Minimal CBC Casper Isabelle/HOL proofs

LayerX

August 2, 2019

5

15

18

34

Contents

1 CBC Casper
2 Message Justification
3 Latest Message
4 Safety Proof
theory Strict-Order
imports Main
begin
notation $Set.empty$ (\emptyset)
definition strict-partial-order $r \equiv trans \ r \land irrefl \ r$
definition strict-well-order-on A $r \equiv$ strict-linear-order-on A $r \land wf$ r
lemma strict-linear-order-is-strict-partial-order: strict-linear-order-on $A \ r \Longrightarrow strict$ -partial-order r by (simp add: strict-linear-order-on-def strict-partial-order-def)
definition upper-bound-on :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where upper-bound-on $A \ r \ x = (\forall \ y. \ y \in A \longrightarrow (y, \ x) \in r \lor x = y)$
definition $maximum\text{-}on :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ where

```
maximum-on A \ r \ x = (x \in A \land upper-bound-on A \ r \ x)
definition minimal-on :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
    minimal-on A \ r \ x = (x \in A \land (\forall \ y. \ (y, \ x) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A))
definition maximal-on :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
     maximal-on A \ r \ x = (x \in A \land (\forall y. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A))
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{maximal-and-maximum-coincide-for-strict-linear-order}\ :
  strict-linear-order-on A \ r \Longrightarrow maximal-on A \ r \ x = maximum-on A \ r \ x
 apply (simp add: strict-linear-order-on-def irreft-def total-on-def trans-def maximal-on-def
maximum-on-def upper-bound-on-def)
  by blast
lemma strict-partial-order-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-maximal:
  strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow finite A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists x. maximal-on A r x)
  have \bigwedge n. strict-partial-order r \Longrightarrow (\forall A. Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \ne A
\emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists x. maximal-on A r x))
  proof -
    assume strict-partial-order r
    then have (\forall a. (a, a) \notin r)
       by (simp add: strict-partial-order-def irrefl-def)
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    show \forall A. Suc n = card\ A \longrightarrow finite\ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists\ x.\ maximal-on\ A\ r
x)
       apply (induction \ n)
       unfolding maximal-on-def
       using \langle (\forall a. (a, a) \notin r) \rangle
       apply (metis card-eq-SucD empty-iff insert-iff)
    proof -
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
      assume \forall A. Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists x. \ x \in A \land (\forall y. A))
(x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A)
       have \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ A' \ b. \ B =
A' \cup \{b\} \land card A' = Suc \ n \land b \notin A'
         by (metis Un-commute add-diff-cancel-left' card-gt-0-iff card-insert-disjoint
card-le-Suc-iff insert-is-Un not-le not-less-eq-eq plus-1-eq-Suc)
       then have \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ A' \ b.
B = A' \cup \{b\} \land card \ A' = Suc \ n \land finite \ A' \land A' \neq \emptyset \land b \notin A'\}
         by (metis card-qt-0-iff zero-less-Suc)
       then have \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset
            \longrightarrow (\exists A' b x. B = A' \cup \{b\} \land b \notin A' \land x \in A' \land (\forall y. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y)
\notin A'))
         using \forall A. Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x. \ x \in A \land (\forall \ y.
(x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A)\rangle
         by metis
```

```
\in B \land (\forall y. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin B))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-insert-right \forall a. (a, a) \notin r \land strict-partial-order
r> insertE insert-iff strict-partial-order-def sup-bot.right-neutral transE)
    ged
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis card.insert-remove finite.cases)
qed
{f lemma}\ strict	ext{-}partial	ext{-}order	ext{-}has	ext{-}at	ext{-}most	ext{-}one	ext{-}maximum:
  strict-partial-order r
  \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset
  \longrightarrow is-singleton \{x. maximum\text{-on } A \ r \ x\}
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume \neg (strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum \text{-on } A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow is\text{-singleton}
\{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\})
 then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow \neg \ is\text{-singleton}
\{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\}
    by simp
  then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x1 \ x2.
x1 \neq x2 \land \{x1, x2\} \subseteq \{x. maximum-on A r x\})
    by (meson empty-subset I insert-subset is-singleton I')
  then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \text{ maximum-on } A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x1 \ x2.
x1 \neq x2 \land \{x1, x2\} \subseteq \{x \in A. \ \forall \ y. \ y \in A \longrightarrow (y, x) \in r \lor x = y\}
    by (simp add: maximum-on-def upper-bound-on-def)
  then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x1 \ x2.
x1 \neq x2 \land \{x1, x2\} \subseteq A \land (\forall y. y \in A \longrightarrow (y, x1) \in r \lor x1 = y) \land (\forall y. y \in A)
A \longrightarrow (y, x2) \in r \lor x2 = y)
    by auto
  then show False
    using strict-partial-order-def
      by (metis \neg (strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum \text{-on} \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow
is-singleton \{x. maximum-on A r x\}) insert-subset irrefl-def transE
qed
{\bf lemma}\ strict\mbox{-}linear\mbox{-}order\mbox{-}on\mbox{-}finite\mbox{-}non\mbox{-}empty\mbox{-}set\mbox{-}has\mbox{-}one\mbox{-}maximum\ :
 strict-linear-order-on A \ r \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow is-singleton \{x.\ maximum-on
 \textbf{using} \ strict-linear-order-is-strict-partial-order \ strict-partial-order-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-maximal
      strict-partial-order-has-at-most-one-maximum maximal-and-maximum-coincide-for-strict-linear-order
```

then show $\forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x. \ x$

by fastforce

```
definition upper-bound-on-non-strict :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
  where
     upper-bound-on-non-strict A \ r \ x = (\forall \ y. \ y \in A \longrightarrow (y, \ x) \in r)
definition maximum-on-non-strict :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
     maximum-on-non-strict A \ r \ x = (x \in A \land upper-bound-on-non-strict \ A \ r \ x)
definition maximal-on-non-strict :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
     maximal-on-non-strict A \ r \ x = (x \in A \land (\forall y. y \in A \longrightarrow (y, x) \in r \lor (x, y))
\notin r))
{\bf lemma}\ preorder-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-maximal:
  preorder-on A \ r \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x. \ maximal-on-non-strict \ A \ r \ x)
proof -
  have \bigwedge n. preorder-on A \ r \Longrightarrow (\forall A. Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset
\longrightarrow (\exists x. maximal-on-non-strict A r x))
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    assume preorder-on A r
   show \forall A. Suc n = card A \longrightarrow finite A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists x. maximal-on-non-strict)
A r x
       apply (induction n)
       unfolding maximal-on-non-strict-def
        apply (metis card-eq-SucD singletonD singletonI)
    proof -
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
      assume \forall A. Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists x. \ x \in A \land (\forall y. A))
y \in A \longrightarrow (y, x) \in r \lor (x, y) \notin r)
       have \forall B. Suc (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ A' \ b. \ B = b)
A' \cup \{b\} \land card A' = Suc \ n \land b \notin A'
          by (metis Un-commute add-diff-cancel-left' card-qt-0-iff card-insert-disjoint
card-le-Suc-iff insert-is-Un not-le not-less-eq-eq plus-1-eq-Suc)
       then have \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset
             \longrightarrow (\exists A' b. B = A' \cup \{b\} \land card A' = Suc \ n \land finite A' \land A' \neq \emptyset \land b
\notin A'
          by (metis card-gt-0-iff zero-less-Suc)
       then have \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset
             \longrightarrow (\exists A' b x. B = A' \cup \{b\} \land b \notin A' \land x \in A' \land (\forall y. y \in A' \longrightarrow (y, y \in A')))
(x) \in r \lor (x, y) \notin r)
         using \forall A. Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x. \ x \in A \land (\forall \ y.
y \in A \longrightarrow (y, x) \in r \lor (x, y) \notin r)
         by metis
        then show \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x. \ x
\in B \land (\forall y. \ y \in B \longrightarrow (y, x) \in r \lor (x, y) \notin r))
```

```
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-insert-right (preorder-on A r) insertE
insert\text{-}iff\ preorder\text{-}on\text{-}def\ sup\text{-}bot.right\text{-}neutral\ trans}E)
          qed
     qed
     then show ?thesis
           by (metis card.insert-remove finite.cases)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ connex\hbox{-}preorder\hbox{-}on\hbox{-}finite\hbox{-}non\hbox{-}empty\hbox{-}set\hbox{-}has\hbox{-}maximum\ :
  preorder-on\ A\ r \land total-on\ A\ r \longrightarrow finite\ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists\ x.\ maximum-on-non-strict
  \mathbf{apply} \ (simp \ add: \ total-on-def \ maximum-on-non-strict-def \ upper-bound-on-non-strict-def \ upper-bound-on-non-stri
maximal-on-non-strict-def)
  by (metis maximal-on-non-strict-def order-on-defs(1) preorder-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-maximal
refl-onD)
end
                  CBC Casper
1
theory CBCCasper
\mathbf{imports}\ \mathit{Main}\ \mathit{HOL}. \mathit{Real}\ \mathit{Libraries}/\mathit{Strict}\text{-}\mathit{Order}\ \mathit{Libraries}/\mathit{Restricted}\text{-}\mathit{Predicates}\ \mathit{Li-Predicates}\ \mathit{Libraries}/\mathit{Restricted}
braries/LaTeXsugar
begin
notation Set.empty (\emptyset)
{\bf typedecl}\ validator
typedecl consensus-value
datatype message =
      Message\ consensus-value\ *\ validator\ *\ message\ list
type-synonym state = message set
```

```
fun sender :: message \Rightarrow validator
  where
    sender (Message (-, v, -)) = v
fun est :: message \Rightarrow consensus-value
  where
     est\ (Message\ (c, -, -)) = c
fun justification :: message <math>\Rightarrow state
  where
    justification (Message (-, -, s)) = set s
fun
   set)) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow state set  and
   Mi::(validator\ set\ 	imes\ consensus\ value\ set\ 	imes\ (message\ set\ \Rightarrow\ consensus\ value\ )
set)) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow message set
  where
    \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ \theta = \{\emptyset\}
  \mid \Sigma i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n = \{ \sigma \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ (n-1)). \ \textit{finite} \ \sigma \wedge (\forall \ m. \ m \in \sigma \longrightarrow 0 \} \}
justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
  \mid Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n = \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \in (\Sigma i) \}
(V, C, \varepsilon) n) \land est m \in \varepsilon \ (justification m) \}
locale Params =
  fixes V :: validator set
  and W :: validator \Rightarrow real
  and t :: real
  \mathbf{fixes}\ C::\ consensus\text{-}value\ set
  and \varepsilon :: message set \Rightarrow consensus-value set
begin
  definition \Sigma = (\bigcup i \in \mathbb{N}. \ \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ i)
  definition M = (\bigcup i \in \mathbb{N}. \ Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ i)
  definition is-valid-estimator :: (state \Rightarrow consensus-value set) \Rightarrow bool
       is-valid-estimator e = (\forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ e \ \sigma \in Pow \ C - \{\emptyset\})
  lemma \Sigma i-subset-Mi: \Sigma i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1) \subseteq Pow (Mi (V,C,\varepsilon) n)
    by force
 lemma \Sigma i-subset-to-Mi: \Sigma i (V,C,\varepsilon) n \subseteq \Sigma i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1) \Longrightarrow Mi (V,C,\varepsilon) n
\subseteq Mi(V,C,\varepsilon)(n+1)
    by auto
  lemma Mi-subset-to-\Sigma i: Mi (V,C,\varepsilon) n\subseteq Mi (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1)\Longrightarrow\Sigma i (V,C,\varepsilon)
```

```
(n+1) \subseteq \Sigma i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ (n+2)
    by auto
  lemma \Sigma i-monotonic: \Sigma i (V,C,\varepsilon) n \subseteq \Sigma i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1)
    apply (induction \ n)
    \mathbf{apply} \ simp
   apply (metis Mi-subset-to-\Sigmai Suc-eq-plus 1 \Sigmai-subset-to-Mi add.commute add-2-eq-Suc)
    done
  lemma Mi-monotonic: Mi (V,C,\varepsilon) n \subseteq Mi (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1)
    apply (induction \ n)
    defer
    using \Sigma i-monotonic \Sigma i-subset-to-Mi apply blast
    apply auto
    done
  lemma \Sigma i-monotonicity: \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \ m \leq n \longrightarrow \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ m \subseteq \Sigma i
(V,C,\varepsilon) n
    using \Sigma i-monotonic
    by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 lift-Suc-mono-le)
  lemma Mi-monotonicity: \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \ m \leq n \longrightarrow Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ m \subseteq Mi
(V,C,\varepsilon) n
    using Mi-monotonic
    by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 lift-Suc-mono-le)
  lemma message-is-in-Mi:
    \forall m \in M. \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. m \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
    apply (simp add: M-def \Sigma i.elims)
    by (metis Nats-1 Nats-add One-nat-def diff-Suc-1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
  \mathbf{lemma} state-is-in-pow-Mi:
   \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\exists \ n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V, \ C, \varepsilon) \ (n-1)) \ \land \ (\forall \ m \in \sigma. \ \textit{justification}
m \subseteq \sigma)
    apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
    apply auto
    proof -
      fix y :: nat and \sigma :: message set
      assume a1: \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ y
      assume a2: y \in \mathbb{N}
      have \sigma \subseteq Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) y
          using a 1 by (meson Params.\Sigma i-monotonic Params.\Sigma i-subset-Mi Pow-iff
contra-subsetD)
      then have \exists n. n \in \mathbb{N} \land \sigma \subseteq Mi(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
         using a2 by (metis (no-types) Nats-1 Nats-add diff-Suc-1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
       then show \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \sigma \subseteq \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \}
\in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n - Suc \ \theta) \land est \ m \in \varepsilon \ (justification \ m) \}
```

```
by auto
    \mathbf{next}
        show \bigwedge y \ \sigma \ m \ x. \ y \in \mathbb{N} \Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, \ C, \ \varepsilon) \ y \Longrightarrow m \in \sigma \Longrightarrow x \in \mathbb{N} 
justification m \Longrightarrow x \in \sigma
        using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
    qed
  lemma message-is-in-Mi-n :
    \forall m \in M. \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. m \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) n
   by (smt Mi-monotonic Suc-diff-Suc add-leE diff-add diff-le-self message-is-in-Mi
neq0-conv plus-1-eq-Suc subsetCE zero-less-diff)
  \mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{message-in-state-is-valid}\ :
    \forall \ \sigma \ m. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in \sigma \longrightarrow \ m \in M
    apply (rule, rule, rule)
  proof -
    fix \sigma m
    assume \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in \sigma
    have
      \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. m \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) n
      \implies m \in M
      using M-def by blast
    then show
      m \in M
      apply (simp add: M-def)
       by (smt\ Mi.simps\ Params.\Sigma i\text{-monotonic}\ PowD\ Suc\text{-}diff\text{-}Suc\ \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in S \rangle
\sigma add-leE diff-add diff-le-self gr0I mem-Collect-eq plus-1-eq-Suc state-is-in-pow-Mi
subsetCE zero-less-diff)
  qed
  lemma state-is-subset-of-M: \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \sigma \subseteq M
    using message-in-state-is-valid by blast
  lemma state-is-finite : \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. finite \sigma
    apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
    using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
  lemma justification-is-finite: \forall m \in M. finite (justification m)
    apply (simp add: M-def)
    using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
  lemma \Sigma is-subseteq-of-pow-M: \Sigma \subseteq Pow\ M
    by (simp add: state-is-subset-of-M subsetI)
  lemma M-type: \bigwedge m. m \in M \Longrightarrow est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m
\in \Sigma
    unfolding M-def \Sigma-def
    by auto
```

end

```
locale Protocol = Params +
  assumes V-type: V \neq \emptyset \land finite\ V
  and W-type: \forall v \in V. W v > 0
 and t-type: 0 \le t \ t < sum \ W \ V
 and C-type: card\ C > 1
 and \varepsilon-type: is-valid-estimator \varepsilon
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-are-non-empty: \bigwedge \sigma. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \varepsilon \ \sigma \neq \emptyset
  using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by auto
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-are-subset-of-C: \land \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \varepsilon \sigma \subseteq C
  using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by auto
lemma (in Params) empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma-0: \emptyset \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) 0
 by simp
lemma (in Params) empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma: \emptyset \in \Sigma
  apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
  using Nats-0 \Sigma i.simps(1) by blast
lemma (in Params) \Sigma i-is-non-empty: \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \neq \emptyset
  apply (induction \ n)
  using empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma-0 by auto
lemma (in Params) \Sigma is-non-empty: \Sigma \neq \emptyset
  using empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma by blast
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-exists-for-empty-set:
 by (simp add: empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma estimates-are-non-empty)
lemma (in Protocol) non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0:
  \exists m. m \in Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) \ \theta \land justification \ m = \emptyset
 apply auto
proof -
  have \varepsilon \emptyset \subseteq C
    using Params.empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma \varepsilon-type is-valid-estimator-def by auto
  then show \exists m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m = \emptyset \land est \ m \in \varepsilon
(justification \ m) \land justification \ m = \emptyset
    by (metis V-type all-not-in-conv est.simps estimates-exists-for-empty-set justi-
fication.simps\ sender.simps\ set-empty\ subsetCE)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) Mi-is-non-empty: Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) n \neq \emptyset
 apply (induction \ n)
  using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 apply auto
```

```
using Mi-monotonic empty-iff empty-subset by fastforce
lemma (in Protocol) Mis-non-empty: M \neq \emptyset
  using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 M-def Nats-0 by blast
lemma (in Protocol) C-is-not-empty : C \neq \emptyset
  using C-type by auto
lemma (in Params) \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma:
  \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \subseteq \Sigma
  by (simp \ add: \Sigma \text{-} def \ SUP \text{-} upper)
lemma (in Protocol) message-justifying-state-in-\Sigma-n-exists-in-M-n:
 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. (\forall \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \longrightarrow (\exists m. m \in Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) n \land justification)
m = \sigma
  apply auto
proof -
  fix n \sigma
  assume n \in \mathbb{N}
  and \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, \ C, \ \varepsilon) \ n
  then have \sigma \in \Sigma
    using \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma by auto
  have \varepsilon \ \sigma \neq \emptyset
    using estimates-are-non-empty \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle by auto
  have finite \sigma
    using state-is-finite \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle by auto
  moreover have \exists m. sender m \in V \land est m \in \varepsilon \ \sigma \land justification m = \sigma
    using est.simps sender.simps justification.simps V-type \langle \varepsilon | \sigma \neq \emptyset \rangle \langle finite | \sigma \rangle
    by (metis all-not-in-conv finite-list)
  moreover have \varepsilon \sigma \subseteq C
     using estimates-are-subset-of-C \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma \langle n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \langle \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon)
  ultimately show \exists m. est m \in C \land sender m \in V \land justification <math>m \in \Sigma i (V,
(C, \varepsilon) \ n \wedge est \ m \in \varepsilon \ (justification \ m) \wedge justification \ m = \sigma
    using Nats-1 One-nat-def
    using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle by blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) \Sigma-type: \Sigma \subset Pow\ M
proof -
  obtain m where m \in Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) 0 \land justification m = \emptyset
    using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 by auto
  then have \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (Suc \ \theta)
    using Params.\Sigma i-subset-Mi by auto
  then have \exists m'. m' \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) (Suc \ \theta) \land justification \ m' = \{m\}
      using message-justifying-state-in-\Sigma-n-exists-in-M-n Nats-1 One-nat-def by
  then obtain m' where m' \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) (Suc \theta) \land justification m' = \{m\}
```

