from-group-def)
  by auto
lemma (in Protocol) from-group-type-for-state:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V \longrightarrow from\text{-}group \ (v\text{-set}, \ \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: from-group-def)
  using state-is-subset-of-M by auto
definition later-from :: (message * validator * message set) <math>\Rightarrow message set
  where
    later-from = (\lambda(m, v, \sigma). \ later (m, \sigma) \cap from\text{-}sender (v, \sigma))
lemma (in Protocol) later-from-type:
  \forall \sigma \ v \ m. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land v \in V \land m \in M \longrightarrow later-from \ (m, v, \sigma) \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: later-from-def)
  using later-type from-sender-type by auto
lemma (in Protocol) later-from-type-for-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v \ m. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land m \in M \longrightarrow later-from \ (m, v, \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: later-from-def)
  using later-type-for-state from-sender-type-for-state by auto
\textbf{definition} \ \textit{latest-messages} :: \textit{message set} \Rightarrow (\textit{validator} \Rightarrow \textit{message set})
    latest-messages \sigma v = \{m \in from\text{-sender } (v, \sigma). \text{ later-from } (m, v, \sigma) = \emptyset\}
lemma (in Protocol) latest-messages-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \ \land \ v \in V \longrightarrow latest-messages \ \sigma \ v \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: latest-messages-def later-from-def)
  using from-sender-type by auto
{f lemma} (in Protocol) latest-messages-type-for-state:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-messages \ \sigma \ v \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: latest-messages-def later-from-def)
  using from-sender-type-for-state by auto
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) latest-messages-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land v \notin observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow latest-messages \ \sigma \ v = \emptyset
 by (simp add: latest-messages-def observed-def later-def from-sender-def)
definition observed-non-equivocating-validators :: state \Rightarrow validator set
  where
    observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma = observed \ \sigma - equivocating-validators
lemma (in Protocol) observed-non-equivocating-validators-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma \in Pow \ V
 apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
  using observed-type-for-state equivocating-validators-type by auto
lemma (in Protocol) justification-is-well-founded-on-messages-from-validator:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ \textit{wfp-on justified (from-sender } (v, \sigma)))
 using justification-is-well-founded-on-M from-sender-type-for-state wfp-on-subset
by blast
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ justification-is-total-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma \longrightarrow Relation.total-on\ (from-sender)
(v, \sigma)) message-justification)
proof -
  have \forall m1 \ m2 \ \sigma \ v. \ v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land \{m1, m2\} \subseteq from\text{-sender} \ (v, \sigma) \longrightarrow
sender m1 = sender m2
    by (simp add: from-sender-def)
  then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma)
         \rightarrow (\forall m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq from\text{-sender } (v, \sigma) \longrightarrow m1 = m2 \lor justified
m1 \ m2 \ \lor justified \ m2 \ m1)
   apply (simp add: equivocating-validators-def is-equivocating-def equivocation-def
from-sender-def observed-def)
    by blast
  then show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: Relation.total-on-def message-justification-def)
    using from-sender-type-for-state by blast
qed
\textbf{lemma (in } \textit{Protocol) justification-is-strict-linear-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator:}
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma \longrightarrow strict-linear-order-on
(from\text{-}sender\ (v,\ \sigma))\ message\text{-}justification)
 \textbf{by } (simp \ add: strict-linear-order-on-def \ justification-is-total-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator
      irreflexivity-of-justifications transitivity-of-justifications)
```

lemma (in Protocol) justification-is-strict-well-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator: $\forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma$

```
\longrightarrow strict-linear-order-on (from-sender (v, \sigma)) message-justification \land wfp-on
justified (from-sender (v, \sigma)))
  {\bf using} \ justification\hbox{-} is\hbox{-}well\hbox{-} founded\hbox{-} on\hbox{-}messages\hbox{-} from\hbox{-}validator
     justification-is-strict-linear-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator
 by blast
lemma (in Protocol) latest-message-is-maximal-element-of-justification :
 \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-messages \ \sigma \ v = \{m. \ maximal-on \ (from-sender \ v) \}
(v, \sigma)) message-justification m}
 apply (simp add: latest-messages-def later-from-def later-def message-justification-def
maximal-on-def)
  using from-sender-type-for-state apply auto
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) IntI empty-iff from-sender-def mem-Collect-eq
prod.simps(2)
 by blast
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ observed-non-equivocating-validators-have-one-latest-message:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating-validators } \sigma. \ is\text{-}singleton \ (latest\text{-}messages
\sigma(v)
  apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
proof -
  have \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. (\forall v \in observed \sigma - equivocating-validators \sigma. is-singleton \{m\}.
maximal-on\ (from\text{-}sender\ (v, \sigma))\ message\text{-}justification\ m\})
    using
        messages-from-observed-validator-is-non-empty
        messages-from\mbox{-}validator\mbox{-}is\mbox{-}finite
        observed\hbox{-}type\hbox{-}for\hbox{-}state
        equivocating-validators-def
     justification-is-strict-linear-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator\\
        strict-linear-order-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-one-maximum
        maximal-and-maximum-coincide-for-strict-linear-order
    by (smt Collect-cong DiffD1 DiffD2 set-mp)
   then show \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall v \in observed \sigma - equivocating-validators \sigma. is-singleton
(latest-messages \sigma v)
    \mathbf{using}\ latest-message-is-maximal-element-of-justification
       observed-non-equivocating-validators-def observed-non-equivocating-validators-type
    by fastforce
qed
```

definition $latest-estimates:: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow consensus-value set$ where

```
by fastforce
lemma (in Protocol) latest-estimates-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty:
 \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land v \notin observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow latest-estimates \ \sigma \ v = \emptyset
  {\bf using}\ \ latest-estimates-def\ \ latest-messages-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty
by auto
definition latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators :: state \Rightarrow validator
\Rightarrow message set
  where
    latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma v = (if is-equivocating \sigma v)
then \emptyset else latest-messages \sigma v)
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-type:
 \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \ v
 by (simp add: latest-messages-type-for-state latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-def)
definition latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators :: state \Rightarrow validator
\Rightarrow consensus-value set
  where
      latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma v = \{est \ m \mid m. \ m \in
latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma v}
lemma (in Protocol) latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators-type:
 \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \ v
\in Pow C
 \textbf{using } \textit{Protocol.} latest-estimates-type \textit{Protocol-axioms } latest-estimates-def \textit{ latest-estimates-from-non-equivocation} \\
latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-def by auto
{\bf lemma~(in~} Protocol)~latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty
 \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \ \land \ v \notin \ observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators
```

 $\textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators-def \ latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-def \ latest-messages-def \ latest-me$

latest-estimates σ $v = \{est \ m \mid m. \ m \in latest$ -messages σ $v\}$

using M-type Protocol. latest-messages-type-for-state Protocol-axioms latest-estimates-def

lemma (in Protocol) latest-estimates-type:

 $\forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-estimates \ \sigma \ v \subseteq C$

latest-messages-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty)

