| No  | Company    | Question  | Related Chapter in   | C Related CS Document                       | Status  | Response   |
|-----|------------|---|--|---|---------|--|
| 203 | ZTE /Kaifa | In companion standard, the image block size should be 64 to 1189. And the Maximum PDU size is 1224. When the image block size is 1189, even used maintenance client with global cyphering, the image stills can't be sent in a whole block. It would be divided into two block and make the upgrading slower than expected. For management client with global cyphering and signing, it will much slower. Then we suggest to make the maximum image block size to be smaller, 1024 bytes.   | Upgrade<br>Abstract objects -<br>Firmware Upgrade              | Main Document, rev1.0<br>Data Model, rev1.0 | working | [RT 28.08.18] changed the max allowed image block size from 1189 to 1024 in the Main document and in<br>the data model                       |
| 204 | ZTE /Kaifa | In CS main Error and Alarm Handling table, the Fatal Error Register OBIS is 0-0:97.97.255.255<br>Should be 0-0:97.97.128.255  | 9. Error and Alarm<br>Handling                                 | Main Document, rev1.0                       | working | [RT 28.08.18] corrected the OBIS code in the Main document   |
| 205 | ZTE /Kaifa | The period length for the averaging of voltage and current as well as the monitoring interval for the min / max detection is based on the configuration of the object "Measurement Period 3 for Instantaneous values". But no object "Measurement Period 3 for Instantaneous values" in the object model.   | Electricity related objects<br>PQ, monitored values            | - Data Model, rev1.0                        | working | [RT 12.07.18] added missing object to the Data Model   |
| 206 | KSMW       | Furthermore the object model seems to be erroneous in line 652 as the configuration event log must capture configuration events instead of communication events.  | Abstract objects - Errors<br>& Alarms, Event logs              | Data Model, rev1.0                          | working | [RT 13.07.18] corrected description and capture object definition for the configuration log  |
| 207 | KSMW       | In order to read event logs in course of the meter installation, I would expect that the installation role is granted the permission to read them which is currently not given, pls. see e.g., standard event log, fraud detection event log, configuration event log.  | all  | Data Model, rev1.0                          | working | [RT 28.08.18] Added Read Access to all event logs for the Installation clients   |
| 208 | HON        | Hi Ralf, I found a small mistake in the KSM doc, ch 5.9.2 Voltage cut, for the should be a class 1 not 3 Number of Voltage Sags L1/L2/L3 3 0 1-0-x.32.0.255 x=32,52,72  | 5.9.2. Voltage Cut, Sag<br>and Swell detection                 | Main Document, rev1.0                       | working | [RT 28.08.18] corrected from class id 3 to class id 1  |
| 209 | HON        | Regarding the G3-PSK:  I think they also expect some additional information about PSK like key length, algorithm, etc.  | 13.3 G3 NETWORK<br>MANAGEMENT                                  | PLC Guide, rev1.0                           | working | [RT 28.08.18] added the information of the key length of 128 bit   |
| 210 | ZTE /Kaifa | Periodic Ping Configuration: In data model, the range of Num-pings, multiplication_facotor, Number_of_retries is changed from 7 to 100. However, in P2P companion standard, it is still described 7. Could you check which one we should follow?  | Remote communication -<br>GSM/GPRS Setup Objects               |   | working | [RT 28.08.18] changed the range of acceptable data from 1-100 to 1-7 to be in line with the P2P guide  |
| 211 | ZTE /Kaifa | In P2P meter, there is no MAC address setup, please kindly remove it  | Remote communication -<br>Abstract Objects for<br>Network Mgmt | Data Model, rev1.0                          | working | [RT 28.08.18] removed the MAC address setup object from the P2P meter list   |
| 212 | A1         | The statement in the main document mentions the option of switching the load relays by remote or a scheduler. The following behaviour of the Load Management relay is specified, using the remote methods via the activity calendar, direct commands or with the help of a scheduler.  - Disconnection (either directly or scheduled) -> remote_disconnect (b) - Reconnection (either directly or scheduled) -> remote_disconnect   | 5.14. Load Management  | Main Document, rev1.0                       | working | [RT 28.08.18] a scheduler is not supported. Corrected the description for the load Management behaviour                                      |
| 213 | A1         | I saw that there is no possibility to ad hoc switch the external load switch contact.  Since we described it in the fine specification, the customer now wants this feature  Please change the CS, so the switching of the external relay is possible with the same rights as the disconnector.   | Abstract objects - Load management                             | Data Model, rev1.0                          | working | [RT 28.08.18] added the option of switching the load contact relay directly with the same access rights as for the disconnector              |
| 214 | ZTE /Kaifa | Iff We also have another question about CTT. The object (1, 0-0:96.11.10.255, 2), its data type is structure. There is no structure in simple data types defined for class 1 in blue book. Therefore, CTT reported an error for this object. What do you think of it? We think it its something wrong in CTT.  In general there is no problem having a class 1 object with a structure as value data type. But in this case the OBIS-Code which is used in the companion standard is under restriction regarding type: The OBIS-Code does not support a struct as possible data types, so CTT is consequently putting it to FAIL.  We have a problem in the Companion Standard. |  | Main Document, rev1.0<br>Data Model, rev1.0 | working | [RT 28.08.18] changed OBIS code to the country specific code 0-0:94.43.150.255   |
