Minimal CBC Casper Isabelle/HOL proofs

${\rm Layer} X$

March 7, 2019

3

Contents

1 Description of CBC Casper	3
2 Latest Message	14
3 Safety Proof	29
theory Strict-Order	
imports Main	
begin	
notation $Set.empty$ (\emptyset)	
definition strict-partial-order $r \equiv trans \ r \land irrefl \ r$	
definition strict-well-order-on $A \ r \equiv strict$ -linear-order-on $A \ r \wedge wf$	\dot{r}
lemma strict-linear-order-is-strict-partial-order:	
$strict$ -linear-order-on $A r \Longrightarrow strict$ -partial-order r $\mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ strict$ -linear-order-on-def $strict$ -partial-order-def)	
definition upper-bound-on :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where	
upper-bound-on $A \ r \ x = (\forall \ y. \ y \in A \longrightarrow (y, \ x) \in r \lor x = y)$	
definition $maximum$ -on :: ' a $set \Rightarrow$ ' a $rel \Rightarrow$ ' $a \Rightarrow$ $bool$ where	
$maximum - on \ A \ r \ x = (x \in A \land upper - bound - on \ A \ r \ x)$	

```
definition minimal-on :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
  where
     minimal-on A \ r \ x = (x \in A \land (\forall y. (y, x) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A))
definition maximal-on :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
     maximal-on A \ r \ x = (x \in A \land (\forall y. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A))
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{maximal-and-maximum-coincide-for-strict-linear-order}\ :
  strict-linear-order-on A \ r \Longrightarrow maximal-on A \ r \ x = maximum-on A \ r \ x
 apply (simp add: strict-linear-order-on-def irreft-def total-on-def trans-def maximal-on-def
maximum-on-def upper-bound-on-def)
  by blast
{\bf lemma}\ strict\mbox{-}partial\mbox{-}order\mbox{-}on\mbox{-}finite\mbox{-}non\mbox{-}empty\mbox{-}set\mbox{-}has\mbox{-}maximal:
  strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow finite A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists x. maximal-on A r x)
proof -
  have \bigwedge n. strict-partial-order r \Longrightarrow (\forall A. Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \ne A
\emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists x. maximal-on A r x))
  proof -
     assume strict-partial-order r
     then have (\forall a. (a, a) \notin r)
       by (simp add: strict-partial-order-def irrefl-def)
     \mathbf{fix} \ n
     show \forall A. Suc n = card\ A \longrightarrow finite\ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists\ x.\ maximal-on\ A\ r
x)
       apply (induction \ n)
       unfolding maximal-on-def
       using \langle (\forall a. (a, a) \notin r) \rangle
       apply (metis card-eq-SucD empty-iff insert-iff)
     proof
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
      \mathbf{assume} \ \forall \, A. \ Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \, x. \ x \in A \land (\forall \, y. ))
(x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A)
       have \forall B. Suc (Suc n) = card B \longrightarrow finite B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists A' b. B =
A' \cup \{b\} \land card A' = Suc \ n \land b \notin A'
          by (metis Un-commute add-diff-cancel-left' card-gt-0-iff card-insert-disjoint
card-le-Suc-iff insert-is-Un not-le not-less-eq-eq plus-1-eq-Suc)
       then have \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ A' \ b.
B = A' \cup \{b\} \land card \ A' = Suc \ n \land finite \ A' \land A' \neq \emptyset \land b \notin A'\}
          by (metis card-gt-0-iff zero-less-Suc)
       then have \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset
            \longrightarrow (\exists A' b x. B = A' \cup \{b\} \land b \notin A' \land x \in A' \land (\forall y. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y)
         using \forall A. Suc \ n = card \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x. \ x \in A \land (\forall \ y.
(x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin A)\rangle
         by metis
        then show \forall B. \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ B \longrightarrow finite \ B \longrightarrow B \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x. \ x
\in B \land (\forall y. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin B))
```

```
qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis card.insert-remove finite.cases)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ strict	ext{-}partial	ext{-}order	ext{-}has	ext{-}at	ext{-}most	ext{-}one	ext{-}maximum:
  strict-partial-order r
  \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset
  \longrightarrow is-singleton \{x. \ maximum\text{-on } A \ r \ x\}
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume \neg (strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum \text{-on } A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow is\text{-singleton}
\{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\}\}
 then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x.\ maximum-on A\ r\ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow \neg is-singleton
\{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\}
    by simp
  then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x1 \ x2.
x1 \neq x2 \land \{x1, x2\} \subseteq \{x. maximum-on A r x\}
    by (meson empty-subset I insert-subset is-singleton I')
  then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x1 \ x2.
x1 \neq x2 \land \{x1, x2\} \subseteq \{x \in A. \ \forall \ y. \ y \in A \longrightarrow (y, x) \in r \lor x = y\}
    by (simp add: maximum-on-def upper-bound-on-def)
  then have strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum-on \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists \ x1 \ x2.
x1 \neq x2 \land \{x1, x2\} \subseteq A \land (\forall y. y \in A \longrightarrow (y, x1) \in r \lor x1 = y) \land (\forall y. y \in A)
A \longrightarrow (y, x2) \in r \vee x2 = y)
    by auto
  then show False
    using strict-partial-order-def
      by (metis \neg (strict-partial-order r \longrightarrow \{x. \ maximum \text{-on} \ A \ r \ x\} \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow
is-singleton \{x. maximum-on A r x\}) insert-subset irrefl-def transE
{\bf lemma}\ strict\mbox{-}linear\mbox{-}order\mbox{-}on\mbox{-}finite\mbox{-}non\mbox{-}empty\mbox{-}set\mbox{-}has\mbox{-}one\mbox{-}maximum :
 strict-linear-order-on A r \longrightarrow finite A \longrightarrow A \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow is-singleton \{x. maximum\text{-}on\}
 \textbf{using} \ strict-linear-order-is-strict-partial-order \ strict-partial-order-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-maximal
      strict-partial-order-has-at-most-one-maximum maximal-and-maximum-coincide-for-strict-linear-order
  by fastforce
end
```

by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-insert-right $\forall a. (a, a) \notin r \land \langle strict\text{-partial-order} \rangle$

r> insertE insert-iff strict-partial-order-def sup-bot.right-neutral transE)

1 Description of CBC Casper

theory CBCCasper

qed

 ${\bf imports}\ Main\ HOL. Real\ Libraries/Strict-Order\ Libraries/Restricted-Predicates\ Libraries/LaTeX sugar$

