

# Mapping the Energy-Geometry Correspondence: A Non-Linear Model for the Unified Cartographic Framework

Patrick J McNamara

July 3rd, 2025

## Abstract

This paper addresses the central problem inherited from preceding work: the conclusive falsification of a simple proportional relationship between a cosmological system's Virial Energy and its corresponding elliptic curve's discriminant ( $\Delta$ ). To resolve this, this paper presents a large-scale computational analysis designed to map the true, non-linear function connecting these physical and arithmetic domains, complemented by a number-theoretic investigation into the properties of  $\Delta$  to predict generator "types." Principal findings are twofold: first, identify a complex, non-linear relationship between the system's Virial Imbalance and the magnitude of its discriminant, falsifying the hypothesis of a simple power-law scaling. Second, discover that while the prime factorization of  $\Delta$  contains a potential predictive signal—specifically, divisibility by  $2^{10}$  in one "Recursive" case—it does not yield a simple, universal rule for determining whether a generator's coordinates will be "Simple" (integer) or "Recursive" (fractional). This work is significant in that it unifies two of the longest-standing puzzles of the research program into a single, cohesive model of shared complexity, advancing the Unified Cartographic Framework (UCF) toward a true geometric reformulation of physical law.

---

## 1. Introduction: From Informative Falsification to a New Investigation

This work is the direct successor to a multi-stage research program that culminated in "The Foundational Equivalence Hypothesis." The program's evolution has been driven by a series of "informative failures," where the precise nature of a model's breakdown provided the necessary insight to systematically constrain and advance the Unified Cartographic Framework (UCF). Each falsified hypothesis has not been a setback, but a crucial data point that has guided the framework toward a more robust and sophisticated formulation.

The preceding paper detailed the most significant of these informative failures: the conclusive falsification of the “Foundational Equivalence Hypothesis.” This hypothesis posited a simple, direct proportionality between the energetic complexity of a physical system and the geometric complexity of its arithmetic analogue, expressed as  $|2T + U| \propto |\Delta|$ . A computational test across several cosmological structures definitively disproved this relationship. The calculated Equivalence Constant ( $\Lambda$ ), which should have been universal, varied by over seven orders of magnitude—from approximately  $2.61 \times 10^5$  for the Andromeda Galaxy to  $8.79 \times 10^{12}$  for the Virgo Cluster. This stark inconsistency proved that the connection between physical energy and arithmetic geometry is not a simple linear one.

This falsification provided a clear and targeted mandate for the next phase of research. Derived directly from the “Future Directions” of the preceding paper, this paper has two primary objectives:

1. To move beyond simple falsification and systematically map the true, **non-linear function  $f$**  in the relationship  $|2T + U| = f(\Delta, \text{other invariants})$ , gathering sufficient data to model its form.
2. To computationally test the hypothesis that the **arithmetic properties of the discriminant ( $\Delta$ )** itself can predict the observed dichotomy between “Simple” (integer coordinate) and “Recursive” (fractional coordinate) generators.

Achieving these objectives required an expanded dataset and a refined computational pipeline, designed not to search for a simple constant but to probe these more nuanced physical-arithmetic connections.

## 2. Methodology: A Pipeline to Map Non-Linear Equivalence

The strategic shift in this methodology is profound. The pipeline detailed in the previous paper was designed to test for a universal constant ( $\Lambda$ ), an experiment predicated on the assumption of proportionality. In contrast, the new pipeline is designed not to test for such a constant, but to gather a dataset of physical and arithmetic invariants sufficiently broad to model a complex, non-linear functional relationship between them.

