Solutions Sheet

Nina Fischer and Yannick Zelle

January 25, 2022

Exercise 1

We have:

$$p(\lambda)$$
 Prior $p(r|\lambda)$ Likelihood

Thus we can derive our Posterior:

$$p(\lambda|r) = \frac{p(\lambda)p(r|\lambda)}{\int_0^\infty p(\lambda)p(r|\lambda)d\lambda}$$
$$\propto p(\lambda)p(r|\lambda)$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{r!}$$

This funcions corresponds to P^* in the source. We will therefore assign:

$$P^*(\lambda) := e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{r!}$$

We will consider:

$$L(\lambda) = -ln(P^*(\lambda))$$

= $\lambda - (r-1)ln(\lambda) + ln(r)$
\times $\lambda - (r-1)ln(\lambda)$

We will now search for the extrema of L and therefore determine first and second derivative:

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \lambda} = 1 - \frac{(r-1)}{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta \lambda^2} = \frac{(r-1)}{\lambda^2}$$

Setting the first derivative to 0 gives us $\lambda_0 = r - 1$. We plug this into our second derivative:

$$\frac{\delta^2 L(\lambda_0)}{\delta \lambda^2} = \frac{1}{r-1} > 0$$

Note that this implies that λ_0 minimises L and because the logarithm is a monotonic increasing function maximizes P^* . We therefore set:

$$\lambda_{MAP} = \lambda_0$$

and

$$A = \frac{\delta^2 L(\lambda_0)}{\delta \lambda^2} = \frac{1}{r - 1}$$

Therefore we can determine the Gaussian which approximates P^* :

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(r-1)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2(r-1)}(\lambda - (r-1))^2}$$

We can read of the hyperparameters and therefore state :

$$p(\lambda|r) \approx N(\lambda|r-1,r-1)$$

Exercise 2

We want to show the equivalence of

$$p(\tilde{f}_i|f_i) = \mathcal{N}(\tilde{f}_i|f_i, \sigma^2) \text{ with } p(y_i = 1|\tilde{f}_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \tilde{f}_i \ge 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$p(y_i = 1|f_i) = \sigma_{probit}(f_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{f_i} exp(-\frac{t^2}{2})dt.$$

Therefore we integrate:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\tilde{f}_i|f_i)p(y_i=1|\tilde{f}_i)d\tilde{f}_i = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} exp(-\frac{(\tilde{f}_i-f_i)^2}{2\sigma^2})p(y_i|\tilde{f}_i)d\tilde{f}_i$$

Because of the definition of $p(y_i|\tilde{f}_i)$ we can rewrite:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\tilde{f}_i|f_i)p(y_i = 1|\tilde{f}_i)d\tilde{f}_i = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}exp(-\frac{(\tilde{f}_i - f_i)^2}{2\sigma^2})d\tilde{f}_i$$
$$= \int_{0}^{f_i} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}exp(-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2})dt$$

Thus we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\tilde{f}_i|f_i) p(y_i=1|\tilde{f}_i) d\tilde{f}_i = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{f_i} exp(-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}) dt$$

and to match the function exactly we choose $\sigma^2 = 1$:

$$\frac{1}{1\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{f_i} exp(-\frac{t^2}{2 \cdot 1^2}) dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{f_i} exp(-\frac{t^2}{2}) dt$$

Exercise 3

(a) Proof. Let $k(x_1, x_2) = C$ with $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have:

$$x^{T}k_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}x = C(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i})(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j})$$

We will show that this sum is greater or equal to 0. To show that let I be the set of indices from 1 to n. Let further be :

$$P \subseteq I := \{i \in I : x_i \ge 0\}$$

$$N \subseteq I := \{i \in I : x_i < 0\}$$

Then we can write:

$$C(\sum_{i=1} x_i)(\sum_{j=1} x_j) = C(\sum_{i \in P} x_i + \sum_{j \in N} x_j)(\sum_{l \in P} x_l + \sum_{k \in N} x_k)$$

We can now distinguish two cases:

Case 1: $\sum_{i \in P} x_i \ge \sum_{j \in N} |x_j|$ Then we have

$$C(\underbrace{\sum_{i \in P} x_i + \sum_{j \in N} x_j})(\underbrace{\sum_{l \in P} x_l + \sum_{k \in N} x_k}) \ge 0$$

Case 2: $\sum_{i \in P} x_i < \sum_{j \in N} |x_j|$ Then we have

$$C\left(\underbrace{\sum_{i \in P} x_i + \sum_{j \in N} x_j}_{<0}\right)\left(\underbrace{\sum_{l \in P} x_l + \sum_{k \in N} x_k}_{<0}\right) > 0$$

So we have

$$x^T k_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} x = C(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j) \ge 0$$

And k is thus positive semidefinite.

(b) Proof. Let $k(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \cdot x_2$ with $X = \mathbb{R}$.

It follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_i \cdot c_j \cdot k(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_i \cdot c_j \cdot x_i \cdot x_j$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cdot x_i \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cdot x_i \qquad = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cdot x_i)^2 \ge 0$$

Thus k is positive semidefinite.

(c) Proof. Let $k(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ with $X = \mathbb{R}$. We have $x \in \mathbb{R}$. So with x=-1 it is:

$$(-1) \cdot k(-1, -1) \cdot (-1) = (-1) \cdot (-2) \cdot (-1) = -2 < 0$$

Therefore $k(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ is not positive semidefinite and thus is not a kernel.

(d) Proof. Let $k(x_1, x_2) = 5 \cdot x_1^T \cdot x_2$ with $X = \mathbb{R}^D$. It follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_i \cdot c_j \cdot k(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_i \cdot c_j \cdot \dots \cdot x_i^T \cdot x_j$$

$$= 5 \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cdot x_i)^T \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cdot x_i) = 5 \cdot \|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cdot x_i\|_2^2 \ge 0$$

Thus k is positive semidefinite.

(e) Proof. Let $k(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^T \cdot x_2 + 1)^2$ with $X = \mathbb{R}^N$.

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i} \cdot c_{j} \cdot k(x_{i}, x_{j}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i} \cdot c_{j} \cdot (x_{i} \cdot x_{j} + 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i} \cdot c_{j} \cdot x_{i} \cdot x_{j} + c_{i} \cdot c_{j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i} \cdot x_{j} \cdot c_{i} \cdot c_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i} \cdot c_{j} \\ &= (\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \cdot x_{i})^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} \cdot x_{j} + (\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i})^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} \\ &= || \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \cdot x_{i} ||_{2}^{2} + || \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} ||_{2}^{2} \ge 0 \end{split}$$

Thus k is positive semidefinite.