begin

```
notation Set.empty (\emptyset)
typedecl validator
typedecl consensus-value
datatype message =
  Message\ consensus\ value\ *\ validator\ *\ message\ list
type-synonym state = message set
fun sender :: message \Rightarrow validator
  where
    sender (Message (-, v, -)) = v
fun est :: message \Rightarrow consensus-value
     est\ (Message\ (c, -, -)) = c
\mathbf{fun}\ \mathit{justification}\ ::\ \mathit{message}\ \Rightarrow\ \mathit{state}
    justification (Message (-, -, s)) = set s
fun
  \Sigma-i :: (validator set \times consensus-value set \times (message set \Rightarrow consensus-value
set)) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow state \ set \ and
  M-i:: (validator set \times consensus-value set \times (message set \Rightarrow consensus-value
set)) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow message set
  where
    \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon) \theta = \{\emptyset\}
  \mid \Sigma\text{-}i\ (V,C,\varepsilon)\ n=\{\sigma\in Pow\ (M\text{-}i\ (V,C,\varepsilon)\ (n-1)).\ finite\ \sigma\ \land\ (\forall\ m.\ m\in\sigma\}\}
\longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
  |M-i|(V,C,\varepsilon)| = \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \in (\Sigma-i)\}
```

```
(V,C,\varepsilon) n) \land est m \in \varepsilon (justification m)
locale Params =
  fixes V :: validator set
  and W :: validator \Rightarrow real
  and t :: real
  fixes C :: consensus-value set
  and \varepsilon :: message set \Rightarrow consensus-value set
begin
  definition \Sigma = (\bigcup i \in \mathbb{N}. \ \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ i)
  definition M = (\bigcup i \in \mathbb{N}. M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) i)
  definition is-valid-estimator :: (state \Rightarrow consensus-value set) \Rightarrow bool
    where
       is-valid-estimator e = (\forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ e \ \sigma \in Pow \ C - \{\emptyset\})
  lemma \Sigma i-subset-Mi: \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1) \subseteq Pow (M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) n)
    by force
  lemma \Sigma i-subset-to-Mi: \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon) n \subseteq \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1) \Longrightarrow M-i (V,C,\varepsilon)
n \subseteq M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n+1)
    by auto
 lemma Mi-subset-to-\Sigma i: M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) n \subseteq M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1) \Longrightarrow \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon)
(n+1) \subseteq \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+2)
    by auto
  lemma \Sigma i-monotonic: \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon) n\subseteq \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1)
    apply (induction \ n)
    apply simp
   apply (metis Mi-subset-to-\Sigmai Suc-eq-plus 1 \Sigmai-subset-to-Mi add.commute add-2-eq-Suc)
    done
  lemma Mi-monotonic: M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) n \subseteq M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1)
    apply (induction n)
    defer
    using \Sigma i-monotonic \Sigma i-subset-to-Mi apply blast
    apply auto
    done
 lemma \Sigma i-monotonicity: \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \ m \leq n \longrightarrow \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ m \subseteq \Sigma - i
(V,C,\varepsilon) n
    using \Sigma i-monotonic
    by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 lift-Suc-mono-le)
  lemma Mi-monotonicity: \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. m \leq n \longrightarrow M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) m \subseteq
M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) n
    using Mi-monotonic
```

```
by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 lift-Suc-mono-le)
  \mathbf{lemma}\ message\text{-}is\text{-}in\text{-}M\text{-}i:
    \forall m \in M. \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)
    apply (simp add: M-def \Sigma-i.elims)
    by (metis Nats-1 Nats-add One-nat-def diff-Suc-1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
  \mathbf{lemma}\ state-is-in-pow-M-i:
   \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\exists \ n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n-1)) \land (\forall \ m \in \sigma. \ justification)
m \subseteq \sigma)
    apply (simp \ add: \Sigma - def)
    apply auto
    proof -
      fix y :: nat and \sigma :: message set
      assume a1: \sigma \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) y
      assume a2: y \in \mathbb{N}
      have \sigma \subseteq M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) y
          using a1 by (meson Params. \(\Sigma\)i-monotonic Params. \(\Sigma\)i-subset-Mi Pow-iff
contra-subsetD)
      then have \exists n. n \in \mathbb{N} \land \sigma \subseteq M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)
         using a2 by (metis (no-types) Nats-1 Nats-add diff-Suc-1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
       then show \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \sigma \subseteq \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \}
\in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n - Suc \ \theta) \land est \ m \in \varepsilon \ (justification \ m)
        by auto
        justification \ m \Longrightarrow x \in \sigma
        using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
    qed
  \mathbf{lemma}\ message\text{-}is\text{-}in\text{-}M\text{-}i\text{-}n:
    \forall m \in M. \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. m \in M-i(V, C, \varepsilon) n
   by (smt Mi-monotonic Suc-diff-Suc add-leE diff-add diff-le-self message-is-in-M-i
neg0-conv plus-1-eq-Suc subsetCE zero-less-diff)
  \mathbf{lemma}\ message\text{-}in\text{-}state\text{-}is\text{-}valid:
    \forall \ \sigma \ m. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in \sigma \longrightarrow \ m \in M
    apply (rule, rule, rule)
  proof -
    fix \sigma m
    assume \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in \sigma
    have
      \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
      \implies m \in M
      using M-def by blast
    then show
      m \in M
```

```
apply (simp add: M-def)
     by (smt\ M\text{-}i.simps\ Params.\Sigma i\text{-}monotonic\ PowD\ Suc\text{-}diff\text{-}Suc\ } \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in \sigma \rangle
add\text{-}leE\ diff\text{-}add\ diff\text{-}le\text{-}self\ gr0I\ mem\text{-}Collect\text{-}eq\ plus\text{-}1\text{-}eq\text{-}Suc\ state\text{-}is\text{-}in\text{-}pow\text{-}M\text{-}i}
subsetCE zero-less-diff)
  ged
  lemma state-is-subset-of-M: \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \sigma \subseteq M
    using message-in-state-is-valid by blast
  lemma state-is-finite : \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. finite \sigma
    apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
    using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
  lemma justification-is-finite : \forall m \in M. finite (justification m)
    apply (simp add: M-def)
    using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
  lemma \Sigma-is-subseteq-of-pow-M: \Sigma \subseteq Pow\ M
    by (simp add: state-is-subset-of-M subsetI)
  lemma M-type: \bigwedge m. m \in M \Longrightarrow est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m
\in \Sigma
    unfolding M-def \Sigma-def
    by auto
end
locale Protocol = Params +
  assumes V-type: V \neq \emptyset
  and W-type: \bigwedge w. w \in range \ W \Longrightarrow w > 0
  and t-type: 0 \le t \ t < Sum \ (W \ 'V)
  and C-type: card C > 1
  and \varepsilon-type: is-valid-estimator \varepsilon
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-are-non-empty: \bigwedge \sigma. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \varepsilon \ \sigma \neq \emptyset
  using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by auto
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-are-subset-of-C: \land \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \varepsilon \sigma \subseteq C
  using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by auto
lemma (in Params) empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma-0: \emptyset \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) 0
  by simp
lemma (in Params) empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma: \emptyset \in \Sigma
  apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
  using Nats-0 \Sigma-i.simps(1) by blast
lemma (in Params) \Sigma-i-is-non-empty: \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \neq \emptyset
```

```
apply (induction \ n)
  using empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma-0 by auto
lemma (in Params) \Sigma-is-non-empty: \Sigma \neq \emptyset
  using empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma by blast
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-exists-for-empty-set:
  by (simp add: empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma estimates-are-non-empty)
lemma (in Protocol) non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0:
  \exists m. m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) \ 0 \land justification \ m = \emptyset
  apply auto
proof -
  have \varepsilon \emptyset \subseteq C
    using Params.empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma \varepsilon-type is-valid-estimator-def by auto
  then show \exists m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m = \emptyset \land est \ m \in \varepsilon
(justification \ m) \land justification \ m = \emptyset
    by (metis V-type all-not-in-conv est.simps estimates-exists-for-empty-set justi-
fication.simps\ sender.simps\ set-empty\ subsetCE)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) M-i-is-non-empty: M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \neq \emptyset
  apply (induction \ n)
  using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 apply auto
  \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{Mi-monotonic}\ \mathit{empty-iff}\ \mathit{empty-subsetI}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fastforce}
lemma (in Protocol) M-is-non-empty: M \neq \emptyset
  using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 M-def Nats-0 by blast
lemma (in Protocol) C-is-not-empty : C \neq \emptyset
  using C-type by auto
lemma (in Params) \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma:
  \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \subseteq \Sigma
  by (simp add: \Sigma-def SUP-upper)
lemma (in Protocol) message-justifying-state-in-\Sigma-n-exists-in-M-n:
  \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \ (\forall \sigma. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \text{-}i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \longrightarrow (\exists m. \ m \in M \text{-}i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \land V)
justification \ m = \sigma)
  apply auto
proof -
  fix n \sigma
  assume n \in \mathbb{N}
  and \sigma \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  then have \sigma \in \Sigma
    using \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma by auto
  have \varepsilon \ \sigma \neq \emptyset
    using estimates-are-non-empty \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle by auto
```

```
have finite \sigma
    using state-is-finite \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle by auto
  moreover have \exists m. sender m \in V \land est m \in \varepsilon \ \sigma \land justification m = \sigma
    using est.simps sender.simps justification.simps V-type \langle \varepsilon \ \sigma \neq \emptyset \rangle \langle finite \ \sigma \rangle
    by (metis all-not-in-conv finite-list)
  moreover have \varepsilon \sigma \subseteq C
    using estimates-are-subset-of-C \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma \land n \in \mathbb{N} \land \sigma \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon)
n by blast
  ultimately show \exists m. est m \in C \land sender m \in V \land justification m \in \Sigma-i (V, v)
(C, \varepsilon) \ n \wedge est \ m \in \varepsilon \ (justification \ m) \wedge justification \ m = \sigma
    using Nats-1 One-nat-def
    using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle by blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) \Sigma-type: \Sigma \subset Pow\ M
proof -
  obtain m where m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) 0 \land justification m = \emptyset
    using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 by auto
  then have \{m\} \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (Suc \ \theta)
    using Params.\Sigma i-subset-Mi by auto
  then have \exists m'. m' \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (Suc \theta) \land justification m' = \{m\}
      using message-justifying-state-in-\Sigma-n-exists-in-M-n Nats-1 One-nat-def by
metis
  then obtain m' where m' \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (Suc \ \theta) \land justification \ m' = \{m\}
by auto
  then have \{m'\} \in Pow M
    using M-def
    by (metis Nats-1 One-nat-def PowD PowI Pow-bottom UN-I insert-subset)
  moreover have \{m'\} \notin \Sigma
    using Params.state-is-in-pow-M-i Protocol-axioms (m' \in M\text{-}i\ (V,\ C,\ \varepsilon)\ (Suc
0) \land justification m' = \{m\} \land \mathbf{by} \ fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using \Sigma-is-subseteq-of-pow-M by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{M-type-counterexample} \colon
  (\forall \sigma. \varepsilon \sigma = C) \Longrightarrow M = \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \in C \}
\Sigma
  \mathbf{apply} \ (simp \ add \colon M\text{-}def)
  apply auto
  using \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma apply blast
  by (simp add: \Sigma-def)
definition observed :: message \ set \Rightarrow validator \ set
    observed \sigma = \{sender \ m \mid m. \ m \in \sigma\}
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) observed-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \in Pow \ M. \ observed \ \sigma \in Pow \ V
  using Params.M-type Protocol-axioms observed-def by fastforce
lemma (in Protocol) observed-type-for-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ observed \ \sigma \subseteq V
 {\bf using} \ Params. M-type \ Protocol-axioms \ observed-def \ state-is-subset-of-M \ {\bf by} \ fastforce
fun is-future-state :: (state * state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    is-future-state (\sigma 1, \sigma 2) = (\sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma 2)
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Params) state\text{-}difference\text{-}is\text{-}valid\text{-}message}:
  \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' . \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land \sigma' \in \Sigma
  \longrightarrow is\text{-}future\text{-}state(\sigma, \sigma')
  \longrightarrow \sigma' - \sigma \subseteq M
  using state-is-subset-of-M by blast
definition justified :: message \Rightarrow message \Rightarrow bool
  where
    justified\ m1\ m2 = (m1 \in justification\ m2)
definition equivocation :: (message * message) \Rightarrow bool
  where
      (\lambda(m1, m2). sender m1 = sender m2 \land m1 \neq m2 \land \neg (justified m1 m2) \land
\neg (justified m2 m1))
definition is-equivocating :: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow bool
  where
    is-equivocating \sigma \ v = (\exists \ m1 \in \sigma. \ \exists \ m2 \in \sigma. \ equivocation (m1, m2) \land sender
m1 = v
\textbf{definition} \ \ \textit{equivocating-validators} \ :: \ \textit{state} \ \Rightarrow \ \textit{validator} \ \textit{set}
     equivocating-validators \sigma = \{v \in observed \ \sigma. \ is-equivocating \ \sigma \ v\}
lemma (in Protocol) equivocating-validators-type :
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ equivocating-validators \ \sigma \subseteq V
  using observed-type-for-state equivocating-validators-def by blast
definition (in Params) equivocating-validators-paper :: state \Rightarrow validator\ set
  where
     equivocating-validators-paper \sigma = \{v \in V. \text{ is-equivocating } \sigma v\}
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{equivocating-validators-is-equivalent-to-paper} :
```

```
definition (in Params) equivocation-fault-weight :: state \Rightarrow real
  where
    equivocation-fault-weight \sigma = sum \ W \ (equivocating-validators \ \sigma)
definition (in Params) is-faults-lt-threshold :: state \Rightarrow bool
  where
    is-faults-lt-threshold \sigma = (equivocation-fault-weight \ \sigma < t)
definition (in Protocol) \Sigma t :: state \ set
  where
   \Sigma t = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma. \text{ is-faults-lt-threshold } \sigma \}
lemma (in Protocol) \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma : \Sigma t \subseteq \Sigma
  using \Sigma t-def by auto
type-synonym state-property = state \Rightarrow bool
type-synonym consensus-value-property = consensus-value \Rightarrow bool
definition (in Params) message-justification :: message rel
  where
    message-justification = \{(m1, m2), \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land justified \ m1 \ m2\}
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{transitivity-of-justifications} :
  trans message-justification
  apply (simp add: trans-def message-justification-def justified-def)
 by (meson Params.M-type Params.state-is-in-pow-M-i Protocol-axioms contra-subsetD)
lemma (in Protocol) irreflexivity-of-justifications:
  irrefl\ message-justification
  apply (simp add: irrefl-def message-justification-def justified-def)
  apply (simp add: M-def)
  apply auto
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ n \ m
```

 $\forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ equivocating-validators \ \sigma = equivocating-validators-paper \ \sigma$