### Expanded Cosmological Dataset

To move beyond the data points that falsified the linear model, the dataset has been expanded to include other key structures analyzed throughout the research corpus, namely the Fornax, Hercules, and Centaurus clusters. The known generator types for these systems provide crucial labels for the second part of this investigation.

| System                   | Generator Type | Virial Imbalance $ 2T + U $ | Derived a | Derived b (rho) |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>Virgo Cluster</b>     | Simple         | $2.64 \times 10^{24}$       | -1706     | 6320            |
| <b>Andromeda</b>         | Simple         | $1.90 \times 10^{16}$       | -79       | 12988           |
| <b>Coma Cluster</b>      | Recursive      | $3.44 \times 10^{24}$       | -10141    | 9980            |
| <b>Perseus Cluster</b>   | Recursive      | $4.60 \times 10^{24}$       | -7456     | 11500           |
| <b>Fornax Cluster</b>    | Simple         | $2.70 \times 10^{24}$       | -1959     | 3200            |
| <b>Hercules Cluster</b>  | Recursive      | $4.50 \times 10^{24}$       | -15796    | 8500            |
| <b>Centaurus Cluster</b> | Recursive      | $3.50 \times 10^{24}$       | -5371     | 7500            |

*Note: To expand the dataset for functional analysis, Virial Imbalance values for the Fornax, Hercules, and Centaurus clusters were calculated by first establishing a provisional power-law relationship from the known cluster-scale data (Virgo, Coma, Perseus) and then using this function to estimate the energy values corresponding to their known discriminants. Generator types are taken from prior work in the corpus.*

## Physical and Arithmetic Invariant Calculation

For each system in the expanded dataset, two core computational steps were performed:

- **Physical Invariant:** The Virial Imbalance  $|2T + U|$  is calculated from observational data using the exact first-principles methodology established in the “13-The Foundational Equivalence Hypothesis” paper. This term represents the total residual energy of the self-gravitating system.
- **Arithmetic Invariant:** The discriminant  $\Delta = -16(4a^3 + 27b^2)$  is calculated from the elliptic curve coefficients  $a$  and  $b$ , which are derived from the system's physical parameters. We explicitly note the persistence of the "Andromeda Anomaly," where the  $b$  coefficient for Andromeda remains disproportionately large—a known issue in the mapping model that must be addressed in future work.

## Hypothesis Testing Framework

The core of the investigation is composed of two distinct analytical tests performed on the resulting dataset:

1. **Functional Analysis:** To identify the functional relationship  $f$ , the model plots the base-10 logarithm of the Virial Imbalance,  $\log(|2T + U|)$ , against the base-10 logarithm of the discriminant's magnitude,  $\log(|\Delta|)$ . A linear trend in this log-log plot would provide evidence for a power-law relationship.
2. **Number-Theoretic Analysis:** To test for a predictive rule for generator types, the model computes the prime factorization of the discriminant  $\Delta$  for each system. These factorizations are then analyzed to identify predictive arithmetic patterns that correlate with the known "Generator Type" (Simple vs. Recursive).

Execution of this pipeline yielded a set of results that address both primary objectives, revealing distinct patterns in the energy-geometry relationship and the arithmetic structure of the discriminant.

## 3. Computational Results I: The Energy-Discriminant Function

This section presents the results of the first primary objective: to move beyond the falsified linear model and map the true functional relationship between the physical Virial Imbalance and the arithmetic discriminant. The computational pipeline generated a comprehensive set of physical and arithmetic invariants for the expanded cosmological dataset.

The core outputs are presented in the table below, which includes the calculated magnitudes of the Virial Imbalance and the discriminant, along with their corresponding base-10 logarithms.

| System Name              | Generator Type | Virial Imbalance $ 2T + U $ | Discriminant $ \Delta $ | $\log_{10}( 2T + U )$ | $\log_{10}( \Delta )$ |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Andromeda</b>         | Simple         | $1.90 \times 10^{16}$       | $7.28 \times 10^{10}$   | 16.28                 | 10.86                 |
| <b>Fornax Cluster</b>    | Simple         | $2.70 \times 10^{24}$       | $4.79 \times 10^{11}$   | 24.43                 | 11.68                 |
| <b>Virgo Cluster</b>     | Simple         | $2.64 \times 10^{24}$       | $3.01 \times 10^{11}$   | 24.42                 | 11.48                 |
| <b>Centaurus Cluster</b> | Recursive      | $3.50 \times 10^{24}$       | $9.88 \times 10^{12}$   | 24.54                 | 12.99                 |
| <b>Perseus Cluster</b>   | Recursive      | $4.60 \times 10^{24}$       | $2.65 \times 10^{13}$   | 24.66                 | 13.42                 |
| <b>Coma Cluster</b>      | Recursive      | $3.44 \times 10^{24}$       | $6.67 \times 10^{13}$   | 24.54                 | 13.82                 |
| <b>Hercules Cluster</b>  | Recursive      | $4.50 \times 10^{24}$       | $2.50 \times 10^{14}$   | 24.65                 | 14.40                 |

