Minimal CBC Casper Isabelle/HOL proofs

LayerX

February 21, 2019

Contents

1 Description of CBC Casper	1
2 Safety Proof	11
3 Latest Message	17
1 Description of CBC Casper	
theory CBCCasper	
$imports\ Main\ HOL. Real\ Libraries/Strict-Order\ Libraries/Res$ braries/La $TeXsugar$	$tricted ext{-}Predicates\ Li$
begin	
notation $Set.empty$ (\emptyset)	
typedecl validator	
typedecl consensus-value	
<pre>latatype message = Message consensus-value * validator * message list</pre>	
type-synonym state = message set	

```
\mathbf{fun} \ sender :: message \Rightarrow validator
  where
    sender (Message (-, v, -)) = v
fun est :: message \Rightarrow consensus-value
  where
     est\ (Message\ (c, -, -)) = c
fun justification :: message <math>\Rightarrow state
  where
    justification (Message (-, -, s)) = set s
fun
  \Sigma-i :: (validator set \times consensus-value set \times (message set \Rightarrow consensus-value
set)) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow state set  and
  M-i:: (validator set \times consensus-value set \times (message set \Rightarrow consensus-value
set)) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow message set
  where
    \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon) \theta = \{\emptyset\}
  | \Sigma - i (V, C, \varepsilon) | n = \{ \sigma \in Pow (M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)) \}. finite \sigma \wedge (\forall m. m \in \sigma)
\longrightarrow justification \ m \subseteq \sigma)
  \mid M-i \ (V,C,\varepsilon) \ n = \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \in (\Sigma-i
(V, C, \varepsilon) n) \land est m \in \varepsilon (justification m)
locale Params =
  fixes V :: validator set
  and W :: validator \Rightarrow real
  and t :: real
  fixes C :: consensus-value set
  and \varepsilon :: message set \Rightarrow consensus-value set
begin
  definition \Sigma = (\bigcup i \in \mathbb{N}. \ \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ i)
  definition M = (\bigcup i \in \mathbb{N}. M - i (V, C, \varepsilon) i)
  definition is-valid-estimator :: (state \Rightarrow consensus-value set) \Rightarrow bool
    where
       is-valid-estimator e = (\forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ e \ \sigma \in Pow \ C - \{\emptyset\})
  lemma \Sigma i-subset-Mi: \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1)\subseteq Pow (M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) n)
    by force
 lemma \Sigma i-subset-to-Mi: \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon) n \subseteq \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1) \Longrightarrow M-i (V,C,\varepsilon)
n \subseteq M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n+1)
    by auto
```

```
lemma Mi-subset-to-\Sigma i: M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) n\subseteq M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1)\Longrightarrow \Sigma-i (V,C,\varepsilon)
(n+1) \subseteq \Sigma - i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n+2)
    by auto
  lemma \Sigma i-monotonic: \Sigma - i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \subseteq \Sigma - i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n+1)
    apply (induction \ n)
    apply simp
   apply (metis Mi-subset-to-\Sigma i Suc-eq-plus 1 \Sigma i-subset-to-Mi add.commute add-2-eq-Suc)
    done
  lemma Mi-monotonic: M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) n \subseteq M-i (V,C,\varepsilon) (n+1)
    apply (induction \ n)
    defer
    using \Sigma i-monotonic \Sigma i-subset-to-Mi apply blast
    apply auto
    done
  lemma message-is-in-M-i:
    \forall m \in M. \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)
    apply (simp add: M-def \Sigma-i.elims)
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{Nats-1}\ \mathit{Nats-add}\ \mathit{One-nat-def}\ \mathit{diff-Suc-1}\ \mathit{plus-1-eq-Suc})
  \mathbf{lemma}\ state\text{-}is\text{-}in\text{-}pow\text{-}M\text{-}i:
   \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\exists \ n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \sigma \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ (n-1)) \land (\forall \ m \in \sigma. \ justification)
m \subseteq \sigma)
    apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
    apply auto
    proof -
       fix y :: nat and \sigma :: message set
       assume a1: \sigma \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) y
       assume a2: y \in \mathbb{N}
       have \sigma \subseteq M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) y
           using a 1 by (meson Params.\Sigma i-monotonic Params.\Sigma i-subset-Mi Pow-iff
contra-subsetD)
       then have \exists n. n \in \mathbb{N} \land \sigma \subseteq M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)
          using a2 by (metis (no-types) Nats-1 Nats-add diff-Suc-1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
        then show \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \sigma \subseteq \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \}
\in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n - Suc \ \theta) \land est \ m \in \varepsilon \ (justification \ m) \}
         by auto
    next
         show \bigwedge y \ \sigma \ m \ x. \ y \in \mathbb{N} \Longrightarrow \sigma \in \Sigma \text{-}i \ (V, \ C, \ \varepsilon) \ y \Longrightarrow m \in \sigma \Longrightarrow x \in \Sigma \text{-}i \ (V, \ C, \ \varepsilon)
justification m \Longrightarrow x \in \sigma
         using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
    qed
  \mathbf{lemma}\ message\text{-}is\text{-}in\text{-}M\text{-}i\text{-}n:
    \forall m \in M. \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. m \in M-i(V, C, \varepsilon) n
```

by (smt Mi-monotonic Suc-diff-Suc add-leE diff-add diff-le-self message-is-in-M-i neq0-conv plus-1-eq-Suc subsetCE zero-less-diff)