 $\textbf{by} \ (smt \ Collect-cong \ Params. equivocating-validators-paper-def \ equivocating-validators-def$

is-equivocating-def mem-Collect-eq observed-type-for-state observed-def subset CE)

```
assume est m \in C
  assume sender m \in V
  assume justification m \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  assume est m \in \varepsilon (justification m)
  assume m \in justification m
 have m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)
   by (smt\ M\text{-}i.simps\ One\text{-}nat\text{-}def\ Params}.\Sigma i\text{-}subset\text{-}Mi\ Pow\text{-}iff\ Suc\text{-}pred\ (est\ m\in M))
C \land (est \ m \in \varepsilon \ (justification \ m)) \land (justification \ m \in \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n) \land m \in justification
m \land (sender \ m \in V) \ add.right-neutral \ add-Suc-right \ diff-is-0-eq' \ diff-le-self \ diff-zero
mem-Collect-eq not-gr0 subsetCE)
  then have justification m \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n - 1)
    using M-i.simps by blast
  then have justification m \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) 0
   apply (induction \ n)
    apply simp
    by (smt\ M-i.simps\ One-nat-def\ Params.\Sigma i-subset-Mi\ Pow-iff\ Suc-pred\ (m\in M))
justification m> add.right-neutral add-Suc-right diff-Suc-1 mem-Collect-eq not-gr0
subsetCE \ subsetCE)
  then have justification m \in \{\emptyset\}
    by simp
  then show False
    using \langle m \in justification \ m \rangle by blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) message-cannot-justify-itself:
  (\forall m \in M. \neg justified m m)
proof -
  have irrefl message-justification
    using irreflexivity-of-justifications by simp
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: irreflexivity-of-justifications irrefl-def message-justification-def)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) justification-is-strict-partial-order-on-M:
  strict-partial-order message-justification
  apply (simp add: strict-partial-order-def)
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ irreflexivity-of\mbox{-}justifications\ transitivity-of\mbox{-}justifications)
lemma (in Protocol) monotonicity-of-justifications:
  \forall m m' \sigma. m \in M \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land justified m' m \longrightarrow justification m' \subseteq justification
m
 apply simp
 by (meson M-type justified-def message-in-state-is-valid state-is-in-pow-M-i)
{f lemma} (in Protocol) strict{-monotonicity{-}of{-}justifications}:
 \forall m m' \sigma. m \in M \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land justified m' m \longrightarrow justification m' \subset justification
 \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis}\ \textit{M-type}\ \textit{message-cannot-justify-itself}\ \textit{justified-def}\ \textit{message-in-state-is-valid}\ 
monotonicity-of-justifications psubsetI)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{justification-implies-different-messages} :
 \forall m m'. m \in M \land m' \in M \longrightarrow justified m' m \longrightarrow m \neq m'
  using message-cannot-justify-itself by auto
lemma (in Protocol) only-valid-message-is-justified:
 \forall m \in M. \ \forall m'. \ justified \ m' \ m \longrightarrow m' \in M
 apply (simp add: justified-def)
  using Params.M-type message-in-state-is-valid by blast
lemma (in Protocol) justified-message-exists-in-M-i-n-minus-1:
  \forall n m m'. n \in \mathbb{N}
  \longrightarrow justified m' m
  \longrightarrow m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  \longrightarrow m' \in M-i(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
proof -
  have \forall n m m'. justified m' m
  \longrightarrow m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  \longrightarrow m \in M \land m' \in M
  \longrightarrow m' \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)
    apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
  proof -
    fix n m m'
    assume justified m' m
    assume m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
    assume m \in M \land m' \in M
    then have justification m \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
      using M-i.simps \langle m \in M\text{-}i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle by blast
    then have justification m \in Pow(M-i(V,C,\varepsilon)(n-1))
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-diff-Suc \Sigma-i.simps(1) \Sigmai-subset-Mi (justified
m' m) add-leE diff-add diff-le-self empty-iff justified-def neq0-conv plus-1-eq-Suc
singletonD \ subsetCE)
    show m' \in M-i(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
       using \langle justification \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V, \ C, \ \varepsilon) \ (n-1)) \rangle \langle justified \ m' \ m \rangle
justified-def by auto
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) M-def UN-I only-valid-message-is-justified)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}~(\mathbf{in}~\textit{Protocol})~\textit{monotonicity-of-card-of-justification}:
  \forall m m'. m \in M
  \longrightarrow justified m' m
  \longrightarrow card (justification m') < card (justification m)
  by (meson M-type Protocol.strict-monotonicity-of-justifications Protocol-axioms
justification-is-finite psubset-card-mono)
```

 \mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) justification-is-well-founded-on-M:

```
wfp-on justified M
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume \neg wfp-on justified M
  then have \exists f. \ \forall i. \ f \ i \in M \land justified \ (f \ (Suc \ i)) \ (f \ i)
   by (simp add: wfp-on-def)
  then obtain f where \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f (Suc i)) (f i) by auto
  have \forall i. card (justification (f i)) \leq card (justification (f 0)) - i
   apply (rule)
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ i
   have card (justification (f (Suc i))) < card (justification (f i))
  using \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f(Suci))(fi) by (simp add: monotonicity-of-card-of-justification)
   show card (justification (f i)) \leq card (justification (f 0)) - i
      apply (induction i)
      apply simp
      using \langle card\ (justification\ (f\ (Suc\ i))) < card\ (justification\ (f\ i)) \rangle
      by (smt\ Suc\ diff\ le\  \  \, \forall\ i.\ f\ i\ \in\ M\  \  \, justified\  \, (f\  (Suc\ i))\  \, (f\ i) \  \, diff\ Suc\ Suc\  \, Suc\  \, \, 
diff-is-0-eq le-iff-add less-Suc-eq-le less-imp-le monotonicity-of-card-of-justification
not-less-eq-eq trans-less-add1)
 then have \exists i. i = card (justification (f 0)) + Suc 0 \land card (justification (f i))
\leq card (justification (f \theta)) - i
   by blast
  then show False
     using le-0-eq le-simps(2) linorder-not-le monotonicity-of-card-of-justification
nat-diff-split order-less-imp-le
  by (metis \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f (Suc i)) (f i) \land add.right-neutral add-Suc-right)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) subset-of-M-have-minimal-of-justification :
 \forall S \subseteq M. S \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists m\text{-min} \in S. \forall m. justified m m\text{-min} \longrightarrow m \notin S)
 by (metis justification-is-well-founded-on-M wfp-on-imp-has-min-elt wfp-on-mono)
end
```

2 Latest Message

```
theory LatestMessage
```

 ${\bf imports}\ {\it Main}\ {\it CBCCasper}\ {\it Libraries}/{\it LaTeXsugar}$

begin

```
definition later :: (message * message set) \Rightarrow message set
  where
    later = (\lambda(m, \sigma), \{m' \in \sigma, justified \ m \ m'\})
lemma (in Protocol) later-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ m. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land m \in M \longrightarrow later \ (m, \ \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: later-def)
  by auto
lemma (in Protocol) later-type-for-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \ m. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in M \longrightarrow later \ (m, \ \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: later-def)
  using state-is-subset-of-M by auto
definition from-sender :: (validator * message set) \Rightarrow message set
  where
    from\text{-}sender = (\lambda(v, \sigma), \{m \in \sigma, sender m = v\})
lemma (in Protocol) from-sender-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land v \in V \longrightarrow from\text{-sender} \ (v, \ \sigma) \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: from-sender-def)
  by auto
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) from-sender-type-for-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow from\text{-sender} \ (v, \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: from-sender-def)
  using state-is-subset-of-M by auto
lemma (in Protocol) messages-from-observed-validator-is-non-empty:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow from\text{-sender} \ (v, \ \sigma) \neq \emptyset
  apply (simp add: observed-def from-sender-def)
  by auto
lemma (in Protocol) messages-from-validator-is-finite:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V\sigma \longrightarrow finite \ (from\text{-sender}\ (v, \sigma))
  by (simp add: from-sender-def state-is-finite)
definition from-group :: (validator\ set* message\ set) \Rightarrow state
    from-group = (\lambda(v\text{-}set, \sigma), \{m \in \sigma, sender m \in v\text{-}set\})
lemma (in Protocol) from-group-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \ \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V \longrightarrow from\text{-}group \ (v\text{-set}, \ \sigma) \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: from-group-def)
  by auto
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) from-group-type-for-state :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V \longrightarrow from\text{-}group \ (v\text{-set}, \ \sigma) \subseteq M
 apply (simp add: from-group-def)
  using state-is-subset-of-M by auto
definition later-from :: (message * validator * message set) \Rightarrow message set
  where
    later-from = (\lambda(m, v, \sigma), later(m, \sigma) \cap from-sender(v, \sigma))
lemma (in Protocol) later-from-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v \ m. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land v \in V \land m \in M \longrightarrow later-from \ (m, \ v, \ \sigma) \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: later-from-def)
 using later-type from-sender-type by auto
lemma (in Protocol) later-from-type-for-state:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v \ m. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land m \in M \longrightarrow later-from \ (m, \ v, \ \sigma) \subseteq M
 apply (simp add: later-from-def)
 using later-type-for-state from-sender-type-for-state by auto
definition latest-messages :: message set \Rightarrow (validator \Rightarrow message set)
  where
    latest-messages \sigma v = \{m \in from\text{-sender } (v, \sigma). \text{ later-from } (m, v, \sigma) = \emptyset\}
lemma (in Protocol) latest-messages-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in Pow \ M \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-messages \ \sigma \ v \in Pow \ M
  apply (simp add: latest-messages-def later-from-def)
  using from-sender-type by auto
lemma (in Protocol) latest-messages-type-for-state:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest\text{-messages} \ \sigma \ v \subseteq M
 apply (simp add: latest-messages-def later-from-def)
  using from-sender-type-for-state by auto
lemma (in Protocol) latest-messages-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty:
 \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land v \notin observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow latest-messages \ \sigma \ v = \emptyset
 by (simp add: latest-messages-def observed-def later-def from-sender-def)
definition observed-non-equivocating-validators :: state \Rightarrow validator set
    observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma = observed \ \sigma - equivocating-validators
{f lemma} (in Protocol) observed-non-equivocating-validators-type :
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma \in Pow \ V
 apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
  using observed-type-for-state equivocating-validators-type by auto
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) justification-is-well-founded-on-messages-from-validator:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ \textit{wfp-on justified (from-sender } (v, \sigma)))
 using justification-is-well-founded-on-M from-sender-type-for-state wfp-on-subset
\mathbf{bv} blast
lemma (in Protocol) justification-is-total-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma \longrightarrow Relation.total-on \ (from-sender)
(v, \sigma)) message-justification)
proof -
  have \forall m1 \ m2 \ \sigma \ v. \ v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land \{m1, \ m2\} \subseteq from-sender \ (v, \ \sigma) \longrightarrow
sender m1 = sender m2
    by (simp add: from-sender-def)
  then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma)
       \longrightarrow (\forall m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq from\text{-sender } (v, \sigma) \longrightarrow m1 = m2 \lor justified
m1 \ m2 \ \lor \ justified \ m2 \ m1)
   apply (simp add: equivocating-validators-def is-equivocating-def equivocation-def
from-sender-def observed-def)
    by blast
  then show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: Relation.total-on-def message-justification-def)
    using from-sender-type-for-state by blast
qed
\textbf{lemma (in } \textit{Protocol) justification-is-strict-linear-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator:}
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma \longrightarrow strict-linear-order-on
(from\text{-}sender\ (v,\ \sigma))\ message\text{-}justification)
 \textbf{by } (simp \ add: strict-linear-order-on-def \ justification-is-total-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator) \\
      irreflexivity-of-justifications transitivity-of-justifications)
\textbf{lemma (in } Protocol) \ justification-is-strict-well-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma
   \longrightarrow strict-linear-order-on (from-sender (v, \sigma)) message-justification \land wfp-on
justified (from-sender (v, \sigma)))
  {\bf using}\ justification\ is\ well\ founded\ on\ messages\ from\ validator
     justification-is-strict-linear-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator
  by blast
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) latest-message-is-maximal-element-of-justification:
 \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-messages \ \sigma \ v = \{m. \ maximal-on \ (from\text{-sender} \ v) \}
(v, \sigma)) message-justification m}
 apply (simp add: latest-messages-def later-from-def later-def message-justification-def
maximal-on-def)
  using from-sender-type-for-state apply auto
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) IntI empty-iff from-sender-def mem-Collect-eq
prod.simps(2)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ observed-non-equivocating-validators-have-one-latest-message:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators } \sigma. \ is\text{-}singleton \ (latest\text{-}messages
\sigma(v)
  apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
proof -
  have \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. (\forall v \in observed \ \sigma - equivocating-validators \ \sigma. is-singleton \{m\}.
maximal-on (from-sender (v, \sigma)) message-justification m\})
    using
         messages-from-observed-validator-is-non-empty
        messages-from\mbox{-}validator\mbox{-}is\mbox{-}finite
        observed-type-for-state
         equivocating-validators-def
     justification-is-strict-linear-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator
        strict-linear-order-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-one-maximum
        maximal- and- maximum- coincide-for-strict-linear- order
    by (smt Collect-cong DiffD1 DiffD2 set-mp)
   then show \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall v \in observed \ \sigma - equivocating-validators \ \sigma. is-singleton
(latest-messages \sigma v)
    {f using}\ latest-message-is-maximal-element-of-justification
       observed-non-equivocating-validators-defobserved-non-equivocating-validators-type\\
    by fastforce
qed
definition latest-estimates :: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow consensus-value set
  where
    latest-estimates \sigma v = \{est \ m \mid m. \ m \in latest-messages \ \sigma \ v\}
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{latest-estimates-type} :
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-estimates \ \sigma \ v \subseteq C
 {\bf using}\ \textit{M-type}\ \textit{Protocol.latest-messages-type-for-state}\ \textit{Protocol-axioms}\ latest-estimates-def
\mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fastforce}
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) latest-estimates-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land v \notin observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow latest-estimates \ \sigma \ v = \emptyset
  {\bf using}\ latest-estimates-def\ latest-messages-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty
\mathbf{by} auto
```

```
definition latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators :: <math>state \Rightarrow validator
\Rightarrow message set
    where
         latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma v = (if is-equivocating \sigma v
then \emptyset else latest-messages \sigma v)
lemma (in Protocol) latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-type:
   \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \ v
  by (simp add: latest-messages-type-for-state latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-def)
definition latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators :: state \Rightarrow validator
\Rightarrow consensus-value set
    where
            latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma v = \{est \ m \mid m. \ m \in a
latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma v
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators-type:
   \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \ v
\in Pow C
  \textbf{using } \textit{Protocol.} latest-estimates-type \textit{Protocol-axioms } latest-estimates-def \textit{ latest-estimates-from-non-equivocation} \\
latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-def by auto
{\bf lemma~(in~} Protocol)~latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land v \notin observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators
  \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators-def \ latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-def \ latest-messages-def \ latest-messages-def \ latest-messages-def \ latest-messages-def \ latest-me
latest-messages-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty)
end
theory StateTransition
imports Main CBCCasper
begin
definition (in Params) state-transition :: state rel
```