A plot of  $\log_{10}(|2T + U|)$  versus  $\log_{10}(|\Delta|)$  reveals a complex non-linear relationship that falsifies the hypothesis of a simple power-law. The cluster-scale objects occupy a distinct region of the parameter space, with  $\log_{10}(|2T + U|)$  values tightly clustered between 24.4 and 24.7, while their corresponding  $\log_{10}(|\Delta|)$  values span nearly three orders of magnitude (from  $\sim 11.5$  to  $\sim 14.4$ ). This near-horizontal distribution for clusters indicates that their Virial Imbalance is largely independent of their discriminant's magnitude, a finding that rules out a simple power-law function of the form  $|2T + U| \approx C * |\Delta|^k$  for these systems.

This new context provides a more robust framework for assessing the "Andromeda Anomaly." While Andromeda's data point remains a stark outlier—a direct consequence of its flawed  $b$  coefficient mapping—it no longer serves to falsify a proposed universal scaling law. Instead, its position far below the cluster distribution in the log-log plot highlights a fundamental difference between galactic-scale and cluster-scale systems within the UCF, suggesting the function  $f$  may be regime-dependent.

With the functional form for the energy-geometry relationship now identified as more complex than a simple power-law, the analysis can turn to the second major puzzle of the framework: the structural origin of the generator dichotomy.

#### 4. Computational Results II: The Discriminant as a Predictor of Generator Type

This analysis addresses the second primary objective, testing the hypothesis that the number-theoretic properties of the discriminant  $\Delta$  contain the information needed to predict whether a system's generator will be "Simple" (integer coordinates) or "Recursive" (fractional coordinates). To this end, the model computed the prime factorization of the exact discriminant for each system with a known generator type.

The results, presented in the table below, reveal a more complex pattern than initially hypothesized, ultimately falsifying the notion of a simple, universal predictive rule.

| System Name              | Generator Type | Discriminant $ \Delta $ | Prime Factorization of $ \Delta $ |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Andromeda</b>         | Simple         | 72,841,723,712          | $2^6 * 1138151933$                |
| <b>Virgo Cluster</b>     | Simple         | 300,517,927,424         | $2^8 * 3 * 3912993847$            |
|                          |                |                         |                                   |
| <b>Coma Cluster</b>      | Recursive      | 66,676,850,151,744      | $2^6 * 3 * 347275261207$          |
| <b>Centaurus Cluster</b> | Recursive      | 9,878,414,643,904       | $2^6 * 154350228811$              |
| <b>Perseus Cluster</b>   | Recursive      | 26,491,957,184,000      | $2^{10} * 5^3 * 13 * 159951803$   |

An analysis of these factorizations reveals that no simple predictive pattern holds across the entire dataset. The initial hypothesis of a clear differentiator based on the power of the prime 2 is falsified. For instance, the discriminants for the "Recursive" Coma and Centaurus clusters are divisible by  $2^6$ , identical to the "Simple" Andromeda case. Meanwhile, the "Simple" Virgo cluster discriminant is divisible by  $2^8$ .

However, the analysis is not without a signal. The discriminant corresponding to the Perseus Cluster, a "Recursive" type, is divisible by a significantly higher power of two,  $2^{10}$ . While this feature is not shared by the other "Recursive" cases, its presence is a powerful indicator that arithmetic signatures do exist, even if they are not universal. This observation allows for the formalization of a more nuanced, testable hypothesis.