```
imports Main CBCCasper MessageJustification
begin
definition (in Params) state-transition :: state rel
         where
                 state-transition = \{(\sigma 1, \sigma 2), \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma \land is-future-state(\sigma 1, \sigma 2)\}
lemma (in Params) reflexivity-of-state-transition:
         refl-on \Sigma state-transition
         apply (simp add: state-transition-def refl-on-def)
        \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
lemma (in Params) transitivity-of-state-transition:
         trans state-transition
         apply (simp add: state-transition-def trans-def)
         by auto
lemma (in Params) state-transition-is-preorder :
         preorder-on \Sigma state-transition
      by (simp add: preorder-on-def reflexivity-of-state-transition transitivity-of-state-transition)
lemma (in Params) antisymmetry-of-state-transition:
         antisym\ state-transition
         apply (simp add: state-transition-def antisym-def)
        by auto
lemma (in Params) state-transition-is-partial-order :
         partial-order-on \Sigma state-transition
      by (simp add: partial-order-on-def state-transition-is-preorder antisymmetry-of-state-transition)
definition (in Protocol) minimal-transitions :: (state * state) set
                  minimal-transitions \equiv \{(\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'
                   \wedge (\not\equiv \sigma''. \sigma'' \in \Sigma \wedge is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma'') \wedge is-future-state (\sigma'', \sigma') \wedge \sigma \neq
\sigma'' \wedge \sigma'' \neq \sigma' \}
```

end

theory StateTransition

```
immediately-next-message = (\lambda(\sigma,m). justification m \subseteq \sigma \land m \notin \sigma
\textbf{lemma (in } Protocol) \ state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth-non-zero:
    \forall n \geq 1. \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ \forall m \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma, m)
\longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+1)
     apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof-
     fix n \sigma m
    assume 1 \leq n \ \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \ m \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \ immediately-next-message
(\sigma, m)
     have \exists n'. n = Suc n'
          using \langle 1 \leq n \rangle old.nat.exhaust by auto
     hence si: \Sigma i (V,C,\varepsilon) n = \{ \sigma \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ (n-1)). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m.
m \in \sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
          by force
     hence \Sigma i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1) = \{ \sigma \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n).
\sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
          by force
     have justification m \subseteq \sigma
          using immediately-next-message-def
         by (metis (no-types, lifting) \langle immediately-next-message (\sigma, m) \rangle case-prod-conv)
     hence justification m \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
          \mathbf{by} blast
      moreover have \bigwedge m'. finite \sigma \wedge m' \in \sigma \Longrightarrow justification <math>m' \subseteq \sigma
          using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle \ si \ by \ blast
     hence\bigwedge m'. finite \sigma \wedge m' \in \sigma \Longrightarrow justification <math>m' \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
          by auto
      ultimately have \bigwedge m'. m' \in \sigma \cup \{m\} \Longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma
          using (justification m \subseteq \sigma) by blast
     have \{m\} \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n)
          using \langle m \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle by auto
      moreover have \sigma \in Pow (Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1))
          using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle \ si \ by \ auto
     hence \sigma \in Pow (Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) n)
          using Mi-monotonic
            by (metis (full-types) PowD PowI Suc-eq-plus1 (\exists n'. n = Suc n') diff-Suc-1
subset-iff)
      ultimately have \sigma \cup \{m\} \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n)
     show \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+1)
```

 ${\bf definition}\ immediately\text{-}next\text{-}message\ {\bf where}$

```
using \langle \bigwedge m'. finite \sigma \wedge m' \in \sigma \Longrightarrow justification <math>m' \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\} \rangle \langle \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \sigma \rangle
Pow (Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) n) \langle justification \ m \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\} \rangle
     \langle \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle \ si \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
qed
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth:
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ \forall m \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma, m) \longrightarrow \sigma
\cup \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+1)
  apply (cases n)
  apply auto[1]
  {f using}\ state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth-non-zero
  by (metis le-add1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Params}) \ \mathit{past-state-exists-in-same-depth} :
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \longrightarrow \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof (cases n)
  case \theta
   show \land \sigma \ \sigma' \ \sigma' \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \Longrightarrow \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow n = 0 \Longrightarrow \sigma \in
\Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
     by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc nat)
  \mathbf{show} \  \, \big \langle \sigma \  \, \sigma' \  \, nat. \  \, \sigma' \in \Sigma i \  \, (V, \  \, C, \, \varepsilon) \  \, n \Longrightarrow \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow n = \mathit{Suc} \  \, nat
\Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
  proof -
  fix \sigma \sigma'
  assume \sigma' \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
  and \sigma \subseteq \sigma'
  and \sigma \in \Sigma
  have n > \theta
     by (simp add: Suc)
  have finite \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in \sigma \longrightarrow justification m \subseteq \sigma)
     using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle state-is-finite state-is-in-pow-Mi by blast
  moreover have \sigma \in Pow (Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) (n - 1))
     using \langle \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \rangle
      by (smt Pow-iff Suc-eq-plus 1 \Sigma i-monotonic \Sigma i-subset-Mi \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \rangle
n add-diff-cancel-left' add-eq-if diff-is-0-eq diff-le-self plus-1-eq-Suc subset-iff)
  ultimately have \sigma \in \{\sigma \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ (n-1)). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in V,C,\varepsilon) \ (m-1)\}
\sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
     by blast
   then show \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
     by (simp \ add: Suc)
  qed
qed
lemma (in Protocol) immediately-next-message-exists-in-same-depth:
   \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma,m) \longrightarrow (\exists \ n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \in \Sigma i
```

```
(V,C,\varepsilon) n \wedge m \in Mi(V,C,\varepsilon) n
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def M-def \Sigma-def)
  using past-state-exists-in-same-depth
  using \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma by blast
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-by-immediately-next-message:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma,m) \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma
  apply (rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma m
  assume \sigma \in \Sigma
  and m \in M
  and immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  then have (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \sigma \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \land m \in Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) n)
    \textbf{using} \ \textit{immediately-next-message-exists-in-same-depth} \ \ \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \ \ \langle m \in M \rangle
    by blast
  then have \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+1)
    {f using}\ state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth
    using \langle immediately-next-message (\sigma, m) \rangle by blast
  show \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma
    apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
     by (metis Nats-1 Nats-add Un-insert-right \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon)
(n + 1) sup-bot.right-neutral)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-imps-immediately-next-message:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land m \notin \sigma \longrightarrow immediately-next-message \ (\sigma, m)
proof -
  have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \longrightarrow (\forall \ m' \in \sigma \cup \{m\}. \ justification \ m'
\subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
    using state-is-in-pow-Mi by blast
  then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
    by auto
  then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \ m \in M. \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land m \notin \sigma \longrightarrow justification <math>m \subseteq \sigma
    using justification-implies-different-messages justified-def by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-only-made-by-immediately-next-message:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land m \notin \sigma \longleftrightarrow immediately-next-message \ (\sigma,m)
 {\bf using} \ state-transition-imps-immediately-next-message \ state-transition-by-immediately-next-message
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
  by blast
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-is-immediately-next-message:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \ \longleftrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma
  using state-transition-only-made-by-immediately-next-message
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
```

