Peer-review form [Lab 1]

Secure Computer Systems I, Spring 2014

Sofia Cassel

For each question, check the appropriate box. Write your positive and negative comments in the appropriate text fields in the ‘Comments’ section (see next page).

**Important: All reports deserve comments. If the report was great, encourage your fellow students by writing positive comments. If the report was not so good, help them by writing both positive and negative comments!**

# About you

**Reviewers:** Group 12: Li Ren, Ma Tianyao, Samuel Pettersson

**We have reviewed the report of:** Group 11

# General

**How easy is the report to follow?** Very difficult ☐ ☐ ☐ ☑ ☐ Very easy

**How good is the language?** Very bad ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☑ Very good

**(i.e., with respect to spelling and grammatical errors)**

**Are references used correctly** No ☐ To some extent ☐ Yes ☑

**(according to the specifications)?** (specify in Comments)

# Theoretical questions

**Are all assumptions made by the author(s) clearly stated?** No ☐ Some of them ☐ Yes ☑

**(according to the specifications)?** (specify in Comments)

**Do the answers have a logical structure,** No ☐ To some extent ☐ Yes ☑

**i.e., does the conclusion follow from the stated premises?** (specify in Comments)

**How well are the answers, in general, motivated?** Very badly ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☑ Very well

# Lab questions

**Are all necessary steps described and explained,** No ☐ Some of them ☑ Yes ☐

**so that you can replicate the results?** (specify in Comments)

**How well are the results stated and explained** Very badly ☐ ☐ ☐ ☑ ☐ Very well

**by the author(s)?**

**If there were any errors or problems, are they stated** No ☐ To some extent ☐ Yes ☑

**and discussed by the author(s)?** (specify in Comments)

# Comments

**What was good about the report?**

Short introductions of most exercises and complete answers make it easy to read the report without having to skip to the instructions too often

Source code for everything makes it easy to replicate the results

Good ideas were had for many of the exercises

The problem with injecting a JavaScript snippet spanning more than one line was mentioned

**What can be improved?**

The result of the SQL injection for exercise 1 (b) is not mentioned, even if it isn’t too hard to figure out. Make sure to read the instructions very carefully and include everything that is asked for.

The part about the name being rendered as a redirection script was not as easy to follow as it could have been, even if it was understandable after taking a close look at the HTML code. A more careful explanation of *why* or *how* the code works in addition to what it does could have helped.

Not much else; good job!