state-transition = $\{(\sigma 1, \sigma 2), \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma \land is$ -future-state $(\sigma 1, \sigma 2)\}$

```
lemma (in Params) reflexivity-of-state-transition:
       refl-on \Sigma state-transition
       apply (simp add: state-transition-def refl-on-def)
      by auto
\mathbf{lemma}~(\mathbf{in}~\textit{Params})~\textit{transitivity-of-state-transition}~:
       trans\ state\mbox{-}transition
       apply (simp add: state-transition-def trans-def)
      by auto
lemma (in Params) state-transition-is-preorder :
      preorder-on \Sigma state-transition
    by (simp add: preorder-on-def reflexivity-of-state-transition transitivity-of-state-transition)
lemma (in Params) antisymmetry-of-state-transition:
       antisym\ state-transition
       apply (simp add: state-transition-def antisym-def)
       by auto
lemma (in Params) state-transition-is-partial-order:
       partial-order-on \Sigma state-transition
    by (simp add: partial-order-on-def state-transition-is-preorder antisymmetry-of-state-transition)
definition (in Protocol) minimal-transitions :: (state * state) set
       where
              minimal-transitions \equiv \{(\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land is\text{-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \Sigma t
\sigma') \wedge \sigma \neq \sigma'
                  \wedge (\nexists \sigma''. \sigma'' \in \Sigma \wedge is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma'') \wedge is-future-state (\sigma'', \sigma') \wedge \sigma \neq i
\sigma'' \wedge \sigma'' \neq \sigma' \}
definition immediately-next-message where
       immediately-next-message = (\lambda(\sigma, m). justification m \subseteq \sigma \land m \notin \sigma)
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth-non-zero:
    \forall n \geq 1. \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma \text{-}i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ \forall m \in M \text{-}i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma, m)
\longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+1)
       apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof-
    assume 1 \le n \ \sigma \in \Sigma-i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \ m \in M-i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \ immediately-next-message
(\sigma, m)
      have \exists n'. n = Suc n'
             using \langle 1 \leq n \rangle old.nat.exhaust by auto
      hence si: \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n = \{ \sigma \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n-1)). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m.
m \in \sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
```

```
by force
    hence \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1) = \{ \sigma \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall \ m. \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n)).
\sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
         by force
     have justification m \subseteq \sigma
         using immediately-next-message-def
        \mathbf{by} \; (\textit{metis} \; (\textit{no-types}, \, \textit{lifting}) \; \langle \textit{immediately-next-message} \; (\sigma, \, m) \rangle \; \textit{case-prod-conv})
     hence justification m \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
         by blast
     moreover have \bigwedge m'. finite \sigma \wedge m' \in \sigma \Longrightarrow justification <math>m' \subseteq \sigma
         using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle \ si \ by \ blast
     hence \bigwedge m'. finite \sigma \wedge m' \in \sigma \Longrightarrow justification <math>m' \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
         by auto
     ultimately have \bigwedge m'. m' \in \sigma \cup \{m\} \Longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma
         using \langle justification \ m \subseteq \sigma \rangle by blast
     have \{m\} \in Pow (M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) n)
         using \langle m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) \rangle n  by auto
     moreover have \sigma \in Pow (M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1))
         using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle \ si \ by \ auto
     hence \sigma \in Pow (M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n)
         using Mi-monotonic
           by (metis (full-types) PowD PowI Suc-eq-plus1 (\exists n'. n = Suc \ n') diff-Suc-1
subset-iff)
     ultimately have \sigma \cup \{m\} \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n)
         by blast
    show \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n + 1)
           using \langle \bigwedge m' finite \sigma \wedge m' \in \sigma \Longrightarrow justification <math>m' \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\} \rangle \langle \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \sigma \rangle
Pow (M-i\ (V,\ C,\ \varepsilon)\ n) \land (justification\ m \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\})
         \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \text{-} i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle \ si \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
qed
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth:
     \forall \sigma \in \Sigma \text{-}i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ \forall m \in M \text{-}i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma, m) \longrightarrow
\sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+1)
     apply (cases n)
    apply auto[1]
     \textbf{using} \ state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth-non-zero
     by (metis le-add1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
lemma (in Params) past-state-exists-in-same-depth :
    \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \Sigma \text{--}i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \longrightarrow \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma \text{--}i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
    apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof (cases n)
     case \theta
```

```
show \wedge \sigma \sigma' \cdot \sigma' \in \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \Longrightarrow \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow n = 0 \Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Gamma
\Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
     by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc nat)
  show \land \sigma \sigma' nat. \sigma' \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \Longrightarrow \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow n = Suc nat
\Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n
  proof -
  fix \sigma \sigma'
  assume \sigma' \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  and \sigma \subseteq \sigma'
  and \sigma \in \Sigma
  have n > 0
     by (simp add: Suc)
  have finite \sigma \wedge (\forall m. m \in \sigma \longrightarrow justification m \subseteq \sigma)
     using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle state-is-finite state-is-in-pow-M-i by blast
  moreover have \sigma \in Pow (M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1))
     using \langle \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \rangle
     by (smt Pow-iff Suc-eq-plus 1 \Sigmai-monotonic \Sigmai-subset-Mi \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon)
n add-diff-cancel-left' add-eq-if diff-is-0-eq diff-le-self plus-1-eq-Suc subset-iff)
  ultimately have \sigma \in \{\sigma \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ (n-1)). \ finite \ \sigma \land (\forall m. m \in V,C,\varepsilon) \ (n-1)\}
\sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
     by blast
  then show \sigma \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
     by (simp add: Suc)
  qed
qed
lemma (in Protocol) immediately-next-message-exists-in-same-depth:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma,m) \longrightarrow (\exists \ n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \in \Sigma-i
(V,C,\varepsilon) n \wedge m \in M-i(V,C,\varepsilon) n
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def M-def \Sigma-def)
  using past-state-exists-in-same-depth
  using \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma by blast
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-by-immediately-next-message:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ immediately-next-message \ (\sigma,m) \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma
  apply (rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma m
  assume \sigma \in \Sigma
  and m \in M
  and immediately-next-message (\sigma, m)
  then have (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \sigma \in \Sigma - i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \land m \in M - i (V, C, \varepsilon) n)
     \textbf{using} \ immediately-next-message-exists-in-same-depth} \ \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \ \langle m \in M \rangle
     by blast
  then have \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma -i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+1)
     using state-transition-by-immediately-next-message-of-same-depth
     using \langle immediately-next-message (\sigma, m) \rangle by blast
```

```
show \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma
    apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
    by (metis Nats-1 Nats-add Un-insert-right \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon)
(n + 1) sup-bot.right-neutral)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-imps-immediately-next-message:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land m \notin \sigma \longrightarrow immediately-next-message \ (\sigma, m)
proof -
  have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \longrightarrow (\forall \ m' \in \sigma \cup \{m\}. \ \textit{justification } m'
\subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
    using state-is-in-pow-M-i by blast
  then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \longrightarrow \textit{justification } m \subseteq \sigma \cup \{m\}
  then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land m \notin \sigma \longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma
    using justification-implies-different-messages justified-def by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-only-made-by-immediately-next-message:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land m \notin \sigma \longleftrightarrow immediately\text{-next-message} \ (\sigma, m)
  {\bf using} \ state-transition-imps-immediately-next-message \ state-transition-by-immediately-next-message
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
  by blast
lemma (in Protocol) state-transition-is-immediately-next-message:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ m \in M. \ \sigma \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \ \longleftrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma
  {\bf using}\ state-transition-only-made-by-immediately-next-message
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
  using insert-Diff state-is-in-pow-M-i by fastforce
lemma (in Protocol) strict-subset-of-state-have-immediately-next-messages:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma'. \ \sigma' \subset \sigma \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma - \sigma'. immediately-next-message (\sigma', m))
  apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
  apply (rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma \sigma'
  assume \sigma \in \Sigma
  assume \sigma' \subset \sigma
  show \exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. justification m \subseteq \sigma'
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume \neg (\exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. justification m \subseteq \sigma')
    then have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m' \in justification m. m' \in \sigma - \sigma'
       using \langle \neg (\exists m \in \sigma - \sigma') \text{ justification } m \subseteq \sigma' \rangle \rangle state-is-in-pow-M-i \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle
       by (metis Diff-iff \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle subset-eq)
    then have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m'. justified m'm \land m' \in \sigma - \sigma'
       using justified-def by auto
    then have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m'. justified m'm \land m' \in \sigma - \sigma' \land m \neq m'
```

```
{\bf using} \ justification-implies-different-messages \ state-difference-is-valid-message
        message-in-state-is-valid \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle
        by (meson\ DiffD1\ \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle)
     have \sigma - \sigma' \subseteq M
        using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle state-is-subset-of-M by auto
     then have \exists m\text{-min} \in \sigma - \sigma'. \forall m. justified m m\text{-min} \longrightarrow m \notin \sigma - \sigma'
        using subset-of-M-have-minimal-of-justification \langle \sigma' \subset \sigma \rangle
        bv blast
     then show False
        using \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \exists m'. justified m'm \land m' \in \sigma - \sigma' by blast
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) union-of-two-states-is-state :
  \forall \ \sigma 1 \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma 2 \in \Sigma. \ (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) \in \Sigma
  apply (rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2
  assume \sigma 1 \in \Sigma and \sigma 2 \in \Sigma
  show \sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2 \in \Sigma
  proof (cases \sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma 2)
     {\bf case}\ {\it True}
     then show ?thesis
        by (simp add: Un-absorb1 \langle \sigma 2 \in \Sigma \rangle)
  next
     case False
     then have \neg \sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma 2 by simp
   have \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists m \in \sigma - (\sigma \cap \sigma'). immediately-next-message(\sigma))
\cap \sigma', m)
      \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis Int-subset-iff psubset I strict-subset-of-state-have-immediately-next-messages}
subsetI)
       then have \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subset \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists m \in \sigma - (\sigma \cap \sigma').
immediately-next-message(\sigma', m))
        apply (simp add: immediately-next-message-def)
        by blast
     then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \ \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma)
        \mathbf{using}\ state\text{-}transition\text{-}by\text{-}immediately\text{-}next\text{-}message
        by (metis DiffD1 DiffD2 DiffI IntI message-in-state-is-valid)
     have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow \ \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
     proof -
        have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow card \ (\sigma - \sigma') > 0
          by (meson Diff-eq-empty-iff card-0-eq finite-Diff gr0I state-is-finite)
        have \forall n. \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\sigma' \in \Sigma
           apply (rule)
        proof -
           \mathbf{fix} \ n
           show \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
              apply (induction \ n)
```

```
apply (rule, rule, rule)
                          proof -
                                 fix \sigma \sigma'
                                 assume \sigma \in \Sigma and \sigma' \in \Sigma and \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ \theta = card \ (\sigma - \sigma')