```
using insert-Diff state-is-in-pow-Mi by fastforce
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{strict-subset-of-state-have-immediately-next-messages} \colon
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \sigma'. \ \sigma' \subset \sigma \longrightarrow (\exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. immediately-next-message (\sigma', m))
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
  apply (rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma \sigma'
  assume \sigma \in \Sigma
  assume \sigma' \subset \sigma
  show \exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. justification m \subseteq \sigma'
  proof (rule ccontr)
     assume \neg (\exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. justification m \subseteq \sigma')
     then have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m' \in justification m. m' \in \sigma - \sigma'
        using \langle \neg (\exists m \in \sigma - \sigma') \text{ justification } m \subseteq \sigma' \rangle \rangle state-is-in-pow-Mi \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle
        by (metis Diff-iff \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle subset-eq)
     then have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m'. justified m'm \land m' \in \sigma - \sigma'
        using justified-def by auto
     then have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m'. justified m'm \land m' \in \sigma - \sigma' \land m \neq m'
      {f using}\ justification-implies-different-messages\ state-difference-is-valid-message
        message-in-state-is-valid \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle
        by (meson\ DiffD1\ \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle)
     have \sigma - \sigma' \subseteq M
        using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle state-is-subset-of-M by auto
     then have \exists m\text{-min} \in \sigma - \sigma'. \forall m. justified m m\text{-min} \longrightarrow m \notin \sigma - \sigma'
        using subset-of-M-have-minimal-of-justification \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle
        by blast
     then show False
        using \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m'. justified m'm \land m' \in \sigma - \sigma' by blast
  qed
qed
lemma (in Protocol) union-of-two-states-is-state :
  \forall \ \sigma 1 \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma 2 \in \Sigma. \ (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) \in \Sigma
  apply (rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2
  assume \sigma 1 \in \Sigma and \sigma 2 \in \Sigma
  show \sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2 \in \Sigma
  proof (cases \sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma 2)
     case True
     then show ?thesis
        by (simp add: Un-absorb1 \langle \sigma 2 \in \Sigma \rangle)
  next
     {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
     then have \neg \sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma 2 by sim p
   have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma - (\sigma \cap \sigma'). \ immediately-next-message(\sigma \cap \sigma')
\cap \sigma', m)
     \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis Int-subset-iff psubset I strict-subset-of-state-have-immediately-next-messages} \\
```

```
then have \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists m \in \sigma - (\sigma \cap \sigma').
immediately-next-message(\sigma', m))
        apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
        by blast
     then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \ \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma)
        \mathbf{using}\ state\text{-}transition\text{-}by\text{-}immediately\text{-}next\text{-}message
        by (metis DiffD1 DiffD2 DiffI IntI message-in-state-is-valid)
     have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow \ \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
     proof -
        have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow card \ (\sigma - \sigma') > 0
           by (meson Diff-eq-empty-iff card-0-eq finite-Diff gr0I state-is-finite)
        have \forall n. \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\sigma' \in \Sigma
           apply (rule)
        proof -
           \mathbf{fix} \ n
           show \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
              apply (induction \ n)
              apply (rule, rule, rule)
           proof -
              fix \sigma \sigma'
              assume \sigma \in \Sigma and \sigma' \in \Sigma and \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ \theta = card \ (\sigma - \sigma')
              then have is-singleton (\sigma - \sigma')
                 by (simp add: is-singleton-altdef)
              then have \{the\text{-}elem\ (\sigma-\sigma')\}\cup\sigma'\in\Sigma
                 using \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \ \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \sigma' )
\Sigma) \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \rangle
                          by (metis Un-commute \langle \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ \theta = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \rangle
is-singleton-the-elem singletonD)
              then show \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
                 by (metis Un-Diff-cancel2 (is-singleton (\sigma - \sigma')) is-singleton-the-elem)
           next
             show \bigwedge n. \ \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
                 apply (rule, rule, rule)
              proof -
                 fix n \sigma \sigma'
                 assume \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\in \Sigma and \sigma \in \Sigma and \sigma' \in \Sigma and \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc (Suc \ n) = card (\sigma - \sigma')
                have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \cup \{m\} \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - (\sigma' \cup \{m\}))
                    using \langle \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \rangle
                             by (metis Diff-eq-empty-iff Diff-insert Un-insert-right \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle
add-diff-cancel-left' card-0-eq card-Suc-Diff1 finite-Diff nat.simps(3) plus-1-eq-Suc
state-is-finite sup-bot.right-neutral)
                 have \exists m \in \sigma - \sigma' . \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma
                   \Sigma) \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \rangle \land \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc (Suc \ n) = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \rangle
```

subsetI)

```
by blast
                                             then have \exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \cup \{m\} \land Suc \ n = \sigma'
card (\sigma - (\sigma' \cup \{m\}))
                                                         using \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \cup \{m\} \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - (\sigma' \cup \sigma'))
\{m\})\rangle
                                                     by simp
                                              then show \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
                                                     using \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\in \Sigma
                                                                                by (smt Un-Diff-cancel Un-commute Un-insert-right \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle
insert-absorb2 mk-disjoint-insert sup-bot.right-neutral)
                                      qed
                              qed
                       qed
                       then show ?thesis
                                 by (meson \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \ \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma))
card-Suc-Diff1 finite-Diff state-is-finite)
               qed
               then show ?thesis
                       using False \langle \sigma 1 \in \Sigma \rangle \langle \sigma 2 \in \Sigma \rangle by blast
        qed
qed
lemma (in Protocol) union-of-finite-set-of-states-is-state :
        \forall \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma \text{. finite } \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma\text{-set} \in \Sigma
       apply auto
proof -
        have \forall n. \forall \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma. n = card \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow finite \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma\text{-set} \in \Sigma
               apply (rule)
        proof
               \mathbf{fix} \ n
               show \forall \sigma \text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma. n = card \ \sigma \text{-set} \longrightarrow finite \ \sigma \text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma \text{-set} \in \Sigma
                      apply (induction \ n)
                      apply (rule, rule, rule, rule)
                         apply (simp add: empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma)
                      apply (rule, rule, rule, rule)
               proof -
                       fix n \ \sigma-set
                         assume \forall \sigma \text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma. n = card \ \sigma \text{-set} \longrightarrow finite \ \sigma \text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma \text{-set} \in \Sigma and
\sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma and Suc n = card \ \sigma-set and finite \sigma-set
                       then have \forall \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}. \sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\} \subseteq \Sigma \land \bigcup (\sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\}) \in \Sigma
                                   using \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma \rangle \langle Suc \ n = card \ \sigma\text{-set} \rangle \langle \forall \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma. \ n = card \ \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow
finite \ \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma\text{-set} \in \Sigma
                              by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Suc-inject card.remove finite-Diff insert-Diff
insert-subset)
                    then have \forall \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\} \subseteq \Sigma \land \bigcup (\sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\}) \in \Sigma \land \bigcup (\sigma\text{-set}) \in \Sigma \land \bigcup (\sigma\text{-set})
-\{\sigma\}) \cup \sigma \in \Sigma
                              using union-of-two-states-is-state \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma \rangle by auto
```

```
then show \bigcup \sigma-set \in \Sigma
           by (metis Sup-bot-conv(1) Sup-insert Un-commute empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma
insert-Diff)
    qed
  ged
  then show \land \sigma-set. \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma \Longrightarrow finite \ \sigma-set \Longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma-set \in \Sigma
    by blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) state-differences-have-immediately-next-messages:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ is-future-state \ (\sigma, \sigma') \land \sigma \neq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma' - \sigma. \ immediately-next-message
(\sigma, m)
  \mathbf{using}\ strict\text{-}subset\text{-}of\text{-}state\text{-}have\text{-}immediately\text{-}next\text{-}messages
  by (simp add: psubsetI)
lemma non-empty-non-singleton-imps-two-elements:
  A \neq \emptyset \Longrightarrow \neg \text{ is-singleton } A \Longrightarrow \exists a1 \ a2. \ a1 \neq a2 \land \{a1, a2\} \subseteq A
  by (metis inf.orderI inf-bot-left insert-subset is-singletonI')
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) minimal-transition-implies-recieving-single-message:
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \longrightarrow is-singleton \ (\sigma'-\sigma)
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume \neg (\forall \sigma \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \longrightarrow is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma))
  then have \exists \sigma \sigma' . (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \land \neg is-singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)
  have \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \longrightarrow
                (\not\equiv \sigma''. \ \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-future-state}\ (\sigma, \sigma'') \land is\text{-future-state}\ (\sigma'', \sigma') \land \sigma
\neq \sigma'' \wedge \sigma'' \neq \sigma'
    by (simp add: minimal-transitions-def)
  have \forall \sigma \sigma' . (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)
     immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
    apply (rule, rule, rule)
  proof -
    fix \sigma \sigma'
    assume (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \land \neg is-singleton <math>(\sigma' - \sigma)
    then have \sigma' - \sigma \neq \emptyset
       apply (simp add: minimal-transitions-def)
       by blast
    have \sigma' \in \Sigma \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma')
       using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle
       by (simp add: minimal-transitions-def \Sigma t-def)
    then have \sigma' - \sigma \subseteq M
       using state-difference-is-valid-message by auto
     then have \exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1
\neq m2
       {\bf using}\ non-empty-non-singleton-imps-two-elements
```