by auto

```
then have \{m'\} \in Pow M
    using M-def
    by (metis Nats-1 One-nat-def PowD PowI Pow-bottom UN-I insert-subset)
  moreover have \{m'\} \notin \Sigma
    using Params.state-is-in-pow-Mi Protocol-axioms \langle m' \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (Suc \ \theta)
\land justification m' = \{m\} \land \mathbf{by} fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using \Sigma is-subseteq-of-pow-M by auto
qed
lemma (in Protocol) M-type-counterexample:
  (\forall \ \sigma. \ \varepsilon \ \sigma = C) \Longrightarrow M = \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \in C \}
\Sigma
  apply (simp add: M-def)
  apply auto
  using \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma apply blast
  by (simp add: \Sigma-def)
definition observed :: message set \Rightarrow validator set
  where
    observed \sigma = \{sender \ m \mid m. \ m \in \sigma\}
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{observed-type} :
  \forall \ \sigma \in Pow \ M. \ observed \ \sigma \in Pow \ V
  using Params.M-type Protocol-axioms observed-def by fastforce
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) observed-type-for-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ observed \ \sigma \subseteq V
 using Params.M-type Protocol-axioms observed-def state-is-subset-of-M by fastforce
fun is-future-state :: (state * state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    is-future-state (\sigma 1, \sigma 2) = (\sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma 2)
lemma (in Params) state-difference-is-valid-message :
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' . \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land \sigma' \in \Sigma
  \longrightarrow is\text{-}future\text{-}state(\sigma, \sigma')
  \longrightarrow \sigma' - \sigma \subseteq M
  using state-is-subset-of-M by blast
definition justified :: message \Rightarrow message \Rightarrow bool
  where
    justified m1 m2 = (m1 \in justification m2)
```

```
definition equivocation :: (message * message) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    equivocation =
      (\lambda(m1, m2). sender m1 = sender m2 \land m1 \neq m2 \land \neg (justified m1 m2) \land
\neg (justified \ m2 \ m1))
definition is-equivocating :: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow bool
    is-equivocating \sigma v = (\exists m1 \in \sigma. \exists m2 \in \sigma. equivocation (m1, m2) \land sender
m1 = v
definition equivocating-validators :: state \Rightarrow validator set
    equivocating-validators \sigma = \{v \in observed \ \sigma. \ is-equivocating \ \sigma \ v\}
lemma (in Protocol) equivocating-validators-type:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ equivocating-validators \ \sigma \subseteq V
  using observed-type-for-state equivocating-validators-def by blast
lemma (in Protocol) equivocating-validators-is-finite:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ finite \ (equivocating-validators \ \sigma)
  using V-type equivocating-validators-type rev-finite-subset by blast
definition (in Params) equivocating-validators-paper :: state \Rightarrow validator set
  where
    equivocating-validators-paper \sigma = \{v \in V. \text{ is-equivocating } \sigma v\}
lemma (in Protocol) equivocating-validators-is-equivalent-to-paper:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ equivocating-validators \ \sigma = equivocating-validators-paper \ \sigma
 \textbf{by} \ (smt \ Collect-cong \ Params. equivocating-validators-paper-def \ equivocating-validators-def
is-equivocating-def mem-Collect-eq observed-type-for-state observed-def subset CE)
lemma (in Protocol) equivocation-is-monotonic :
 \forall \sigma \sigma' v. is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \land v \in V
  \longrightarrow v \in equivocating-validators \sigma
  \longrightarrow v \in equivocating-validators \sigma'
  apply (simp add: equivocating-validators-def is-equivocating-def)
  using observed-def by fastforce
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{equivocating-validators-preserved-over-honest-message} \ :
 \forall \sigma m. \sigma \in \Sigma \wedge m \in M
  \longrightarrow \neg is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
  \longrightarrow equivocating-validators \sigma = equivocating-validators (\sigma \cup \{m\})
 apply (simp add: equivocating-validators-def is-equivocating-def observed-def equivocation-def)
```

```
definition (in Params) weight-measure :: validator set \Rightarrow real
  where
   weight-measure\ v-set = sum\ W\ v-set
lemma (in Params) weight-measure-subset-minus:
 finite\ A \Longrightarrow finite\ B \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B
   \implies weight-measure B - weight-measure A = weight-measure (B - A)
 apply (simp add: weight-measure-def)
 by (simp add: sum-diff)
lemma (in Params) weight-measure-strict-subset-minus:
 finite A \Longrightarrow finite B \Longrightarrow A \subset B
   \implies weight-measure B - weight-measure A = weight-measure (B - A)
 apply (simp add: weight-measure-def)
 by (simp add: sum-diff)
lemma (in Params) weight-measure-disjoint-plus:
 finite A \Longrightarrow finite B \Longrightarrow A \cap B = \emptyset
   \implies weight-measure A + weight-measure B = weight-measure (A \cup B)
 apply (simp add: weight-measure-def)
 by (simp add: sum.union-disjoint)
lemma (in Protocol) weight-positive:
  A \subseteq V \Longrightarrow weight\text{-}measure \ A \geq 0
 apply (simp add: weight-measure-def)
 using W-type
 by (smt subsetCE sum-nonneg)
lemma (in Protocol) weight-gte-diff:
  A \subseteq V \Longrightarrow weight\text{-}measure \ B \ge weight\text{-}measure \ B - weight\text{-}measure \ A
 using weight-positive by auto
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) weight-measure-subset-gte-diff:
  A \subseteq V \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow weight\text{-measure } B \ge weight\text{-measure } (B - A)
 using weight-measure-subset-minus V-type weight-gte-diff
 by (smt finite-Diff2 finite-subset sum.infinite weight-measure-def)
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) weight-measure-subset-gte:
  B \subseteq V \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow weight\text{-}measure \ B \ge weight\text{-}measure \ A
 using W-type V-type
 apply (simp add: weight-measure-def)
```

```
weight-measure-subset-minus)
lemma (in Protocol) weight-measure-stritct-subset-gt:
  B \subseteq V \Longrightarrow A \subset B \Longrightarrow weight\text{-}measure B > weight\text{-}measure A
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ A \ B
  assume B \subseteq V
  and A \subset B
  then have A \subset V
    by auto
  have finite A \wedge finite B
    using V-type finite-subset \langle B \subseteq V \rangle \langle A \subset B \rangle by auto
  have B - A \neq \emptyset \land B - A \subseteq V
   \mathbf{using} \,\, \langle A \subset B \rangle \,\, \langle B \subseteq V \rangle
    by blast
  then have weight-measure (B - A) > 0
    using W-type
    apply (simp add: weight-measure-def)
    by (meson Diff-eq-empty-iff V-type rev-finite-subset subset-eq sum-pos)
  have weight-measure B = weight-measure (B - A) + weight-measure A
    using weight-measure-strict-subset-minus \langle B \subseteq V \rangle \langle A \subset B \rangle \langle finite | A \wedge finite
B\rangle
    by fastforce
  then show weight-measure B > weight-measure A
    using \langle weight\text{-}measure\ (B-A)>0 \rangle
    by linarith
qed
definition (in Params) equivocation-fault-weight :: state \Rightarrow real
  where
    equivocation-fault-weight \sigma = weight-measure (equivocating-validators \sigma)
lemma (in Protocol) equivocation-fault-weight-is-monotonic:
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land \sigma' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-future-state} \ (\sigma, \sigma')
  \longrightarrow equivocation-fault-weight \sigma \leq equivocation-fault-weight \sigma'
 using equivocation-is-monotonic weight-measure-subset-gte
 {\bf by} \ (smt\ equivocating-validators-is-finite\ equivocating-validators-type\ equivocation-fault-weight-def
subset-iff)
definition (in Params) is-faults-lt-threshold :: state \Rightarrow bool
  where
```

by (smt DiffD1 Params.weight-measure-def finite-subset subsetCE sum-nonneg

```
is-faults-lt-threshold \sigma = (equivocation-fault-weight \ \sigma < t)
definition (in Protocol) \Sigma t :: state set
  where
   \Sigma t = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma. \text{ is-faults-lt-threshold } \sigma \}
lemma (in Protocol) \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma : \Sigma t \subseteq \Sigma
  using \Sigma t-def by auto
type-synonym state-property = state \Rightarrow bool
type-synonym consensus-value-property = consensus-value \Rightarrow bool
end
2
      Message Justification
{f theory}\ {\it Message Justification}
{\bf imports}\ {\it Main}\ {\it CBCCasper}\ {\it Libraries/LaTeXsugar}
begin
definition (in Params) message-justification :: message rel
  where
    message-justification = \{(m1, m2). \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land justified \ m1 \ m2\}
lemma (in Protocol) transitivity-of-justifications:
  trans\ message-justification
 apply (simp add: trans-def message-justification-def justified-def)
 by (meson Params.M-type Params.state-is-in-pow-Mi Protocol-axioms contra-subsetD)
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{irreflexivity-of-justifications} \ :
  irrefl\ message-justification
  apply (simp add: irrefl-def message-justification-def justified-def)
 apply (simp add: M-def)
 apply auto
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ n \ m
  assume est m \in C
  assume sender m \in V
  assume justification m \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  assume est m \in \varepsilon (justification m)
```

```
assume m \in justification m
  have m \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
   by (smt\ Mi.simps\ One-nat-def\ Params.\Sigma i-subset-Mi\ Pow-iff\ Suc-pred\ (est\ m\in Subset-Mi)
C\(\rightarrow\) est m \in \varepsilon (justification m)\(\rightarrow\) (justification m \in \Sigmai (V, C, \varepsilon) n\(\rightarrow\) m \in justification
m \land (sender \ m \in V) \ add.right-neutral \ add-Suc-right \ diff-is-0-eq' \ diff-le-self \ diff-zero
mem-Collect-eq not-gr0 subsetCE)
  then have justification m \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n - 1)
    using Mi.simps by blast
  then have justification m \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) \theta
   apply (induction \ n)
   apply simp
    by (smt\ Mi.simps\ One-nat-def\ Params.\Sigma i-subset-Mi\ Pow-iff\ Suc-pred\ (m\in Mi.simps))
justification m> add.right-neutral add-Suc-right diff-Suc-1 mem-Collect-eq not-gr0
subsetCE \ subsetCE)
  then have justification m \in \{\emptyset\}
   by simp
  then show False
   using \langle m \in justification \ m \rangle by blast
lemma (in Protocol) message-cannot-justify-itself:
  (\forall m \in M. \neg justified m m)
proof -
  have irreft message-justification
    using irreflexivity-of-justifications by simp
  then show ?thesis
   by (simp add: irreflexivity-of-justifications irrefl-def message-justification-def)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) justification-is-strict-partial-order-on-M :
  strict-partial-order message-justification
  apply (simp add: strict-partial-order-def)
 by (simp add: irreflexivity-of-justifications transitivity-of-justifications)
lemma (in Protocol) monotonicity-of-justifications:
 \forall m m' \sigma. m \in M \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land justified m' m \longrightarrow justification m' \subseteq justification
m
  apply simp
 by (meson M-type justified-def message-in-state-is-valid state-is-in-pow-Mi)
lemma (in Protocol) strict-monotonicity-of-justifications :
  \forall m m' \sigma. m \in M \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land justified m' m \longrightarrow justification m' \subset justification
 by (metis M-type message-cannot-justify-itself justified-def message-in-state-is-valid
monotonicity-of-justifications psubsetI)
lemma (in Protocol) justification-implies-different-messages :
 \forall m m'. m \in M \land m' \in M \longrightarrow justified m'm \longrightarrow m \neq m'
  using message-cannot-justify-itself by auto
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) only-valid-message-is-justified:
  \forall m \in M. \ \forall m'. justified m'm \longrightarrow m' \in M
 apply (simp add: justified-def)
  using Params.M-type message-in-state-is-valid by blast
lemma (in Protocol) justified-message-exists-in-Mi-n-minus-1:
 \forall n m m'. n \in \mathbb{N}
  \longrightarrow justified m' m
  \longrightarrow m \in Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  \longrightarrow m' \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
proof -
  have \forall n \ m \ m'. justified m' \ m
  \longrightarrow m \in Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  \longrightarrow m \in M \land m' \in M
  \longrightarrow m' \in Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)
    apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
  proof -
    fix n m m'
    assume justified m' m
   assume m \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon) n
    assume m \in M \land m' \in M
    then have justification m \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
      \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{Mi.simps}\ \langle m\in \mathit{Mi}\ (\mathit{V},\ \mathit{C},\ \varepsilon)\ \mathit{n}\rangle\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{blast}
    then have justification m \in Pow (Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) (n - 1))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-diff-Suc \Sigma i.simps(1) \Sigma i.subset-Mi (justified
m' \ m add-leE diff-add diff-le-self empty-iff justified-def neq0-conv plus-1-eq-Suc
singletonD \ subsetCE)
    show m' \in Mi(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
        using \langle justification \ m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n-1)) \rangle \langle justified \ m' \ m \rangle
justified-def by auto
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) M-def UN-I only-valid-message-is-justified)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) monotonicity-of-card-of-justification:
  \forall m m'. m \in M
  \longrightarrow justified m' m
  \longrightarrow card (justification m') < card (justification m)
  by (meson M-type Protocol.strict-monotonicity-of-justifications Protocol-axioms
justification-is-finite psubset-card-mono)
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) justification-is-well-founded-on-M:
  wfp-on justified M
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume \neg wfp-on justified M
  then have \exists f. \ \forall i. \ f \ i \in M \land justified \ (f \ (Suc \ i)) \ (f \ i)
```

```
by (simp add: wfp-on-def)
  then obtain f where \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f (Suc i)) (f i) by auto
  have \forall i. card (justification (f i)) \leq card (justification (f 0)) -i
   apply (rule)
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix} i
   have card (justification (f(Suc(i))) < card(justification(f(i)))
   using \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f(Suci))(fi)) by (simp\ add:\ monotonicity-of-card-of-justification)
   show card (justification (f i)) \leq card (justification (f 0)) -i
      apply (induction i)
      apply simp
      using \langle card\ (justification\ (f\ (Suc\ i))) < card\ (justification\ (f\ i)) \rangle
       by (smt Suc-diff-le \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f (Suc i)) (f i) diff-Suc-Suc
diff-is-0-eq le-iff-add less-Suc-eq-le less-imp-le monotonicity-of-card-of-justification
not-less-eq-eq trans-less-add1)
 then have \exists i. i = card (justification (f 0)) + Suc 0 \land card (justification (f i))
\leq card (justification (f \theta)) - i
   by blast
  then show False
     \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{le-0-eq}\ \mathit{le-simps}(2)\ \mathit{linorder-not-le}\ \mathit{monotonicity-of-card-of-justification}
nat-diff-split order-less-imp-le
  by (metis \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f (Suc i)) (f i) \land add.right-neutral add-Suc-right)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) subset-of-M-have-minimal-of-justification :
 \forall S \subseteq M. S \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists m\text{-min} \in S. \forall m. justified m m\text{-min} \longrightarrow m \notin S)
 by (metis justification-is-well-founded-on-M wfp-on-imp-has-min-elt wfp-on-mono)
lemma (in Protocol) message-in-state-is-strict-subset-of-the-state :
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in \sigma. \ justification \ m \subset \sigma
 \textbf{using} \ justification-implies-different-messages \ justified-def \ message-in-state-is-valid
state-is-in-pow-Mi by fastforce
```

\mathbf{end}

3 Latest Message

theory LatestMessage

imports Main CBCCasper MessageJustification Libraries/LaTeXsugar

begin

```
definition later :: (message * message set) \Rightarrow message set
  where
    later = (\lambda(m, \sigma), \{m' \in \sigma, justified \ m \ m'\})
lemma (in Protocol) later-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ m. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land m \in M \longrightarrow later \ (m, \ \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: later-def)
  by auto
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) later-type-for-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \ m. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in M \longrightarrow later \ (m, \ \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: later-def)
  using state-is-subset-of-M by auto
definition from-sender :: (validator * message set) \Rightarrow message set
  where
    from\text{-}sender = (\lambda(v, \sigma), \{m \in \sigma, sender m = v\})
lemma (in Protocol) from-sender-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land v \in V \longrightarrow from\text{-sender} \ (v, \ \sigma) \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: from-sender-def)
  by auto
lemma (in Protocol) from-sender-type-for-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow from\text{-sender} \ (v, \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: from-sender-def)
  using state-is-subset-of-M by auto
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{messages-from-observed-validator-is-non-empty}:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow from\text{-sender} \ (v, \ \sigma) \neq \emptyset
  apply (simp add: observed-def from-sender-def)
  by auto
lemma (in Protocol) messages-from-validator-is-finite:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V\sigma \longrightarrow finite \ (from\text{-sender}\ (v, \sigma))
  by (simp add: from-sender-def state-is-finite)
definition from-group :: (validator set * message set) \Rightarrow state
    from-group = (\lambda(v\text{-}set, \sigma), \{m \in \sigma, sender m \in v\text{-}set\})
lemma (in Protocol) from-group-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V \longrightarrow from\text{-}group \ (v\text{-set}, \ \sigma) \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: from-group-def)
```

```
by auto
lemma (in Protocol) from-group-type-for-state :
  \forall \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V \longrightarrow from\text{-}group \ (v\text{-set}, \ \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: from-group-def)
  using state-is-subset-of-M by auto
definition later-from :: (message * validator * message set) <math>\Rightarrow message set
    later-from = (\lambda(m, v, \sigma), later(m, \sigma) \cap from-sender(v, \sigma))
lemma (in Protocol) later-from-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v \ m. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land v \in V \land m \in M \longrightarrow later-from \ (m, v, \sigma) \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: later-from-def)
  using later-type from-sender-type by auto
lemma (in Protocol) later-from-type-for-state :
  \forall \sigma \ v \ m. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land m \in M \longrightarrow later-from \ (m, v, \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: later-from-def)
  using later-type-for-state from-sender-type-for-state by auto
definition L-M :: message set \Rightarrow (validator \Rightarrow message set)
    L-M \sigma v = \{m \in from\text{-sender } (v, \sigma). later\text{-}from } (m, v, \sigma) = \emptyset \}
lemma (in Protocol) L-M-type :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land v \in V \longrightarrow L\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: L-M-def later-from-def)
  using from-sender-type by auto
lemma (in Protocol) L-M-type-for-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow L\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: L-M-def later-from-def)
  using from-sender-type-for-state by auto
lemma (in Protocol) L-M-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land v \notin observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow L\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v = \emptyset
  by (simp add: L-M-def observed-def later-def from-sender-def)
lemma (in Protocol) L-M-is-subset-of-the-state :
```

definition observed-non-equivocating-validators :: $state \Rightarrow validator\ set$ where

by (simp add: L-M-def later-from-def from-sender-def)

 $\forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ v \in V. \ L\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v \subseteq \sigma$

observed-non-equivocating-validators $\sigma = observed \ \sigma - equivocating-validators$

```
\sigma
```

```
{f lemma} (in Protocol) observed-non-equivocating-validators-type :
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma \in Pow \ V
 apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
  {\bf using} \ observed-type-for-state \ equivocating-validators-type \ {\bf by} \ auto
lemma (in Protocol) observed-non-equivocating-validators-are-not-equivocating:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ observed-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \cap equivocating-validators \ \sigma = \emptyset
  unfolding observed-non-equivocating-validators-def
  by blast
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{justification-is-well-founded-on-messages-from-validator}:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ \textit{wfp-on justified (from-sender } (v, \sigma)))
 using justification-is-well-founded-on-M from-sender-type-for-state wfp-on-subset
by blast
lemma (in Protocol) justification-is-total-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma \longrightarrow Relation.total-on \ (from-sender)
(v, \sigma)) message-justification)
proof -
  have \forall m1 \ m2 \ \sigma \ v. \ v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land \{m1, m2\} \subseteq from\text{-sender} \ (v, \sigma) \longrightarrow
sender m1 = sender m2
    by (simp add: from-sender-def)
  then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma)
       \longrightarrow (\forall m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq from\text{-sender } (v, \sigma) \longrightarrow m1 = m2 \vee justified
m1 \ m2 \ \lor \ justified \ m2 \ m1)
   apply (simp add: equivocating-validators-def is-equivocating-def equivocation-def
from-sender-def observed-def)
    by blast
  then show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: Relation.total-on-def message-justification-def)
    using from-sender-type-for-state by blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) justification-is-strict-linear-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma \longrightarrow strict-linear-order-on
(from\text{-}sender\ (v, \sigma))\ message\text{-}justification)
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ strict-linear-order-on-def\ justification-is-total-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator
      irreflexivity-of-justifications transitivity-of-justifications)
{\bf lemma\ (in\ Protocol)\ justification-is-strict-well-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator:}
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma
```

using justification-is-well-founded-on-messages-from-validator

justified (from-sender (v, σ))