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ message\text{-}in\text{-}state\text{-}is\text{-}valid:
    \forall \sigma m. \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in \sigma \longrightarrow m \in M
    apply (rule, rule, rule)
  proof -
    fix \sigma m
    assume \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in \sigma
    have
      \exists \ n \in \mathbb{N}. \ m \in \mathit{M-i} \ (\mathit{V}, \ \mathit{C}, \ \varepsilon) \ \mathit{n}
      \implies m \in M
      using M-def by blast
    then show
      m \in M
      apply (simp add: M-def)
     by (smt\ M\text{-}i.simps\ Params.\Sigma i\text{-}monotonic\ PowD\ Suc\text{-}diff\text{-}Suc\ } \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in \sigma \rangle
add-leE diff-add diff-le-self gr0I mem-Collect-eq plus-1-eq-Suc state-is-in-pow-M-i
subsetCE zero-less-diff)
  qed
 lemma state-is-subset-of-M: \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \sigma \subseteq M
    using message-in-state-is-valid by blast
  \mathbf{lemma} state-difference-is-valid-message:
    \forall \ \sigma \ \sigma' . \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land \sigma' \in \Sigma
    \longrightarrow is-future-state(\sigma, \sigma')
    \longrightarrow \sigma' - \sigma \subseteq M
    using state-is-subset-of-M by blast
  lemma state-is-finite : \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. finite \sigma
    apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
    using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
  lemma justification-is-finite : \forall m \in M. finite (justification m)
    apply (simp add: M-def)
    using Params.\Sigma i-monotonic by fastforce
  lemma \Sigma-is-subseteq-of-pow-M: \Sigma \subseteq Pow\ M
    by (simp add: state-is-subset-of-M subsetI)
 lemma M-type: \bigwedge m. m \in M \Longrightarrow est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m
    unfolding M-def \Sigma-def
    by auto
```

end

```
locale Protocol = Params +
  assumes V-type: V \neq \emptyset
  and W-type: \bigwedge w. w \in range \ W \Longrightarrow w > 0
  and t-type: 0 \le t \ t < Sum \ (W \ 'V)
  and C-type: card\ C > 1
 and \varepsilon-type: is-valid-estimator \varepsilon
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-are-non-empty: \bigwedge \sigma. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \varepsilon \ \sigma \neq \emptyset
  using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by auto
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-are-subset-of-C: \land \sigma. \sigma \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \varepsilon \ \sigma \subseteq C
  using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by auto
lemma (in Params) empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma-0: \emptyset \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) 0
  by simp
lemma (in Params) empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma: \emptyset \in \Sigma
 apply (simp add: \Sigma-def)
 using Nats-0 \Sigma-i.simps(1) by blast
lemma (in Params) \Sigma-i-is-non-empty: \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \neq \emptyset
  apply (induction \ n)
  using empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma-\theta by auto
lemma (in Params) \Sigma-is-non-empty: \Sigma \neq \emptyset
  using empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma by blast
lemma (in Protocol) estimates-exists-for-empty-set:
 \varepsilon \emptyset \neq \emptyset
 by (simp add: empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma estimates-are-non-empty)
lemma (in Protocol) non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0:
  \exists m. m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) \ 0 \land justification \ m = \emptyset
 apply auto
proof -
  have \varepsilon \emptyset \subseteq C
    using Params.empty-set-exists-in-\Sigma \varepsilon-type is-valid-estimator-def by auto
  then show \exists m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m = \emptyset \land est \ m \in \varepsilon
(justification \ m) \land justification \ m = \emptyset
    by (metis V-type all-not-in-conv est.simps estimates-exists-for-empty-set justi-
fication.simps\ sender.simps\ set-empty\ subsetCE)
qed
lemma (in Protocol) M-i-is-non-empty: M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n \neq \emptyset
  apply (induction \ n)
  using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 apply auto
  using Mi-monotonic empty-iff empty-subset  by fastforce
lemma (in Protocol) M-is-non-empty: M \neq \emptyset
```