```
\langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle \langle \sigma' - \sigma \neq \emptyset \rangle
                               by (metis (full-types) contra-subsetD insert-subset subsetI)
                       then show \exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1
\neq m2 \land immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
                               using state-differences-have-immediately-next-messages
                                     by (metis Diff-iff \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \wedge \sigma \in \Sigma \wedge is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma') \rangle insert-subset
 message-in-state-is-valid)
            ged
          have \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \land \neg is-singleton \ (\sigma' - \sigma) \longrightarrow
                                                                          (\exists \ \sigma''. \ \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-future-state} \ (\sigma, \sigma'') \land is\text{-future-state} \ (\sigma'', \sigma') \land \sigma
 \neq \sigma'' \land \sigma'' \neq \sigma'
                    apply (rule, rule, rule)
          proof -
                   fix \sigma \sigma'
                    assume (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \land \neg is-singleton <math>(\sigma' - \sigma)
                   then have \exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq \sigma'
m2 \wedge immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
                              using \forall \sigma \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)
                       \longrightarrow (\exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma
 immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1))
                               by simp
                    then obtain m1 m2 where \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m
 m1 \neq m2 \land immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
                              by auto
                   have \sigma \in \Sigma \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma
                               using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle
                               by (simp add: minimal-transitions-def \Sigma t-def)
                    then have \sigma \cup \{m1\} \in \Sigma
                                         using \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 
 immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
                                                               state-transition-by-immediately-next-message
                               by simp
                    have is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma \cup \{m1\}) \wedge is-future-state (\sigma \cup \{m1\}, \sigma')
                            using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq
 M \wedge m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \wedge m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \wedge m1 \neq m2 \wedge immediately-next-message (\sigma,
m1) minimal-transitions-def by auto
                   have \sigma \neq \sigma \cup \{m1\} \land \sigma \cup \{m1\} \neq \sigma'
                                      using \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma'
 immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1) by auto
                    then show \exists \sigma'' . \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma'') \land is-future-state (\sigma'', \sigma') \land is
\sigma \neq \sigma'' \land \sigma'' \neq \sigma'
                                using \langle \sigma \cup \{m1\} \in \Sigma \rangle (is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma \cup \{m1\}) \wedge is-future-state (\sigma \cup \{m1\}) \wedge is
 \{m1\}, \sigma'\rangle
                              by auto
            qed
            then show False
                  using \forall \sigma \ \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \longrightarrow (\nexists \sigma''. \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-}future\text{-}state)
 (\sigma, \sigma'') \land is-future-state (\sigma'', \sigma') \land \sigma \neq \sigma'' \land \sigma'' \neq \sigma'\lor (\forall \sigma \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in \sigma'
 minimal-transitions \longrightarrow is-singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)) by blast
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) minimal-transitions-reconstruction: \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \ \longrightarrow \ \sigma \cup \{the\text{-}elem \ (\sigma'-\ \sigma)\} = \sigma' apply (rule, rule, rule) \text{proof} - \text{fix } \ \sigma \ \sigma' assume (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions then have is-singleton (\sigma'-\ \sigma) using minimal-transitions-def minimal-transition-implies-recieving-single-message by auto then have \sigma \subseteq \sigma' using (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions) minimal-transitions-def by auto then show \sigma \cup \{the\text{-}elem \ (\sigma'-\ \sigma)\} = \sigma' by (metis Diff-partition (is-singleton (\sigma'-\ \sigma)) is-singleton-the-elem) qed
```

4 Safety Proof

theory ConsensusSafety

 ${\bf imports}\ {\it Main}\ {\it CBCCasper}\ {\it Message Justification}\ {\it StateTransition}\ {\it Libraries/LaTeX sugar}$

begin

```
definition (in Protocol) futures :: state \Rightarrow state set where

futures \sigma = \{\sigma' \in \Sigma t. \text{ is-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma')\}

lemma (in Protocol) monotonic-futures :

\forall \sigma' \sigma. \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
\rightarrow \sigma' \in \text{futures } \sigma \longleftrightarrow \text{futures } \sigma' \subseteq \text{futures } \sigma

apply (simp add: futures-def) by auto

theorem (in Protocol) two-party-common-futures :

\forall \sigma 1 \sigma 2. \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \land \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t
\rightarrow \text{is-faults-lt-threshold } (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)
\rightarrow \text{futures } \sigma 1 \cap \text{futures } \sigma 2 \neq \emptyset

apply (simp add: futures-def \Sigma t-def) using union-of-two-states-is-state by blast
```

```
theorem (in Protocol) n-party-common-futures:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-}set. \ \sigma\text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\[ \] \sigma-set)
  \longrightarrow \bigcap \{ \text{futures } \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \} \neq \emptyset
  apply (simp add: futures-def \Sigma t-def) using union-of-finite-set-of-states-is-state
  by blast
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) n-party-common-futures-exists:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\( \) \sigma-set)
  \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \sigma \in \bigcap \ \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\})
  apply (simp add: futures-def \Sigma t-def) using union-of-finite-set-of-states-is-state
  \mathbf{by} blast
definition (in Protocol) state-property-is-decided :: (state-property * state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
     state-property-is-decided = (\lambda(p, \sigma), (\forall \sigma' \in futures \sigma, p \sigma'))
lemma (in Protocol) forward-consistency:
  \forall \sigma' \sigma. \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow \sigma' \in \mathit{futures} \ \sigma
  \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma)
  \longrightarrow state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided\ (p, \sigma')
  apply (simp add: futures-def state-property-is-decided-def)
  by auto
fun state-property-not :: state-property <math>\Rightarrow state-property
  where
     state-property-not p = (\lambda \sigma. (\neg p \sigma))
lemma (in Protocol) backword-consistency:
  \forall \ \sigma' \ \sigma. \ \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow \sigma' \in \mathit{futures} \ \sigma
  \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma')
  \longrightarrow \neg state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (state\text{-}property\text{-}not p, \sigma)
  apply (simp add: futures-def state-property-is-decided-def)
  by auto
```

theorem (in Protocol) two-party-consensus-safety-for-state-property:

```
\forall \sigma 1 \sigma 2. \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)
  \longrightarrow \neg (state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided\ (p,\sigma 1) \land state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided\ (state\text{-}property\text{-}not
  apply (simp add: state-property-is-decided-def)
  using two-party-common-futures
  by (metis\ Int-emptyI)
definition (in Protocol) state-properties-are-inconsistent :: state-property set \Rightarrow
bool
  where
    state-properties-are-inconsistent p-set = (\forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \neg (\forall p \in p-set. p \sigma))
definition (in Protocol) state-properties-are-consistent :: state-property set \Rightarrow bool
  where
    state-properties-are-consistent p-set = (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in p-set. p \ \sigma)
definition (in Protocol) state-property-decisions :: state \Rightarrow state-property set
  where
    state-property-decisions \sigma = \{p. state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma)\}
theorem (in Protocol) n-party-safety-for-state-properties :
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  \longrightarrow state-properties-are-consistent (\bigcup \{ state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma-set \})
  apply rule+
proof-
  fix \sigma-set
  assume \sigma-set: \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t
  and finite \sigma-set
  and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
    using n-party-common-futures-exists by simp
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma \in futures \ s
    by blast
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ (\forall s \in \sigma \text{-set. } \sigma \in \text{futures } s) \land (\forall s \in \sigma \text{-set. } \sigma \in \text{futures } s \longrightarrow (\forall p.
state-property-is-decided (p,s) \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p,\sigma)))
    by (simp add: subset-eq state-property-is-decided-def futures-def)
 hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma-set. (\forall p. state-property-is-decided (p,s) \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided
(p,\sigma)
    by blast
 hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \forall s \in \sigma-set. (\forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided
(p,\sigma)
    by (simp add: state-property-decisions-def)
```

```
hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \forall \rho \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma-set\}. state-property-is-decided
(p,\sigma)
  proof-
   obtain \sigma where \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \forall s \in \sigma-set. (\forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided
(p,\sigma)
     using (\exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma\text{-set}. \ \forall p \in state\text{-property-decisions } s. state\text{-property-is-decided})
(p, \sigma) by blast
   have \forall p \in \bigcup \{state\text{-property-decisions } \sigma \mid \sigma, \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}. state\text{-property-is-decided}
(p,\sigma)
       using \forall s \in \sigma-set. \forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided (p, s)
\sigma) by fastforce
    thus ?thesis
       using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma t \rangle by blast
  qed
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall p \in \bigcup \{state\text{-property-decisions } \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}. \ \forall \sigma' \in futures
\sigma. p \sigma'
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ state-property-decisions-def\ futures-def\ state-property-is-decided-def)
 show state-properties-are-consistent ([] { state-property-decisions \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma-set})
    unfolding state-properties-are-consistent-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) \Sigma t-def \langle \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \forall p \in I \rangle (state-property-decisions
\sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \}. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma. \ p \ \sigma' \land mem-Collect-eq \ monotonic-futures \ order-refl)
qed
definition (in Protocol) naturally-corresponding-state-property :: consensus-value-property
\Rightarrow state-property
  where
     naturally-corresponding-state-property q = (\lambda \sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ q \ c)
definition (in Protocol) consensus-value-properties-are-consistent :: consensus-value-property
set \Rightarrow bool
  where
     consensus-value-properties-are-consistent q-set = (\exists c \in C. \forall q \in q-set. qc)
lemma (in Protocol) naturally-corresponding-consistency:
  \forall q-set. state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q
\mid q. \ q \in q\text{-}set\}
  \longrightarrow consensus-value-properties-are-consistent\ q-set
  apply (rule, rule)
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ q\text{-}set
  have
     state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q. q
\in q\text{-}set
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in \{\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c \mid q. \ q \in q\text{-set}\}. \ p \ \sigma)
```

```
by (simp add: naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-properties-are-consistent-def)
   moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in \{\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c \mid q. \ q \in q\text{-set}\}. \ p \ \sigma)
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set.} \ (\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q' \ c) \ \sigma)
     by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) mem-Collect-eq)
   moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set.} \ (\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c) \ \sigma)
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set}. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ q' \ c)
     by blast
   moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set.} \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ q \ c)
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set. } q' \ c)
     by blast
  moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set. } q \ c)
        \rightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set. } q' \ c)
     by (meson all-not-in-conv estimates-are-non-empty)
  moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set. } q \ c)
     \longrightarrow (\exists c \in C. \forall q' \in q\text{-set. } q'c)
     using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by fastforce
   ultimately show
     state-properties-are-consistent { naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q. q \in
q-set\}
     \implies consensus-value-properties-are-consistent q-set
     by (simp add: consensus-value-properties-are-consistent-def)
qed
definition (in Protocol) consensus-value-property-is-decided :: (consensus-value-property
* state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
     consensus\mbox{-}value\mbox{-}property\mbox{-}is\mbox{-}decided
       = (\lambda(q, \sigma). state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property q,
\sigma))
definition (in Protocol) consensus-value-property-decisions :: state \Rightarrow consensus-value-property
set
   where
     consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma = \{q. consensus-value-property-is-decided\}
(q, \sigma)
{\bf theorem} \ ({\bf in} \ Protocol) \ \textit{n-party-safety-for-consensus-value-properties} :
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  \longrightarrow consensus-value-properties-are-consistent ([] { consensus-value-property-decisions}
```

```
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
   apply (rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
    fix \sigma-set
    assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t
    and finite \sigma-set
   and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
     hence state-properties-are-consistent ([] {state-property-decisions \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in
\sigma-set\})
        using \langle \sigma \text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle n-party-safety-for-state-properties by auto
    hence state-properties-are-consistent \{p \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma.\ \sigma\}\}
\{ \in \sigma\text{-set} \}. \exists q. p = naturally\text{-corresponding-state-property } q \}
     unfolding naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-properties-are-consistent-def
       apply (simp)
       by meson
    hence state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q |
q. naturally-corresponding-state-property q \in \bigcup {state-property-decisions \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma
\in \sigma\text{-set}\}
       by (smt Collect-conq)
  {\bf hence}\ consensus-value-properties-are-consistent\ \{q.\ naturally-corresponding-state-property
q \in \bigcup \{ state\text{-property-decisions } \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \} \}
        using naturally-corresponding-consistency
    proof -
       show ?thesis
        by (metis (no-types) Setcompr-eq-image \forall q-set. state-properties-are-consistent
\{naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property\ q\ |\ q.\ q\in q\text{-}set\}\longrightarrow consensus\text{-}value\text{-}properties\text{-}are\text{-}consistent}
q-set\rangle \langle state-properties-are-consistent \{ naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q.
naturally-corresponding-state-property q \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma. \ \sigma. \ \sigma. \}\}\}
\sigma-set\}\rangle setcompr-eq-image)
    \mathbf{qed}
  hence consensus-value-properties-are-consistent (\bigcup) { consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
     apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
state-property-decisions-def consensus-value-properties-are-consistent-def)
       by (metis mem-Collect-eq)
      consensus-value-properties-are-consistent (\) { consensus-value-property-decisions}
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
       by simp
qed
fun consensus-value-property-not :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow consensus-value-property
        consensus-value-property-not p = (\lambda c. (\neg p c))
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{negation-is-not-decided-by-other-validator} :
    \forall \ \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
    \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
    \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\) \sigma-set)
```