 \rightarrow strict-linear-order-on (from-sender (v, σ)) message-justification \land wfp-on

justification-is-strict-linear-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator

```
by blast
lemma (in Protocol) latest-message-is-maximal-element-of-justification :
  \forall \sigma v. \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow L\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v = \{m. \ maximal\ on \ (from\ sender \ (v, \sigma))\}
message-justification m}
 apply (simp add: L-M-def later-from-def later-def message-justification-def maximal-on-def)
  using from-sender-type-for-state apply auto
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) IntI empty-iff from-sender-def mem-Collect-eq
prod.simps(2)
  by blast
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ observed-non-equivocating-validators-have-one-latest-message:
 \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. (\forall v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators } \sigma. \text{ is-singleton } (L\text{-}M \sigma v))
 apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
proof -
  have \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. (\forall v \in observed \ \sigma - equivocating-validators \ \sigma. is-singleton \{m\}.
maximal-on (from-sender (v, \sigma)) message-justification m\})
        messages-from-observed-validator-is-non-empty
        messages-from\mbox{-}validator\mbox{-}is\mbox{-}finite
        observed-type-for-state
        equivocating-validators-def
     justification\hbox{-} is\hbox{-} strict\hbox{-} linear\hbox{-} order\hbox{-} on\hbox{-} messages\hbox{-} from\hbox{-} non\hbox{-} equivocating\hbox{-} validator
        strict-linear-order-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-one-maximum
        maximal\hbox{-} and\hbox{-} maximum\hbox{-} coincide\hbox{-} for\hbox{-} strict\hbox{-} linear\hbox{-} order
    by (smt Collect-cong DiffD1 DiffD2 set-mp)
 then show \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall v \in observed \ \sigma - equivocating-validators \ \sigma. is-singleton (L-M
    {f using}\ latest-message-is-maximal-element-of-justification
       observed-non-equivocating-validators-defobserved-non-equivocating-validators-type\\
    by fastforce
qed
definition L-E :: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow consensus-value set
  where
    L-E \sigma v = \{est m \mid m. m \in L-M \sigma v\}
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) L-E-type:
```

 $\forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow L\text{-}E \ \sigma \ v \subseteq C$

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{L-E-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty} :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land v \notin observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow L\text{-}E \ \sigma \ v = \emptyset
  using L-E-def L-M-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty by auto
definition L-H-M :: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow message set
    L-H-M \sigma v = (if v \in equivocating-validators <math>\sigma then \emptyset else L-M \sigma v)
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{L-H-M-type} :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \ \land \ v \in V \longrightarrow L\text{-}H\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v \subseteq M
  by (simp add: L-M-type-for-state L-H-M-def)
lemma (in Protocol) L-H-M-of-observed-non-equivocating-validator-is-singleton:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating-validators } \sigma.
       is-singleton (L-H-M \sigma v)
  {\bf using} \ observed-non-equivocating-validators-have-one-latest-message
  by (simp add: L-H-M-def observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
lemma (in Protocol) sender-of-L-H-M:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators } \sigma. \ sender \ (the\text{-}elem \ (L\text{-}H\text{-}M
\sigma(v) = v
    \mathbf{using}\ \textit{L-H-M-of-observed-non-equivocating-validator-is-singleton}
         L-H-M-def L-M-def from-sender-def
   by (smt Diff-iff is-singleton-the-elem mem-Collect-eq observed-non-equivocating-validators-def
prod.simps(2) \ singletonI)
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{L-H-M-is-in-the-state} \colon
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators } \sigma. \ the\text{-}elem \ (L\text{-}H\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v)
\in \sigma
    using L-H-M-of-observed-non-equivocating-validator-is-singleton
         L	ext{-}H	ext{-}M	ext{-}def\ L	ext{-}M	ext{-}is	ext{-}subset	ext{-}of	ext{-}the	ext{-}state
   \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis Diff-iff contra-subsetD insert-subset is-singleton-the-elem observed-non-equivocating-validators-def}
observed-type-for-state)
```

using M-type Protocol.L-M-type-for-state Protocol-axioms L-E-def by fastforce

definition L-H-E :: $state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow consensus$ -value set

where

```
L-H-E \sigma v = est 'L-H-M \sigma v
lemma (in Protocol) L-H-E-type :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow L\text{-}H\text{-}E \ \sigma \ v \in Pow \ C
  using Protocol.L-E-type Protocol-axioms L-E-def L-H-E-def L-H-M-def
  using M-type L-H-M-type by fastforce
lemma (in Protocol) L-H-E-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land v \notin observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow L\text{-H-E} \ \sigma \ v = \emptyset
  by (simp add: L-H-E-def L-H-M-def L-M-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty)
\mathbf{lemma}\ image\text{-}of\text{-}singleton\text{-}is\text{-}singleton:
  is-singleton A \Longrightarrow is-singleton (f 'A)
  apply (simp add: is-singleton-def)
  by blast
lemma (in Protocol) L-H-E-of-observed-non-equivocating-validator-is-singleton:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating-validators } \sigma.
       is-singleton (L-H-E \sigma v)
  \mathbf{using}\ L	ext{-}H	ext{-}M	ext{-}of	ext{-}observed	ext{-}non	ext{-}equivocating	ext{-}validator	ext{-}is	ext{-}singleton
  apply (simp add: L-H-E-def)
  \mathbf{using}\ image\text{-}of\text{-}singleton\text{-}is\text{-}singleton
  by blast
definition L-H-J :: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow state set
  where
    L-H-J \sigma v = justification 'L-H-M \sigma v
lemma (in Protocol) L-H-J-type :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow L\text{-}H\text{-}J \ \sigma \ v \subseteq \Sigma
  using M-type L-H-M-type
       L-H-J-def by auto
lemma (in Protocol) L-H-J-of-observed-non-equivocating-validator-is-singleton:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating-validators } \sigma
     \longrightarrow is-singleton (L-H-J \sigma v)
  \mathbf{using}\ L\text{-}H\text{-}M\text{-}of\text{-}observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validator\text{-}is\text{-}singleton
  apply (simp add: L-H-J-def)
  using image-of-singleton-is-singleton
  by blast
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) L-H-J-is-subset-of-the-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow (\forall \ \sigma' \in L\text{-}H\text{-}J \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma' \subset \sigma)
  apply (simp add: L-H-J-def
```

```
L-H-M-def)
        using L-M-is-subset-of-the-state
                        message\hbox{-}in\hbox{-}state\hbox{-}is\hbox{-}strict\hbox{-}subset\hbox{-}of\hbox{-}the\hbox{-}state
        by blast
end
theory StateTransition
{\bf imports}\ {\it Main}\ {\it CBCCasper}\ {\it MessageJustification}
begin
definition (in Params) state-transition :: state rel
        where
                state-transition = \{(\sigma 1, \sigma 2), \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma \land is-future-state(\sigma 1, \sigma 2)\}
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Params) reflexivity-of-state-transition:
         refl-on \Sigma state-transition
        apply (simp add: state-transition-def refl-on-def)
       by auto
lemma (in Params) transitivity-of-state-transition:
         trans state-transition
        apply (simp add: state-transition-def trans-def)
        by auto
lemma (in Params) state-transition-is-preorder :
       preorder-on \Sigma state-transition
      by (simp add: preorder-on-def reflexivity-of-state-transition transitivity-of-state-transition)
lemma (in Params) antisymmetry-of-state-transition:
         antisym\ state-transition
        apply (simp add: state-transition-def antisym-def)
       by auto
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Params) state-transition-is-partial-order:
       partial-order-on \Sigma state-transition
      by (simp add: partial-order-on-def state-transition-is-preorder antisymmetry-of-state-transition)
definition (in Protocol) minimal-transitions :: (state * state) set
                  minimal-transitions \equiv \{(\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land
\sigma') \wedge \sigma \neq \sigma'
```

```
\sigma'' \wedge \sigma'' \neq \sigma'
definition immediately-next-message where
     immediately-next-message = (\lambda(\sigma, m). justification m \subseteq \sigma \land m \notin \sigma)
\textbf{lemma (in } Protocol) \ state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth-non-zero:
    \forall n \geq 1. \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ \forall m \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma, m)
\longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+1)
    apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof-
    fix n \sigma m
   assume 1 \leq n \ \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \ m \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \ immediately-next-message
(\sigma, m)
    have \exists n'. n = Suc n'
          using \langle 1 \leq n \rangle old.nat.exhaust by auto
     hence si: \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n = \{ \sigma \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n-1)). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m.
m \in \sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
          by force
     hence \Sigma i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1) = \{ \sigma \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n)). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n)).
\sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
         by force
     have justification m \subseteq \sigma
          {\bf using}\ immediately-next-message-def
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) \langle immediately-next-message (\sigma, m) \rangle case-prod-conv)
     hence justification m \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
          by blast
     moreover have \bigwedge m'. finite \sigma \wedge m' \in \sigma \Longrightarrow justification m' \subseteq \sigma
          using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle \ si \ by \ blast
     hence\bigwedge m'. finite \sigma \wedge m' \in \sigma \Longrightarrow justification <math>m' \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
     ultimately have \bigwedge m'. m' \in \sigma \cup \{m\} \Longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma
          using (justification m \subseteq \sigma) by blast
     have \{m\} \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n)
          using \langle m \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle by auto
     moreover have \sigma \in Pow (Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1))
          using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle si by auto
     hence \sigma \in Pow (Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) n)
          using Mi-monotonic
           by (metis (full-types) PowD PowI Suc-eq-plus1 \exists n'. n = Suc n' \land diff-Suc-1
subset-iff)
     ultimately have \sigma \cup \{m\} \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n)
          \mathbf{by} blast
```

 $\land (\not \exists \sigma''. \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma'') \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma'', \sigma') \land \sigma \neq \emptyset$

```
show \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+1)
      using \langle \bigwedge m'. finite \sigma \wedge m' \in \sigma \Longrightarrow justification <math>m' \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\} \rangle \langle \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \sigma \rangle
Pow (Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) n) (justification m \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\})
     \langle \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle \ si \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
qed
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth:
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ \forall m \in Mi \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma, m) \longrightarrow \sigma
\cup \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+1)
  apply (cases n)
  apply auto[1]
  \textbf{using} \ state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth-non-zero
  by (metis le-add1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
lemma (in Params) past-state-exists-in-same-depth:
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \longrightarrow \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof (cases n)
  case \theta
   show \land \sigma \sigma'. \sigma' \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \Longrightarrow \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow n = 0 \Longrightarrow \sigma \in
\Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
     by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc \ nat)
  show \land \sigma \sigma' nat. \sigma' \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \Longrightarrow \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow n = Suc nat
\Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
  proof -
  fix \sigma \sigma'
  assume \sigma' \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
  and \sigma \subseteq \sigma'
  and \sigma \in \Sigma
  have n > 0
     by (simp add: Suc)
  have finite \sigma \wedge (\forall m. m \in \sigma \longrightarrow justification m \subseteq \sigma)
     using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle state-is-finite state-is-in-pow-Mi by blast
  moreover have \sigma \in Pow (Mi (V, C, \varepsilon) (n - 1))
     using \langle \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \rangle
      by (smt Pow-iff Suc-eq-plus 1 \Sigma i-monotonic \Sigma i-subset-Mi \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \rangle
n add-diff-cancel-left' add-eq-if diff-is-0-eq diff-le-self plus-1-eq-Suc subset-iff)
  ultimately have \sigma \in \{\sigma \in Pow \ (Mi \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ (n-1)). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in V,C,\varepsilon) \ (m-1)\}
\sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
     by blast
  then show \sigma \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
     by (simp add: Suc)
  ged
qed
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) immediately-next-message-exists-in-same-depth:
    \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma,m) \longrightarrow (\exists \ n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \in \Sigma i
(V,C,\varepsilon) n \wedge m \in Mi (V,C,\varepsilon) n
    apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def M-def \Sigma-def)
    using past-state-exists-in-same-depth
    using \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma by blast
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-by-immediately-next-message:
   \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma,m) \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma
    apply (rule, rule, rule)
proof -
    fix \sigma m
    assume \sigma \in \Sigma
    and m \in M
    and immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
    then have (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \sigma \in \Sigma i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \land m \in M i (V, C, \varepsilon) n)
       using immediately-next-message-exists-in-same-depth \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \langle m \in M \rangle
       by blast
    then have \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+1)
       using state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth
       using \langle immediately-next-message (\sigma, m) \rangle by blast
    show \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma
       apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
        by (metis Nats-1 Nats-add Un-insert-right \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma i \ (V, C, \varepsilon)
(n + 1) sup-bot.right-neutral)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ state-transition-imps-immediately-next-message:
   \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land m \notin \sigma \longrightarrow immediately-next-message \ (\sigma,m)
proof -
   have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \longrightarrow (\forall \ m' \in \sigma \cup \{m\}. \ justification \ m'
\subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
       using state-is-in-pow-Mi by blast
    then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
    then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land m \notin \sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma
       using justification-implies-different-messages justified-def by fastforce
    then show ?thesis
       by (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-only-made-by-immediately-next-message:
   \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land m \notin \sigma \longleftrightarrow immediately-next-message \ (\sigma, m) \in S
m)
  {\bf using} \ state-transition-imps-immediately-next-message \ state-transition-by-immediately-next-message \ state-tra
   apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
```

 $\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{state-transition-is-immediately-next-message} \colon$

```
\forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \ \longleftrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma
  {\bf using} \ state-transition-only-made-by-immediately-next-message
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
  using insert-Diff state-is-in-pow-Mi by fastforce
lemma (in Protocol) strict-subset-of-state-have-immediately-next-messages:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma'. \ \sigma' \subset \sigma \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma', \ m))
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
  apply (rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma \sigma'
  assume \sigma \in \Sigma
  assume \sigma' \subset \sigma
  show \exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. justification m \subseteq \sigma'
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume \neg (\exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. justification m \subseteq \sigma')
    then have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m' \in justification m. m' \in \sigma - \sigma'
       using \langle \neg (\exists m \in \sigma - \sigma') | \text{state-is-in-pow-Mi} \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle
       by (metis Diff-iff \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle subset-eq)
    then have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m'. justified m'm \land m' \in \sigma - \sigma'
       using justified-def by auto
    then have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m'. justified m'm \land m' \in \sigma - \sigma' \land m \neq m'
      {\bf using} \ justification-implies-different-messages \ state-difference-is-valid-message
       message-in-state-is-valid \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle
       by (meson\ DiffD1 \ \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle)
    have \sigma - \sigma' \subseteq M
       using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle state-is-subset-of-M by auto
    then have \exists m\text{-min} \in \sigma - \sigma'. \forall m. justified m m\text{-min} \longrightarrow m \notin \sigma - \sigma'
       using subset-of-M-have-minimal-of-justification \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle
       by blast
    then show False
       using \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m'. justified m' m \land m' \in \sigma - \sigma' by blast
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) union-of-two-states-is-state :
  \forall \ \sigma 1 \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma 2 \in \Sigma. \ (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) \in \Sigma
  apply (rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2
  assume \sigma 1 \in \Sigma and \sigma 2 \in \Sigma
  show \sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2 \in \Sigma
  proof (cases \sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma 2)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    then show ?thesis
       by (simp add: Un-absorb1 \langle \sigma 2 \in \Sigma \rangle)
  next
    case False
```

```
then have \neg \sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma 2 by simp
    have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma - (\sigma \cap \sigma'). immediately-next-message(\sigma \cap \sigma'))
\cap \sigma', m)
      \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis Int-subset-iff psubset I strict-subset-of-state-have-immediately-next-messages}
subsetI)
        then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma - (\sigma \cap \sigma').
immediately-next-message(\sigma', m))
         apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
         by blast
     then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \ \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma)
         \mathbf{using}\ state\text{-}transition\text{-}by\text{-}immediately\text{-}next\text{-}message
         by (metis DiffD1 DiffD2 DiffI IntI message-in-state-is-valid)
     have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow \ \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
     proof -
         have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow card \ (\sigma - \sigma') > 0
           by (meson Diff-eq-empty-iff card-0-eq finite-Diff qr0I state-is-finite)
         have \forall n. \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\sigma' \in \Sigma
           apply (rule)
         proof -
           \mathbf{fix} \ n
           show \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
              apply (induction \ n)
              apply (rule, rule, rule)
           proof -
              fix \sigma \sigma'
              assume \sigma \in \Sigma and \sigma' \in \Sigma and \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ \theta = card \ (\sigma - \sigma')
              then have is-singleton (\sigma - \sigma')
                 by (simp add: is-singleton-altdef)
              then have \{the\text{-}elem\ (\sigma-\sigma')\}\cup\sigma'\in\Sigma
                  using \forall \sigma \in \Sigma . \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma . \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists m \in \sigma - \sigma' . \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \sigma')
\Sigma) \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \rangle
                           by (metis Un-commute \langle \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ \theta = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \rangle
is-singleton-the-elem singletonD)
              then show \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
                  by (metis Un-Diff-cancel2 (is-singleton (\sigma - \sigma')) is-singleton-the-elem)
              show \land n. \ \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
                  apply (rule, rule, rule)
              proof -
                 fix n \sigma \sigma'
                  assume \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\in \Sigma and \sigma \in \Sigma and \sigma' \in \Sigma and \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc (Suc n) = card (\sigma - \sigma')
                have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \cup \{m\} \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - (\sigma' \cup \{m\}))
                    using \langle \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \rangle
                              by (metis Diff-eq-empty-iff Diff-insert Un-insert-right \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle
add-diff-cancel-left' card-0-eq card-Suc-Diff1 finite-Diff nat.simps(3) plus-1-eq-Suc
```

```
state-is-finite sup-bot.right-neutral)
                 have \exists m \in \sigma - \sigma' . \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma
                   \Sigma) \land (\sigma \in \Sigma) \land (\sigma' \in \Sigma) \land (\neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ (\sigma - \sigma'))
                   by blast
                then have \exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \cup \{m\} \land Suc \ n = \sigma'
card (\sigma - (\sigma' \cup \{m\}))
                     using \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \cup \{m\} \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - (\sigma' \cup \sigma'))
\{m\})\rangle
                   by simp
                 then show \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
                   using \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\in \Sigma
                             by (smt Un-Diff-cancel Un-commute Un-insert-right \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle
insert-absorb2 mk-disjoint-insert sup-bot.right-neutral)
              qed
           qed
        qed
        then show ?thesis
            by (meson \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \ \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma))
card-Suc-Diff1 finite-Diff state-is-finite)
     qed
     then show ?thesis
        using False \langle \sigma 1 \in \Sigma \rangle \langle \sigma 2 \in \Sigma \rangle by blast
  qed
qed
{f lemma} (in {\it Protocol}) {\it union-of-finite-set-of-states-is-state}:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma \text{. finite } \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \ \sigma\text{-set} \in \Sigma
  apply auto
proof -
  have \forall n. \forall \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma. n = card \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow finite \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma\text{-set} \in \Sigma
     apply (rule)
  proof -
     \mathbf{fix} \ n
     show \forall \sigma \text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma. n = card \ \sigma \text{-set} \longrightarrow finite \ \sigma \text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma \text{-set} \in \Sigma
        apply (induction \ n)
        apply (rule, rule, rule, rule)
         apply (simp add: empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma)
        apply (rule, rule, rule, rule)
     proof -
        fix n \ \sigma-set
         assume \forall \sigma\text{-set}\subseteq\Sigma. n=card\ \sigma\text{-set}\longrightarrow finite\ \sigma\text{-set}\longrightarrow\bigcup\sigma\text{-set}\in\Sigma and
\sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma and Suc n = card \ \sigma-set and finite \sigma-set
        then have \forall \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\} \subseteq \Sigma \land \bigcup \ (\sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\}) \in \Sigma
             using \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma \rangle \langle Suc \ n = card \ \sigma\text{-set} \rangle \langle \forall \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma. \ n = card \ \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow
finite \ \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma\text{-set} \in \Sigma
           by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Suc-inject card.remove finite-Diff insert-Diff
```