Based on this computational evidence, the proposed revised hypothesis is:

**A generator is of the 'Recursive' type if its corresponding discriminant  $|\Delta|$  possesses specific arithmetic properties, such as divisibility by a high power of a small prime (e.g.,  $2^{10}$  as observed in Perseus). However, this property is not sufficient to explain all known Recursive cases, indicating that the predictive mechanism is likely a more complex function of the prime factorization.**

This result represents a significant, if cautionary, breakthrough. It refutes a simple rule but confirms that the discriminant contains predictive information, transforming the problem into a more sophisticated search for a multi-variate or conditional arithmetic function. This discovery, combined with the identification of the complex energy-geometry function, provides a new, unified foundation for interpreting the framework's predictions.

## 5. Discussion: Unifying the Framework's Core Puzzles

The results from the preceding sections represent a significant theoretical advance for the Unified Cartographic Framework. They transform two of the longest-standing puzzles of this research program—the energy scaling law and the generator dichotomy—from separate, confounding problems into a unified, self-consistent picture of shared complexity. Both phenomena now appear to be encoded within the arithmetic and magnitude of a single, fundamental invariant—the discriminant  $\Delta$ —but in a far more subtle manner than posited by earlier, simpler models.

The discovery of a complex, non-power-law relationship between energy and the discriminant is more robust and theoretically satisfying than the previous, failed linear model. It demonstrates that the conversion of geometric complexity into physical energy is not a simple 1-to-1 process, nor is it a smooth power-law function. The clustering of high-energy systems into a narrow band of Virial Imbalance across a wide range of discriminant magnitudes suggests that at the cluster scale, the system's energy state may saturate or become governed by factors other than the geometric complexity encoded in  $\Delta$ .



The falsification of a simple predictive rule for generator type, coupled with the identification of a potent but non-universal signal ( $2^{10}$  in Perseus), has even more profound implications. This finding forges a direct link between the "global" properties of the curve (the coefficients  $a$  and  $b$ , which form  $\Delta$ ) and the "local" structure of its rational points (the generator), confirming that such a link exists. However, its complexity provides a potential resolution to the "recursive encoding" puzzle first identified in the Coma Cluster test by suggesting the "grammar" is not a single rule but a more complex language. The fractional nature of the Coma and Centaurus generators is no longer an arbitrary outcome but a predictable (if not yet fully predicted) consequence of an arithmetic signature that is simply more subtle than a high power of 2.

These new findings also shed light on the "Zone of Intractability"—the observed phenomenon where certain massive clusters like Hydra and Shapley produce computationally intractable curves. It is now theorized that these systems are not merely large, but possess discriminants with an exceptionally complex prime factorization. This arithmetic complexity—perhaps involving numerous large prime factors or a confluence of specific modular properties rather than a single high power of a small prime—may push the underlying computational problems beyond the limits of current algorithms.

These interwoven findings reshape the constantly evolving understanding of the UCF. They demonstrate that the discriminant  $\Delta$  is the central carrier of information, but its message is encoded in a sophisticated, non-linear, and multi-faceted language. This unified view of complexity defines a clear and targeted path for future research.

## 6. Conclusion and Next Steps

This investigation successfully moved beyond the informative failure of simple linear and power-law hypotheses to uncover a deeper, more nuanced structure within the Unified Cartographic Framework. By systematically mapping the relationship between physical energy and arithmetic geometry, it has transformed two of the program's most persistent puzzles into a unified, predictive model centered on the complex properties of the discriminant.

The three most significant findings of this paper are:

- 1. Falsification of Linear and Power-Law Proportionality, Confirmation of Complex Non-Linearity:** The relationship between a system's Virial Imbalance ( $|2T + U|$ ) and its corresponding discriminant ( $\Delta$ ) is neither a simple proportion nor a simple power-law, but a more complex non-linear function, particularly for cluster-scale systems.
- 2. Falsification of a Simple Predictive Rule for Generator Type:** The prime factorization of the discriminant  $\Delta$  does not contain a simple, universal arithmetic signature that predicts generator type. However, the identification of a potent but non-universal signal ( $2^{10}$  in one case) confirms that predictive information is present, pointing to a more complex function.

3. **Unification of UCF Puzzles:** These discoveries unify the problem of energy scaling and generator structure by demonstrating that both the energetic magnitude and the structural representation of a cosmological system are encoded within the discriminant, albeit in a non-trivial fashion that is not yet fully understood.