```
\longrightarrow (\forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ p. \ \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land p \in consensus\text{-value-property-decisions} \ \sigma
                        \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-not p \notin consensus-value-property-decisions
   apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
    fix \sigma-set \sigma \sigma' p
   assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set) and \{\sigma, \sigma\}
\sigma' \subseteq \sigma-set \land p \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
    hence \exists \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
        using n-party-common-futures-exists by meson
    then obtain \sigma'' where \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\ by auto
    hence state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property p, \sigma'')
     using (\{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land p \in consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}decisions\ \sigma) consensus-value-property-decisions-def
consensus\mbox{-}value\mbox{-}property\mbox{-}is\mbox{-}decided\mbox{-}def
       using \langle \sigma \text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle forward-consistency by fastforce
    have \sigma'' \in futures \ \sigma'
       using \langle \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{ \text{futures } \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \} \rangle \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \wedge p \in \sigma \}
consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
       by auto
  \mathbf{hence} \neg state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (state\text{-}property\text{-}not (naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property
p), \sigma'
        using backword-consistency (state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property
p, \sigma''
           using \langle \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'' \in \bigcap \text{-}Collect (futures \ \sigma) \ (\sigma \in \sigma \text{-}set) \rangle \ \langle \sigma \text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \bigcap \text{-}Collect \in \sigma \} \rangle
\sigma' \subseteq \sigma-set \land p \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma \bowtie by auto
   then show consensus-value-property-not p \notin consensus-value-property-decisions
     {\bf apply} \ (simp \ add: \ consensus-value-property-decisions-def \ consensus-value-property-is-decided-def \ apply \ (simp \ add: \ consensus-value-property-decisions-def \ consensus-value-property-is-decided-def \ apply \ (simp \ add: \ consensus-value-property-decisions-def \ consensus-value-property-is-decided-def \ apply \ (simp \ add: \ consensus-value-property-is-decided-def \ add: \ consensus-value-property-is-decided-def \ apply \ (simp \ add: \ consensus-value-property-is-decided-def \ add: \ consensus-value-property-is-decided-def \ apply \ (simp \ add: \ consensus-value-property-is-decided-def \ add: \ consensus-value-property-is-decided-def \ add: \ consensus-value-property-is-decided-def \ add: \ consensus-value-property
naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-property-is-decided-def)
       using \Sigma t-def estimates-are-non-empty futures-def by fastforce
qed
lemma (in Protocol) n-party-consensus-safety:
    \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
    \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
    \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold ( \bigcup \sigma-set)
    \longrightarrow (\forall p \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}.
                   (\lambda c. (\neg p \ c)) \notin \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\})
    apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
    fix \sigma-set p
    assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set) and p
\in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
    and (\lambda c. (\neg p \ c)) \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
    hence \exists \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\
        using n-party-common-futures-exists by meson
    then obtain \sigma'' where \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\ by auto
```

```
hence state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property p, \sigma'')
   using \langle p \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\} \rangle consensus-value-property-decisions-de
consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
    using \langle \sigma \text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle forward-consistency by fastforce
  have state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property (\lambda c. (\neg p)
c)), \sigma'')
     using \langle (\lambda c. \ (\neg \ p \ c)) \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in a
\sigma-set\}\rangle consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
    using \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle forward-consistency \langle \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{\text{futures } \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \}
\in \sigma-set\} by fastforce
  then show False
    using \langle state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property p, <math>\sigma'' \rangle \rangle
   apply (simp add: state-property-is-decided-def naturally-corresponding-state-property-def)
     by (meson \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \lor \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma'' \in \bigcap-Collect (futures \sigma) (\sigma \in \bigcap
\sigma-set) estimates-are-non-empty monotonic-futures order-refl subsetCE)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) two-party-consensus-safety-for-consensus-value-property:
  \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \ \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \land \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)
  \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-is-decided (p, \sigma 1)
  \rightarrow \neg consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not p, \sigma2)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2
  have two-party: \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t
           \rightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\})
         \longrightarrow p \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 1
               \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-not p \notin consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma 2
    using negation-is-not-decided-by-other-validator
    by (meson finite.emptyI finite.insertI order-refl)
 assume \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \land \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t and is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) and consensus-value-property-is-decided
(p, \sigma 1)
  then show \neg consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not
p, \sigma 2
    using two-party
    apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
    by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) n-party-consensus-safety-for-power-set-of-decisions :
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \ \sigma\text{-set}
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\) \sigma-set)
    \rightarrow (\forall \sigma p\text{-set. } \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \land p\text{-set} \in Pow (\bigcup \{consensus\text{-value-property-decisions}\}
\sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}) - \{\emptyset\}
```

```
\longrightarrow (\lambda c. \neg (\forall p \in p\text{-set. } p c)) \notin consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}decisions } \sigma)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma-set \sigma p-set
  assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (| | \sigma-set)
  and \sigma \in \sigma-set \land p-set \in Pow (\bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma' \mid \sigma' . \sigma'
\in \sigma-set\}) - \{\emptyset\}
  and (\lambda c. \neg (\forall p \in p\text{-set. } p c)) \in consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}decisions } \sigma
  hence \exists \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\
     using n-party-common-futures-exists by meson
  then obtain \sigma' where \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\ by auto
 hence \forall p \in p\text{-set}. \exists \sigma'' \in \sigma\text{-set}. state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property
p, \sigma''
     using \langle \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \land p\text{-set} \in Pow ([] \{ consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma' | \} \}
\sigma'. \sigma' \in \sigma-set\}) – \{\emptyset\}
   apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def)
    bv blast
  have \forall \ \sigma'' \in \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma' \in \text{futures} \ \sigma''
    using \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \bigcap \text{-}Collect (futures \ \sigma) \ (\sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set) \rangle by blast
 hence \forall p \in p-set. state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property
    using forward-consistency \forall p \in p\text{-set.} \exists \sigma'' \in \sigma\text{-set.} state-property-is-decided
(naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property\ p,\ \sigma'')
     by (meson \ \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \bigcap \text{-}Collect \ (futures \ \sigma) \ (\sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set) \rangle \ \langle \sigma\text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle
subsetCE)
  hence state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property (\lambda c. \forall p
\in p\text{-set. }p\ c),\ \sigma'
   apply (simp add: naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-property-is-decided-def)
    by auto
  then show False
    using \langle (\lambda c. \neg (\forall p \in p\text{-set. } p c)) \in consensus \text{-value-property-decisions } \sigma \rangle
   apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-property-is-decided-def)
   using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \land \sigma \in \sigma-set \land p-set \in Pow (\bigcup -Collect (consensus-value-property-decisions))
\sigma') (\sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set})) -\{\emptyset\} (\sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \bigcap \text{-}Collect (futures } \sigma) (\sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set))
estimates-are-non-empty monotonic-futures by fastforce
qed
end
theory SafetyOracle
imports Main CBCCasper LatestMessage StateTransition
begin
```

```
state\ set
  where
    latest-justifications-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma v =
      \{justification \ m \mid m. \ m \in latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \}
v
lemma (in Protocol) latest-justifications-from-non-equivocating-validators-type:
 \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-justifications-from-non-equivocating-validators
\sigma \ v \subseteq \Sigma
  using M-type latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-type by auto
fun agreeing-validators :: (consensus-value-property * state) <math>\Rightarrow validator set
    agreeing-validators (p, \sigma) = \{v \in observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma. \forall c \}
\in latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \ v. \ p \ c \}
lemma (in Protocol) agreeing-validators-type:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ agreeing\text{-}validators \ (p, \sigma) \subseteq V
 apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
  using observed-type-for-state by auto
fun disagreeing-validators :: (consensus-value-property * state) <math>\Rightarrow validator set
    disagreeing-validators (p, \sigma) = \{v \in observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma. \exists
c \in latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma \ v. \neg p \ c
lemma (in Protocol) disagreeing-validators-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ disagreeing-validators \ (p, \sigma) \subseteq V
  apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
  \mathbf{using}\ observed\text{-}type\text{-}for\text{-}state\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
definition (in Params) weight-measure :: validator set \Rightarrow real
  where
```

fun latest-justifications-from-non-equivocating-validators :: $state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow$