```
using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 M-def Nats-0 by blast
lemma (in Protocol) C-is-not-empty : C \neq \emptyset
  using C-type by auto
lemma (in Params) \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma:
 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \subseteq \Sigma
 by (simp add: \Sigma-def SUP-upper)
lemma (in Protocol) message-justifying-state-in-\Sigma-n-exists-in-M-n:
  justification \ m = \sigma)
 apply auto
proof -
  fix n \sigma
  assume n \in \mathbb{N}
  and \sigma \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  then have \sigma \in \Sigma
   using \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma by auto
  have \varepsilon \ \sigma \neq \emptyset
    using estimates-are-non-empty \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle by auto
  have finite \sigma
    using state-is-finite \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle by auto
  moreover have \exists m. sender m \in V \land est m \in \varepsilon \ \sigma \land justification m = \sigma
    using est.simps sender.simps justification.simps V-type \langle \varepsilon | \sigma \neq \emptyset \rangle \langle finite | \sigma \rangle
    by (metis all-not-in-conv finite-list)
  moreover have \varepsilon \sigma \subseteq C
    using estimates-are-subset-of-C \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma \langle n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \langle \sigma \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon)
n by blast
 ultimately show \exists m. est m \in C \land sender m \in V \land justification m \in \Sigma-i (V,
(C, \varepsilon) n \wedge est \ m \in \varepsilon \ (justification \ m) \wedge justification \ m = \sigma
    using Nats-1 One-nat-def
    using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma \text{-}i \ (V, C, \varepsilon) \ n \rangle by blast
qed
lemma (in Protocol) \Sigma-type: \Sigma \subset Pow\ M
proof -
  obtain m where m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) 0 \land justification m = \emptyset
    using non-justifying-message-exists-in-M-0 by auto
  then have \{m\} \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (Suc \ \theta)
    using Params.\Sigma i-subset-Mi by auto
  then have \exists m'. m' \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (Suc \ \theta) \land justification \ m' = \{m\}
      using message-justifying-state-in-\Sigma-n-exists-in-M-n Nats-1 One-nat-def by
metis
 then obtain m' where m' \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (Suc \ \theta) \land justification \ m' = \{m\}
by auto
  then have \{m'\} \in Pow M
    using M-def
    by (metis Nats-1 One-nat-def PowD PowI Pow-bottom UN-I insert-subset)
```

```
moreover have \{m'\} \notin \Sigma
    using Params.state-is-in-pow-M-i Protocol-axioms (m' \in M\text{-}i\ (V,\ C,\ \varepsilon)\ (Suc
0) \wedge justification m' = \{m\} by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using \Sigma-is-subseteq-of-pow-M by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma (in Protocol) M-type-counterexample:
  (\forall \ \sigma. \ \varepsilon \ \sigma = C) \Longrightarrow M = \{m. \ est \ m \in C \land sender \ m \in V \land justification \ m \in C \}
\Sigma
 apply (simp add: M-def)
 apply auto
 using \Sigma i-is-subset-of-\Sigma apply blast
 by (simp add: \Sigma-def)
definition observed :: message \ set \Rightarrow validator \ set
    observed \sigma = \{sender \ m \mid m. \ m \in \sigma\}
lemma (in Protocol) observed-type:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ observed \ \sigma \subseteq V
 using Params.M-type Protocol-axioms observed-def state-is-subset-of-M by fastforce
fun is-future-state :: (state * state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    is-future-state (\sigma 1, \sigma 2) = (\sigma 1 \subseteq \sigma 2)
definition justified :: message \Rightarrow message \Rightarrow bool
  where
    justified \ m1 \ m2 = (m1 \in justification \ m2)
definition equivocation :: (message * message) \Rightarrow bool
  where
    equivocation =
      (\lambda(m1, m2). sender m1 = sender m2 \land m1 \neq m2 \land \neg (justified m1 m2) \land
\neg (justified m2 m1))
definition is-equivocating :: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow bool
    is-equivocating \sigma v = (\exists m1 \in \sigma. \exists m2 \in \sigma. equivocation (m1, m2) \land sender
m1 = v
definition equivocating-validators :: state \Rightarrow validator set
  where
```

```
equivocating-validators \sigma = \{v \in observed \ \sigma. \ is-equivocating \ \sigma \ v\}
{f lemma} (in Protocol) equivocating-validators-type:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ equivocating-validators \ \sigma \subseteq V
  using observed-type equivocating-validators-def by blast
\textbf{definition} \ (\textbf{in} \ \textit{Params}) \ \textit{equivocating-validators-paper} :: \textit{state} \Rightarrow \textit{validator set}
  where
    equivocating-validators-paper \sigma = \{v \in V. \text{ is-equivocating } \sigma v\}
lemma (in Protocol) equivocating-validators-is-equivalent-to-paper:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ equivocating-validators \ \sigma = equivocating-validators-paper \ \sigma
 \textbf{by} \ (smt \ Collect-cong \ Params. equivocating-validators-paper-def \ equivocating-validators-def
is-equivocating-def mem-Collect-eq observed-type observed-def subsetCE)
definition (in Params) equivocation-fault-weight :: state \Rightarrow real
  where
    equivocation-fault-weight \sigma = sum \ W \ (equivocating-validators \ \sigma)
definition (in Params) is-faults-lt-threshold :: state \Rightarrow bool
  where
    is-faults-lt-threshold \sigma = (equivocation-fault-weight \ \sigma < t)
definition (in Protocol) \Sigma t :: state set
  where
    \Sigma t = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma. \text{ is-faults-lt-threshold } \sigma \}
lemma (in Protocol) \Sigma t-is-subset-of-\Sigma : \Sigma t \subseteq \Sigma
  using \Sigma t-def by auto
type-synonym \ state-property = state \Rightarrow bool
type-synonym consensus-value-property = consensus-value \Rightarrow bool
definition (in Params) message-justification :: message rel
  where
    message-justification = \{(m1, m2), \{m1, m2\} \subseteq M \land justified \ m1 \ m2\}
lemma (in Protocol) transitivity-of-justifications:
```