```
insert-subset)
      then have \forall \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\} \subseteq \Sigma \land \bigcup \ (\sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\}) \in \Sigma \land \bigcup \ (\sigma\text{-set}
-\{\sigma\}) \cup \sigma \in \Sigma
         using union-of-two-states-is-state \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma \rangle by auto
       then show \bigcup \sigma-set \in \Sigma
            by (metis Sup-bot-conv(1) Sup-insert Un-commute empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma
insert-Diff)
    qed
  qed
  then show \land \sigma-set. \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma \Longrightarrow finite \ \sigma-set \Longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma-set \in \Sigma
qed
lemma (in Protocol) state-differences-have-immediately-next-messages:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ is\text{-}future\text{-}state\ (\sigma, \sigma') \land \sigma \neq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma' - \sigma. \ immediately\text{-}next\text{-}message
(\sigma, m)
  {f using}\ strict-subset-of-state-have-immediately-next-messages
  by (simp add: psubsetI)
{\bf lemma}\ non-empty-non-singleton-imps-two-elements:
  A \neq \emptyset \Longrightarrow \neg is\text{-singleton } A \Longrightarrow \exists a1 \ a2. \ a1 \neq a2 \land \{a1, a2\} \subseteq A
  by (metis inf.orderI inf-bot-left insert-subset is-singletonI')
lemma (in Protocol) minimal-transition-implies-recieving-single-message:
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \longrightarrow is-singleton \ (\sigma'-\sigma)
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume \neg (\forall \sigma \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \longrightarrow is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma))
  then have \exists \sigma \sigma' . (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)
    by blast
  have \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \longrightarrow
                 (\nexists \sigma''. \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma'') \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma'', \sigma') \land \sigma
\neq \sigma'' \land \sigma'' \neq \sigma'
    by (simp add: minimal-transitions-def)
  have \forall \sigma \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton } (\sigma' - \sigma)
    immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
    apply (rule, rule, rule)
  proof -
    fix \sigma \sigma'
    assume (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)
    then have \sigma' - \sigma \neq \emptyset
       apply (simp add: minimal-transitions-def)
       by blast
    have \sigma' \in \Sigma \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma')
       using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle
       by (simp add: minimal-transitions-def \Sigma t-def)
    then have \sigma' - \sigma \subseteq M
```

```
using state-difference-is-valid-message by auto
                                then have \exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1
\neq m2
                                             using non-empty-non-singleton-imps-two-elements
                                                                                          \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle \langle \sigma' - \sigma \neq \emptyset \rangle
                                             by (metis (full-types) contra-subsetD insert-subset subsetI)
                                then show \exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1
\neq m2 \land immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
                                             {f using}\ state-differences-have-immediately-next-messages
                                                     by (metis Diff-iff \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \wedge \sigma \in \Sigma \wedge is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma') \rangle insert-subset
 message-in-state-is-valid)
               have \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \land \neg is-singleton \ (\sigma' - \sigma) \longrightarrow
                                                                                                     (\exists \ \sigma''. \ \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-}future\text{-}state \ (\sigma, \sigma'') \land is\text{-}future\text{-}state \ (\sigma'', \sigma') \land \sigma
 \neq \sigma'' \wedge \sigma'' \neq \sigma'
                             apply (rule, rule, rule)
               proof -
                             fix \sigma \sigma'
                             assume (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton <math>(\sigma' - \sigma)
                             m2 \land immediately\text{-}next\text{-}message (\sigma, m1)
                                             using \forall \sigma \sigma' . (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)
                                \longrightarrow (\exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma'
immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1))
                                             by simp
                             then obtain m1 m2 where \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m
 m1 \neq m2 \land immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
                                           by auto
                             have \sigma \in \Sigma \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma
                                             using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle
                                             by (simp add: minimal-transitions-def \Sigma t-def)
                             then have \sigma \cup \{m1\} \in \Sigma
                                                           using \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 
 immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
                                                                                          state\text{-}transition\text{-}by\text{-}immediately\text{-}next\text{-}message
                             have is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma \cup \{m1\}) \land is-future-state (\sigma \cup \{m1\}, \sigma')
                                        using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma' - \sigma) \} \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq minimal\text{-}transitions (\sigma'
 M \wedge m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \wedge m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \wedge m1 \neq m2 \wedge immediately-next-message (\sigma, \sigma)
 m1) minimal-transitions-def by auto
                             have \sigma \neq \sigma \cup \{m1\} \land \sigma \cup \{m1\} \neq \sigma'
                                                      using \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma'
 immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1) by auto
                             then show \exists \sigma'' . \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma'') \land is-future-state (\sigma'', \sigma') \land is-f
 \sigma \neq \sigma'' \wedge \sigma'' \neq \sigma'
                                              using \langle \sigma \cup \{m1\} \in \Sigma \rangle (is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma \cup \{m1\}) \wedge is-future-state (\sigma \cup \{m1\}) \wedge is
 \{m1\}, \sigma'\rangle
                                           by auto
               qed
```

```
then show False
        using \forall \sigma \ \sigma' . \ (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \longrightarrow (\nexists \sigma'' . \ \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-}future\text{-}state
(\sigma, \sigma'') \land is-future-state (\sigma'', \sigma') \land \sigma \neq \sigma'' \land \sigma'' \neq \sigma') (\neg (\forall \sigma \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in \sigma')) \land \sigma' \neq \sigma'
minimal-transitions \longrightarrow is-singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)) by blast
ged
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{minimal-transitions-reconstruction} :
    \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{the\text{-}elem \ (\sigma' - \ \sigma)\} = \sigma'
    apply (rule, rule, rule)
proof -
    fix \sigma \sigma'
    assume (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions
    then have is-singleton (\sigma'– \sigma)
      {\bf using} \ \ minimal-transitions-def \ minimal-transition-implies-recieving-single-message
by auto
    then have \sigma \subseteq \sigma'
         using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \rangle minimal-transitions-def by auto
    then show \sigma \cup \{the\text{-}elem\ (\sigma' - \sigma)\} = \sigma'
         by (metis Diff-partition (is-singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)) is-singleton-the-elem)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) minimal-transition-is-immediately-next-message:
   \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \longleftrightarrow immediately\text{-}next\text{-}message \ (\sigma, the\text{-}elem
(\sigma' - \sigma)
proof -
     have \forall \sigma \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, \sigma')
the-elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
      \textbf{using} \ minimal-transition-implies-recieving-single-message \ state-transition-only-made-by-immediately-next-message \ state-transition-only-message \ state-transition-only-message
                       state-differences-have-immediately-next-messages
                       state-difference-is-valid-message
         apply (simp add: minimal-transitions-def immediately-next-message-def)
oops
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) road-to-future-state:
    \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land \sigma' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-}future\text{-}state(\sigma, \sigma')
     \longrightarrow n = card (\sigma' - \sigma)
    \longrightarrow (\exists \ f. \ f \ 0 = \sigma \land f \ n = \sigma' \land (\forall \ i. \ 0 \le i \land i \le n-1 \longrightarrow f \ i \in \Sigma \land (\exists \ m \in I))
```

 \mathbf{end}

oops

4 Safety Proof

 $M. f i \cup \{m\} = f (Suc i)))$ **apply** (rule, rule, rule, rule)

theory ConsensusSafety

 ${\bf imports}\ {\it Main}\ {\it CBCCasper}\ {\it Message Justification}\ {\it StateTransition}\ {\it Libraries/LaTeX sugar}$ ${\bf begin}$

```
definition (in Protocol) futures :: state \Rightarrow state \ set
   where
     futures \sigma = \{ \sigma' \in \Sigma t. \text{ is-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \}
lemma (in Protocol) monotonic-futures :
  \forall \sigma' \sigma. \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
    \longrightarrow \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma \longleftrightarrow futures \ \sigma' \subseteq futures \ \sigma
  apply (simp add: futures-def) by auto
theorem (in Protocol) two-party-common-futures:
  \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \ \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)
  \longrightarrow futures \sigma 1 \cap futures \sigma 2 \neq \emptyset
  apply (simp add: futures-def \Sigma t-def) using union-of-two-states-is-state
  by blast
theorem (in Protocol) n-party-common-futures:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow \mathit{finite}\ \sigma\text{-}\mathit{set}
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
   \longrightarrow \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\} \neq \emptyset
  apply (simp add: futures-def \Sigma t-def) using union-of-finite-set-of-states-is-state
  by blast
lemma (in Protocol) n-party-common-futures-exists :
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow finite \ \sigma\text{-set}
   \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \sigma \in \bigcap \ \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\})
  apply (simp add: futures-def \Sigma t-def) using union-of-finite-set-of-states-is-state
  by blast
```

 $\textbf{definition} \ (\textbf{in} \ \textit{Protocol}) \ \textit{state-property-is-decided} :: (\textit{state-property} * \textit{state}) \Rightarrow \textit{bool}$

```
where
    state-property-is-decided = (\lambda(p, \sigma), (\forall \sigma' \in futures \sigma, p \sigma'))
lemma (in Protocol) forward-consistency:
  \forall \ \sigma' \ \sigma. \ \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma
  \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma)
  \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma')
  apply (simp add: futures-def state-property-is-decided-def)
  by auto
fun state-property-not :: state-property <math>\Rightarrow state-property
  where
    state-property-not p = (\lambda \sigma. (\neg p \sigma))
lemma (in Protocol) backword-consistency :
  \forall \sigma' \sigma. \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma
  \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma')
  \longrightarrow \neg state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (state\text{-}property\text{-}not p, \sigma)
  apply (simp add: futures-def state-property-is-decided-def)
  by auto
theorem (in Protocol) two-party-consensus-safety-for-state-property:
  \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \ \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \land \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)
  \longrightarrow \neg (state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided\ (p, \sigma 1) \land state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided\ (state\text{-}property\text{-}not
p, \sigma 2)
  apply (simp add: state-property-is-decided-def)
  using two-party-common-futures
  by (metis Int-emptyI)
definition (in Protocol) state-properties-are-inconsistent :: state-property set \Rightarrow
bool
  where
    state-properties-are-inconsistent p-set = (\forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \neg (\forall p \in p-set. p \sigma))
definition (in Protocol) state-properties-are-consistent :: state-property set \Rightarrow bool
  where
    state-properties-are-consistent p-set = (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in p-set. p \ \sigma)
definition (in Protocol) state-property-decisions :: state \Rightarrow state-property set
  where
```

```
theorem (in Protocol) n-party-safety-for-state-properties:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
   \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\) \sigma-set)
  \longrightarrow state-properties-are-consistent ([] \{state-property-decisions \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma-set\})
  apply rule+
proof-
  fix \sigma-set
  assume \sigma-set: \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t
  and finite \sigma-set
  and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
     using n-party-common-futures-exists by simp
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma \in \text{futures } s
     by blast
   hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ (\forall s \in \sigma \text{-set. } \sigma \in \text{futures } s) \land (\forall s \in \sigma \text{-set. } \sigma \in \text{futures } s \longrightarrow (\forall p.
state-property-is-decided (p,s) \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p,\sigma)))
     by (simp add: subset-eq state-property-is-decided-def futures-def)
 hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma-set. (\forall p. state-property-is-decided (p,s) \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided
(p,\sigma)
     by blast
 hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \forall s \in \sigma-set. (\forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided
(p,\sigma)
     by (simp add: state-property-decisions-def)
 hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \forall p \in \bigcup \{state\text{-}property\text{-}decisions \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set\}. state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided
(p,\sigma)
  proof-
   obtain \sigma where \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \forall s \in \sigma-set. (\forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided
     using (\exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma\text{-set}. \ \forall p \in state\text{-property-decisions } s. state\text{-property-is-decided})
(p, \sigma) by blast
    have \forall p \in \bigcup \{ state\text{-property-decisions } \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \}. state\text{-property-is-decided}
       using \forall s \in \sigma-set. \forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided (p, q)
\sigma) by fastforce
     thus ?thesis
        using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma t \rangle by blast
  qed
   hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall p \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}. \ \forall \sigma' \in futures
   by (simp add: state-property-decisions-def futures-def state-property-is-decided-def)
 show state-properties-are-consistent (\bigcup \{ state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma-set \} )
     unfolding state-properties-are-consistent-def
     by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) \Sigma t-def (\exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \forall p \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions\})
\sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \}. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \text{futures } \sigma. \ p \ \sigma' \land mem\text{-}Collect\text{-}eq \ monotonic\text{-}futures \ order\text{-}reft)
qed
```

```
definition (in Protocol) naturally-corresponding-state-property :: consensus-value-property
\Rightarrow state-property
  where
      naturally-corresponding-state-property q = (\lambda \sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ q \ c)
definition (in Protocol) consensus-value-properties-are-consistent :: consensus-value-property
set \Rightarrow bool
  where
      consensus-value-properties-are-consistent q-set = (\exists c \in C. \forall q \in q-set. qc)
lemma (in Protocol) naturally-corresponding-consistency:
  \forall q\text{-set. state-properties-are-consistent } \{naturally\text{-corresponding-state-property } q\}
| q. q \in q\text{-set} \}
   \longrightarrow consensus-value-properties-are-consistent\ q-set
  apply (rule, rule)
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ q\text{-}set
  have
      state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q. q
\in q\text{-}set
      \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in \{\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c \mid q. \ q \in q\text{-set}\}. \ p \ \sigma)
   by (simp add: naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-properties-are-consistent-def)
  moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in \{\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c \mid q. \ q \in q\text{-set}\}. \ p \ \sigma)
        \rightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set.} \ (\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q' \ c) \ \sigma)
     by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) mem-Collect-eq)
  moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set.} \ (\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c) \ \sigma)
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set}. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ q' \ c)
     \mathbf{by} blast
  moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set}. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ q \ c)
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set. } q' \ c)
     by blast
   moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set.} \ q \ c)
      \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set. } q' \ c)
     by (meson all-not-in-conv estimates-are-non-empty)
   moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set.} \ q \ c)
        \rightarrow (\exists c \in C. \forall q' \in q\text{-set. } q'c)
     using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by fastforce
```

ultimately show

```
state-properties-are-consistent { naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q. q \in
q-set}
    \implies consensus-value-properties-are-consistent \ q\text{-set}
    by (simp add: consensus-value-properties-are-consistent-def)
ged
definition (in Protocol) consensus-value-property-is-decided :: (consensus-value-property
* state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    consensus-value-property-is-decided
     = (\lambda(q, \sigma). state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property q,
\sigma))
definition (in Protocol) consensus-value-property-decisions :: state \Rightarrow consensus-value-property
set
  where
    consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma = \{q. consensus-value-property-is-decided\}
(q, \sigma)
theorem (in Protocol) n-party-safety-for-consensus-value-properties:
 \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\( \) \sigma-set)
 \longrightarrow consensus-value-properties-are-consistent ([] { consensus-value-property-decisions}
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
 apply (rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma-set
  assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t
  and finite \sigma-set
  and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  hence state-properties-are-consistent ([]) {state-property-decisions \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in
    using \langle \sigma\text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle n-party-safety-for-state-properties by auto
  hence state-properties-are-consistent \{p \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma.\ \sigma\}
\{ \in \sigma \text{-set} \}. \exists q. p = naturally\text{-corresponding-state-property } q \}
   unfolding naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-properties-are-consistent-def
    apply (simp)
    by meson
 hence state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q |
q. naturally-corresponding-state-property q \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \}
\in \sigma-set\}
    by (smt Collect-cong)
 hence consensus-value-properties-are-consistent \{q. naturally-corresponding-state-property\}
q \in \{ \exists \{ state\text{-}property\text{-}decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set \} \}
    using naturally-corresponding-consistency
```

```
proof -
        show ?thesis
        by (metis (no-types) Setcompr-eq-image \forall q-set. state-properties-are-consistent
\{naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property\ q\ |\ q.\ q\in q\text{-}set\}\longrightarrow consensus\text{-}value\text{-}properties\text{-}are\text{-}consistent}
q-set\rangle (state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q.
naturally-corresponding-state-property q \in \bigcup \{state\text{-property-decisions } \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in A\}
\sigma-set}} \rightarrow setcompr-eq-image)
    qed
  hence consensus-value-properties-are-consistent ([]] { consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
     apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
state-property-decisions-def consensus-value-properties-are-consistent-def)
        by (metis mem-Collect-eq)
    thus
      consensus-value-properties-are-consistent \ (\bigcup \ \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ and \ an are-consistent \ (\bigcup \ \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ an are-consensus-value-property-decisions \ an are-conse
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
        by simp
qed
fun consensus-value-property-not :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow consensus-value-property
        consensus-value-property-not\ p=(\lambda c.\ (\lnot\ p\ c))
lemma (in Protocol) negation-is-not-decided-by-other-validator:
    \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
    \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
    \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\) \sigma-set)
    \longrightarrow (\forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ p. \ \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land p \in consensus\text{-value-property-decisions} \ \sigma
                        \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-not p \notin consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma'
   apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
   fix \sigma-set \sigma \sigma' p
   assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set) and \{\sigma, \sigma\}
\sigma' \} \subseteq \sigma-set \land p \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
    hence \exists \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\
        using n-party-common-futures-exists by meson
    then obtain \sigma'' where \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\ by auto
    hence state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property p, \sigma'')
     using \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land p \in consensus\text{-value-property-decisions } \sigma \rangle consensus-value-property-decisions-def
consensus\mbox{-}value\mbox{-}property\mbox{-}is\mbox{-}decided\mbox{-}def
        using \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle forward-consistency by fastforce
    have \sigma'' \in futures \ \sigma'
        using \langle \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\} \rangle \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \wedge p \in \sigma \}
consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
        by auto
  \mathbf{hence} \neg state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (state\text{-}property\text{-}not (naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property)}
```

 $p), \sigma'$

```
\textbf{using} \ backword\text{-}consistency \land state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided \ (naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property)
p, \sigma''
       using \langle \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\} \rangle \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \}
\subseteq \sigma-set \land p \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma \land \mathbf{by} auto
  then show consensus-value-property-not p \notin consensus-value-property-decisions
   apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-property-is-decided-def)
     using \Sigma t-def estimates-are-non-empty futures-def by fastforce
qed
lemma (in Protocol) n-party-consensus-safety :
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
  \longrightarrow (\forall p \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}.
           (\lambda c. (\neg p \ c)) \notin \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\})
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma-set p
  assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set) and p
\in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
  and (\lambda c. (\neg p \ c)) \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
  hence \exists \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\
     using n-party-common-futures-exists by meson
  then obtain \sigma'' where \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\ by auto
  hence state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property p, \sigma'')
   using \langle p \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\} \rangle consensus-value-property-decisions-de
consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
    using \langle \sigma \text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle forward-consistency by fastforce
  have state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property (\lambda c. (\neg p)
c)), \sigma'')
      using \langle (\lambda c. (\neg p \ c)) \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in A \}
\sigma-set\}\rangle consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
    using \langle \sigma \text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle forward-consistency \langle \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{ \text{futures } \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \} \}
\in \sigma-set\} by fastforce
  then show False
     using \langle state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property p, }\sigma'' \rangle \rangle
   {\bf apply} \ (simp \ add: state-property-is-decided-def \ naturally-corresponding-state-property-def)
     by (meson \ \Sigma t\text{-}is\text{-}subset\text{-}of\text{-}\Sigma \ \langle \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \ \wedge \ \sigma'' \in \bigcap \ \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set\} \rangle
estimates-are-non-empty monotonic-futures order-refl subsetCE)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) two-party-consensus-safety-for-consensus-value-property:
  \forall \sigma 1 \sigma 2. \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t
```

 \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold $(\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)$

```
\longrightarrow consensus-value-property-is-decided (p, \sigma 1)
  \rightarrow \neg consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not p, \sigma2)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2
  have two-party: \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t
          \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\})
          \longrightarrow p \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 1
               \longrightarrow consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}not\ p\notin consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}decisions
\sigma 2
     using negation-is-not-decided-by-other-validator
     by (meson finite.emptyI finite.insertI order-refl)
 assume \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \land \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t and is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) and consensus-value-property-is-decided
(p, \sigma 1)
  then show ¬ consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not
p, \sigma 2
     using two-party
     apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) n-party-consensus-safety-for-power-set-of-decisions:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \ \sigma\text{-set}
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold ([] \sigma-set)
  \longrightarrow (\forall \ \sigma \ p\text{-set}.\ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \land p\text{-set} \in Pow\ ([\ ]\ \{consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}decisions\ \})
\sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}) - \{\emptyset\}
        \longrightarrow (\lambda c. \neg (\forall p \in p\text{-set. } p c)) \notin consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}decisions } \sigma)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma-set \sigma p-set
  assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  and \sigma \in \sigma-set \land p-set \in Pow ([] {consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \sigma'
\in \sigma-set\}) - \{\emptyset\}
  and (\lambda c. \neg (\forall p \in p\text{-set. } p c)) \in consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}decisions } \sigma
  hence \exists \ \sigma. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\
     using n-party-common-futures-exists by meson
  then obtain \sigma' where \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\ by auto
 hence \forall p \in p\text{-set.} \exists \sigma'' \in \sigma\text{-set. state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property)}
p, \sigma''
     using \langle \sigma \in \sigma \text{-set} \wedge p \text{-set} \in Pow (\bigcup \{consensus \text{-value-property-decisions } \sigma' \mid
\sigma'. \sigma' \in \sigma-set\}) – \{\emptyset\}
   apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def)
     by blast
  have \forall \ \sigma'' \in \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma' \in \text{futures} \ \sigma''
     using \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\} \rangle by blast
 hence \forall p \in p-set. state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property)
p, \sigma'
    using forward-consistency \forall p \in p\text{-set}. \exists \sigma'' \in \sigma\text{-set}. state-property-is-decided
```