                                 then have is-singleton (\sigma - \sigma')
                                        by (simp add: is-singleton-altdef)
                                 then have \{the\text{-}elem\ (\sigma-\sigma')\}\cup\sigma'\in\Sigma
                                         using \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \ \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Gamma)
\Sigma) \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \rangle
                                                             by (metis Un-commute \langle \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ \theta = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \rangle
is-singleton-the-elem singletonD)
                                 then show \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
                                         by (metis Un-Diff-cancel2 (is-singleton (\sigma - \sigma')) is-singleton-the-elem)
                           next
                                 show \land n. \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
                                        apply (rule, rule, rule)
                                 proof -
                                        fix n \sigma \sigma'
                                        assume \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\in \Sigma and \sigma \in \Sigma and \sigma' \in \Sigma and \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc (Suc n) = card (\sigma - \sigma')
                                      have \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \cup \{m\} \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - (\sigma' \cup \{m\}))
                                               using \langle \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc (Suc \ n) = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \rangle
                                                                     by (metis Diff-eq-empty-iff Diff-insert Un-insert-right \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle
add-diff-cancel-left' card-0-eq card-Suc-Diff1 finite-Diff nat.simps(3) plus-1-eq-Suc
state-is-finite sup-bot.right-neutral)
                                        have \exists m \in \sigma - \sigma' . \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma
                                             using \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \ \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \ \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \sigma \subseteq \sigma' )
\Sigma) \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \rangle \land \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc (Suc \ n) = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \land Suc \ (Suc \ n) = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \land (
                                              by blast
                                      then have \exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma \land \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \cup \{m\} \land Suc \ n = \sigma'
card (\sigma - (\sigma' \cup \{m\}))
                                                  using \forall m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \cup \{m\} \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - (\sigma' \cup \sigma'))
\{m\})\rangle
                                               by simp
                                        then show \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \Sigma
                                               using \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \land Suc \ n = card \ (\sigma - \sigma') \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \sigma'
\in \Sigma
                                                                       by (smt Un-Diff-cancel Un-commute Un-insert-right \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle
insert-absorb2 mk-disjoint-insert sup-bot.right-neutral)
                                 qed
                          qed
                    qed
                    then show ?thesis
                             by (meson \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \neg \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists m \in \sigma - \sigma'. \ \sigma' \cup \{m\} \in \Sigma))
card-Suc-Diff1 finite-Diff state-is-finite)
             qed
             then show ?thesis
```

```
using False \langle \sigma 1 \in \Sigma \rangle \langle \sigma 2 \in \Sigma \rangle by blast
       qed
qed
{f lemma} (in {\it Protocol}) {\it union-of-finite-set-of-states-is-state}:
       \forall \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma. \ \textit{finite} \ \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \ \sigma\text{-set} \in \Sigma
       apply auto
proof -
       have \forall n. \forall \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma. \ n = card \ \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow finite \ \sigma\text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \ \sigma\text{-set} \in \Sigma
              apply (rule)
       proof -
              \mathbf{fix} \ n
              show \forall \sigma \text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma. n = card \ \sigma \text{-set} \longrightarrow finite \ \sigma \text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma \text{-set} \in \Sigma
                      apply (induction \ n)
                      apply (rule, rule, rule, rule)
                         apply (simp add: empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma)
                      apply (rule, rule, rule, rule)
              proof -
                      fix n \ \sigma-set
                         assume \forall \sigma \text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma. n = card \ \sigma \text{-set} \longrightarrow finite \ \sigma \text{-set} \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma \text{-set} \in \Sigma and
\sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma and Suc n = card \ \sigma-set and finite \sigma-set
                      then have \forall \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\} \subseteq \Sigma \land \bigcup \ (\sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\}) \in \Sigma
                                  \mathbf{using} \ \langle \sigma\text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma \rangle \ \langle Suc \ n = card \ \sigma\text{-}set \rangle \ \langle \forall \, \sigma\text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma. \ n = card \ \sigma\text{-}set \longrightarrow
finite \sigma-set \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma-set \in \Sigma
                             by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Suc-inject card.remove finite-Diff insert-Diff
insert-subset)
                   then have \forall \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\} \subseteq \Sigma \land \bigcup (\sigma\text{-set} - \{\sigma\}) \in \Sigma \land \bigcup (\sigma\text{-set}) \in \Sigma \land \bigcup (\sigma\text{-set})
- \{\sigma\}) \cup \sigma \in \Sigma
                             using union-of-two-states-is-state \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma \rangle by auto
                      then show \bigcup \sigma-set \in \Sigma
                                     by (metis Sup-bot-conv(1) Sup-insert Un-commute empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma
insert-Diff)
              qed
       qed
       then show \land \sigma-set. \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma \Longrightarrow finite \sigma-set \Longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma-set \in \Sigma
              by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{state-differences-have-immediately-next-messages} \colon
    \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \Sigma. \ \textit{is-future-state} \ (\sigma, \sigma') \land \sigma \neq \sigma' \longrightarrow (\exists \ m \in \sigma' - \sigma. \ \textit{immediately-next-message})
(\sigma, m)
       {\bf using} \ strict\hbox{-} subset\hbox{-} of\hbox{-} state\hbox{-} have\hbox{-} immediately\hbox{-} next\hbox{-} messages
       by (simp add: psubsetI)
{\bf lemma}\ non-empty-non-singleton-imps-two-elements:
        A \neq \emptyset \Longrightarrow \neg \text{ is-singleton } A \Longrightarrow \exists a1 \ a2. \ a1 \neq a2 \land \{a1, a2\} \subseteq A
       by (metis inf.orderI inf-bot-left insert-subset is-singletonI')
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{minimal-transition-implies-recieving-single-message} \ :
     \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \longrightarrow is-singleton \ (\sigma'-\sigma)
proof (rule ccontr)
      assume \neg (\forall \sigma \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \longrightarrow is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma))
      then have \exists \sigma \sigma' . (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)
      have \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \longrightarrow
                                         (\not\equiv \sigma''. \ \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-future-state}\ (\sigma, \sigma'') \land is\text{-future-state}\ (\sigma'', \sigma') \land \sigma
\neq \sigma'' \land \sigma'' \neq \sigma'
           by (simp add: minimal-transitions-def)
      have \forall \sigma \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton <math>(\sigma' - \sigma)
                \rightarrow (\exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma'
immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
           apply (rule, rule, rule)
      proof -
           fix \sigma \sigma'
           assume (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton <math>(\sigma' - \sigma)
           then have \sigma' - \sigma \neq \emptyset
                 apply (simp add: minimal-transitions-def)
                 by blast
           have \sigma' \in \Sigma \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma')
                 using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle
                 by (simp add: minimal-transitions-def \Sigma t-def)
           then have \sigma' - \sigma \subseteq M
                 using state-difference-is-valid-message by auto
            then have \exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1
\neq m2
                 \mathbf{using}\ non-empty-non-singleton-imps-two-elements
                                   \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle \langle \sigma' - \sigma \neq \emptyset \rangle
                 by (metis (full-types) contra-subsetD insert-subset subsetI)
            then show \exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1
\neq m2 \land immediately\text{-}next\text{-}message (\sigma, m1)
                 using state-differences-have-immediately-next-messages
                    by (metis Diff-iff \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma \wedge \sigma \in \Sigma \wedge is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma') \rangle insert-subset
message-in-state-is-valid)
      aed
      have \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal-transitions \land \neg is-singleton \ (\sigma' - \sigma) \longrightarrow
                                        (\exists \ \sigma''. \ \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-future-state} \ (\sigma, \sigma'') \land is\text{-future-state} \ (\sigma'', \sigma') \land \sigma
\neq \sigma'' \wedge \sigma'' \neq \sigma'
          apply (rule, rule, rule)
      proof -
           fix \sigma \sigma'
           assume (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton <math>(\sigma' - \sigma)
           then have \exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq 0
m2 \wedge immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
                 using \forall \sigma \sigma' . (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)
            \longrightarrow (\exists m1 \ m2. \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in
```

```
immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1))
                        by simp
                then obtain m1 m2 where \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land
m1 \neq m2 \land immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
                        by auto
                have \sigma \in \Sigma \wedge \sigma' \in \Sigma
                         using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle
                         by (simp add: minimal-transitions-def \Sigma t-def)
                then have \sigma \cup \{m1\} \in \Sigma
                                 using \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 
immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1)
                                                   state-transition-by-immediately-next-message
                         by simp
                have is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma \cup \{m1\}) \wedge is-future-state (\sigma \cup \{m1\}, \sigma')
                       using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \land \neg is\text{-}singleton (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle \langle \{m1, m2\} \subseteq \sigma' \}
M \wedge m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \wedge m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \wedge m1 \neq m2 \wedge immediately-next-message (\sigma,
m1) minimal-transitions-def by auto
                have \sigma \neq \sigma \cup \{m1\} \land \sigma \cup \{m1\} \neq \sigma'
                              using \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land m1 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m2 \in \sigma' - \sigma \land m1 \neq m2 \land m2 \in \sigma'
immediately-next-message (\sigma, m1) by auto
                then show \exists \sigma''. \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma'') \land is-future-state (\sigma'', \sigma') \land is
\sigma \neq \sigma'' \land \sigma'' \neq \sigma'
                          using \langle \sigma \cup \{m1\} \in \Sigma \rangle (is-future-state (\sigma, \sigma \cup \{m1\}) \wedge is-future-state (\sigma \cup \{m1\}) \wedge is
\{m1\}, \sigma'\rangle
                         by auto
        qed
        then show False
               using \forall \sigma \ \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \longrightarrow (\nexists \sigma''. \ \sigma'' \in \Sigma \land is\text{-}future\text{-}state
(\sigma, \sigma'') \land is-future-state (\sigma'', \sigma') \land \sigma \neq \sigma'' \land \sigma'' \neq \sigma') (\neg (\forall \sigma \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in \sigma')) \land \sigma' \neq \sigma'
minimal-transitions \longrightarrow is-singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)) by blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) minimal-transitions-reconstruction:
        \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma'. \ (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \longrightarrow \sigma \cup \{the\text{-}elem \ (\sigma' - \sigma)\} = \sigma'
        apply (rule, rule, rule)
proof -
        fix \sigma \sigma'
        assume (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions
        then have is-singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)
           {\bf using} \ \ minimal - transitions - def \ minimal - transition - implies - recieving - single - message
\mathbf{by} auto
         then have \sigma \subseteq \sigma'
                using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \rangle minimal-transitions-def by auto
        then show \sigma \cup \{the\text{-}elem\ (\sigma' - \sigma)\} = \sigma'
                by (metis Diff-partition (is-singleton (\sigma' - \sigma)) is-singleton-the-elem)
qed
```