| 215 | ZTE /Kaifa | B We are now using the CTT V3.1 with packet 1.06.  If test using GBT, the first step will write the objects which its min length is 8 bytes.  In public client, it is required to be supported GBT in the companion standard. However, there is no object in public client supported written access right.  | 3.6.1. Public Client   | Main Document, rev1.0                       | working | [RT 28.08.18] remove SET service from the conformance block of the Public Client as it's not required and causes issues with the CTT testing |
| 217 | A1         | Parameter Record Number: der Maintenance bzw. Installation Client darf diese Nummer nicht setzen. Warum<br>nicht? War die Intention dahinter nicht, dass die EVUs diese Nummer entsprechend der Konfiguration, welche sie<br>einspielen, selbst setzen?   | Abstract objects - ID's &<br>Control information               | Data Model, rev1.0                          | working | [RT 28.08.18] added Write access to the 'Parameter record number' object for Maintenance and<br>Installation client                          |
| 218 | ZTE /Kaifa | The M-Bus implementation guide is referencing OMS Volume 2 specification V4.0.2 The latest release of this specification is OMS Volume 2 V4.1.2 M-Bus implementation should be based on the latest release of the OMS specification   | all  | M-Bus Guide, rev1.0                         | working | [RT 29.08.18] change the reference to OMS V4.1.2 and adapted the referenced chapters   |
| 219 | A1         | In der 0.6er Verions des CS kann der Installationsclient noch nicht die Consumer-message setzen, was dem OE<br>Usecase 3.22 widerspricht:<br>Könnt Ihr das bitte nochmals prüfen? Weil sonst werden wir den Testcase zu OE3.22 nicht bestehen.  | Abstract objects - User<br>Interface                           | Data Model, rev1.0                          | working | [RT 4.09.18] adapted access rights to the 'Consumer Message Code - Meter Display' object for Installation client                             |

| Communication and Exhibition (Control Control Contro | 22(( |   | A1         | DLMS/COSEM is not demanding a common value base for both, although it's assumed to use the manufacturer serial number. If that is indeed needed for this project, then we should clarify this in the Companion Standard.  My proposal would be:  System Title:  3 bytes manufacture identifier + 5 bytes meter serial No. (the last 10 digits in meter serial No are converted to 10 digits HEX code)  MC: Manufacturer Code according FLAG coded as ASCII (byte1,2,3)  SNb: manufacturer specific serial number coded as hexadecimal (byte4,5,6,7,8)  COSEM LDN:  3 bytes manufacturer identifier + 3 bytes meter types + 10 bytes meter serial No. (the last 10 digits in meter serial No.)  MC: Manufacturer Code according FLAG coded as ASCII (byte1,2,3)  MT: Meter Type:  100 single phase meter  200 poly phase CC connected meter  300 poly phase CC connected meter  SN: manufacturer specific serial number ASCII encoded (byte4,5,6,7,8,10,11,12,13)  Example meter serial No. 1KFM010000001  The last 10 digits in the serial No. is (Decimal)0100000001. The HEX code is 0x0005F5E101  System title: 4846400005FSE101 | 5.1. Identification<br>Numbers                                  | Main Document, rev1.0 | working | [RT 13.09.18] Added the clarification to the main document  |
|--|------|---|------------|---|---|-----------------------|---------|---|
| 22 A1 in de Generic Event Lost is in Object model and folgende Entrage:  2   |      |   |            |   |   |                       |         |   |
| But what I am worried about is 1. Log entry of switch on/off iil couldn't find the corresponding event. Is this implemented? 2. Alarm @Alarm Register 3 Bit 0-6 forsses the breaker switching alarms. Are there any for the external relay?  My proposal - add an event that record the remote request to change the load relay status add the corresponding alarm bit for this  223 KSMW  The 24h profile must be available on the display  Abstract objects - User Interface Interface S.15.1. Disabling the display of Load Profile 1 All  224 ZTE /Kaifa  New event and alarm codes for FOTA upgrade  But what I am worried about is added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm same alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm same alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm same alarm bit? In alarm register 3 for the same cause  added a new alarm bit? In alarm same alarm bit? In alar  |      |   |            | 26 Communication started on remote interface P3 Indicates that the communication was started on the remote interface P3 27 Communication ended on remote interface P3 Indicates that the communication has ended on the remote interface P3 28 Communication started on local interface P0 Indicates that the communication was started on the locale interface P0 29 Communication ended on local interface P0 Indicates that the communication has ended on the local interface P0 Bitte wie folgt abändern, da die Bezeichnungen P0 und P3 sonst nirgends vorkommen und es zu Fragen kommen kann, welche Interfaces gemeint sind: P0Infrared – Service Interface => WZ P1Mbus - Consumer Interface => H1 P3G3-PLC – WAN/LAN => WAN/LAN   |   |                       | working |   |
| Interface Main Document, rev1.0 Implementation guide. Updated the enable and disable scripts and status for showing P.02 on the display display of Load Profile 1 All  224 ZTE /Kaifa New event and alarm codes for FOTA upgrade Event codes and alarms Data Model, rev1.0 working [RT 13.09.18] added new event codes 30, 31 and 32 for FOTA events   |      |   |            | But what I am worried about Is  1. Log entry of switch on/off 🖹 couldn't find the corresponding event. Is this implemented?  2. Alarm 🖺 Alarm Register 3 Bit O-6 forsees the breaker switching alarms. Are there any for the external relay?  My proposal  - add an event that record the remote request to change the load relay status.  - add the corresponding alarm bit for this   |   |                       |         | added a new alarm bit7 in alarm register 3 for the same cause   |
|  | 22   | 3 | KSMW       | The 24h profile must be available on the display  | Interface<br>5.15.1. Disabling the<br>display of Load Profile 1 | Main Document, rev1.0 | working | Implementation guide. Updated the enable and disable scripts and status for showing P.02 on the display |
|  | 224  | 1 | ZTE /Kaifa | New event and alarm codes for FOTA upgrade  | Event codes and alarms  | Data Model, rev1.0    | working |   |