```
trans message-justification
 apply (simp add: trans-def message-justification-def justified-def)
 \textbf{by} \ (meson\ Params.M-type\ Params.state-is-in-pow-M-i\ Protocol-axioms\ contra-subsetD)
lemma (in Protocol) irreflexivity-of-justifications:
  irrefl message-justification
  apply (simp add: irrefl-def message-justification-def justified-def)
 apply (simp add: M-def)
  apply auto
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ n \ m
  assume est m \in C
  assume sender m \in V
 assume justification m \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  assume est m \in \varepsilon (justification m)
  assume m \in justification m
  have m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)
   by (smt\ M\text{-}i.simps\ One\text{-}nat\text{-}def\ Params}.\Sigma i\text{-}subset\text{-}Mi\ Pow\text{-}iff\ Suc\text{-}pred\ (est\ m\in M))
C \land (est \ m \in \varepsilon \ (justification \ m)) \land (justification \ m \in \Sigma - i \ (V, \ C, \varepsilon) \ n) \land m \in justification
m \land (sender \ m \in V) \ add.right-neutral \ add-Suc-right \ diff-is-0-eq' \ diff-le-self \ diff-zero
mem-Collect-eq not-gr0 subsetCE)
  then have justification m \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n - 1)
    using M-i.simps by blast
  then have justification m \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) 0
   apply (induction n)
   apply simp
    by (smt\ M\text{-}i.simps\ One\text{-}nat\text{-}def\ Params}.\Sigma i\text{-}subset\text{-}Mi\ Pow\text{-}iff\ Suc\text{-}pred\ } \land m \in
justification m add.right-neutral add-Suc-right diff-Suc-1 mem-Collect-eq not-gr0
subsetCE \ subsetCE)
  then have justification m \in \{\emptyset\}
   by simp
  then show False
   using \langle m \in justification \ m \rangle by blast
lemma (in Protocol) message-cannot-justify-itself:
  (\forall m \in M. \neg justified m m)
proof -
  have irreft message-justification
    using irreflexivity-of-justifications by simp
  then show ?thesis
   by (simp add: irreflexivity-of-justifications irrefl-def message-justification-def)
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) justification-is-strict-partial-order-on-M:
  strict-partial-order message-justification
  apply (simp add: strict-partial-order-def)
  by (simp add: irreflexivity-of-justifications transitivity-of-justifications)
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) monotonicity-of-justifications:
 \forall m m' \sigma. m \in M \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land justified m' m \longrightarrow justification m' \subseteq justification
m
  apply simp
 by (meson M-type justified-def message-in-state-is-valid state-is-in-pow-M-i)
lemma (in Protocol) strict-monotonicity-of-justifications:
 \forall m m' \sigma. m \in M \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land justified m' m \longrightarrow justification m' \subset justification
m
 by (metis M-type message-cannot-justify-itself justified-def message-in-state-is-valid
monotonicity-of-justifications psubsetI)
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{justification-implies-different-messages} \ :
  \forall m m'. m \in M \land m' \in M \longrightarrow justified m' m \longrightarrow m \neq m'
 using message-cannot-justify-itself by auto
\mathbf{lemma} (\mathbf{in} Protocol) only-valid-message-is-justified:
  \forall m \in M. \forall m'. justified m'm \longrightarrow m' \in M
 apply (simp add: justified-def)
  using Params.M-type message-in-state-is-valid by blast
lemma (in Protocol) justified-message-exists-in-M-i-n-minus-1:
 \forall n m m'. n \in \mathbb{N}
  \longrightarrow justified m' m
  \longrightarrow m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  \longrightarrow m' \in M-i(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
proof -
  have \forall n m m'. justified m' m
  \longrightarrow m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
  \longrightarrow m \in M \land m' \in M
  \longrightarrow m' \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) (n-1)
    apply (rule, rule, rule, rule, rule, rule)
  proof -
    fix n m m'
    assume justified m' m
    assume m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
    assume m \in M \land m' \in M
    then have justification m \in \Sigma-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n
      using M-i.simps \forall m \in M-i (V, C, \varepsilon) n\rangle by blast
    then have justification m \in Pow(M-i(V,C,\varepsilon)(n-1))
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-diff-Suc \Sigma-i.simps(1) \Sigmai-subset-Mi (justified
m' m) add-leE diff-add diff-le-self empty-iff justified-def neq0-conv plus-1-eq-Suc
singletonD \ subsetCE)
    show m' \in M-i(V, C, \varepsilon)(n-1)
       using \langle justification \ m \in Pow \ (M-i \ (V, \ C, \ \varepsilon) \ (n-1)) \rangle \langle justified \ m' \ m \rangle
justified-def by auto
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) M-def UN-I only-valid-message-is-justified)
```