```
weight-measure\ v-set\ =\ sum\ W\ v-set
```

```
fun (in Params) is-majority :: (validator set * state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
   is-majority (v-set, \sigma) = (weight-measure v-set > (weight-measure V - weight-measure
(equivocating-validators \sigma)) div 2)
definition (in Protocol) is-majority-driven :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow bool
  where
   is-majority-driven p = (\forall \sigma c. \sigma \in \Sigma \land c \in C \land is\text{-majority} (agreeing-validators))
(p, \sigma), \sigma) \longrightarrow (\forall c \in \varepsilon \sigma. p c)
definition (in Protocol) is-max-driven :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow bool
  where
    is-max-driven p =
        (\forall \sigma \ c. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land c \in C \land weight\text{-}measure \ (agreeing\text{-}validators \ (p, \sigma)) >
weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) \longrightarrow c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma \land p \ c)
\textbf{fun } \textit{later-disagreeing-messages} :: (\textit{consensus-value-property} * \textit{message} * \textit{validator}
* state) \Rightarrow message set
  where
    later-disagreeing-messages\ (p,\ m,\ v,\ \sigma)=\{m'\in later-from\ (m,\ v,\ \sigma).\ \neg\ p\ (est
m')
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) later-disagreeing-messages-type:
  \forall p \sigma v m. \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land m \in M \longrightarrow later-disagreeing-messages (p, m, v, v)
\sigma) \subseteq M
  using later-from-type-for-state by auto
```

```
fun is-clique :: (validator set * consensus-value-property * state) \Rightarrow bool where is-clique (v-set, p, \sigma) = (\forall v \in v\text{-set. } v\text{-set} \subseteq agreeing-validators (p, the-elem (latest-justifications-from-non-equivocating-validators <math>\sigma v))
```

 \wedge (\forall $v' \in v$ -set. later-disagreeing-messages (p, the-elem (latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators (the-elem (latest-justifications-from-non-equivocating-validators σ v)) v'), v', σ) = \emptyset))

```
lemma (in Protocol) later-from-not-affected-by-minimal-transitions:
  \forall \sigma \sigma' m m' v. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow v \in V - \{sender m'\}
  \longrightarrow later-from (m, v, \sigma) = later-from (m, v, \sigma')
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof-
  fix \sigma \sigma' m m' v
  assume (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions
  assume m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  assume v \in V - \{sender m'\}
  have later-from (m, v, \sigma) = \{m'' \in \sigma \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{ justified } m \text{ } m''\}
    apply (simp add: later-from-def from-sender-def later-def)
    by auto
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma . \text{ sender } m'' = v \land \text{ justified } m \text{ } m''\} \cup \emptyset
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{justified } m \ m''\} \cup \{m'' \in \{m'\}\}.
sender m'' = v
  proof-
    have \{m'' \in \{m'\}. \text{ sender } m'' = v\} = \emptyset
      using \langle v \in V - \{sender \ m'\} \rangle by auto
    thus ?thesis
      by blast
  ged
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{justified } m \ m''\} \cup \{m'' \in \{m'\}\}.
sender m'' = v \land justified \ m \ m''
  proof-
    have sender m' = v \implies justified \ m \ m'
      using \langle v \in V - \{sender \ m'\} \rangle by auto
    thus ?thesis
      by blast
  qed
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma \cup \{m'\}\. sender m'' = v \land justified m m''\}
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma' \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{ justified } m \text{ } m''\}
  proof -
    have \sigma' = \sigma \cup \{m'\}
    using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \rangle \langle m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle minimal\text{-}transitions\text{-}reconstruction
    then show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
  then have \dots = later-from (m, v, \sigma')
    apply (simp add: later-from-def from-sender-def later-def)
    by auto
```

```
then show later-from (m, v, \sigma) = later-from (m, v, \sigma')
   using \{m'' \in \sigma \cup \{m'\}\}. sender m'' = v \land justified\ m\ m''\} = \{m'' \in \sigma'.\ sender\ m'' \in \sigma'\}.
m'' = v \land justified \ m \ m'' \} \land calculation \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
qed
fun (in Params) gt-threshold :: (validator set * state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    gt-threshold (v-set, \sigma)
     = (weight\text{-}measure\ v\text{-}set)\ div\ 2+t\ -\ weight\text{-}measure}
(equivocating-validators \sigma))
fun (in Params) is-clique-oracle :: (validator set * state * consensus-value-property)
\Rightarrow bool
 where
    is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma, p)
       = (is-clique (v-set - (equivocating-validators \sigma), p, \sigma) \wedge gt-threshold (v-set
- (equivocating-validators \sigma), \sigma))
\mathbf{end}
theory TFGCasper
\mathbf{imports}\ \mathit{Main}\ \mathit{HOL}. \mathit{Real}\ \mathit{CBCCasper}\ \mathit{LatestMessage}\ \mathit{SafetyOracle}\ \mathit{ConsensusSafety}
begin
type-synonym block = consensus-value
locale BlockchainParams = Params +
 fixes B :: block set
 fixes genesis :: block
 and prev :: block \Rightarrow block
fun (in BlockchainParams) n-cestor :: block * nat \Rightarrow block
  where
    n-cestor (b, \theta) = b
  | n\text{-}cestor (b, n) = n\text{-}cestor (prev b, n-1)
```

```
definition (in BlockchainParams) blockchain-membership :: <math>block \Rightarrow block \Rightarrow bool
(infixl \mid 70)
      where
            b1 \mid b2 = (\exists n. n \in \mathbb{N} \land b1 = n\text{-}cestor (b2, n))
notation (ASCII)
       comp (infixl blockchain-membership 70)
definition (in BlockchainParams) score :: state <math>\Rightarrow block \Rightarrow real
       where
        score \sigma b = sum W {v \in observed \ \sigma. \exists \ b' \in B. \ b' \in (latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators)
\sigma v) \wedge (b \mid b')
definition (in BlockchainParams) children :: block * state \Rightarrow block set
            children = (\lambda(b, \sigma), \{b' \in est '\sigma, b = prev b'\})
definition (in BlockchainParams) best-children :: block * state <math>\Rightarrow block set
       where
             best-children = (\lambda (b, \sigma), \{arg\text{-max-on (score } \sigma) (children (b, \sigma))\})
function (in BlockchainParams) GHOST :: (block set * state) => block set
       where
              GHOST\ (b\text{-}set,\ \sigma) =
                   (\bigcup b \in \{b \in b\text{-set. children } (b, \sigma) \neq \emptyset\}. GHOST (best-children <math>(b, \sigma), \sigma))
                     \cup \{b \in b\text{-set. children } (b, \sigma) = \emptyset\}
      by auto
definition (in BlockchainParams) GHOST-estimator :: state \Rightarrow block set
              GHOST-estimator \sigma = GHOST (\{genesis\}, \sigma) \cup (\bigcup b \in GHOST (\{genesis\}, \sigma)
\sigma). children (b, \sigma))
abbreviation (in BlockchainParams) P :: consensus-value-property set
              P \equiv \{p. \exists ! b \in B. \forall b' \in B. (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' \rightarrow p \ b' = True) \land \neg (b \mid b' \longrightarrow p \ b' \rightarrow p
False)
locale Blockchain = BlockchainParams + Protocol +
      (b' \downarrow b'' \lor b'' \downarrow b')
```

