Solutions Sheet 3

Nina Fischer and Yannick Zelle

November 2, 2021

Exercise 1

Task: Describe the maximum likelihood estimator for the following distributions:

(a)

$$\mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu, \sigma^2)$$

We denote with f_{μ} the PDF of the normal distribution. By using the Lemma 6.2.3 we can write the log-likelihood function as:

$$\begin{split} &l(\mu) = ln(\prod_{i=1}^n f_\mu) \\ &= ln(\prod_{i=1}^n \underbrace{e^{a+\eta x_i - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 x_i^2}}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n ln(e^{a+\eta x_i - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 x_i^2}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n ln(e^{a+\eta x_i - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 x_i^2}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{1}{2}(log(2\pi) - log(\lambda^2) + \frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^2}) + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} x_i - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 x_i^2 \end{split}$$

To find μ which maximizes $l(\mu)$ we calculate:

$$\frac{\delta l(\mu)}{\delta \mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} x_i$$
$$= -n \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \qquad \checkmark$$

 $l(\mu)$ is maximal if:

$$l(\mu) = 0 \leftrightarrow 0 = -n\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\leftrightarrow \mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

Since $\frac{\delta^2 l(\mu)}{\delta^2 \mu} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} < 0$, with $\mu_o := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ we obtain a global maximum for $l(\mu)$ and we chose μ_0 therefore as our estimator.

(b)

Exponential distribution with the probability densitity function $f(x|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ with $\lambda > 0$ and observations $x_i \ge 0$. We have:

$$f(x|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda x + \ln(\lambda)}$$

and the likelihood function:

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i|\lambda)$$

Therefore the log-likelihood is given by:

$$l(\lambda) = ln(\prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i|\lambda))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} ln(f(x_i|\lambda))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} ln(e^{-\lambda x_i + ln(\lambda)})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\lambda x_i + ln(\lambda)$$

With the same argument as in (a) we derive:

$$\frac{\delta l(\lambda)}{\delta \lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \frac{1}{\lambda}$$
$$= \frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \qquad \int$$

Then we have:

$$0 = \frac{\delta l(\lambda)}{\delta \lambda} \leftrightarrow \lambda = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} \quad \checkmark$$

We also have

$$\frac{\delta^2 l(\lambda)}{\delta^2 \lambda} = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} < 0 \qquad \checkmark$$

therefore we chose $\lambda_0 = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$ as our estimator.

(c)

Gamma distribution with the probability densitity function $g(x|\alpha,\lambda)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\lambda^{\alpha}x^{(\alpha-1)}e^{-\lambda x}$ with $\alpha>0$ and observations $x_1,...,x_n\geq 0$. We have :

$$g(x|\alpha,\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha} x^{(\alpha-1)} e^{-\lambda x}$$
$$= e^{\ln(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha} x^{(\alpha-1)}) - \lambda x}$$

and the likelihood function:

$$L(\alpha, \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(x_i | \alpha, \lambda)$$

Therefore the log-likelihood is given by:

$$\begin{split} l(\alpha,\lambda) &= ln(\prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i|\alpha,\lambda)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n ln(g(x_i|\alpha,\lambda)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n ln(e^{ln(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\lambda^\alpha x^{(\alpha-1)}) - \lambda x}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n ln(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\lambda^\alpha x^{(\alpha-1)}_i) - \lambda x_i \quad \text{ on be first field} \end{split}$$

With the same argument as in (a) we derive:

$$\frac{\delta l(\alpha, \lambda)}{\delta \lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x_i^{(\alpha-1)} \lambda^{(\alpha-1)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\lambda^{\alpha} x_i^{\alpha-1}}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} - x_i$$
$$= n \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

Then we have:

$$0 = \frac{\delta l(\alpha, \lambda)}{\delta \lambda} \leftrightarrow \lambda = \frac{n\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}$$

We also have

$$\frac{\delta^2 l(\alpha, \lambda)}{\delta^2 \lambda} = n \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2} < 0 \quad \text{1P}$$

therefore we chose $\lambda_0 = \frac{n\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$ as our estimator.

44145

Exercise 2

Given: From our observation of the experiment and our prior knowledge we have :

$$p(\theta) = Dir(\theta \mid \alpha)$$

$$p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = Mu(x \mid n, \theta)$$

Show

$$p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) = Dir(\theta \mid \alpha + x)$$

 ${\it Proof.}$ Since the normalizing factor of a Distribution is dependent on the other factor of the distribution we can show the statement by showing :

$$p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) \propto Dir(\theta \mid \alpha + x)$$

From the lecture we know:

$$p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) = p(\theta) \cdot p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)$$

$$= Dir(\theta \mid \alpha) \cdot Mu(x \mid n, \theta)$$

$$= Z^{-1} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{K} \theta_k^{a_k - 1} \cdot Z^{-2} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{K} \theta_j^{x_j}$$

$$= Z^{-3} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{K} \theta_k^{a_k + x_k - 1}$$

$$\propto Dir(\theta \mid \alpha + x)$$

With Z^{-i} being normalizing factors. Therefore we have

$$p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) = Dir(\theta \mid \alpha + x)$$

30130

Exercise 3:

(b) What do you calculate here?

No output provided. Manual run took too long.

15/25