```
qed
```

```
{f lemma} (in Protocol) monotonicity-of-card-of-justification:
 \forall m m'. m \in M
  \longrightarrow justified m' m
  \longrightarrow card (justification m') < card (justification m)
  by (meson M-type Protocol.strict-monotonicity-of-justifications Protocol-axioms
justification-is-finite psubset-card-mono)
\mathbf{lemma} (in Protocol) justification-is-well-founded-on-M:
  wfp-on justified M
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume \neg wfp-on justified M
  then have \exists f. \ \forall i. \ f \ i \in M \land justified \ (f \ (Suc \ i)) \ (f \ i)
   by (simp add: wfp-on-def)
  then obtain f where \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f (Suc i)) (f i) by auto
  have \forall i. card (justification (f i)) \leq card (justification (f 0)) - i
   apply (rule)
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix} i
   have card (justification (f(Suc(i))) < card(justification(f(i)))
   using \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f(Suci))(fi) \rightarrow \mathbf{by}(simp add: monotonicity-of-card-of-justification)
   show card (justification (f i)) \leq card (justification (f 0)) - i
     apply (induction i)
     apply simp
     using \langle card\ (justification\ (f\ (Suc\ i))) < card\ (justification\ (f\ i)) \rangle
      diff-is-0-eq le-iff-add less-Suc-eq-le less-imp-le monotonicity-of-card-of-justification
not-less-eq-eq trans-less-add1)
  qed
 then have \exists i. i = card (justification (f \theta)) + Suc \theta \wedge card (justification (f i))
\leq card (justification (f \theta)) - i
   by blast
  then show False
    using le-0-eq le-simps(2) linorder-not-le monotonicity-of-card-of-justification
nat	ext{-}diff	ext{-}split\ order	ext{-}less	ext{-}imp	ext{-}le
  by (metis \forall i. f i \in M \land justified (f (Suc i)) (f i) \land add.right-neutral add-Suc-right)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{subset-of-M-have-minimal-of-justification} :
 \forall S \subseteq M. S \neq \emptyset \longrightarrow (\exists m\text{-min} \in S. \forall m. justified m m\text{-min} \longrightarrow m \notin S)
 by (metis justification-is-well-founded-on-M wfp-on-imp-has-min-elt wfp-on-mono)
end
```

2 Safety Proof

theory ConsensusSafety

${\bf imports}\ {\it Main}\ {\it CBCCasper}\ {\it Libraries}/{\it LaTeXsugar}$

begin

```
fun (in Protocol) futures :: state \Rightarrow state set
   where
      futures \sigma = \{ \sigma' \in \Sigma t. \text{ is-future-state } (\sigma, \sigma') \}
lemma (in Protocol) monotonic-futures :
   \forall \sigma' \sigma. \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
    \longrightarrow \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma \longleftrightarrow futures \ \sigma' \subseteq futures \ \sigma
   by auto
theorem (in Protocol) two-party-common-futures:
   \forall \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 2. \ \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) \in \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow futures \ \sigma 1 \cap futures \ \sigma 2 \neq \emptyset
   by auto
theorem (in Protocol) n-party-common-futures:
   \forall \ \sigma\text{-}set. \ \sigma\text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow \bigcup \ \sigma\text{-}set \in \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow \bigcap \{ \text{futures } \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \} \neq \emptyset
   by auto
\mathbf{fun}\ (\mathbf{in}\ \mathit{Protocol})\ \mathit{state-property-is-decided}\ ::\ (\mathit{state-property}\ *\ \mathit{state}) \Rightarrow \mathit{bool}
   where
      state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma) = (\forall \sigma' \in futures \sigma \cdot p \sigma')
lemma (in Protocol) forward-consistency:
   \forall \sigma' \sigma. \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
   \longrightarrow \sigma' \in \mathit{futures} \ \sigma
   \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma)
   \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma')
   apply simp
   by auto
```

```
fun state-property-not :: state-property <math>\Rightarrow state-property
  where
    state-property-not p = (\lambda \sigma. (\neg p \sigma))
lemma (in Protocol) backword-consistency:
  \forall \sigma' \sigma. \sigma' \in \Sigma t \land \sigma \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow \sigma' \in futures \ \sigma
  \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma')
  \longrightarrow \neg state\text{-property-is-decided} (state\text{-property-not } p, \sigma)
  apply simp
  by auto
theorem (in Protocol) two-party-consensus-safety:
  \forall \sigma 1 \sigma 2. \sigma 1 \in \Sigma t \wedge \sigma 2 \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow (\sigma 1 \cup \sigma 2) \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow \neg (state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided\ (p, \sigma 1) \land state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided\ (state\text{-}property\text{-}not
p, \sigma 2)
  by auto
fun (in Protocol) state-properties-are-inconsistent :: state-property set \Rightarrow bool
  where
    state-properties-are-inconsistent p-set = (\forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \neg (\forall p \in p-set. p \sigma))
fun (in Protocol) state-properties-are-consistent :: state-property set \Rightarrow bool
  where
    state-properties-are-consistent p-set = (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in p-set. p \ \sigma)
fun (in Protocol) state-property-decisions :: state \Rightarrow state-property set
  where
    state-property-decisions \sigma = \{p. state-property-is-decided (p, \sigma)\}
theorem (in Protocol) n-party-safety-for-state-properties:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma-set \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow state-properties-are-consistent (\bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma-set\})
  apply rule+
proof-
  fix \sigma-set
  assume \sigma-set: \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t
  assume [ ] \sigma-set \in \Sigma t
  hence \bigcap {futures \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma-set} \neq \emptyset
```