```
(naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property\ p,\ \sigma'')
   by (meson \ \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\} \rangle \ \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \ subset CE)
  hence state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property (\lambda c. \forall p
\in p\text{-set. }p\ c),\ \sigma'
   apply (simp add: naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-property-is-decided-def)
    by auto
  then show False
    using \langle (\lambda c. \neg (\forall p \in p\text{-set. } p c)) \in consensus \text{-value-property-decisions } \sigma \rangle
   apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
naturally-corresponding-state-property-def\ state-property-is-decided-def)
   using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \land \sigma \in \sigma-set \land p-set \in Pow (\bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions\})
\sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}) - \{\emptyset\} \land (\sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\})
estimates-are-non-empty monotonic-futures by fastforce
qed
end
theory CliqueOracle
imports Main CBCCasper LatestMessage StateTransition ConsensusSafety
begin
definition agreeing :: (consensus-value-property * state * validator) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    agreeing = (\lambda(p, \sigma, v). \ \forall \ c \in L\text{-H-E } \sigma \ v. \ p \ c)
definition agreeing-validators :: (consensus-value-property * state) <math>\Rightarrow validator set
  where
      agreeing\text{-}validators = (\lambda(p, \sigma).\{v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators } \sigma.
agreeing (p, \sigma, v)
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) agreeing-validators-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ agreeing\text{-}validators \ (p, \ \sigma) \subseteq V
 apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def agreeing-validators-def)
 using observed-type-for-state by auto
lemma (in Protocol) agreeing-validators-finite:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ finite \ (agreeing-validators \ (p, \sigma))
 by (meson V-type agreeing-validators-type rev-finite-subset)
lemma (in Protocol) agreeing-validators-are-observed-non-equivocating-validators
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ agreeing\text{-}validators \ (p, \sigma) \subseteq observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators \ \sigma
 by (simp add: agreeing-validators-def)
lemma (in Protocol) agreeing-validators-are-not-equivocating:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ agreeing\text{-}validators \ (p, \sigma) \cap equivocating\text{-}validators \ \sigma = \emptyset
  using agreeing-validators-are-observed-non-equivocating-validators
        observed-non-equivocating-validators-are-not-equivocating
  by blast
\mathbf{definition} (in Params) disagreeing-validators :: (consensus-value-property* state)
\Rightarrow validator set
  where
   disagreeing-validators = (\lambda(p, \sigma), V - agreeing-validators (p, \sigma) - equivocating-validators
lemma (in Protocol) disagreeing-validators-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ disagreeing-validators \ (p, \sigma) \subseteq V
 apply (simp add: disagreeing-validators-def)
 by auto
lemma (in Protocol) disagreeing-validators-are-non-observed-or-not-agreeing:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ disagreeing-validators \ (p, \ \sigma) = \{v \in V - equivocating-validators \ \sigma. \ v \}
\notin observed \ \sigma \lor (\exists \ c \in L\text{-}H\text{-}E \ \sigma \ v. \neg p \ c) \}
 apply (simp add: disagreeing-validators-def agreeing-validators-def observed-non-equivocating-validators-def
agreeing-def)
 by blast
lemma (in Protocol) disagreeing-validators-include-not-agreeing-validators :
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \{v \in V - equivocating-validators \ \sigma. \ \exists \ c \in L\text{-}H\text{-}E \ \sigma \ v. \ \neg \ p \ c\} \subseteq
disagreeing-validators (p, \sigma)
  using disagreeing-validators-are-non-observed-or-not-agreeing by blast
{\bf lemma}~({\bf in}~Protocol)~weight-measure-agreeing-plus-equivocating:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma) \cup equivocating-validators \sigma)
= weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) + equivocation-fault-weight \sigma
  unfolding equivocation-fault-weight-def
 \textbf{using} \ agreeing-validators-are-not-equivocating \ weight-measure-disjoint-plus \ agreeing-validators-finite
```

```
equivo cating \hbox{-} validators \hbox{-} is \hbox{-} finite
  by simp
lemma (in Protocol) disagreeing-validators-weight-combined:
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) = weight-measure V -
weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) – equivocation-fault-weight \sigma
  unfolding disagreeing-validators-def
  using weight-measure-agreeing-plus-equivocating
  unfolding equivocation-fault-weight-def
 {\bf using} \ agreeing\text{-}validators\text{-}are\text{-}not\text{-}equivocating} \ weight\text{-}measure\text{-}subset\text{-}minus} \ agreeing\text{-}validators\text{-}finite
equivocating-validators-is-finite
 by (smt Diff-empty Diff-iff Int-iff V-type agreeing-validators-type equivocating-validators-type
finite-Diff old.prod.case subset-iff)
lemma (in Protocol) agreeing-validators-weight-combined:
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) = weight-measure V -
weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) – equivocation-fault-weight \sigma
  {\bf using} \ disagreeing\text{-}validators\text{-}weight\text{-}combined
  by simp
definition (in Params) majority :: (validator set * state) \Rightarrow bool
   majority = (\lambda(v-set, \sigma), (weight-measure\ v-set) > (weight-measure\ (V-equivocating-validators))
\sigma)) div 2))
definition (in Protocol) majority-driven :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow bool
    majority-driven p = (\forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ majority \ (agreeing-validators \ (p, \sigma), \sigma) \longrightarrow (\forall
c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ p \ c)
definition (in Protocol) max-driven :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow bool
  where
    max-driven p =
        (\forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ weight\text{-measure} \ (agreeing\text{-validators} \ (p, \ \sigma)) > weight\text{-measure}
(disagreeing\text{-}validators\ (p,\ \sigma)) \longrightarrow (\forall\ c \in \varepsilon\ \sigma.\ p\ c))
definition (in Protocol) max-driven-for-future :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow state
\Rightarrow bool
  where
    max-driven-for-future p \sigma =
      (\forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ is\text{-future-state} \ (\sigma, \sigma')
        \rightarrow weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma')) > weight-measure (disagreeing-validators
(p, \sigma')) \longrightarrow (\forall c \in \varepsilon \sigma'. p c))
```

 $\textbf{definition}\ later-disagreeing-messages:: (consensus-value-property* message* val-property* message* message* val-property* message* val-property* message*$

```
idator * state) \Rightarrow message set
  where
     later-disagreeing-messages = (\lambda(p, m, v, \sigma).\{m' \in later-from (m, v, \sigma). \neg p\}
(est m')\})
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) later-disagreeing-messages-type:
  \forall p \sigma v m. \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land m \in M \longrightarrow later-disagreeing-messages (p, m, v, v)
  unfolding later-disagreeing-messages-def
  using later-from-type-for-state by auto
definition is-clique :: (validator\ set*consensus-value-property*state) <math>\Rightarrow bool
 where
   is\text{-}clique = (\lambda(v\text{-}set, p, \sigma).
       (\forall v \in v\text{-set. } v \in observed\text{-non-equivocating-validators } \sigma
        \wedge \ (\forall \ v' \in v\text{-}set.
                agreeing (p, (the\text{-}elem (L-H-J \sigma v)), v')
                \wedge later-disagreeing-messages (p, the-elem (L-H-M (the-elem (L-H-J \sigma
v)) v'), v', \sigma) = \emptyset)))
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{non-equivocating-validator-is-non-equivocating-in-past} :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v \ \sigma'. \ v \in V \land \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \Sigma \land \textit{is-future-state} \ (\sigma', \sigma)
  \longrightarrow v \not\in \mathit{equivocating-validators}\ \sigma
  \longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma'
  \mathbf{oops}
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{validator-in-clique-see-L-H-M-of-others-is-singleton} \ :
  \forall v\text{-set } p \sigma. v\text{-set} \subseteq V \wedge \sigma \in \Sigma
  \longrightarrow is-clique (v-set, p, \sigma)
   \longrightarrow (\forall v v'. \{v, v'\} \subseteq v\text{-set} \longrightarrow is\text{-singleton} (L\text{-H-M} (the\text{-elem} (L\text{-H-J} \sigma v)))
v'))
  sorry
lemma (in Protocol) later-from-of-non-sender-not-affected-by-minimal-transitions
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m \ m' \ v. \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \ \land \ m \in M
```

```
\longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \,\longrightarrow\, v\,\in\, V\,-\, \{sender\,m\,'\!\}
  \longrightarrow later-from (m, v, \sigma) = later-from (m, v, \sigma')
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof-
  \mathbf{fix} \,\, \sigma \,\, \sigma' \,\, m \,\, m' \,\, v
  assume (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land m \in M
  assume m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  assume v \in V - \{sender m'\}
  have later-from (m,v,\sigma) = \{m'' \in \sigma \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{justified } m \text{ } m''\}
    apply (simp add: later-from-def from-sender-def later-def)
    by auto
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma . \text{ sender } m'' = v \land \text{ justified } m \text{ } m''\} \cup \emptyset
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{ justified } m \text{ } m''\} \cup \{m'' \in \{m'\}.
sender m'' = v
  proof-
    have \{m'' \in \{m'\}. \text{ sender } m'' = v\} = \emptyset
       using \langle v \in V - \{sender \ m'\} \rangle by auto
    thus ?thesis
       by blast
  qed
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{ justified } m \text{ } m''\} \cup \{m'' \in \{m'\}\text{.}
\mathit{sender}\ m^{\,\prime\prime} = \mathit{v}\ \land \mathit{justified}\ \mathit{m}\ \mathit{m}^{\,\prime\prime} \}
  proof-
    have sender m' = v \Longrightarrow justified m m'
       using \langle v \in V - \{sender \ m'\} \rangle by auto
    thus ?thesis
       \mathbf{by} blast
  qed
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma \cup \{m'\}\}. sender m'' = v \land justified m m''\}
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma' \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{ justified } m \text{ } m''\}
  proof -
    have \sigma' = \sigma \cup \{m'\}
        using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land m \in M \rangle \langle m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle
minimal-transitions-reconstruction by auto
    then show ?thesis
       \mathbf{by} auto
  \mathbf{qed}
  then have ... = later-from (m, v, \sigma')
    apply (simp add: later-from-def from-sender-def later-def)
    by auto
  then show later-from (m, v, \sigma) = later-from (m, v, \sigma')
    using \{m'' \in \sigma \cup \{m'\}\}. sender m'' = v \land justified\ m\ m''\} = \{m'' \in \sigma'.\ sender\ m'' \in \sigma'\}.
m'' = v \land justified \ m \ m'' \} \land calculation \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
qed
```

```
{\bf lemma~(in~\it Protocol)~equivocation-status-of-non-sender-not-affected-by-minimal-transitions}
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m' \ v. \ (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow v \in V - \{sender m'\}
  \longrightarrow v \in \mathit{equivocating-validators} \ \sigma \longleftrightarrow v \in \mathit{equivocating-validators} \ \sigma'
  oops
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) L-M-of-non-sender-not-affected-by-minimal-transitions:
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m' \ v. \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal-transitions
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow v \in V - \{sender m'\}
  \longrightarrow L-H-M \sigma v = L-H-M \sigma' v
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) latest-justificationss-of-non-sender-not-affected-by-minimal-transitions
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m' \ v. \ (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \,\longrightarrow\, v\,\in\, V\,-\, \{\mathit{sender}\,\, m\,'\!\}
  \longrightarrow L-H-J \sigma v = L-H-J \sigma' v
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) later-disagreeing-of-non-sender-not-affected-by-minimal-transitions
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m \ m' \ v. \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \ \land \ m \in M
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow v \in V - \{sender m'\}
  \longrightarrow later\text{-}disagreeing\text{-}messages\ (p,\ m,\ v,\ \sigma) = later\text{-}disagreeing\text{-}messages\ (p,\ m,\ v,\ \sigma)
v, \sigma'
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) clique-not-affected-by-message-from-non-member :
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow sender \ m \notin v\text{-}set
  \longrightarrow is\text{-}clique\ (v\text{-}set,\ p,\ \sigma)
  \longrightarrow is-clique (v-set, p, \sigma \cup \{m\})
  sorry
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) free-sub-clique:
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m' \ v\text{-set}. \ (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow is-clique (v-set, p, \sigma) = is-clique (v-set - {sender m'}, p, \sigma')
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) later-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator-include-all-earlier-messages
  \forall \ v \ \sigma \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \wedge \sigma 1 \in \Sigma \wedge \sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma \wedge \sigma 2 \subseteq \sigma \wedge \sigma 1 \cap \sigma 2 = \emptyset
  \longrightarrow (\forall m1 \in \sigma1. sender(m1) = v \longrightarrow (\forall m2 \in \sigma2. sender(m2) = v \longrightarrow m1)
\in justification(m2))
  using strict-subset-of-state-have-immediately-next-messages
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
  oops
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ message-between-minimal-transition-is-latest-message :
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m' \ v. \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal-transitions
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma'
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (L\text{-}H\text{-}M \sigma' v)
  oops
{\bf lemma\ (in\ Protocol)\ latest-message-from-non-equivocating-validator-is-previous-latest-or-later:}
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m' \ v. \ (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow sender m' \notin equivocating-validators \sigma \land v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma'
  \longrightarrow the-elem (L-H-M (justification m') v)
        = the-elem (L-H-M (the-elem (L-H-J \sigma (sender m'))) v)
       \vee justified (the-elem (L-H-M (the-elem (L-H-J \sigma (sender m'))) v))
                     (the\text{-}elem\ (L\text{-}H\text{-}M\ (justification\ m')\ v))
  \mathbf{oops}
lemma (in Protocol) justified-message-exists-in-later-from:
  \forall \sigma \ m1 \ m2. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land \{m1, \ m2\} \subseteq \sigma
  \longrightarrow justified m1 m2 \longrightarrow m2 \in later-from (m1, sender m1, \sigma)
  apply (simp add: later-from-def later-def from-sender-def)
  oops
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) non-equivocating-message-from-clique-see-clique-agreeing:
  \forall \sigma \sigma' m' v\text{-set. } (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-transitions} \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow is-clique (v\text{-set}, p, \sigma) \land sender m' \in v\text{-set} \land sender m' \notin equivocating-validators
  \longrightarrow v-set \subseteq agreeing-validators (p, justification m')
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) new-message-from-majority-clique-see-members-agreeing:
  \forall \sigma \sigma' m' v\text{-set.} (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-transitions} \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
   \longrightarrow \textit{is-clique} \; (\textit{v-set}, \, \textit{p}, \, \sigma) \; \land \; \textit{sender} \; \textit{m}' \in \textit{v-set} \; \land \; \textit{sender} \; \textit{m}' \notin \textit{equivocating-validators}
       \land (\forall v \in v\text{-set. majority } (v\text{-set, the-elem } (L\text{-}H\text{-}J \sigma v)))
  \longrightarrow sender m' \in agreeing-validators (p, justification m')
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) latest-message-in-justification-of-new-message-is-latest-message
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m' \ v\text{-set.} \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land v\text{-}set \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow sender m' \notin equivocating-validators \sigma'
   \longrightarrow the-elem (L-H-M (justification m') (sender m')) = the-elem (L-H-M \sigma
(sender m')
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) latest-message-justified-by-new-message:
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m' \ v\text{-set.} \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow sender m' \notin equivocating-validators \sigma'
  \longrightarrow justified (the-elem (L-H-M \sigma (sender m'))) m'
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) nothing-later-than-latest-honest-message:
  \forall \ v \ \sigma \ m. \ v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in M
```

```
\longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma'
  \longrightarrow later-from \ (the-elem \ (L-H-M \ \sigma \ v), \ v, \ \sigma) = \emptyset
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) later-messages-for-sender-is-new-message:
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m' \ v\text{-set}. \ (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow sender m' \notin equivocating-validators \sigma'
  \longrightarrow later-from (the-elem (L-H-M \sigma (sender m')), sender m', \sigma') = \{m'\}
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) later-disagreeing-is-monotonic:
  \forall \ v \ \sigma \ m1 \ m2. \ v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land \{m1, \ m2\} \subseteq M
  \longrightarrow justified m1 m2
   \longrightarrow later-disagreeing-messages (p, m2, v, \sigma) \subseteq later-disagreeing-messages (p, m2, v, \sigma)
m1, v, \sigma
  oops
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ empty-later-disagreeing-messages-in-new-message :
  \forall \sigma \sigma' m' v\text{-set } v p. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-transitions} \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V \land v \in V
  \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
  \longrightarrow sender m' \notin equivocating-validators \sigma'
  \longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma
  \longrightarrow later-disagreeing-messages (p, (the-elem (L-H-M (the-elem (L-H-J \sigma (sender
(m')(v)(v)(v)(v)(v)(v)(v) = \emptyset
   \longrightarrow later-disagreeing-messages (p, (the-elem (L-H-M (justification m') v)), v, \sigma)
= \emptyset
  oops
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) clique-not-affected-by-honest-message-from-member:
  \forall \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \land m \in M \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow sender \ m \in v\text{-}set
  \longrightarrow \neg is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
  \longrightarrow is-clique (v-set, p, \sigma)
  \longrightarrow is-clique (v-set, p, \sigma \cup \{m\})
  sorry
```

 $\textbf{definition} \ (\textbf{in} \ \textit{Params}) \ \textit{gt-threshold} :: (\textit{validator} \ \textit{set} * \textit{state}) \Rightarrow \textit{bool}$

```
where
    gt-threshold
          = (\lambda(v\text{-set}, \sigma).(weight\text{-measure } v\text{-set} > (weight\text{-measure } V) \text{ div } 2 + t -
weight-measure (equivocating-validators \sigma)))
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ gt\text{-}threshold\text{-}imps\text{-}majority\text{-}for\text{-}any\text{-}validator : }
  \forall \ \sigma \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow gt\text{-threshold} \ (v\text{-set}, \ \sigma)
  \longrightarrow (\forall v \in v\text{-set. majority } (v\text{-set, the-elem } (L\text{-H-J} \sigma v)))
  oops
definition (in Params) is-clique-oracle :: (validator set * state * consensus-value-property)
\Rightarrow bool
  where
    is-clique-oracle
        = (\lambda(v\text{-set}, \sigma, p), (is\text{-clique}(v\text{-set}, p, \sigma) \land g\text{-threshold}(v\text{-set}, \sigma)))
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) clique-oracles-preserved-over-message-from-non-member:
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow sender \ m \notin v\text{-}set
  \longrightarrow is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma, p)
  \longrightarrow is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma \cup \{m\}, p)
  using clique-not-affected-by-message-from-non-member
  unfolding is-clique-oracle-def gt-threshold-def
  \mathbf{using}\ equivocation\ -fault\ -weight\ -is\ -monotonic
  apply auto
 by (smt\ Un-insert-right\ \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma\ equivocation-fault-weight-def\ state-transition-by-immediately-next-m
subsetCE subset-insertI sup-bot.right-neutral)
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ clique-oracles-preserved-over-message-from-non-equivocating-member
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \land m \in M \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow sender \ m \in v\text{-}set
  \longrightarrow \neg is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
  \longrightarrow is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma, p)
  \longrightarrow is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma \cup \{m\}, p)
  \mathbf{using}\ clique-not-affected-by-honest-message-from-member
  unfolding is-clique-oracle-def gt-threshold-def
  using equivocating-validators-preserved-over-honest-message
```

using Σt -is-subset-of- Σ by auto

```
lemma (in Protocol) clique-oracles-preserved-over-message-from-equivocating-member
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow sender \ m \in v\text{-}set
  \longrightarrow is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
  \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma, p)
  \longrightarrow is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma \cup \{m\}, p)
  sorry
lemma (in Protocol) clique-oracles-preserved-over-immediately-next-message :
  \forall \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma, p)
  \longrightarrow is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma \cup \{m\}, p)
  using clique-oracles-preserved-over-message-from-non-member
         clique-oracles-preserved-over-message-from-non-equivocating-member
         clique\-oracles\-preserved\-over\-message\-from\-equivocating\-member
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-insert-right \Sigma t-def insert-subset mem-Collect-eq
state-is-subset-of-M)
lemma (in Protocol) clique-imps-everyone-agreeing:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow is-clique (v-set, p, \sigma)
  \longrightarrow v\text{-}set \subseteq agreeing\text{-}validators (p, \sigma)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof-
  fix \sigma v-set p assume \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V and is-clique (v-set, p, \sigma)
  then have clique: \forall v \in v-set. v \in observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma
            \land later-disagreeing-messages (p,
                                               the-elem (L-H-M
                                                   (the\text{-}elem\ (L\text{-}H\text{-}J\ \sigma\ v))\ v)
                                              , v, \sigma) = \emptyset
    by (simp add: is-clique-def)
  then have p-on-est: \forall v \in v\text{-set}. (\forall m \in \{m' \in \sigma \text{. sender } m' = v\})
                                             \land justified (the-elem (L-H-M
                                                                   (the\text{-}elem\ (L\text{-}H\text{-}J\ \sigma\ v))\ v))
```