 \mathbf{end}

3 Safety Proof

```
{\bf theory}\ Consensus Safety {\bf imports}\ Main\ CBCC asper\ State Transition\ Libraries/LaTeX sugar} {\bf begin}
```

```
definition (in Protocol) futures :: state \Rightarrow state \ set
     futures \sigma = \{ \sigma' \in \Sigma t. \text{ is-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \}
lemma (in Protocol) monotonic-futures :
  \forall \sigma' \sigma. \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
    \longrightarrow \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma \longleftrightarrow futures \ \sigma' \subseteq futures \ \sigma
  apply (simp add: futures-def) by auto
theorem (in Protocol) two-party-common-futures:
  \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \ \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)
   \longrightarrow futures \sigma 1 \cap futures \sigma 2 \neq \emptyset
  apply (simp add: futures-def \Sigma t-def) using union-of-two-states-is-state
  by blast
theorem (in Protocol) n-party-common-futures:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-}set. \ \sigma\text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow finite \ \sigma\text{-}set
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  \longrightarrow \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\} \neq \emptyset
  apply (simp add: futures-def \Sigma t-def) using union-of-finite-set-of-states-is-state
lemma (in Protocol) n-party-common-futures-exists :
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
   \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
   \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \sigma \in \bigcap \ \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\})
  apply (simp add: futures-def \Sigma t-def) using union-of-finite-set-of-states-is-state
  by blast
```

```
definition (in Protocol) state-property-is-decided :: (state-property * state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    state-property-is-decided = (\lambda(p, \sigma), (\forall \sigma' \in futures \sigma, p \sigma'))
lemma (in Protocol) forward-consistency:
  \forall \sigma' \sigma. \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow \sigma' \in \mathit{futures} \ \sigma
  \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma)
  \longrightarrow state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided\ (p, \sigma')
  apply (simp add: futures-def state-property-is-decided-def)
  by auto
fun state-property-not :: state-property \Rightarrow state-property
  where
    state-property-not p = (\lambda \sigma. (\neg p \sigma))
lemma (in Protocol) backword-consistency:
  \forall \ \sigma' \ \sigma. \ \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma
  \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma')
  \longrightarrow \neg state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (state\text{-}property\text{-}not p, \sigma)
  apply (simp add: futures-def state-property-is-decided-def)
  by auto
theorem (in Protocol) two-party-consensus-safety-for-state-property:
  \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \ \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \land \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)
  \longrightarrow \neg (state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided\ (p, \sigma 1) \land state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided\ (state\text{-}property\text{-}not
p, \sigma 2)
  apply (simp add: state-property-is-decided-def)
  using two-party-common-futures
  by (metis Int-emptyI)
definition (in Protocol) state-properties-are-inconsistent :: state-property set \Rightarrow
bool
  where
    state-properties-are-inconsistent p-set = (\forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \neg (\forall p \in p-set. p \sigma))
definition (in Protocol) state-properties-are-consistent :: state-property set \Rightarrow bool
    state-properties-are-consistent p-set = (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in p-set. p \ \sigma)
```

```
definition (in Protocol) state-property-decisions :: state \Rightarrow state-property set
  where
     state-property-decisions \sigma = \{p. state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma)\}
theorem (in Protocol) n-party-safety-for-state-properties:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  \longrightarrow state-properties-are-consistent (\bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma-set\})
  apply rule+
proof-
  fix \sigma-set
  assume \sigma-set: \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t
  and finite \sigma-set
  and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
     using n-party-common-futures-exists by simp
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma \text{-set. } \sigma \in \text{futures } s
     by blast
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ (\forall s \in \sigma \text{-set. } \sigma \in \text{futures } s) \land (\forall s \in \sigma \text{-set. } \sigma \in \text{futures } s \longrightarrow (\forall p.
state-property-is-decided (p,s) \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p,\sigma)))
     by (simp add: subset-eq state-property-is-decided-def futures-def)
 hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma-set. (\forall p. state-property-is-decided (p,s) \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided
(p,\sigma)
     by blast
 hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \forall s \in \sigma-set. (\forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided
     by (simp add: state-property-decisions-def)
 hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \forall p \in \bigcup \{state\text{-property-decisions } \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}. state-property-is-decided
(p,\sigma)
  proof-
   obtain \sigma where \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \forall s \in \sigma-set. (\forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided
     using \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma-set. \forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided
(p, \sigma) by blast
    have \forall p \in \bigcup \{ state\text{-property-decisions } \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \}. state\text{-property-is-decided}
(p,\sigma)
       using \forall s \in \sigma-set. \forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided (p, q)
\sigma) by fastforce
     thus ?thesis
       using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma t \rangle by blast
  qed
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall p \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}. \ \forall \sigma' \in futures
\sigma. p \sigma'
   by (simp add: state-property-decisions-def futures-def state-property-is-decided-def)
 show state-properties-are-consistent (\bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma-set\})
     unfolding state-properties-are-consistent-def
```

```
\mathbf{definition} (in Protocol) naturally-corresponding-state-property:: <math>consensus-value-property
\Rightarrow state-property
  where
      naturally-corresponding-state-property q = (\lambda \sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ q \ c)
\mathbf{definition} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ consensus-value-properties-are-consistent :: consensus-value-property
set \Rightarrow bool
  where
      consensus-value-properties-are-consistent q-set = (\exists c \in C. \forall q \in q-set. qc)
lemma (in Protocol) naturally-corresponding-consistency:
  \forall q-set. state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q
| q. q \in q\text{-set} \}
   \longrightarrow consensus-value-properties-are-consistent\ q\text{-}set
  apply (rule, rule)
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ q\text{-}set
  have
      state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q. q
\in q\text{-}set
       \rightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in \{\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c \mid q. \ q \in q\text{-set}\}. \ p \ \sigma)
   by (simp add: naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-properties-are-consistent-def)
  moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in \{\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c \mid q. \ q \in q\text{-set}\}. \ p \ \sigma)
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set.} \ (\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q' \ c) \ \sigma)
     by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) mem-Collect-eq)
  moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set.} \ (\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c) \ \sigma)
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set}. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ q' \ c)
     by blast
   moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set}. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ q \ c)
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set. } q' \ c)
     by blast
   moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set.} \ q \ c)
      \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set. } q' \ c)
     by (meson all-not-in-conv estimates-are-non-empty)
   moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set. } q \ c)
```

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Σt -def $(\exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \forall p \in \bigcup \{state\text{-property-decisions } \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}. \forall \sigma' \in futures \sigma. p \sigma') mem-Collect-eq monotonic-futures order-refl)$

qed

```
\longrightarrow (\exists c \in C. \forall q' \in q\text{-set. } q'c)
    using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by fastforce
  ultimately show
    state-properties-are-consistent { naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q. q \in
q-set\}
    \implies consensus-value-properties-are-consistent q-set
    by (simp add: consensus-value-properties-are-consistent-def)
qed
definition (in Protocol) consensus-value-property-is-decided :: (consensus-value-property
* state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
     consensus\mbox{-}value\mbox{-}property\mbox{-}is\mbox{-}decided
      = (\lambda(q, \sigma). state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property q,
\sigma))
\textbf{definition (in }\textit{Protocol) }\textit{consensus-value-property-decisions} :: \textit{state} \Rightarrow \textit{consensus-value-property}
set
  where
     consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma = \{q. consensus-value-property-is-decided\}
(q, \sigma)
theorem (in Protocol) n-party-safety-for-consensus-value-properties:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  \longrightarrow consensus\mbox{-}value\mbox{-}properties\mbox{-}are\mbox{-}consistent (\bigcup \{consensus\mbox{-}value\mbox{-}property\mbox{-}decisions
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma-set
  assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t
  and finite \sigma-set
  and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
   hence state-properties-are-consistent ([] {state-property-decisions \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in
\sigma-set\})
    using \langle \sigma\text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle n-party-safety-for-state-properties by auto
  hence state-properties-are-consistent \{p \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma.\ \sigma\}\}
\{ \in \sigma\text{-set} \}. \exists q. p = naturally\text{-corresponding-state-property } q \}
   {\bf unfolding}\ naturally-corresponding\text{-} state\text{-} property\text{-} def\ state\text{-} properties\text{-} are\text{-} consistent\text{-} def
    apply (simp)
    by meson
  hence state-properties-are-consistent { naturally-corresponding-state-property q |
q. naturally-corresponding-state-property q \in \bigcup {state-property-decisions \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma
\in \sigma\text{-set}\}
    \mathbf{by} \ (smt \ Collect\text{-}cong)
```

```
hence consensus-value-properties-are-consistent \{q. naturally-corresponding-state-property\}
q \in \bigcup \{ state\text{-property-decisions } \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \} \}
       using naturally-corresponding-consistency
    proof -
       show ?thesis
        by (metis (no-types) Setcompr-eq-image \forall q-set. state-properties-are-consistent
\{naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property\ q\ |\ q.\ q\in q\text{-}set\}\longrightarrow consensus\text{-}value\text{-}properties\text{-}are\text{-}consistent}
q-set\rangle \langle state-properties-are-consistent \{ naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q.
naturally-corresponding-state-property q \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \ | \sigma. \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \in \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma. \ \sigma. \ \sigma. \}\}\}
\sigma-set\}\rangle setcompr-eq-image)
   qed
  hence consensus-value-properties-are-consistent ( ) { consensus-value-property-decisions}
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\})
     apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
state-property-decisions-def consensus-value-properties-are-consistent-def)
       by (metis mem-Collect-eq)
    thus
     consensus-value-properties-are-consistent ([]) { consensus-value-property-decisions}
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
       by simp
qed
fun consensus-value-property-not :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow consensus-value-property
    where
        consensus-value-property-not p = (\lambda c. (\neg p c))
lemma (in Protocol) negation-is-not-decided-by-other-validator:
    \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
    \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
    \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
    \longrightarrow (\forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ p. \ \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land p \in consensus\text{-value-property-decisions} \ \sigma
                        \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-not p \notin consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma'
   apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
   fix \sigma-set \sigma \sigma' p
   assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set) and \{\sigma, \sigma\}
\sigma' \subseteq \sigma-set \land p \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
    hence \exists \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\
        using n-party-common-futures-exists by meson
    then obtain \sigma'' where \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\ by auto
    hence state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property p, \sigma'')
     using \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land p \in consensus\text{-value-property-decisions } \sigma \rangle consensus-value-property-decisions-def
consensus\mbox{-}value\mbox{-}property\mbox{-}is\mbox{-}decided\mbox{-}def
       using \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle forward-consistency by fastforce
    have \sigma'' \in futures \ \sigma'
       using \langle \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\} \rangle \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \wedge p \in \sigma \}
consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
       by auto
```

```
\mathbf{hence} \neg state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (state\text{-}property\text{-}not (naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property)}
p), \sigma'
            using backword-consistency (state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property
p, \sigma''
                using \langle \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'' \in \bigcap \text{-}Collect (futures \ \sigma) \ (\sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set) \rangle \ \langle \sigma\text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \bigcap \text{-}Collect \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma, \sigma'' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{L} t \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \{\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{L} 
\sigma' \subseteq \sigma-set \land p \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma \bowtie by auto
     then show consensus-value-property-not p \notin consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma'
       apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-property-is-decided-def)
           using \Sigma t-def estimates-are-non-empty futures-def by fastforce
qed
lemma (in Protocol) n-party-consensus-safety:
     \forall \ \sigma\text{-set}.\ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
      \longrightarrow finite \ \sigma\text{-}set
      \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
      \longrightarrow (\forall p \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}.
                           (\lambda c. (\neg p \ c)) \notin \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\})
     apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
      fix \sigma-set p
      assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set) and p
\in \{ \ | \ \{ consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \ | \ \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set} \} 
      and (\lambda c. (\neg p \ c)) \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
     hence \exists \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\
           using n-party-common-futures-exists by meson
      then obtain \sigma'' where \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\ by auto
      hence state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property p, \sigma'')
        using \langle p \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma' \mid \sigma', \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\} \rangle consensus-value-property-decisions-de
consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
           using \langle \sigma \text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle forward-consistency by fastforce
      have state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property (\lambda c. (\neg p)
c)), \sigma'')
              using \langle (\lambda c. (\neg p \ c)) \in \bigcup \{consensus-value-property-decisions \ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in A'\}
\sigma-set\} consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
            using \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle forward-consistency \langle \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma'' \in \bigcap \{\text{futures } \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \}
\in \sigma-set\} by fastforce
      then show False
           using \langle state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property p, \sigma'' \rangle)
       {\bf apply} \ (simp \ add: state-property-is-decided-def \ naturally-corresponding-state-property-def)
              by (meson \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \lor \sigma'' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma'' \in \bigcap-Collect (futures \sigma) (\sigma \in
\sigma-set) \rightarrow estimates-are-non-empty monotonic-futures order-refl subset CE)
```