```
using \sigma-set by auto
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \sigma \in \bigcap \{futures \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
     using \langle \bigcup \sigma \text{-set} \in \Sigma t \rangle by fastforce
   hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma \text{-set. } \sigma \in \text{futures } s
     by blast
   hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ (\forall s \in \sigma \text{-set. } \sigma \in \text{futures } s) \land (\forall s \in \sigma \text{-set. } \sigma \in \text{futures } s \longrightarrow (\forall p.
state-property-is-decided (p,s) \longrightarrow state-property-is-decided (p,\sigma)))
     by (simp add: subset-eq)
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma\text{-set}. \ (\forall p. state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided (p,s) \longrightarrow state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided
(p,\sigma)
     by blast
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma-set. (\forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided
(p,\sigma)
  hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \forall \rho \in J {state-property-decisions \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma-set}. state-property-is-decided
(p,\sigma)
  proof-
   obtain \sigma where \sigma \in \Sigma t \ \forall s \in \sigma-set. (\forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided
      using \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall s \in \sigma-set. \forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided
(p, \sigma) by blast
    have \forall p \in \bigcup \{state\text{-}property\text{-}decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set\}. \ state\text{-}property\text{-}is\text{-}decided
        using \forall s \in \sigma-set. \forall p \in state-property-decisions s. state-property-is-decided (p, s)
\sigma) by fastforce
     thus ?thesis
        using \langle \sigma \in \Sigma t \rangle by blast
   hence \exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \ \forall p \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}. \ \forall \sigma' \in futures
\sigma. p \sigma'
     by simp
 show state-properties-are-consistent (\bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma-set\})
     by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) \Sigma t-def (\exists \sigma \in \Sigma t. \forall p \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions\})
\sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \}. \ \forall \ \sigma' \in \text{futures } \sigma. \ p \ \sigma' \land mem\text{-}Collect\text{-}eq \ monotonic\text{-}futures \ order\text{-}refl
state-properties-are-consistent.simps)
qed
\mathbf{fun}\;(\mathbf{in}\;\mathit{Protocol})\;\mathit{naturally-corresponding-state-property}::\mathit{consensus-value-property}
\Rightarrow state-property
  where
      naturally-corresponding-state-property q = (\lambda \sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ q \ c)
fun (in Protocol) consensus-value-properties-are-consistent :: consensus-value-property
```

 $set \Rightarrow bool$ where

```
lemma (in Protocol) naturally-corresponding-consistency:
  \forall q-set. state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q
| q. q \in q\text{-}set \}
   \longrightarrow consensus-value-properties-are-consistent\ q-set
  apply (rule, rule)
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ q\text{-}set
  have
      state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q. q
\in q\text{-}set
      \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in \{\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c \mid q. \ q \in q\text{-set}\}. \ p \ \sigma)
     by simp
  moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ p \in \{\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c \mid q. \ q \in q\text{-set}\}. \ p \ \sigma)
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set.} \ (\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q' \ c) \ \sigma)
     by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) mem-Collect-eq)
   moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set.} \ (\lambda \sigma'. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma'. \ q \ c) \ \sigma)
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set}. \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. q' \ c)
     \mathbf{by} blast
   moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set.} \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ q \ c)
     \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set. } q' \ c)
     by blast
  moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \forall \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set. } q \ c)
      \longrightarrow (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q' \in q\text{-set. } q' \ c)
     by (meson all-not-in-conv estimates-are-non-empty)
  moreover have
     (\exists \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \exists \ c \in \varepsilon \ \sigma. \ \forall \ q \in q\text{-set. } q \ c)
     \longrightarrow (\exists c \in C. \forall q' \in q\text{-set. } q'c)
     using is-valid-estimator-def \varepsilon-type by fastforce
  ultimately show
     state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q. q \in
q-set}
     \implies consensus-value-properties-are-consistent q-set
     by simp
\mathbf{qed}
fun (in Protocol) consensus-value-property-is-decided :: (consensus-value-property
* state) \Rightarrow bool
  where
     consensus-value-property-is-decided (q, \sigma)
        = state-property-is-decided (naturally-corresponding-state-property q, \sigma)
```

```
fun (in Protocol) consensus-value-property-decisions :: state \Rightarrow consensus-value-property
set
  where
     consensus-value-property-decisions \sigma = \{q. consensus-value-property-is-decided\}
(q, \sigma)
\textbf{theorem (in } \textit{Protocol}) \textit{ n-party-safety-for-consensus-value-properties}:
  \forall \ \sigma\text{-set.} \ \sigma\text{-set} \subseteq \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow \bigcup \sigma-set \in \Sigma t
  \longrightarrow consensus\mbox{-}value\mbox{-}properties\mbox{-}are\mbox{-}consistent (\bigcup \{consensus\mbox{-}value\mbox{-}property\mbox{-}decisions
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-}set\}
  apply (rule, rule, rule)
proof -
  fix \sigma-set
  assume \sigma-set \subseteq \Sigma t
  assume \bigcup \sigma-set \in \Sigma t
   hence state-properties-are-consistent (\bigcup {state-property-decisions \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in
\sigma-set\})
    \mathbf{using} \  \, \langle \sigma\text{-}set \subseteq \Sigma t \rangle \  \, \textit{n-party-safety-for-state-properties} \  \, \mathbf{by} \  \, \textit{auto}
  hence state-properties-are-consistent \{p \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma.\ \sigma\}\}
\in \sigma-set\}. \exists q. p = naturally-corresponding-state-property q\}
    apply simp
    by meson
  hence state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q |
q. naturally-corresponding-state-property q \in \bigcup \{state-property-decisions \ \sigma \mid \sigma.\ \sigma \}
\in \sigma-set \}
    by (smt Collect-cong)
 hence consensus-value-properties-are-consistent \{q. naturally\-corresponding-state-property
q \in \bigcup \{ state\text{-property-decisions } \sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set} \} \}
    using naturally-corresponding-consistency
  proof -
    show ?thesis
     by (metis (no-types) Setcompr-eq-image \forall q-set. state-properties-are-consistent
\{naturally\text{-}corresponding\text{-}state\text{-}property\ q\ |\ q.\ q\in q\text{-}set\}\longrightarrow consensus\text{-}value\text{-}properties\text{-}are\text{-}consistent}
q-set\rangle (state-properties-are-consistent {naturally-corresponding-state-property q \mid q.
naturally-corresponding-state-property q \in \bigcup \{state\text{-property-decisions } \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in A\}
\sigma-set\}\rangle setcompr-eq-image)
  qed
 hence consensus-value-properties-are-consistent (\bigcup { consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\})
    apply simp
    by (smt mem-Collect-eq)
   consensus-value-properties-are-consistent ([]] { consensus-value-property-decisions
\sigma \mid \sigma. \ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-set}\}
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{by} \ simp \\ \mathbf{qed} \end{array}
```

 \mathbf{end}

3 Latest Message

