

Solutions Sheet

Nina Fischer and Yannick Zelle

January 25, 2022

Exercise 1

We have :

$$\begin{array}{ll} p(\lambda) & \text{Prior} \\ p(r|\lambda) & \text{Likelihood} \end{array}$$

Thus we can derive our Posterior:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda|r) &= \frac{p(\lambda)p(r|\lambda)}{\int_0^\infty p(\lambda)p(r|\lambda)d\lambda} \\ &\propto p(\lambda)p(r|\lambda) \\ &= e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{r!} \end{aligned}$$

This functions correponds to P^* in the source. We will therefore assign:

$$P^*(\lambda) := e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{r!}$$

We will consider:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda) &= -\ln(P^*(\lambda)) \\ &= \lambda - (r-1)\ln(\lambda) + \ln(r) \\ &\propto \lambda - (r-1)\ln(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

We will now search for the extrema of L and therefore determine first and second derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \lambda} &= 1 - \frac{(r-1)}{\lambda} \\ \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta \lambda^2} &= \frac{(r-1)}{\lambda^2} \end{aligned}$$

Setting the first derivative to 0 gives us $\lambda_0 = r - 1$. We plug this into our seconde derivative:

$$\frac{\delta^2 L(\lambda_0)}{\delta \lambda^2} = \frac{1}{r-1} > 0$$

Note that this implies that λ_0 minimises L and because the logarithm is a monotonic increasing function maximises P^* . We therefore set:

$$\lambda_{MAP} = \lambda_0$$

and

$$A = \frac{\delta^2 L(\lambda_0)}{\delta \lambda^2} = \frac{1}{r-1}$$

Therefore we can determine the Gaussian which approximates P^* :

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(r-1)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2(r-1)}(\lambda-(r-1))^2}$$

We can read of the hyperparameters and therefore state :

$$p(\lambda|r) \approx N(\lambda|r-1, r-1)$$

Exercise 2

Exercise 3

(a) *Proof.* Let $k(x_1, x_2) = C$ with $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have:

$$x^T k_{\mathbf{xx}} x = C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)$$

We will show that this sum is greater or equal to 0. To show that let I be the set of indices from 1 to n . Let further be :

$$P \subseteq I := \{i \in I : x_i \geq 0\}$$

$$N \subseteq I := \{i \in I : x_i < 0\}$$

Then we can write :

$$C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) = C \left(\sum_{i \in P} x_i + \sum_{j \in N} x_j \right) \left(\sum_{l \in P} x_l + \sum_{k \in N} x_k \right)$$

We can now distinguish two cases:

Case 1: $\sum_{i \in P} x_i \geq \sum_{j \in N} |x_j|$ Then we have

$$\underbrace{C \left(\sum_{i \in P} x_i + \sum_{j \in N} x_j \right)}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{l \in P} x_l + \sum_{k \in N} x_k \right)}_{\geq 0} \geq 0$$

Case 2: $\sum_{i \in P} x_i < \sum_{j \in N} |x_j|$ Then we have

$$C \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i \in P} x_i + \sum_{j \in N} x_j \right)}_{<0} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{l \in P} x_l + \sum_{k \in N} x_k \right)}_{<0} > 0$$

So we have

$$x^T k_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} x = C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \geq 0$$

And k is thus positive semidefinite. \square

(b) *Proof.* Let $k(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \cdot x_2$ with $X = \mathbb{R}$.

It follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \cdot c_j \cdot k(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \cdot c_j \cdot x_i \cdot x_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot x_i \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot x_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot x_i \right)^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus k is positive semidefinite. \square

(c) *Proof.* Let $k(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ with $X = \mathbb{R}$. We have $x \in \mathbb{R}$. So with $x=-1$ it is:

$$(-1) \cdot k(-1, -1) \cdot (-1) = (-1) \cdot (-2) \cdot (-1) = -2 < 0$$

Therefore $k(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ is not positive semidefinite and thus is not a kernel. \square

(d) *Proof.* Let $k(x_1, x_2) = 5 \cdot x_1^T \cdot x_2$ with $X = \mathbb{R}^D$.

It follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \cdot c_j \cdot k(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \cdot c_j \cdot 5 \cdot x_i^T \cdot x_j \\ &= 5 \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot x_i \right)^T \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot x_i \right) = 5 \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot x_i \right\|_2^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus k is positive semidefinite. \square

(e) *Proof.* Let $k(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^T \cdot x_2 + 1)^2$ with $X = \mathbb{R}^N$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \cdot c_j \cdot k(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \cdot c_j \cdot (x_i \cdot x_j + 1) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \cdot c_j \cdot x_i \cdot x_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \cdot c_j \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i \cdot x_j \cdot c_i \cdot c_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \cdot c_j \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot x_i \right)^T \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \cdot x_j + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \right)^T \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot x_i \right\|_2^2 + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \right\|_2^2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus k is positive semidefinite.

□