```
p(est m)
   by (simp add: later-disagreeing-messages-def later-from-def later-def from-sender-def)
  have \forall v \in v\text{-set}. \ v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating-validators } \sigma
     using clique by simp
  then have \forall v \in v\text{-set.} the-elem (L-H-J \sigma v)
                         = justification (the-elem (L-H-M <math>\sigma v))
     apply (simp add: L-H-J-def)
   \textbf{by} \; (metis \; \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-}set \subseteq V \rangle \; empty\text{-}iff \; is\text{-}singleton\text{-}the\text{-}elem L-H-M-of\text{-}observed-non\text{-}equivocating-validator-}
singletonD \ singletonI \ the-elem-image-unique)
  then have justified-ok: \forall v \in v-set. justified (the-elem (L-H-M)
                                                                        (the\text{-}elem\ (L\text{-}H\text{-}J\ \sigma\ v))\ v))
                                             (the\text{-}elem\ (L\text{-}H\text{-}M\ \sigma\ v))
     \mathbf{using}\ validator\text{-}in\text{-}clique\text{-}see\text{-}L\text{-}H\text{-}M\text{-}of\text{-}others\text{-}is\text{-}singleton
   by (smt Diff-iff L-H-M-def L-H-M-is-in-the-state L-M-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty
M-type \forall v \in v-set. v \in observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma \land (\sigma \in \Sigma \land v-set \subseteq V)
\langle is\text{-}clique\ (v\text{-}set,\ p,\ \sigma) \rangle \ empty\text{-}subset I\ insert\text{-}subset\ is\text{-}singleton\text{-}the\text{-}elem\ justified\text{-}def
observed-non-equivocating-validators-def state-is-subset-of-M subsetCE)
  have sender-ok: \forall v \in v-set. sender (the-elem (L-H-M \sigma v)) = v
   using \forall v \in v\text{-set}. \ v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators\ } \sigma \land sender\text{-}of\text{-}L\text{-}H\text{-}M
     using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-}set \subseteq V \rangle by blast
  have \forall v \in v\text{-set}. the-elem (L\text{-}H\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v) \in \sigma
   using \forall v \in v\text{-set}.\ v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators\ } \sigma \land L\text{-}H\text{-}M\text{-}is\text{-}in\text{-}the\text{-}state
     \mathbf{using} \ \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \land \textit{v-set} \subseteq \textit{V} \rangle \ \mathbf{by} \ \textit{blast}
  then have \forall v \in v\text{-set. } p \text{ (est (the-elem (L-H-M <math>\sigma v)))}
     using p-on-est sender-ok justified-ok
     by blast
  then have \forall v \in v\text{-set}. p \text{ (the-elem (L-H-E } \sigma v))
    apply (simp add: L-H-E-def)
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) \forall v \in v-set. v \in observed-non-equivocating-validators
\sigma \land (\sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V) \ empty\text{-}iff is\text{-}singleton\text{-}the\text{-}elem L\text{-}H\text{-}M\text{-}of\text{-}observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}}validator\text{-}is\text{-}singleton
singletonD singletonI the-elem-image-unique)
  then show v-set \subseteq agreeing-validators (p, \sigma)
     unfolding agreeing-validators-def agreeing-def
   by (smt \ \forall \ v \in v \text{-set}. \ v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating-validators} \ \sigma \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \text{-set} \subseteq
V) is-singleton-the-elem mem-Collect-eq L-H-E-of-observed-non-equivocating-validator-is-singleton
old.prod.case singletonD subsetI)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) threshold-sized-clique-imps-estimator-agreeing :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow finite v-set
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
   \longrightarrow is-clique (v-set - equivocating-validators \sigma, p, \sigma) \land gt-threshold (v-set -
equivocating-validators \sigma, \sigma)
     \rightarrow (\forall c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ p \ c)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
```

```
fix \sigma v-set p c
  assume \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge v\text{-}set \subseteq V
  and finite v-set
  and majority-driven p
  and is-clique (v-set - equivocating-validators \sigma, p, \sigma) \wedge gt-threshold (v-set -
equivocating-validators \sigma, \sigma)
  and c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma
  then have v\text{-set} - equivocating\text{-}validators \ \sigma \subseteq agreeing\text{-}validators \ (p, \sigma)
    using clique-imps-everyone-agreeing
    by (meson Diff-subset \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma subsetCE subset-trans)
  then have weight-measure (v\text{-set} - equivocating\text{-validators }\sigma) \leq weight\text{-measure}
(agreeing-validators (p, \sigma))
   {\bf using} \ agreeing\text{-}validators\text{-}finite \ equivocating\text{-}validators\text{-}def \ weight\text{-}measure\text{-}subset\text{-}gte
          \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \langle \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v-set \subseteq V \rangle \langle finite v-set \rangle
    by (simp add: \Sigma t-def agreeing-validators-type)
  have weight-measure (v\text{-set} - equivocating\text{-}validators\ \sigma) > (weight-measure\ V)
div 2 + t - weight-measure (equivocating-validators \sigma)
    using \forall is-clique (v\text{-}set - equivocating\text{-}validators \sigma, p, \sigma) \land gt\text{-}threshold (v\text{-}set
- equivocating-validators \sigma, \sigma)
    unfolding gt-threshold-def by simp
  then have weight-measure (v-set - equivocating-validators \sigma) > (weight-measure
V) div 2
   using \Sigma t-def \langle \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge v-set \subseteq V \rangle equivocation-fault-weight-def is-faults-lt-threshold-def
 then have weight-measure (v-set - equivocating-validators \sigma) > (weight-measure
(V - equivocating-validators \sigma)) div 2
  proof -
    have finite (V - equivocating-validators \sigma)
      using V-type equivocating-validators-is-finite
      by simp
    moreover have V – equivocating-validators \sigma \subseteq V
      by (simp add: Diff-subset)
   ultimately have (weight-measure V) div 2 \ge (weight-measure (V - equivocating-validators
\sigma)) div 2
      using weight-measure-subset-qte
      by (simp add: V-type)
    then show ?thesis
    using \langle weight\text{-}measure\ V\ /\ 2 < weight\text{-}measure\ (v\text{-}set-equivocating-validators\ }
\sigma) by linarith
  qed
  then have weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) > weight-measure (V -
equivocating-validators \sigma) div 2
     using \langle weight\text{-}measure \ (v\text{-}set - equivocating\text{-}validators \ \sigma) \leq weight\text{-}measure
(agreeing-validators (p, \sigma))
    by linarith
  then show p c
   \mathbf{using}\ \langle majority\text{-}driven\ p \rangle\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ majority\text{-}driven\text{-}def\ majority\text{-}def\ qt\text{-}threshold\text{-}def
    \mathbf{using} \,\, \langle c \in \varepsilon \,\, \sigma \rangle
```

```
using Mi.simps \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \langle \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge v-set \subseteq V \rangle non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0
\mathbf{by} blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) clique-oracle-for-all-futures :
  \forall \ \sigma \ \textit{v-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ \textit{v-set} \subseteq \textit{V}
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma, p)
  \longrightarrow (\forall \ \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma. \ is-clique-oracle \ (v\text{-set}, \ \sigma', \ p))
  apply (rule+)
proof -
  fix \sigma v-set p \sigma'
  assume \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V and majority-driven p and is-clique-oracle (v-set,
\sigma, p) and \sigma' \in futures \sigma
  show is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma', p)
    {\bf using} \ \ clique-oracles-preserved-over-immediately-next-message
    sorry
qed
lemma (in Protocol) clique-oracle-is-safety-oracle:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow finite v-set
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma, p)
  \longrightarrow (\forall \ \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma. \ naturally-corresponding-state-property \ p \ \sigma')
  {\bf apply} \ rule +
proof -
  fix \sigma v-set p \sigma'
assume \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V and finite v-set and majority-driven p and is-clique-oracle
(v\text{-}set, \sigma, p) and \sigma' \in futures \sigma
 then have \forall \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma. \ is-clique-oracle \ (v\text{-set}, \ \sigma', \ p)
   using clique-oracle-for-all-futures
   by blast
 then have \forall \ \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ p \ c
   using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V \rangle (finite v-set) (majority-driven p) \langle \sigma' \in \text{futures } \sigma \rangle
   using threshold-sized-clique-imps-estimator-agreeing
   apply (simp add: futures-def is-clique-oracle-def)
   sorry
 then show naturally-corresponding-state-property p \sigma'
   apply (simp add: naturally-corresponding-state-property-def)
   using \langle \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma \rangle by blast
qed
end
theory Inspector
```

 ${\bf imports}\ {\it Main}\ {\it CBCCasper}\ {\it LatestMessage}\ {\it StateTransition}\ {\it ConsensusSafety}$ ${\bf begin}$

```
definition agreeing :: (consensus-value-property * state * validator) \Rightarrow bool
    agreeing = (\lambda(p, \sigma, v). \ \forall \ c \in L\text{-H--E } \sigma \ v. \ p \ c)
definition agreeing-validators :: (consensus-value-property * state) \Rightarrow validator set
      agreeing\text{-}validators = (\lambda(p, \sigma).\{v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators } \sigma.
agreeing \ (p,\,\sigma,\,v)\})
lemma (in Protocol) agreeing-validators-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ agreeing\text{-}validators \ (p, \ \sigma) \subseteq V
 {\bf apply} \ (simp \ add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def \ agreeing-validators-def)
  using observed-type-for-state by auto
{f lemma} (in Protocol) agreeing-validators-finite:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ finite \ (agreeing-validators \ (p, \sigma))
  by (meson V-type agreeing-validators-type rev-finite-subset)
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{agreeing-validators-are-observed-non-equivocating-validators}
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ agreeing\text{-}validators \ (p, \ \sigma) \subseteq observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators \ \sigma
  by (simp add: agreeing-validators-def)
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) agreeing-validators-are-not-equivocating:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ agreeing\text{-}validators \ (p, \sigma) \cap equivocating\text{-}validators \ \sigma = \emptyset
  using agreeing-validators-are-observed-non-equivocating-validators
         observed \hbox{-} non-equivocating \hbox{-} validators \hbox{-} are \hbox{-} not-equivocating
```

```
by blast
```

```
\mathbf{definition} (in Params) disagreeing-validators :: (consensus-value-property * state)
\Rightarrow validator set
  where
   disagreeing-validators = (\lambda(p, \sigma), V - agreeing-validators (p, \sigma) - equivocating-validators
lemma (in Protocol) disagreeing-validators-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ disagreeing-validators \ (p, \sigma) \subseteq V
 apply (simp add: disagreeing-validators-def)
 by auto
lemma (in Protocol) disagreeing-validators-are-non-observed-or-not-agreeing:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ disagreeing-validators \ (p, \sigma) = \{v \in V - equivocating-validators \ \sigma. \ v \}
\notin observed \ \sigma \lor (\exists \ c \in L\text{-}H\text{-}E \ \sigma \ v. \neg p \ c) \}
 {\bf apply} \ (simp \ add: \ disagreeing-validators-def \ agreeing-validators-def \ observed-non-equivocating-validators-def
agreeing-def)
 by blast
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ disagreeing-validators-include-not-agreeing-validators :
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \{v \in V - equivocating-validators \sigma. \exists c \in L-H-E \sigma v. \neg p c\} \subseteq
disagreeing-validators (p, \sigma)
  using disagreeing-validators-are-non-observed-or-not-agreeing by blast
lemma (in Protocol) weight-measure-agreeing-plus-equivocating:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma) \cup equivocating-validators \sigma)
= weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) + equivocation-fault-weight \sigma
 unfolding equivocation-fault-weight-def
 {f using}\ agreeing\text{-}validators\text{-}are\text{-}not\text{-}equivocating}\ weight\text{-}measure\text{-}disjoint\text{-}plus}\ agreeing\text{-}validators\text{-}finite
equivocating-validators-is-finite
 by simp
lemma (in Protocol) disagreeing-validators-weight-combined:
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. weight\text{-measure } (disagreeing\text{-validators } (p, \sigma)) = weight\text{-measure } V -
weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) – equivocation-fault-weight \sigma
  unfolding disagreeing-validators-def
  using weight-measure-agreeing-plus-equivocating
  unfolding equivocation-fault-weight-def
 \textbf{using} \ agreeing-validators-are-not-equivocating \ weight-measure-subset-minus \ agreeing-validators-finite
equivocating-validators-is-finite
 by (smt Diff-empty Diff-iff Int-iff V-type agreeing-validators-type equivocating-validators-type
finite-Diff old.prod.case subset-iff)
lemma (in Protocol) agreeing-validators-weight-combined:
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) = weight-measure V -
weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) – equivocation-fault-weight \sigma
  using disagreeing-validators-weight-combined
```

```
by simp
```

```
definition (in Params) majority :: (validator set * state) \Rightarrow bool
   majority = (\lambda(v-set, \sigma), (weight-measure\ v-set) > (weight-measure\ (V-equivocating-validators))
\sigma)) div 2))
definition (in Protocol) majority-driven :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow bool
    majority-driven p = (\forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ majority \ (agreeing-validators \ (p, \sigma), \sigma) \longrightarrow (\forall
c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ p \ c)
definition (in Protocol) max-driven :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow bool
  where
    max-driven p =
         (\forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ weight\text{-measure} \ (agreeing\text{-validators} \ (p, \ \sigma)) > weight\text{-measure}
(disagreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) \longrightarrow (\forall c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ p \ c))
definition (in Protocol) max-driven-for-future :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow state
\Rightarrow bool
  where
    max-driven-for-future p \sigma =
      (\forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ is-future-state \ (\sigma, \ \sigma')
       \longrightarrow weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma')) > weight-measure (disagreeing-validators
(p, \sigma')) \longrightarrow (\forall c \in \varepsilon \sigma'. p c)
\textbf{definition } \textit{later-disagreeing-messages} :: (\textit{consensus-value-property} * \textit{message} * \textit{val-property}) \\
idator * state) \Rightarrow message set
  where
     later-disagreeing-messages = (\lambda(p, m, v, \sigma).\{m' \in later-from (m, v, \sigma). \neg p\}
(est m')
lemma (in Protocol) later-disagreeing-messages-type:
  \forall p \sigma v m. \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land m \in M \longrightarrow later-disagreeing-messages (p, m, v, v)
\sigma) \subseteq M
  unfolding later-disagreeing-messages-def
  using later-from-type-for-state by auto
lemma (in Protocol) non-equivocating-validator-is-non-equivocating-in-past:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v \ \sigma'. \ v \in V \land \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \Sigma \land \textit{is-future-state} \ (\sigma', \sigma)
  \longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma
```

```
\longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma'
  oops
definition (in Params) gt-threshold :: (validator set * state) \Rightarrow bool
   where
     gt-threshold
         = (\lambda(v\text{-set}, \sigma).(weight\text{-measure } v\text{-set} > (weight\text{-measure } V) \text{ div } 2 + t \text{ div } 2
- weight-measure (equivocating-validators \sigma)))
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) gt-threshold-imps-majority-for-any-validator:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
   \longrightarrow gt\text{-threshold }(v\text{-set}, \sigma)
   \longrightarrow (\forall v \in v\text{-set. majority } (v\text{-set, the-elem } (L\text{-}H\text{-}J \sigma v)))
  oops
definition (in Params) inspector :: (validator set * state * consensus-value-property)
\Rightarrow bool
  where
     inspector
         = (\lambda(v\text{-}set, \sigma, p). v\text{-}set \neq \emptyset \land
               (\forall v \in v\text{-set. } v \in agreeing\text{-}validators (p, \sigma))
                \land (\exists v\text{-set'}. v\text{-set'} \subseteq v\text{-set} \land g\text{-threshold}(v\text{-set'}, the\text{-elem}(L\text{-}H\text{-}J \sigma v))
                 \land (\forall v' \in v \text{-} set'.
                          agreeing (p, (the\text{-}elem (L-H-J \sigma v)), v')
                       \land later-disagreeing-messages (p, the-elem (L-H-M (the-elem (L-H-J
(\sigma v)(v'), (v', \sigma) = (\emptyset)))
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) validator-in-inspector-see-L-H-M-of-others-is-singleton :
  \forall v\text{-set } p \sigma. v\text{-set} \subseteq V \wedge \sigma \in \Sigma
   \longrightarrow inspector\ (v\text{-}set,\ \sigma,\ p)
   \longrightarrow (\forall v v'. \{v, v'\} \subseteq v\text{-set} \longrightarrow is\text{-singleton} (L\text{-H-M} (the\text{-elem} (L\text{-H-J} \sigma v))
v'))
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) inspector-imps-everyone-agreeing:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
   \longrightarrow inspector (v\text{-}set, \sigma, p)
   \longrightarrow v\text{-set} \subseteq agreeing\text{-}validators\ (p, \sigma)
  apply (simp add: inspector-def)
  by blast
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) inspector-imps-gt-threshold:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
```

```
\longrightarrow inspector (v\text{-}set, \sigma, p)
  \longrightarrow gt\text{-}threshold(v\text{-}set, \sigma)
  apply (rule+)
proof -
  fix \sigma v-set p
  assume \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-}set \subseteq V
  assume inspector (v-set, \sigma, p)
  hence \exists v \in v\text{-set}. \exists v\text{-set}' \in v\text{-set} \land gt\text{-threshold}(v\text{-set}', the\text{-elem}(L\text{-}H\text{-}J))
\sigma(v)
    apply (simp add: inspector-def)
    by blast
  hence \exists v \in v\text{-set}. gt\text{-threshold}(v\text{-set}, the\text{-elem}(L\text{-}H\text{-}J \sigma v))
    apply (simp add: gt-threshold-def)
    using weight-measure-subset-gte
    by (smt \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-}set \subseteq V \rangle)
  hence \exists v \in v\text{-set.} qt\text{-threshold}(v\text{-set}, the\text{-elem}(L\text{-}H\text{-}J \sigma v)) \land the\text{-elem}(L\text{-}H\text{-}J)
\sigma v \subset \sigma
    using L-H-J-is-subset-of-the-state \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V \rangle
    sorry
  show gt-threshold (v-set, \sigma)
    apply (simp add: gt-threshold-def)
    \mathbf{using}\ equivocation\mbox{-} fault\mbox{-} weight\mbox{-} is\mbox{-} monotonic
    apply (simp add: equivocation-fault-weight-def)
    sorry
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) inspector-imps-estimator-agreeing:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow finite \ v\text{-}set
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow inspector (v\text{-}set, \sigma, p)
  \longrightarrow (\forall c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ p \ c)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma v-set p c
 assume \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V and finite v-set and majority-driven p and inspector
(v\text{-}set, \sigma, p)
  and c \in \varepsilon \sigma
  then have weight-measure v-set \leq weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma))
    using inspector-imps-everyone-agreeing
    using weight-measure-subset-gte
    using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma agreeing-validators-type by auto
   then have weight-measure v-set > (weight-measure V) div 2 + t div 2 -
weight-measure (equivocating-validators \sigma)
    using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V \rangle \langle inspector (v\text{-set}, \sigma, p) \rangle
    \mathbf{using}\ inspector\text{-}imps\text{-}gt\text{-}threshold
```