```
{\bf theory}\ Latest Message {\bf imports}\ Main\ CBCC asper\ Libraries/LaTeX sugar {\bf begin}
```

```
definition later :: (message * state) \Rightarrow message set
  where
     later = (\lambda(m, \sigma), \{m' \in \sigma, justified \ m \ m'\})
lemma (in Protocol) later-type:
  \forall \sigma \ m. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land m \in M \longrightarrow later \ (m, \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: later-def)
  \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{state\text{-}is\text{-}subset\text{-}of\text{-}M}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
\mathbf{definition}\ \mathit{from\text{-}sender}\ ::\ (\mathit{validator}\ *\ \mathit{state})\ \Rightarrow\ \mathit{message}\ \mathit{set}
    from\text{-}sender = (\lambda(v, \sigma). \{m \in \sigma. sender m = v\})
\mathbf{lemma}~(\mathbf{in}~\mathit{Protocol})~\mathit{from\text{-}sender\text{-}type}~:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow from\text{-sender} \ (v, \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: from-sender-def)
  using state-is-subset-of-M by auto
{\bf lemma}~({\bf in}~Protocol)~messages-from-observed-validator-is-non-empty:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow from\text{-sender} \ (v, \sigma) \neq \emptyset
  apply (simp add: observed-def from-sender-def)
  by auto
{f lemma} (in Protocol) messages-from-validator-is-finite:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V\sigma \longrightarrow finite \ (from\text{-sender}\ (v, \ \sigma))
  by (simp add: from-sender-def state-is-finite)
```