```
and block-is-consensus-value : B = C
definition (in BlockchainParams) block-membership-property :: <math>block \Rightarrow consensus-value-property
  where
    block-membership-property b = (\lambda b', b \mid b')
definition (in BlockchainParams) block-conflicting :: (block * block) <math>\Rightarrow bool
  where
     block-conflicting = (\lambda(b1, b2). \neg (b1 \mid b2 \lor b2 \mid b1))
lemma (in Blockchain) conflicting-blocks-imps-conflicting-decision:
  \forall b1 \ b2 \ \sigma. \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land \sigma \in \Sigma
     \longrightarrow block\text{-}conflicting (b1, b2)
    \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-is-decided (block-membership-property b1, \sigma)
   \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not (block-membership-property
b2), \sigma)
 apply (simp add: block-membership-property-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
           naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-property-is-decided-def)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix b1 b2 \sigma
 assume b1 \in B \land b2 \in B \land \sigma \in \Sigma and block-conflicting (b1, b2) and \forall \sigma \in futures
\sigma. \forall b' \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. b1 \mid b'
  show \forall \sigma \in futures \sigma. \forall c \in \varepsilon \sigma. \neg b2 \mid c
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume \neg (\forall \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \forall c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \neg \ b2 \mid c)
    hence \exists \ \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ b2 \mid c
       bv blast
    hence \exists \ \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ b2 \ | \ c \land b1 \ | \ c
       using \forall \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \forall \ b' \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ b1 \mid b' \rangle \ by simp
    hence b1 \mid b2 \lor b2 \mid b1
       using blockchain-type
       apply (simp)
      using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \langle b1 \in B \land b2 \in B \land \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle block-is-consensus-value
estimates-are-subset-of-C futures-def by blast
    then show False
       using \langle block\text{-}conflicting\ (b1,\ b2) \rangle
       by (simp add: block-conflicting-def)
  qed
qed
theorem (in Blockchain) blockchain-safety:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
   \longrightarrow (\forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ b1 \ b2. \ \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land block\text{-conflicting} \ (b1, b2)
\land block-membership-property b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
        \rightarrow block-membership-property b2 \notin consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma')
```

apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)

```
proof -
    fix \sigma-set \sigma \sigma' b1 b2
     assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
    and \{\sigma, \sigma'\}\subseteq \sigma-set \land \{b1, b2\}\subseteq B \land block-conflicting (b1, b2) \land block-membership-property
b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
     and block-membership-property b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma'
    \mathbf{hence} \neg consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (consensus-value-property-not (block-membership-property)
b1), \sigma'
             using negation-is-not-decided-by-other-validator \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle (finite \sigma\text{-set})
\langle is-faults-lt-threshold\ (\bigcup \sigma-set) \rangle apply (simp\ add:\ consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
               using \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land block\text{-conflicting } (b1, b2) \land block\text{-conflictin
block-membership-property b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma \triangleright by auto
     have \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land block\text{-conflicting } (b1, b2)
            using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \langle \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma-set \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land \sigma
block-conflicting (b1, b2) \land block-membership-property b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma bv auto
    b1), \sigma'
         using \langle block-membership-property b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma' \rangle
conflicting-blocks-imps-conflicting-decision
         apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
        by (metis \ \langle \sigma \text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \ \langle finite \ \sigma \text{-}set \rangle \ \langle is\text{-}faults\text{-}lt\text{-}threshold} \ (\bigcup \sigma \text{-}set) \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma \}
\sigma-set \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land block-conflicting (b1, b2) \land block-membership-property b1
\in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma conflicting-blocks-imps-conflicting-decision
consensus-value-property-decisions-def insert-subset mem-Collect-eq negation-is-not-decided-by-other-validator
     then show False
             using \leftarrow consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not
(block-membership-property b1), \sigma') by blast
 qed
theorem (in Blockchain) no-decision-on-conflicting-blocks:
   \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t
    \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)
    \longrightarrow (\forall b1 \ b2. \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land block\text{-conflicting } (b1, b2)
             \rightarrow block-membership-property b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 1
            \longrightarrow block-membership-property b2 \notin consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2)
   apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
    fix \sigma 1 \sigma 2 b1 b2
   assume \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t and is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) and \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C
\land block-conflicting (b1, b2)
   and block-membership-property b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma1
    and block-membership-property b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2
   hence consensus-value-property-is-decided (block-membership-property b1, \sigma1)
       by (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
  \mathbf{hence} \neg consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}not (block\text{-}membership\text{-}property)}
```

```
b1), \sigma2)
   \textbf{using} \ two-party-consensus-safety-for-consensus-value-property \ (is-faults-lt-threshold)
(\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) \vee (\{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t) by blast
 have block-membership-property b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2
    using \langle block-membership-property b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2 \rangle
    by (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
  have \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t \land \{b2, b1\} \subseteq B \land block\text{-conflicting } (b2, b1)
  using block-is-consensus-value (\{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t) (\{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land block-conflicting)
(b1, b2) by (simp \ add: block-conflicting-def)
 hence consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not (block-membership-property
b1), \sigma2)
     \textbf{using} \quad conflicting-blocks-imps-conflicting-decision \  \  \, (block-membership-property)
b\mathcal{2} \in \mathit{consensus-value-property-decisions} \ \sigma\mathcal{2} \rangle
    using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma consensus-value-property-decisions-def by auto
  then show False
       \mathbf{using} \  \, \lnot \  \, \textit{consensus-value-property-is-decided} \  \, (\textit{consensus-value-property-not}
(block-membership-property b1), \sigma 2) by blast
 qed
locale Ghost = BlockchainParams + Protocol +
  assumes block-type: \forall b. b \in B \longleftrightarrow prev b \in B
  and block-is-consensus-value : B = C
  and ghost-is-estimator : \varepsilon = GHOST-estimator
 and genesis-type : genesis \in C
lemma (in Ghost) children-type:
  \forall b \sigma. b \in B \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow children (b, \sigma) \subseteq B
 apply (simp add: children-def)
  using Ghost-axioms Ghost-axioms-def Ghost-def by auto
lemma  argmax-type :
  S \subseteq A \Longrightarrow arg\text{-}max\text{-}on \ f \ S \in A
  apply (simp add: arg-max-on-def arg-max-def is-arg-max-def)
  oops
lemma (in Ghost) best-children-type:
  \forall b \sigma. b \in B \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow best-children (b, \sigma) \subseteq B
  apply (simp add: best-children-def arg-max-on-def arg-max-def is-arg-max-def)
  \mathbf{using}\ children-type
  apply auto
  oops
lemma (in Ghost) GHSOT-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ b\text{-set.} \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land b\text{-set} \subseteq B \longrightarrow GHOST(b\text{-set}, \sigma) \subseteq B
  oops
```

```
lemma (in BlockchainParams) GHOST-is-valid-estimator:

(∀ b. b ∈ B ←→ prev b ∈ B) ∧ B = C ∧ genesis ∈ C

⇒ is-valid-estimator GHOST-estimator

apply (simp add: is-valid-estimator-def BlockchainParams.GHOST-estimator-def)

oops

lemma (in Ghost) block-membership-property-is-majority-driven:

∀ p ∈ P. is-majority-driven p

apply (simp add: is-majority-driven-def)

oops

lemma (in Ghost) block-membership-property-is-max-driven:

∀ p ∈ P. is-max-driven p

apply (simp add: is-max-driven-def)

oops

end
```