```
using qt-threshold-def
    using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma by auto
  then have weight-measure v-set > (weight-measure V) div 2 - weight-measure
(equivocating-validators \sigma) div 2
   using \Sigma t-def \langle \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge v-set \subseteq V \rangle equivocation-fault-weight-def is-faults-lt-threshold-def
    by auto
 then have weight-measure v-set > (weight-measure (V - equivocating-validators
\sigma)) div 2
     by (metis Protocol. V-type Protocol-axioms \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \land \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v-set
\subseteq V \land diff-divide-distrib equivocating-validators-is-finite equivocating-validators-type
subsetCE \ weight-measure-subset-minus)
  then have weight-measure (agreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) > weight-measure (V -
equivocating-validators \sigma) div 2
    using \langle weight\text{-}measure \ v\text{-}set \leq weight\text{-}measure \ (agreeing\text{-}validators \ (p, \sigma)) \rangle
    by auto
  then show p c
   \mathbf{using} \ \langle majority\text{-}driven\ p \rangle \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ majority\text{-}driven\text{-}def\ majority\text{-}def\ qt\text{-}threshold\text{-}def
    using \langle c \in \varepsilon | \sigma \rangle
   using Mi.simps \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \langle \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge v-set \subseteq V \rangle non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0
by blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) later-from-of-non-sender-not-affected-by-minimal-transitions
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ m' \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ m' \in M \ \land \ v \in V
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m')
  \longrightarrow v \in V - \{sender m'\}
  \longrightarrow later-from\ (m,\ v,\ \sigma) = later-from\ (m,\ v,\ \sigma\cup\{m'\})
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) equivocation-status-of-non-sender-not-affected-by-minimal-transitions
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v \in V
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow v \in V - \{sender m\}
  \longrightarrow v \in equivocating-validators \ \sigma \longleftrightarrow v \in equivocating-validators \ (\sigma \cup \{m\})
  oops
```

```
\forall \sigma m v. \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge m \in M \wedge v \in V
      \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
      \longrightarrow v \in V - \{sender m\}
      \longrightarrow L-H-M \sigma v = L-H-M (\sigma \cup \{m\}) v
     oops
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ latest-justificationss-of-non-sender-not-affected-by-minimal-transitions
     \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v \in V
      \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
      \longrightarrow v \in V - \{sender m\}
      \longrightarrow L-H-J \sigma v = L-H-J (\sigma \cup \{m\}) v
     oops
lemma (in Protocol) later-disagreeing-of-non-sender-not-affected-by-minimal-transitions
     \forall \ \sigma \ m \ m' \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ m' \in M \ \land \ v \in V
     \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m')
     \longrightarrow v \in V - \{sender m'\}
      \longrightarrow later-disagreeing-messages (p, m, v, \sigma) = later-disagreeing
v, \sigma \cup \{m'\})
     oops
lemma (in Protocol) inspector-preserved-over-message-from-non-member :
     \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v\text{-}set \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v\text{-}set \subseteq \ V
      \longrightarrow majority-driven p
      \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
      \longrightarrow sender \ m \notin v\text{-}set
      \longrightarrow inspector (v\text{-}set, \sigma, p)
      \longrightarrow inspector\ (v\text{-}set,\ \sigma\cup\{m\},\ p)
     sorry
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ later-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator-include-all-earlier-messages
  \forall \ v \ \sigma \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \wedge \sigma 1 \in \Sigma \wedge \sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma \wedge \sigma 2 \subseteq \sigma \wedge \sigma 1 \cap \sigma 2 = \emptyset
  \longrightarrow (\forall m1 \in \sigma1. sender m1 = v)
       \longrightarrow (\forall m2 \in \sigma2. \ sender \ m2 = v \longrightarrow m1 \in justification \ m2))
  using strict-subset-of-state-have-immediately-next-messages
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
  oops
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{message-between-minimal-transition-is-latest-message} \ :
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v \in V
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators (\sigma \cup \{m\})
  \longrightarrow m = the\text{-}elem \ (L\text{-}H\text{-}M \ (\sigma \cup \{m\}) \ v)
  oops
{\bf lemma\ (in\ Protocol)\ latest-message-from-non-equivocating-validator-is-the-previous-one-or-later:}
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v \in V
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow sender m \notin equivocating-validators (\sigma \cup \{m\})
  \longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma
  \longrightarrow the-elem (L-H-M (justification m) v) = the-elem (L-H-M (the-elem (L-H-J
\sigma (sender m))) v)
        \vee justified (the-elem (L-H-M (the-elem (L-H-J \sigma (sender m))) v)) (the-elem
(L-H-M (justification m) v))
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) justified-message-exists-in-later-from:
  \forall \sigma \ m1 \ m2. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land \{m1, \ m2\} \subseteq \sigma
  \longrightarrow justified m1 m2
  \longrightarrow m2 \in later-from (m1, sender m2, \sigma)
  by (simp add: later-from-def later-def from-sender-def)
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ non-equivocating-message-from-member-see-all-members-agreeing
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow sender \ m \in v\text{-}set
  \longrightarrow \neg is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
  \longrightarrow inspector\ (v\text{-}set,\ \sigma,\ p)
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) new-message-from-member-see-all-members-agreeing:
  \forall \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \land m \in M \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow sender \ m \in v\text{-}set
  \longrightarrow \neg is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
  \longrightarrow inspector\ (v\text{-}set,\ \sigma,\ p)
  \longrightarrow sender m \in agreeing-validators (p, justification m)
  oops
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ latest-message-in-justification-of-new-message-is-latest-message
  \forall \ \sigma \ m. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow \neg is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
  \longrightarrow the-elem (L-H-M (justification m) (sender m)) = the-elem (L-H-M \sigma (sender
m))
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) latest-message-justified-by-new-message:
  \forall \sigma m. \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge m \in M
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow \neg is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
  \longrightarrow justified (the-elem (L-H-M \sigma (sender m))) m
  oops
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) nothing-later-than-latest-honest-message:
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v \in V
  \longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma
  \longrightarrow later-from \ (the-elem \ (L-H-M \ \sigma \ v), \ v, \ \sigma) = \emptyset
  oops
lemma (in Protocol) later-messages-for-sender-is-new-message :
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set.} \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge m \in M
```

 $\longrightarrow v\text{-set} \subseteq agreeing\text{-}validators\ (p, justification\ m)$

oops

```
\longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
      \longrightarrow \neg is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
      \longrightarrow later-from (the-elem (L-H-M \sigma (sender m)), sender m, \sigma \cup \{m\}) = \{m\}
     oops
lemma (in Protocol) later-disagreeing-is-monotonic:
     \forall v \sigma m1 m2 p. v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M
      \longrightarrow justified m1 m2
       \longrightarrow later-disagreeing-messages (p, m2, v, \sigma) \subseteq later-disagreeing-messages (p, v, v, v, \sigma) \subseteq later-disagreeing
m1, v, \sigma
     oops
lemma (in Protocol) empty-later-disagreeing-messages-in-new-message:
     \forall \sigma m v p. \sigma \in \Sigma t \wedge m \in M \wedge v \in V
     \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
     \longrightarrow \neg is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
     \longrightarrow later-disagreeing-messages (p, (the-elem (L-H-M (the-elem (L-H-J \sigma (sender
(m)(v)(v)(v)(v)(\sigma) = \emptyset
      \longrightarrow later-disagreeing-messages (p, (the\text{-elem } (L\text{-}H\text{-}M \ (justification \ m) \ v)), \ v, \ \sigma)
= \emptyset
     oops
lemma (in Protocol) inspector-preserved-over-message-from-non-equivocating-member
     \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v\text{-set} \subseteq \ V
      \longrightarrow majority-driven p
      \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
      \longrightarrow sender \ m \in v\text{-}set
     \longrightarrow \neg is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
     \longrightarrow inspector\ (v\text{-}set,\ \sigma,\ p)
      \longrightarrow inspector\ (v\text{-}set,\ \sigma\cup\{m\},\ p)
  sorry
lemma (in Protocol) inspector-preserved-over-message-from-equivocating-member
     \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \land \ m \in M \ \land \ v\text{-set} \subseteq V
      \longrightarrow majority-driven p
      \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
      \longrightarrow sender \ m \in v\text{-}set
      \longrightarrow is-equivocating (\sigma \cup \{m\}) (sender m)
```

```
\longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow inspector\ (v\text{-}set,\ \sigma,\ p)
  \longrightarrow inspector\ (v\text{-set},\ \sigma\cup\{m\},\ p)
  sorry
lemma (in Protocol) inspector-preserved-over-immediately-next-message :
  \forall \ \sigma \ m \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow inspector (v\text{-}set, \sigma, p)
  \longrightarrow inspector\ (v\text{-}set,\ \sigma\cup\{m\},\ p)
  using inspector-preserved-over-message-from-non-member
         inspector-preserved-over-message-from-non-equivocating-member\\
         inspector\mbox{-}preserved\mbox{-}over\mbox{-}message\mbox{-}from\mbox{-}equivocating\mbox{-}member
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-insert-right \Sigmat-def insert-subset mem-Collect-eq
state-is-subset-of-M)
lemma (in Protocol) inspector-preserved-forever:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow inspector\ (v\text{-}set,\ \sigma,\ p)
  \longrightarrow (\forall \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma. \ inspector \ (v\text{-set}, \ \sigma', \ p))
  apply (rule+)
proof -
  fix \sigma v-set p \sigma'
  assume \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V and majority-driven p and inspector (v\text{-set}, \sigma, p)
and \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma
  show inspector (v-set, \sigma', p)
    {\bf using} \ in spector-preserved-over-immediately-next-message
    sorry
qed
lemma (in Protocol) inspector-is-safety-oracle :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v\text{-set} \ p. \ \sigma \in \Sigma t \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V
  \longrightarrow finite \ v\text{-}set
  \longrightarrow majority-driven p
  \longrightarrow inspector (v\text{-}set, \sigma, p)
  \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property p, \sigma)
  using inspector-preserved-forever inspector-imps-estimator-agreeing
 apply (simp add: naturally-corresponding-state-property-def futures-def state-property-is-decided-def)
  by meson
```

```
imports Main HOL. Real CBCCasper LatestMessage CliqueOracle ConsensusSafety
begin
locale BlockchainParams = Params +
  fixes genesis :: consensus-value
 and prev :: consensus-value \Rightarrow consensus-value
fun (in BlockchainParams) n\text{-}cestor :: consensus\text{-}value * nat <math>\Rightarrow consensus\text{-}value
  where
   n-cestor (b, \theta) = b
 \mid n\text{-cestor }(b, n) = n\text{-cestor }(prev \ b, n-1)
definition (in BlockchainParams) blockchain-membership :: consensus-value <math>\Rightarrow
consensus-value \Rightarrow bool (infixl \mid 70)
  where
   b1 \mid b2 = (\exists n. n \in \mathbb{N} \land b1 = n\text{-}cestor (b2, n))
notation (ASCII)
  comp (infixl blockchain-membership 70)
lemma (in BlockchainParams) prev-membership:
 prev b \mid b
 apply (simp add: blockchain-membership-def)
 by (metis\ BlockchainParams.n-cestor.simps(1)\ BlockchainParams.n-cestor.simps(2)
Nats-1 One-nat-def diff-Suc-1)
definition (in BlockchainParams) block-conflicting::(consensus-value * consensus-value)
\Rightarrow bool
  where
    block-conflicting = (\lambda(b1, b2). \neg (b1 \mid b2 \lor b2 \mid b1))
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} BlockchainParams) n\text{-}cestor\text{-}transitive:
  \forall n1 \ n2 \ x \ y \ z. \ \{n1, \ n2\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}
   \longrightarrow x = n\text{-}cestor(y, n1)
```

end

theory TFGCasper

 $\longrightarrow y = n\text{-}cestor(z, n2)$

apply (rule, rule)

 $\rightarrow x = n\text{-}cestor (z, n1 + n2)$

```
proof -
     fix n1 n2
    show \forall x \ y \ z. \{n1, n2\} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow x = n\text{-}cestor \ (y, n1) \longrightarrow y = n\text{-}cestor \ (z, n2)
 \longrightarrow x = n\text{-}cestor\ (z, n1 + n2)
          apply (induction n2)
          apply simp
          apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
      proof –
          fix n2 \times y \times z
          assume \forall x \ y \ z. \{n1, n2\} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow x = n\text{-}cestor \ (y, n1) \longrightarrow y = n\text{-}cestor \ (z, n2) \longrightarrow y = n\text{-}cestor \ (z, n3) \longrightarrow y = n\text{-}cestor 
n2) \longrightarrow x = n\text{-}cestor (z, n1 + n2)
          assume \{n1, Suc\ n2\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}
          assume x = n-cestor (y, n1)
          assume y = n\text{-}cestor (z, Suc n2)
          then have y = n-cestor (prev z, n2)
                by simp
          have \{n1, n2\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}
                by (simp add: Nats-def)
          then have x = n-cestor (prev z, n1 + n2)
                using \langle x = n\text{-}cestor\ (y,\ n1)\rangle\ \langle y = n\text{-}cestor\ (prev\ z,\ n2)\rangle
                                  \forall x \ y \ z. \ \{n1, \ n2\} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow x = n\text{-}cestor \ (y, \ n1) \longrightarrow y = n\text{-}cestor \ (z, \ n2)
n2) \longrightarrow x = n\text{-}cestor (z, n1 + n2)
                by simp
          then show x = n\text{-}cestor\ (z,\ n1 + Suc\ n2)
                \mathbf{by} \ simp
     qed
qed
lemma (in Blockchain Params) transitivity-of-blockchain-membership :
      b1 \mid b2 \land b2 \mid b3 \Longrightarrow b1 \mid b3
     apply (simp add: blockchain-membership-def)
     using n-cestor-transitive
     by (metis id-apply of-nat-eq-id of-nat-in-Nats subsetI)
lemma (in BlockchainParams) irreflexivity-of-blockchain-membership:
      b \mid b
     apply (simp add: blockchain-membership-def)
     using Nats-0 by fastforce
\mathbf{definition} \ (\mathbf{in} \ BlockchainParams) \ block-membership :: consensus-value \Rightarrow consensus-value-property
      where
          block-membership b = (\lambda b', b \mid b')
{f lemma}~({f in}~Block chain Params)~also-agreeing-on-ancestors:
      b' \mid b \implies agreeing (block-membership b, \sigma, v) \implies agreeing (block-membership)
b', \sigma, v
     apply (simp add: agreeing-def block-membership-def)
```

```
definition (in BlockchainParams) children :: consensus-value * state <math>\Rightarrow consensus-value
set
  where
    children = (\lambda(b, \sigma), \{b' \in est '\sigma, b = prev b'\})
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Block chain Params) \ observed-block-is-children-of-prev-block:
  \forall b \in est \ \text{`} \sigma. \ b \in children \ (prev \ b, \ \sigma)
 by (simp add: children-def)
lemma (in BlockchainParams) children-membership:
  \forall b \in children (b', \sigma). b' \mid b
 apply (simp add: children-def)
 by (metis BlockchainParams.blockchain-membership-def BlockchainParams.n-cestor.simps(2)
diff-Suc-1 id-apply n-cestor.simps(1) of-nat-eq-id of-nat-in-Nats)
locale\ Blockchain = Blockchain Params + Protocol +
  assumes blockchain-type: \forall b b' b'' . \{b, b', b''\} \subseteq C \longrightarrow b' \mid b \land b'' \mid b \longrightarrow
(b' \perp b'' \vee b'' \perp b')
  and children-conflicting: \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall b b1 b2. \{b, b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq
children (b, \sigma) \longrightarrow block-conflicting (b1, b2)
 and prev-type: \forall b. b \in C \longleftrightarrow prev b \in C
  and genesis-type: genesis \in C \ \forall \ b \in C. genesis \mid b \ prev \ genesis = genesis
lemma (in Blockchain) children-type:
 \forall b \sigma. b \in C \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow children (b, \sigma) \subseteq C
  apply (simp add: children-def)
 using prev-type by auto
lemma (in Blockchain) children-finite:
  \forall b \sigma. b \in C \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow finite (children (b, \sigma))
  apply (simp add: children-def)
  using state-is-finite
 by simp
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Blockchain) \ conflicting-blocks-imps-conflicting-decision:
 \forall b1 b2 \sigma. \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land \sigma \in \Sigma
    \longrightarrow block\text{-}conflicting (b1, b2)
    \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-is-decided (block-membership b1, \sigma)
   \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not (block-membership
```

```
b2), \sigma)
  apply (simp add: block-membership-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
            naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-property-is-decided-def)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix b1 b2 \sigma
 assume b1 \in C \land b2 \in C \land \sigma \in \Sigma and block-conflicting (b1, b2) and \forall \sigma \in futures
\sigma. \forall b' \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. b1 \mid b'
  show \forall \sigma \in futures \sigma. \forall c \in \varepsilon \sigma. \neg b2 \mid c
  proof (rule ccontr)
     assume \neg (\forall \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \forall c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \neg \ b2 \mid c)
     hence \exists \ \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ b2 \mid c
       by blast
     hence \exists \ \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ b2 \mid c \land b1 \mid c
       using \forall \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \forall \ b' \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ b1 \mid b' \rangle by simp
     hence b1 \mid b2 \vee b2 \mid b1
       using blockchain-type
       apply (simp)
      using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \land b1 \in C \land b2 \in C \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land estimates-are-subset-of-C
futures-def by blast
     then show False
       using \langle block\text{-}conflicting\ (b1,\ b2) \rangle
       by (simp add: block-conflicting-def)
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
theorem (in Blockchain) blockchain-safety:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
   \longrightarrow (\forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ b1 \ b2, \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land block\text{-conflicting} \ (b1, b2)
\land block-membership b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
         \rightarrow block-membership b2 \notin consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma')
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma-set \sigma \sigma' b1 b2
   assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  and \{\sigma, \sigma'\}\subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land \{b1, b2\}\subseteq C \land block\text{-conflicting }(b1, b2) \land block\text{-membership}
b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
   and block-membership b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma'
  \mathbf{hence} \neg consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}not (block\text{-}membership)}
b1), \sigma'
         using negation-is-not-decided-by-other-validator \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle (finite \sigma\text{-set})
\langle is-faults-lt-threshold\ (\bigcup \sigma-set) \rangle apply (simp\ add:\ consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
          using \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set } \wedge \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \wedge block\text{-conflicting } (b1, b2) \wedge
block-membership b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma > by auto
   have \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land block\text{-conflicting } (b1, b2)
        using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \langle \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma-set \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land b
```

```
block-conflicting (b1, b2) \land block-membership b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma by auto
  {\bf hence}\ consensus-value-property-is-decided\ (consensus-value-property-not\ (block-membership))
b1), \sigma'
   using \langle block-membership b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma' \rangle conflicting-blocks-imps-conflicting-dec
     apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
       by (metis \ \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \ \langle finite \ \sigma\text{-set} \rangle \ \langle is\text{-faults-lt-threshold} \ (\bigcup \sigma\text{-set}) \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma\} \rangle 
\sigma' \subseteq \sigma-set \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land block-conflicting (b1, b2) \land block-membership b1
\in consensus-value-property-decisions | \sigma \rangle | conflicting-blocks-imps-conflicting-decision
consensus-value-property-decisions-definsert-subset\ mem-Collect-eq\ negation-is-not-decided-by-other-validator)
   then show False
       \mathbf{using} \  \, \lnot \  \, consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided } \  \, (consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}not
(block-membership b1), \sigma') by blast
 qed
theorem (in Blockchain) no-decision-on-conflicting-blocks:
  \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)
  \longrightarrow (\forall b1 \ b2. \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land block\text{-conflicting } (b1, b2)
       \longrightarrow block-membership b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 1
       \longrightarrow block-membership b2 \notin consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma2)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma 1 \sigma 2 b1 b2
  assume \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t and is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) and \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C
\land block\text{-}conflicting (b1, b2)
  and block-membership b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 1
  and block-membership b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2
  hence consensus-value-property-is-decided (block-membership b1, \sigma1)
    by (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
 \mathbf{hence} \neg consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}not (block\text{-}membership
b1), \sigma 2)
   \textbf{using} \ two-party-consensus-safety-for-consensus-value-property \ (is-faults-lt-threshold)
(\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) \setminus \{ \sigma 1, \sigma 2 \} \subseteq \Sigma t \cup \mathbf{by} \ blast
  have block-membership b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2
    using \langle block-membership b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2 \rangle
    by (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
  have \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t \land \{b2, b1\} \subseteq C \land block\text{-conflicting } (b2, b1)
    using \langle \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \langle \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \wedge block-conflicting (b1, b2) \rangle by (simp)
add: block-conflicting-def)
 hence consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not (block-membership
b1), \sigma 2)
   using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma consensus-value-property-decisions-def by auto
  then show False
       using \leftarrow consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not
```