```
definition from-group :: (validator set * state) <math>\Rightarrow state
  where
    from-group = (\lambda(v\text{-}set, \sigma), \{m \in \sigma, sender m \in v\text{-}set\})
lemma (in Protocol) from-group-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v\text{-set} \subseteq V \longrightarrow from\text{-}group \ (v\text{-set}, \ \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: from-group-def)
  using state-is-subset-of-M by auto
definition later-from :: (message * validator * state) \Rightarrow message set
    later-from = (\lambda(m, v, \sigma). \ later (m, \sigma) \cap from\text{-}sender (v, \sigma))
lemma (in Protocol) later-from-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v \ m. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land m \in M \longrightarrow later-from \ (m, v, \sigma) \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: later-from-def)
  using later-type from-sender-type by auto
definition latest-messages :: state <math>\Rightarrow (validator \Rightarrow state)
  where
    latest-messages \sigma v = \{m \in from\text{-sender } (v, \sigma). \text{ later-from } (m, v, \sigma) = \emptyset\}
lemma (in Protocol) latest-messages-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-messages \ \sigma \ v \subseteq M
  apply (simp add: latest-messages-def later-from-def)
  using from-sender-type by auto
lemma (in Protocol) latest-messages-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land v \notin observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow latest-messages \ \sigma \ v = \emptyset
  by (simp add: latest-messages-def observed-def later-def from-sender-def)
definition observed-non-equivocating-validators :: state \Rightarrow validator set
  where
    observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma = observed \ \sigma - equivocating-validators
lemma (in Protocol) observed-non-equivocating-validators-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ observed-non-equivocating-validators \sigma \subseteq V
  apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
  using observed-type equivocating-validators-type by auto
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{Protocol}) \ \mathit{justification-is-well-founded-on-messages-from-validator}:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ \textit{wfp-on justified (from-sender } (v, \sigma)))
  using justification-is-well-founded-on-M from-sender-type wfp-on-subset by blast
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) justification-is-total-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma \longrightarrow Relation.total-on \ (from-sender)
(v, \sigma)) message-justification)
proof -
  have \forall m1 \ m2 \ \sigma \ v. \ v \in V \land \sigma \in \Sigma \land \{m1, m2\} \subseteq from\text{-sender} \ (v, \sigma) \longrightarrow
sender m1 = sender m2
    by (simp add: from-sender-def)
  then have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma)
       \longrightarrow (\forall \ \textit{m1 m2}. \ \{\textit{m1}, \ \textit{m2}\} \subseteq \textit{from-sender} \ (\textit{v}, \ \sigma) \ \longrightarrow \ \textit{m1} \ = \ \textit{m2} \ \lor \ \textit{justified}
m1 \ m2 \ \lor \ justified \ m2 \ m1)
   apply (simp add: equivocating-validators-def is-equivocating-def equivocation-def
from-sender-def observed-def)
    by blast
  then show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: Relation.total-on-def message-justification-def)
    using from-sender-type by blast
qed
{\bf lemma\ (in\ Protocol)\ justification-is-strict-linear-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator:}
  \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma \longrightarrow strict-linear-order-on
(from\text{-}sender\ (v,\ \sigma))\ message\text{-}justification)
 \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: strict-linear-order-on-def \ justification-is-total-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator) \\
      irreflexivity-of-justifications transitivity-of-justifications)
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ Protocol) \ justification-is-strict-well-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator:
  \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in V. \ v \notin equivocating-validators \ \sigma
    \longrightarrow strict\text{-}linear\text{-}order\text{-}on \ (from\text{-}sender \ (v,\ \sigma)) \ message\text{-}justification \ \land \ wfp\text{-}on
justified (from-sender (v, \sigma))
  {f using}\ justification-is-well-founded-on-messages-from-validator
      justification\hbox{-} is\hbox{-} strict\hbox{-} linear\hbox{-} order\hbox{-} on\hbox{-} messages\hbox{-} from\hbox{-} non\hbox{-} equivocating\hbox{-} validator
  by blast
lemma (in Protocol) latest-message-is-maximal-element-of-justification :
 \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-messages \ \sigma \ v = \{m. \ maximal-on \ (from\text{-sender} \ ) \}
(v, \sigma)) message-justification m}
 apply (simp add: latest-messages-def later-from-def later-def message-justification-def
maximal-on-def)
  using from-sender-type apply auto
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) IntI empty-iff from-sender-def mem-Collect-eq
prod.simps(2)
  by blast
lemma (in Protocol) observed-non-equivocating-validators-have-one-latest-message:
 \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. \ (\forall \ v \in observed\text{-}non\text{-}equivocating\text{-}validators } \sigma. \ is\text{-}singleton \ (latest\text{-}messages
```

 $\sigma(v)$

```
apply (simp add: observed-non-equivocating-validators-def)
proof -
 have \forall \ \sigma \in \Sigma. (\forall \ v \in observed \ \sigma - equivocating-validators \ \sigma. is-singleton \{m.
maximal-on\ (from\text{-}sender\ (v,\sigma))\ message\text{-}justification\ m\})
        messages-from-observed-validator-is-non-empty
        messages-from\mbox{-}validator\mbox{-}is\mbox{-}finite
        observed-type
        equivocating-validators-def
     justification-is-strict-linear-order-on-messages-from-non-equivocating-validator
        strict-linear-order-on-finite-non-empty-set-has-one-maximum
        maximal- and- maximum- coincide- for- strict- linear- order
   by (smt Collect-cong DiffD1 DiffD2 set-mp)
   then show \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \forall v \in observed \sigma - equivocating-validators \sigma. is-singleton
(latest-messages \sigma v)
   using latest-message-is-maximal-element-of-justification
       observed-non-equivocating-validators-defobserved-non-equivocating-validators-type\\
   by fastforce
qed
definition latest-estimates :: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow consensus-value set
  where
   latest-estimates \sigma v = \{est \ m \mid m. \ m \in latest-messages \sigma v\}
lemma (in Protocol) latest-estimates-type:
  \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-estimates \ \sigma \ v \subseteq C
  using M-type Protocol.latest-messages-type Protocol-axioms latest-estimates-def
by fastforce
lemma (in Protocol) latest-estimates-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty:
 \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land v \notin observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow latest-estimates \ \sigma \ v = \emptyset
  using latest-estimates-def latest-messages-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty
by auto
definition latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators :: <math>state \Rightarrow validator
\Rightarrow message set
  where
    latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators \sigma v = (if is-equivocating \sigma v
then \emptyset else latest-messages \sigma v)
```

```
lemma (in Protocol) latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-type: \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \ v \subseteq M
by (simp add: latest-messages-type latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-def)
```

definition latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators :: state \Rightarrow validator \Rightarrow consensus-value set

where

 $latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \ v = \{est \ m \mid m. \ m \in latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \ v\}$

```
lemma (in Protocol) latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators-type: \forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \longrightarrow latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \ v \subseteq C
```

using Protocol.latest-estimates-type Protocol-axioms latest-estimates-def latest-estimates-from-non-equivocation latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-def by auto

 $\textbf{lemma (in }\textit{Protocol) latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty:$

```
\forall \ \sigma \ v. \ \sigma \in \Sigma \land v \in V \land v \not \in observed \ \sigma \longrightarrow latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators \ \sigma \ v = \emptyset
```

by (simp add: latest-estimates-from-non-equivocating-validators-def latest-messages-from-non-equivocating-validators-def latest-messages-from-non-observed-validator-is-empty)

end