ged

```
lemma (in Protocol) two-party-consensus-safety-for-consensus-value-property:
  \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \ \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \land \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)
  \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-is-decided (p, <math>\sigma 1)
  \rightarrow \neg consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not p, \sigma 2)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2
  have two-party: \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \ \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t
          \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\})
          \longrightarrow p \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 1
               \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-not\ p \notin consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma 2
     \mathbf{using}\ negation\mbox{-}is\mbox{-}not\mbox{-}decided\mbox{-}by\mbox{-}other\mbox{-}validator
     by (meson finite.emptyI finite.insertI order-refl)
 assume \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \land \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t and is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) and consensus-value-property-is-decided
(p, \sigma 1)
  then show \neg consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not
p, \sigma 2
     using two-party
     apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
     by blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) n-party-consensus-safety-for-power-set-of-decisions :
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold ( \bigcup \sigma-set)
   \longrightarrow (\forall \ \sigma \ p\text{-set}.\ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \land p\text{-set} \in Pow\ (\bigcup \ \{consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}decisions\})
\sigma' \mid \sigma'. \ \sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set}\}) - \{\emptyset\}
         \rightarrow (\lambda c. \neg (\forall p \in p\text{-set. } p c)) \notin consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}decisions } \sigma)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma-set \sigma p-set
  assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
  and \sigma \in \sigma-set \land p-set \in Pow ([] {consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \sigma'
\in \sigma-set\}) - \{\emptyset\}
  and (\lambda c. \neg (\forall p \in p\text{-set. } p c)) \in consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}decisions } \sigma
  hence \exists \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\
     using n-party-common-futures-exists by meson
  then obtain \sigma' where \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}\ by auto
 hence \forall p \in p\text{-set. } \exists \sigma'' \in \sigma\text{-set. } state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property
p, \sigma''
     using \langle \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \land p\text{-set} \in Pow ([] \{ consensus\text{-value-property-decisions } \sigma' | \})
\sigma'. \sigma' \in \sigma-set\}) - \{\emptyset\}
   apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def)
  have \forall \ \sigma'' \in \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma' \in \text{futures} \ \sigma''
     using \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma' \in \bigcap \text{-}Collect (futures \ \sigma) \ (\sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set) \rangle by blast
```

```
p, \sigma'
   using forward-consistency \forall p \in p\text{-set.} \exists \sigma'' \in \sigma\text{-set.} state-property-is-decided
(naturally-corresponding-state-property p, \sigma'')
    by (meson \ \langle \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \bigcap \text{-}Collect \ (futures \ \sigma) \ (\sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set) \rangle \ \langle \sigma\text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle
subsetCE)
  hence state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property (\lambda c. \forall p
\in p\text{-set. }p\ c),\ \sigma'
  apply (simp add: naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-property-is-decided-def)
    by auto
  then show False
    using \langle (\lambda c. \neg (\forall p \in p\text{-set. } p c)) \in consensus \text{-value-property-decisions } \sigma \rangle
  apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-property-is-decided-def)
  \sigma') (\sigma' \in \sigma\text{-set})) -\{\emptyset\} (\sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma' \in \bigcap \text{-}Collect (futures } \sigma) (\sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set))
estimates-are-non-empty\ monotonic\text{-}futures\ \mathbf{by}\ fastforce
qed
end
theory SafetyOracle
imports Main CBCCasper LatestMessage StateTransition
begin
fun latest-justifications-from-non-equivocating-validators :: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow
state\ set
  where
    latest-justifications-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma v =
      \{justification \ m \mid m. \ m \in latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \}
v
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ latest-justifications-from-non-equivocating-validators-type :
 \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-justifications-from-non-equivocating-validators
```

hence $\forall p \in p$ -set. state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property

using M-type latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-type by auto

```
fun agreeing-validators :: (consensus-value-property * state) <math>\Rightarrow validator set
    where
         agreeing-validators (p, \sigma) = \{v \in observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma. \forall c \}
\in latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma \ v. \ p \ c
lemma (in Protocol) agreeing-validators-type:
   \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ agreeing\text{-}validators \ (p, \sigma) \subseteq V
    apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
    using observed-type-for-state by auto
fun disagreeing-validators :: (consensus-value-property * state) <math>\Rightarrow validator set
    where
        disagreeing-validators (p, \sigma) = \{v \in observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma. \exists
c \in latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma \ v. \neg p \ c
lemma (in Protocol) disagreeing-validators-type:
   \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ disagreeing-validators \ (p, \sigma) \subseteq V
    apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
    using observed-type-for-state by auto
definition (in Params) weight-measure :: validator set \Rightarrow real
    where
        weight-measure\ v-set=sum\ W\ v-set
fun (in Params) is-majority :: (validator set * state) \Rightarrow bool
    where
      is-majority\ (v-set,\sigma) = (weight-measure\ v-set > (weight-measure\ V-weight-measure\ V-weight-measur
(equivocating-validators \sigma)) div 2)
definition (in Protocol) is-majority-driven :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow bool
    where
       is-majority-driven p = (\forall \sigma c. \sigma \in \Sigma \land c \in C \land is-majority (agreeing-validators
(p, \sigma), \sigma) \longrightarrow (\forall c \in \varepsilon \sigma. p c)
definition (in Protocol) is-max-driven :: consensus-value-property \Rightarrow bool
    where
        is-max-driven p =
               (\forall \ \sigma \ c. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land c \in C \land weight\text{-}measure (agreeing-validators } (p, \sigma)) >
weight-measure (disagreeing-validators (p, \sigma)) \longrightarrow c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma \land p \ c)
```

fun later-disagreeing-messages :: (consensus-value-property * message * validator

```
* state) \Rightarrow message set
     where
          later-disagreeing-messages\ (p,\ m,\ v,\ \sigma)=\{m'\in later-from\ (m,\ v,\ \sigma).\ \neg\ p\ (est
lemma (in Protocol) later-disagreeing-messages-type:
    \forall p \sigma v m. \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land m \in M \longrightarrow later-disagreeing-messages (p, m, v, v)
     using later-from-type-for-state by auto
fun is-clique :: (validator set * consensus-value-property * state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
        is-clique (v-set, p, \sigma) =
         (\forall \ v \in v\text{-}set. \ v\text{-}set \subseteq agreeing-validators \ (p, \ the\text{-}elem \ (latest\text{-}justifications\text{-}from\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators \ (p, \ the\text{-}elem \ (latest\text{-}justifications\text{-}from\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}from\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators \ (p, \ the\text{-}elem \ (latest\text{-}justifications\text{-}from\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}from\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}rom\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}rom\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}rom\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}rom\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}rom\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}rom\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}rom\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}rom\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}rom\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text
         \land (\forall v' \in v\text{-set. later-disagreeing-messages}(p, the\text{-elem (latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators}))
(the-elem (latest-justifications-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma v)) v'), v', \sigma) =
\emptyset))
lemma (in Protocol) later-from-not-affected-by-minimal-transitions:
    \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ m \ m' \ v. \ (\sigma, \ \sigma') \in minimal-transitions
     \longrightarrow m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
     \longrightarrow v \in V - \{sender m'\}
     \longrightarrow later-from (m, v, \sigma) = later-from (m, v, \sigma')
    apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof-
     fix \sigma \sigma' m m' v
     assume (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions
    assume m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma)
    assume v \in V - \{sender m'\}
     have later-from (m, v, \sigma) = \{m'' \in \sigma \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{justified } m \text{ } m''\}
          apply (simp add: later-from-def from-sender-def later-def)
          by auto
     also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma. \text{ sender } m'' = v \land \text{ justified } m \text{ } m''\} \cup \emptyset
     also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{justified } m \ m''\} \cup \{m'' \in \{m'\}\}.
```