```
definition (in BlockchainParams) score :: state <math>\Rightarrow consensus-value \Rightarrow real
  where
    score \sigma b = weight-measure (agreeing-validators (block-membership b, \sigma))
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Blockchain}) \ \mathit{unfolding-agreeing-on-block-membership} :
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ agreeing-validators \ (block-membership \ b, \ \sigma) = \{v \in V. \ \exists \ b' \in L-H-E \}
\sigma v. b \mid b'
proof -
  have \forall v \sigma. v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma
          \longrightarrow (v \in observed \ \sigma \land (\forall \ x \in L\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v. \ b \mid est \ x)) = (v \in observed \ \sigma \land (\forall \ x \in L\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v. \ b \mid est \ x))
(\exists x \in L\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v. \ b \mid est \ x))
    using observed-non-equivocating-validators-have-one-latest-message
    unfolding observed-non-equivocating-validators-def is-singleton-def
    by (metis Diff-iff empty-iff insert-iff)
  moreover have \forall v \sigma. v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma
         \longrightarrow (v \in V \land (\exists x \in L\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v. \ b \mid est \ x)) = (v \in observed \ \sigma \land (\exists x \in L\text{-}M
\sigma v. b \mid est x)
    apply (simp add: observed-def L-M-def from-sender-def)
    by auto
  ultimately have \forall v \sigma. v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma
           \longrightarrow (v \in V \land (\exists x \in L\text{-}M \ \sigma \ v. \ b \mid est \ x)) = (v \in observed \ \sigma \land (\forall x \in L\text{-}M \ \sigma ))
L-M \sigma v. b \mid est x))
    by blast
  then have \forall v \sigma. v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma
          \longrightarrow (v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma \longrightarrow v \in V \land (\exists \ x \in L-M \ \sigma \ v. \ b \mid est
(x) = (v \notin equivocating - validators \sigma \longrightarrow v \in observed \sigma \land (\forall x \in L - M \sigma v. b)
est x)
    by blast
  show ?thesis
   apply (simp add: agreeing-validators-def agreeing-def observed-non-equivocating-validators-def
L-H-E-def L-H-M-def block-membership-def)
    using \forall v \sigma. v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma
           \longrightarrow (v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma \longrightarrow v \in V \land (\exists \ x \in L-M \ \sigma \ v. \ b \mid est
(x) = (v \notin equivocating-validators \sigma \longrightarrow v \in observed \sigma \land (\forall x \in L-M \sigma v. b)
est (x))
     observed-type-for-state
    by blast
qed
```

(block-membership b1), $\sigma 2$) by blast

qed

definition (in BlockchainParams) $score-magnitude :: state <math>\Rightarrow consensus-value \ rel$

```
where
    score-magnitude \sigma = \{(b1, b2), \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land score \ \sigma \ b1 \leq score \ \sigma \ b2\}
lemma (in Blockchain) transitivity-of-score-magnitude:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ trans \ (score-magnitude \ \sigma)
 by (simp add: trans-def score-magnitude-def)
lemma (in Blockchain) reflexivity-of-score-magnitude:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ refl-on \ C \ (score-magnitude \ \sigma)
  apply (simp add: refl-on-def score-magnitude-def)
 by auto
lemma (in Blockchain) score-magnitude-is-preorder:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ preorder-on \ C \ (score-magnitude \ \sigma)
 unfolding preorder-on-def
  using reflexivity-of-score-magnitude transitivity-of-score-magnitude by simp
lemma (in Blockchain) totality-of-score-magnitude:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ Relation.total-on \ C \ (score-magnitude \ \sigma)
  apply (simp add: Relation.total-on-def score-magnitude-def)
 by auto
definition (in BlockchainParams) score-magnitude-children :: <math>state \Rightarrow consensus-value
\Rightarrow consensus-value rel
  where
    score-magnitude-children \sigma b = \{(b1, b2), \{b1, b2\} \subseteq children (b, \sigma) \land score\}
\sigma \ b1 \leq score \ \sigma \ b2
lemma (in Blockchain) transitivity-of-score-magnitude-children:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ b \in C. \ trans \ (score-magnitude-children \ \sigma \ b)
 by (simp add: trans-def score-magnitude-children-def)
lemma (in Blockchain) reflexivity-of-score-magnitude-children:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ b \in C. \ refl-on \ (children \ (b, \ \sigma)) \ (score-magnitude-children \ \sigma \ b)
  apply (simp add: refl-on-def score-magnitude-children-def)
 by blast
lemma (in Blockchain) score-magnitude-children-is-preorder:
 \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall b \in C. \ preorder-on \ (children \ (b, \sigma)) \ (score-magnitude-children \ \sigma \ b)
 unfolding preorder-on-def
 {\bf using} \ reflexivity-of-score-magnitude-children \ transitivity-of-score-magnitude-children
by simp
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Blockchain) \ totality \text{-} of \text{-} score \text{-} magnitude \text{-} children :
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ b \in C. \ Relation.total-on (children (b, \sigma)) (score-magnitude-children
  apply (simp add: Relation.total-on-def score-magnitude-children-def)
  by auto
```

```
\mathbf{definition} \ (\mathbf{in} \ BlockchainParams) \ best-children :: consensus-value * state \Rightarrow consensus-value
set
    best-children = (\lambda \ (b, \sigma). \ \{b' \in C. \text{ is-arg-max (score } \sigma) \ (\lambda b'. \ b' \in \text{children (b, } \sigma)) \}
\sigma)) b'\})
lemma (in Blockchain) best-children-type:
  \forall b \sigma. b \in C \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow best-children (b, \sigma) \subseteq C
  by (simp add: is-arg-max-def best-children-def)
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Blockchain) best-children-finite:
  \forall b \sigma. b \in C \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow finite (best-children (b, \sigma))
  apply (simp add: best-children-def is-arg-max-def)
  using children-finite
  by auto
lemma (in Blockchain) best-children-existence :
  \forall b \sigma. b \in C \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow children (b, \sigma) \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow best-children (b, \sigma) \in Pow
C - \{\emptyset\}
proof -
  have \forall b \sigma. b \in C \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow children (b, \sigma) \neq \emptyset
    \longrightarrow (\exists b'. maximum-on-non-strict (children (b, \sigma)) (score-magnitude-children
\sigma b) b')
    using totality-of-score-magnitude-children score-magnitude-children-is-preorder
      children-finite children-type connex-preorder-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-maximum
    by blast
  then show ?thesis
   apply (simp add: score-magnitude-children-def best-children-def is-arg-max-def)
    apply (simp add: maximum-on-non-strict-def upper-bound-on-non-strict-def)
    by (smt\ children-type\ ex-in-conv\ subset CE)
qed
definition (in BlockchainParams) best-child :: consensus-value \Rightarrow state-property
    best-child b = (\lambda \sigma. \ b \in best-children \ (prev \ b, \ \sigma))
function (in BlockchainParams) GHOST :: (consensus-value set * state) <math>\Rightarrow consensus-value
set
  where
    GHOST\ (b\text{-}set,\ \sigma) =
      ([] b \in \{b \in b\text{-set. children } (b, \sigma) \neq \emptyset\}. GHOST (best-children (b, \sigma), \sigma))
         \cup \{b \in b\text{-set. children } (b, \sigma) = \emptyset\}
  by auto
```

```
\mathbf{definition} \; (\mathbf{in} \; Blockchain Params) \; \mathit{GHOST-heads-or-children} :: \mathit{state} \Rightarrow \mathit{consensus-value}
set
  where
      GHOST-heads-or-children \sigma = GHOST ({genesis}, \sigma) \cup ([] b \in GHOST
(\{genesis\}, \sigma). children (b, \sigma))
lemma (in Blockchain) GHOST-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ b\text{-set}. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land b\text{-set} \subseteq C \longrightarrow GHOST \ (b\text{-set}, \ \sigma) \subseteq C
proof -
 have \forall \sigma b\text{-set}. \sigma \in \Sigma \land b\text{-set} \subseteq C \longrightarrow (\exists b\text{-set'}. b\text{-set'} \subseteq C \land GHOST (b\text{-set}, b\text{-set}))
\sigma) = {b \in b\text{-set'}. children (b, \sigma) = \emptyset})
    sorry
  then show ?thesis
    by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma (in Blockchain) GHOST-is-valid-estimator :
  is-valid-estimator\ GHOST-heads-or-children
  unfolding is-valid-estimator-def
  apply (simp add: BlockchainParams.GHOST-heads-or-children-def)
  apply auto
  using GHOST-type genesis-type (1) apply blast
  using GHOST-type children-type genesis-type(1) apply blast
  using best-children-existence
  oops
locale TFG = Blockchain +
  assumes ghost-estimator : \varepsilon = GHOST-heads-or-children
lemma (in TFG) block-membership-is-majority-driven:
  \forall b \in C. majority-driven (block-membership b)
  apply (simp add: majority-driven-def)
  oops
lemma (in Blockchain) agreeing-validators-on-sistor-blocks-are-disagreeing:
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall b \ b1 \ b2. \ \{b, b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq children \ (b, \sigma)
  \longrightarrow agreeing-validators (block-membership b1, \sigma) \subseteq disagreeing-validators (block-membership
b2, \sigma)
proof -
  have \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall b \ b1 \ b2. \{b, b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq children \ (b, \sigma)
     \longrightarrow (\forall v \in agreeing\text{-}validators (block-membership b1, <math>\sigma). \forall c \in L\text{-}H\text{-}E \ \sigma \ v.
block-membership b1 c)
    by (simp add: agreeing-validators-def agreeing-def)
  hence \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall b b1 b2. \{b, b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq children (b, \sigma)
```

```
\longrightarrow (\forall v \in agreeing\text{-}validators (block-membership b1, <math>\sigma). \exists c \in L\text{-}H\text{-}E \ \sigma \ v. \ \neg
block-membership b2 c)
    using children-conflicting
    apply (simp add: block-membership-def block-conflicting-def)
    using irreflexivity-of-blockchain-membership by fast
  then show ?thesis
    {\bf using} \ disagreeing\text{-}validators\text{-}include\text{-}not\text{-}agreeing\text{-}validators
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall b \ b1 \ b2. \{b, b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C
children\ (b,\sigma) \longrightarrow (\forall v \in agreeing\text{-}validators\ (block-membership\ b1,\ \sigma).\ \forall\ c \in L\text{-}H\text{-}E
\sigma v. block-membership b1 c) insert-subset subsetI)
qed
lemma (in Blockchain) agreeing-validators-on-sistor-blocks-are-not-more-than-disagreeing
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall b \ b1 \ b2. \{b, b1, b2\} \subset C \land \{b1, b2\} \subset children \ (b, \sigma)
    \rightarrow weight-measure (agreeing-validators (block-membership b1, \sigma)) \leq weight-measure
(disagreeing-validators\ (block-membership\ b2,\ \sigma))
  {\bf using} \ \ agreeing-validators-on-sistor-blocks-are-disagreeing
        agreeing\ validators\ on\ sistor\ blocks\ are\ disagreeing\ weight\ measure\ subset\ qte
         agreeing-validators-type disagreeing-validators-type
  by auto
lemma (in Blockchain) no-child-and-best-child-at-all-earlier-height-imps-GHOST-heads
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ b \in C. \ children \ (b, \sigma) = \emptyset \ \land
    (\forall b' \in C. b' \mid b \longrightarrow b' \in best-children (prev b', \sigma))
     \longrightarrow b \in GHOST (\{genesis\}, \sigma)
  apply auto
  oops
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Blockchain) \ best-child-at-all-earlier-height-imps-GHOST-heads-or-decendant
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ b \in C.
    (\forall b' \in C. \ b' \mid b \longrightarrow b' \in best-children \ (prev \ b', \sigma))
     \longrightarrow (\forall b'' \in GHOST (\{genesis\}, \sigma). b \mid b'')
proof -
  have \bigwedge n. \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall b \in C. genesis = n\text{-cestor } (b, n) \land
    (\forall b' \in C. \ b' \mid b \longrightarrow b' \in best-children \ (prev \ b', \sigma))
     \longrightarrow (\forall b'' \in GHOST (\{genesis\}, \sigma). b \mid b'')
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    show \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall b \in C. genesis = n\text{-}cestor (b, n) \land
                         (\forall b' \in C. \ b' \mid b \longrightarrow b' \in best-children \ (prev \ b', \ \sigma)) \longrightarrow
                         (\forall b'' \in GHOST \ (\{genesis\}, \sigma). \ b \mid b'')
       apply (induction \ n)
       \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{genesis-type}\ \mathit{GHOST-type}
       apply (metis contra-subsetD empty-subsetI insert-subset n-cestor.simps(1))
    proof -
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
```

```
assume \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall b \in C. genesis = n\text{-}cestor (b, n) \land
                          (\forall b' \in C. \ b' \mid b \longrightarrow b' \in best-children \ (prev \ b', \sigma)) \longrightarrow
                          (\forall b'' \in GHOST \ (\{genesis\}, \sigma). \ b \mid b'')
       show \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall b \in C. genesis = n-cestor (b, Suc \ n) \land A
                          (\forall b' \in C. \ b' \mid b \longrightarrow b' \in best-children \ (prev \ b', \sigma)) \longrightarrow
                          (\forall b'' \in GHOST \ (\{genesis\}, \sigma). \ b \mid b'')
         apply (rule, rule, rule, rule)
       proof -
         fix \sigma b b^{\prime\prime}
         assume \sigma \in \Sigma
         and b \in C
        and genesis = n-cestor (b, Suc\ n) \land (\forall\ b' \in C.\ b' \mid b \longrightarrow b' \in best-children
(prev b', \sigma))
         and b'' \in GHOST (\{genesis\}, \sigma)
         then have genesis = n\text{-}cestor\ (prev\ b,\ n)\ \land\ (\forall\ b'\in\mathit{C}.\ b'\mid\mathit{prev}\ b\longrightarrow b'
\in best-children (prev b', \sigma))
                  by (metis BlockchainParams.blockchain-membership-def Blockchain-
Params.n-cestor.simps(2) diff-Suc-1 id-apply of-nat-eq-id of-nat-in-Nats)
         then have prev b \mid b''
           using \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ b \in C. \ genesis = n\text{-}cestor \ (b, n) \ \land
                            (\forall b' \in C. \ b' \mid b \longrightarrow b' \in best-children \ (prev \ b', \sigma)) \longrightarrow
                            (\forall b'' \in GHOST (\{genesis\}, \sigma). b \mid b'')
           using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \langle b \in C \rangle prev-type \langle b'' \in GHOST \ (\{genesis\}, \sigma) \rangle by auto
         have b \in best\text{-}children (prev b, \sigma)
               using \langle genesis = n\text{-}cestor\ (b, Suc\ n) \land (\forall\ b' \in C.\ b' \mid b \longrightarrow b' \in C
best-children (prev b', \sigma))
           using \langle b \in C \rangle irreflexivity-of-blockchain-membership by blast
         then show b \perp b^{\prime\prime}
           using \langle prev \ b \mid b'' \rangle \langle b'' \in GHOST \ (\{genesis\}, \sigma) \rangle
           sorry
       qed
    qed
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    using blockchain-membership-def genesis-type (2) by auto
qed
lemma (in TFG) ancestor-of-observed-block-is-observed :
 \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall b \in est \ \sigma. \ \forall b' \in C. \ b' \mid b \longrightarrow b' \in est \ \sigma
  sorry
lemma (in TFG) block-membership-is-max-driven :
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ b \in est \ \sigma. \ max-driven-for-future \ (block-membership \ b) \ \sigma
  apply (simp add: max-driven-for-future-def)
proof -
  have \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall b b'. \{b, b'\} \subseteq C \land b' \mid b
                 \rightarrow agreeing-validators (block-membership b, \sigma) \subseteq agreeing-validators
(block-membership b', \sigma)
    unfolding agreeing-validators-def
```

```
using also-agreeing-on-ancestors by blast
   hence \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ b \ b'. \{b, b'\} \subseteq C \land b' \mid b
            \rightarrow weight-measure (agreeing-validators (block-membership b', \sigma)) \geq weight-measure
(agreeing-validators\ (block-membership\ b,\ \sigma))
     {f using}\ weight-measure-subset-qte\ agreeing-validators-finite\ agreeing-validators-type
\mathbf{by} simp
   hence \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ b \ b'. \{b, b'\} \subseteq C \land b' \mid b
           \longrightarrow weight\text{-}measure\ V-weight\text{-}measure\ (disagreeing\text{-}validators\ (block\text{-}membership
b', \sigma) - equivocation-fault-weight \sigma
                 \geq weight-measure V- weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (block-membership
(b, \sigma)) – equivocation-fault-weight \sigma
      using agreeing-validators-weight-combined by simp
   hence \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall b b'. \{b, b'\} \subseteq C \land b' \mid b
                   \rightarrow weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (block-membership b, \sigma))
                         > weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (block-membership b', \sigma))
      by simp
  show \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall m \in \sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow weight\text{-}measure (disagreeing-validators)
(block-membership\ (est\ m),\sigma')) < weight-measure\ (agreeing-validators\ (block-membership\ membership\ membersh
                       \rightarrow (\forall c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ block-membership (est m) c)
      apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
   proof -
      fix \sigma m \sigma' c
      assume \sigma \in \Sigma
      and m \in \sigma
      and \sigma' \in \Sigma
      and \sigma \subseteq \sigma'
      and weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (block-membership (est m), \sigma')) <
weight-measure (agreeing-validators (block-membership (est m), \sigma'))
      and c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'
      hence est m \in C
          using M-type message-in-state-is-valid by blast
    hence \forall b' \in C. b' \mid est \ m \longrightarrow weight-measure (agreeing-validators (block-membership))
b', \sigma') > weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (block-membership (est m), \sigma')
          using \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ b \ b'. \{b, b'\} \subseteq C \land b' \mid b
          \longrightarrow weight-measure (agreeing-validators (block-membership b', \sigma)) > weight-measure
(agreeing-validators\ (block-membership\ b,\ \sigma))
                 (weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (block-membership (est m), \sigma')) <
weight-measure (agreeing-validators (block-membership (est m), \sigma'))
                  \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \rangle by fastforce
    hence \forall b' \in C. b' \mid est \ m \longrightarrow weight\text{-}measure (agreeing-validators (block-membership))}
b', \sigma') > weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (block-membership b', \sigma'))
          using \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall b \ b'. \{b, b'\} \subseteq C \land b' \mid b
                      \rightarrow weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (block-membership b, \sigma)) \geq
weight-measure\ (disagreeing-validators\ (block-membership\ b',\ \sigma))
                 \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \rangle \langle est \ m \in C \rangle  by force
      have \forall b' \in C. b' \mid est \ m \longrightarrow b' \in best-children (prev \ b', \sigma')
          apply (simp add: best-children-def is-arg-max-def score-def)
```

```
apply (auto)
       {\bf using} \ ancestor-of-observed-block-is-observed
     \mathbf{apply} \; (\textit{meson} \; \langle \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \rangle \; \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \rangle \; \langle m \in \sigma \rangle \; \textit{contra-subsetD} \; \textit{image-eqI} \; \textit{observed-block-is-children-of-prev-block})
       using M-type Params.message-in-state-is-valid \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle
       using agreeing-validators-on-sistor-blocks-are-not-more-than-disagreeing
              prev-type
          \forall b' \in C.\ b' \mid est\ m \longrightarrow weight\text{-}measure\ (agreeing\text{-}validators\ (block\text{-}membership\ )}
(b', \sigma') > weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (block-membership b', \sigma'))
     by (smt \ (\sigma' \in \Sigma) \ agreeing\text{-}validators\text{-}weight\text{-}combined children-type } contra-subset D
empty-subsetI insert-absorb2 insert-subset)
    have c \in GHOST ({genesis}, \sigma') \cup ([] b \in GHOST ({genesis}, \sigma'). children
(b, \sigma')
       using ghost-estimator \langle c \in \varepsilon | \sigma' \rangle
       unfolding GHOST-heads-or-children-def
       by blast
    have \forall b'' \in GHOST (\{genesis\}, \sigma'). \ est \ m \mid b''
        using best-child-at-all-earlier-height-imps-GHOST-heads-or-decendant \forall b'
\in C. \ b' \mid est \ m \longrightarrow b' \in best-children \ (prev \ b', \ \sigma') \rangle
              \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \rangle \langle est \ m \in C \rangle  by blast
   then show block-membership (est m) c
     unfolding block-membership-def
     using \langle c \in GHOST \ (\{genesis\}, \sigma') \cup (\bigcup b \in GHOST \ (\{genesis\}, \sigma'). \ children
(b, \sigma')
             transitivity-of-blockchain-membership children-membership
     by blast
 qed
qed
end
```