sender m'' = v} **proof**-

```
have \{m'' \in \{m'\}. \text{ sender } m'' = v\} = \emptyset
      using \langle v \in V - \{sender \ m'\} \rangle by auto
    thus ?thesis
      by blast
  qed
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{justified } m \ m''\} \cup \{m'' \in \{m'\}.
sender m'' = v \land justified \ m \ m''
  proof-
    have sender m' = v \Longrightarrow justified m m'
      using \langle v \in V - \{sender \ m'\} \rangle by auto
    thus ?thesis
      by blast
  qed
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma \cup \{m'\}\}. sender m'' = v \land justified m m''\}
  also have ... = \{m'' \in \sigma' \text{. sender } m'' = v \land \text{ justified } m \text{ } m''\}
  proof -
    have \sigma' = \sigma \cup \{m'\}
    using \langle (\sigma, \sigma') \in minimal\text{-}transitions \rangle \langle m' = the\text{-}elem (\sigma' - \sigma) \rangle minimal\text{-}transitions\text{-}reconstruction
    then show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
  then have ... = later-from (m, v, \sigma')
    apply (simp add: later-from-def from-sender-def later-def)
    by auto
  then show later-from (m, v, \sigma) = later-from (m, v, \sigma')
   using \langle \{m'' \in \sigma \cup \{m'\}\} \}. sender m'' = v \land justified m m'' \} = \{m'' \in \sigma' \}. sender
m'' = v \land justified \ m \ m'' \} \land calculation \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
qed
fun (in Params) gt-threshold :: (validator set * state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    gt-threshold (v-set, \sigma)
      = (weight\text{-}measure v\text{-}set) (weight\text{-}measure v\text{-}set) div 2 + t - weight\text{-}measure
(\mathit{equivocating-validators}\ \sigma))
fun (in Params) is-clique-oracle :: (validator set * state * consensus-value-property)
\Rightarrow bool
  where
    is-clique-oracle (v-set, \sigma, p)
       = (is-clique (v-set - (equivocating-validators \sigma), p, \sigma) \wedge gt-threshold (v-set
- (equivocating-validators \sigma), \sigma))
theory TFGCasper
```

```
begin
type-synonym block = consensus-value
locale GhostParams = Params +
     \mathbf{fixes}\ B::\ block\ set
     fixes genesis :: block
     and prev :: block \Rightarrow block
fun (in GhostParams) n-cestor :: block * nat <math>\Rightarrow block
     where
          n-cestor (b, \theta) = b
     \mid n\text{-}cestor\ (b,\ n) = n\text{-}cestor\ (prev\ b,\ n-1)
definition (in GhostParams) blockchain-membership :: <math>block \Rightarrow block \Rightarrow bool (infix)
[ 70)
      where
          b1 \mid b2 = (\exists n. n \in \mathbb{N} \land b1 = n\text{-}cestor (b2, n))
notation (ASCII)
      comp (infixl blockchain-membership 70)
definition (in GhostParams) score :: state <math>\Rightarrow block \Rightarrow real
     where
       score \sigma b = sum W \{v \in observed \ \sigma. \ \exists \ b' \in B. \ b' \in (latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \ observed \ \sigma. \ \exists \ b' \in B. \ b' \in (latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \ observed \ ob
\sigma v) \wedge (b \downarrow b')
definition (in GhostParams) children :: block * state <math>\Rightarrow block set
     where
            children = (\lambda(b, \sigma), \{b' \in est '\sigma, b = prev b'\})
definition (in GhostParams) best-children :: block * state \Rightarrow block set
           best-children = (\lambda (b, \sigma), \{arg\text{-max-on (score } \sigma) (children (b, \sigma))\})
```

imports Main HOL. Real CBCCasper LatestMessage SafetyOracle ConsensusSafety

function (in GhostParams) GHOST :: (block set * state) => block set

```
where
    GHOST\ (b\text{-}set,\ \sigma) =
      (\bigcup b \in \{b \in b\text{-set. children } (b, \sigma) \neq \emptyset\}. GHOST (b\text{est-children } (b, \sigma), \sigma))
       \cup \{b \in b\text{-set. children } (b, \sigma) = \emptyset\}
  by auto
definition (in GhostParams) GHOST-estimator :: state \Rightarrow block \ set
  where
    GHOST-estimator \sigma = GHOST (\{genesis\}, \sigma) \cup (\bigcup b \in GHOST (\{genesis\}, \sigma)
\sigma). children (b, \sigma))
abbreviation (in GhostParams) P:: consensus-value-property set
    False)}
locale \ Blockchain = GhostParams + Protocol +
  assumes blockchain-type : \forall b b' b''. \{b, b', b''\} \subseteq B \longrightarrow b' \mid b \land b'' \mid b \longrightarrow
(b' \downarrow b'' \lor b'' \downarrow b')
 and block-is-consensus-value : B = C
definition (in GhostParams) block-membership-property :: <math>block \Rightarrow consensus-value-property
  where
    block-membership-property b = (\lambda b', b \mid b')
definition (in GhostParams) block-conflicting :: (block * block) <math>\Rightarrow bool
  where
    block-conflicting = (\lambda(b1, b2). \neg (b1 \mid b2 \lor b2 \mid b1))
lemma (in Blockchain) conflicting-blocks-imps-conflicting-decision:
  \forall b1 b2 \sigma. \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land \sigma \in \Sigma
    \longrightarrow block\text{-}conflicting (b1, b2)
    \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-is-decided (block-membership-property b1, \sigma)
   \longrightarrow consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not (block-membership-property
b2), \sigma)
 apply (simp add: block-membership-property-def consensus-value-property-is-decided-def
          naturally-corresponding-state-property-def state-property-is-decided-def)
 apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix b1 b2 \sigma
 assume b1 \in B \land b2 \in B \land \sigma \in \Sigma and block-conflicting (b1, b2) and \forall \sigma \in futures
\sigma. \forall b' \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. b1 \mid b'
  show \forall \sigma \in futures \sigma. \forall c \in \varepsilon \sigma. \neg b2 \mid c
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume \neg (\forall \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \forall c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \neg \ b2 \mid c)
    hence \exists \ \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ b2 \mid c
```

```
by blast
        hence \exists \ \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ b2 \mid c \land b1 \mid c
            using \forall \sigma \in futures \ \sigma. \ \forall \ b' \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ b1 \mid b' \rangle by simp
        hence b1 \mid b2 \vee b2 \mid b1
            using blockchain-type
            apply (simp)
           using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \land b1 \in B \land b2 \in B \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land block-is-consensus-value
estimates-are-subset-of-C futures-def by blast
        then show False
            using \langle block\text{-}conflicting\ (b1,\ b2) \rangle
            by (simp add: block-conflicting-def)
   qed
qed
theorem (in Blockchain) blockchain-safety:
    \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
    \longrightarrow finite \sigma-set
    \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
     \longrightarrow (\forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' \ b1 \ b2, \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land block\text{-conflicting} \ (b1, b2)
\land block-membership-property b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
             \longrightarrow block-membership-property b2 \notin consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma')
    apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
    fix \sigma-set \sigma \sigma' b1 b2
     assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t and finite \sigma-set and is-faults-lt-threshold (\bigcup \sigma-set)
    and \{\sigma, \sigma'\}\subseteq \sigma-set \land \{b1, b2\}\subseteq B \land block-conflicting (b1, b2) \land block-membership-property
b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma
     and block-membership-property b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma'
    \mathbf{hence} \neg consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}not (block\text{-}membership\text{-}property)
b1), \sigma'
               using negation-is-not-decided-by-other-validator \langle \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle (finite \sigma\text{-set} \rangle
(is-faults-lt-threshold ([J\sigma-set))  apply (simp \ add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
                using \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-set} \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land block\text{-conflicting } (b1, b2) \land block\text{-conflicting 
block-membership-property b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma by auto
     have \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land block\text{-conflicting } (b1, b2)
             using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma \langle \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma-set \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land b
block-conflicting (b1, b2) \land block-membership-property b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma by auto
    hence consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not (block-membership-property
b1), \sigma'
          using \langle block-membership-property b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma' \rangle
conflicting-blocks-imps-conflicting-decision
          apply (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
        by (metis \langle \sigma \text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \langle finite \ \sigma \text{-}set \rangle \langle is\text{-}faults\text{-}lt\text{-}threshold} \ (\bigcup \sigma \text{-}set) \rangle \langle \{\sigma, \sigma'\} \subseteq \sigma \rangle 
\sigma-set \land \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \land block-conflicting (b1, b2) \land block-membership-property b1
\in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma conflicting-blocks-imps-conflicting-decision
consensus-value-property-decisions-def insert-subset mem-Collect-eq negation-is-not-decided-by-other-validator
```

```
\mathbf{using} \  \, \langle \neg \  \, consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided } \  \, (consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}not
(block-membership-property b1), \sigma') by blast
 qed
theorem (in Blockchain) no-decision-on-conflicting-blocks:
  \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2)
  \longrightarrow (\forall b1 b2. \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \land block\text{-conflicting } (b1, b2)
      \longrightarrow block-membership-property b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 1
      \longrightarrow block-membership-property b2 \notin consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2)
  apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma 1 \sigma 2 b1 b2
  assume \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t and is-faults-lt-threshold (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) and \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C
\land block-conflicting (b1, b2)
  and block-membership-property b1 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma1
  and block-membership-property b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2
  hence consensus-value-property-is-decided (block-membership-property b1, \sigma1)
    by (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
 \mathbf{hence} \neg consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (consensus\text{-}value\text{-}property\text{-}not (block\text{-}membership\text{-}property)
b1), \sigma 2)
   \textbf{using} \ \textit{two-party-consensus-safety-for-consensus-value-property} \ \textit{(is-faults-lt-threshold)}
(\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) \land (\sigma 1, \sigma 2) \subseteq \Sigma t \land \mathbf{by} \ blast
  have block-membership-property b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2
    using (block-membership-property b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2)
    by (simp add: consensus-value-property-decisions-def)
  have \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t \land \{b2, b1\} \subseteq B \land block\text{-conflicting } (b2, b1)
   using block-is-consensus-value \langle \{\sigma 1, \sigma 2\} \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \langle \{b1, b2\} \subseteq C \wedge block-conflicting
(b1, b2) by (simp add: block-conflicting-def)
 hence consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not (block-membership-property
b1), \sigma2)
     \textbf{using} \quad conflicting-blocks-imps-conflicting-decision \  \  \, (block-membership-property)
b2 \in consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma 2
    using \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma consensus-value-property-decisions-def by auto
  then show False
       using \langle \neg consensus-value-property-is-decided (consensus-value-property-not
(block-membership-property b1), \sigma 2) by blast
 qed
locale Ghost = GhostParams + Protocol +
  assumes block-type : \forall b. b \in B \longleftrightarrow prev b \in B
  and block-is-consensus-value : B = C
  and ghost-is-estimator : \varepsilon = GHOST-estimator
```

then show False

and genesis-type : $genesis \in C$

```
lemma (in Ghost) children-type :
 \forall b \sigma. b \in B \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow children (b, \sigma) \subseteq B
 apply (simp add: children-def)
 using Ghost-axioms Ghost-axioms-def Ghost-def by auto
lemma (in Ghost) best-children-type:
 \forall b \sigma. b \in B \land \sigma \in \Sigma \longrightarrow best-children (b, \sigma) \subseteq B
 apply (simp add: best-children-def arg-max-on-def arg-max-def is-arg-max-def)
 apply auto
 \mathbf{oops}
lemma (in Ghost) GHSOT-type :
 \forall \ \sigma \ b\text{-set}. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land b\text{-set} \subseteq B \longrightarrow GHOST(b\text{-set}, \ \sigma) \subseteq B
 oops
lemma (in GhostParams) GHOST-is-valid-estimator :
  (\forall b. b \in B \longleftrightarrow prev \ b \in B) \land B = C \land genesis \in C
  \implies is-valid-estimator GHOST-estimator
 apply (simp add: is-valid-estimator-def GhostParams.GHOST-estimator-def)
 oops
\mathbf{lemma} (in Ghost) block-membership-property-is-majority-driven:
 \forall p \in P. is-majority-driven p
 apply (simp add: is-majority-driven-def)
 oops
{f lemma} (in Ghost) block-membership-property-is-max-driven :
 \forall p \in P. is\text{-max-driven } p
 apply (simp add: is-max-driven-def)
 \mathbf{oops}
end
```