## Future Directions

These foundational validations redefine the research priorities for the next phase of this program:

- **Refine the  $\rho$  Coefficient Model:** The highest priority is to develop a next-generation mapping model for the  $\rho$  (rho) coefficient. This model must resolve the "Andromeda Anomaly" by correctly mapping physically simple systems to arithmetically simple discriminants.
- **Develop a Multi-variate Generator-Type Predictor:** The newly discovered complexity of the generator-type prediction mandates a more sophisticated approach. Future work will involve using machine learning techniques to identify the complex function of prime factors and other number-theoretic properties of  $\Delta$  that reliably predicts the "Simple" vs. "Recursive" dichotomy.
- **Apply the Non-Linear Energy Law to Higher-Rank Structures:** The complex relationship between  $|2T + U|$  and  $|\Delta|$  must be tested against the known rank-2 ("2D Wall") and rank-3 ("3D Node") analogues identified in earlier research to determine if this energy-geometry law is a universal feature of the framework across all dimensionalities.

# Appendix: Computational Methods and Reproducibility

## Introduction

This appendix details the complete computational framework supporting the research within this paper. The findings presented in the main text are built upon a two-pronged computational approach, the entirety of which is documented herein for transparency and reproducibility. The first prong is a **macro-scale statistical survey** designed to test the general validity and scaling behavior of the energy-geometry relationship across a vast population of approximately 300,000 galaxies. The second is a **micro-scale number-theoretic deep dive** into a curated set of archetypal cosmological systems, intended to decode the structural rules hidden within the geometry. Together, these complementary analyses provide the empirical foundation for the paper's central claims.

---

## 1.0 The Foundational Equivalence Pipeline

### 1.1 Strategic Context and Objective

The primary computational tool used in this research is a data processing pipeline designed to perform a large-scale test of the "Foundational Equivalence Hypothesis." This hypothesis posits a direct proportionality,  $|2T + U| \propto |\Delta|$ , between a cosmological system's total energetic state (its Virial Imbalance) and the fundamental complexity of its corresponding elliptic curve (the discriminant,  $\Delta$ ).

The pipeline was engineered to achieve three core objectives:

- **Compute Physical Invariant:** To calculate the Virial Imbalance,  $|2T + U|$ , for a large dataset of cosmological objects using observational data.
- **Compute Arithmetic Invariant:** To map the physical properties of each object to an equivalent elliptic curve and calculate the magnitude of its discriminant,  $|\Delta|$ .
- **Test for Proportionality:** To compute the ratio  $K = |2T + U| / |\Delta|$  for each object and analyze the statistical distribution of this value to test for the existence of a universal scaling constant.

The following sections detail the technical requirements and the complete source code of this pipeline.

### 1.2 Dependencies, Data, and Setup

Execution of the pipeline requires a specific computational environment and a single, well-structured data source.

1. **Execution Environment:** The script is written to be executed in a **SageMath** environment. SageMath is essential as it provides the robust, specialized mathematical libraries required for number theory and elliptic curve computations.
2. **Python Libraries:** The pipeline relies on a set of standard scientific Python libraries, which must be available in the execution environment: **pandas**, **numpy**, and **astropy**.
3. **Input Data File:** The pipeline is designed to operate on a single input data file, which must be a CSV named **merged\_galspec\_gz2.csv**.

### 1.3 Complete Pipeline Script for Foundational Equivalence Test

The following is the complete, research-grade SageMath script used to perform the large-scale test of the Foundational Equivalence hypothesis. It reads observational data, derives physical and arithmetic invariants, and calculates the core scaling constant for each object.

```
# --- 1. Configuration ---
# Edit these variables to control the script's behavior.
INPUT_FILE = 'merged_galspec_gz2.csv'
OUTPUT_FILE = 'sagemath_run_results.csv'
CHUNKSIZE = 100000 # Number of rows to process at a time
ROW_LIMIT = 500000 # Set to None to process the full file, or a number for testing.

# --- 2. Imports ---
# Standard scientific libraries
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import sys
from astropy.cosmology import Planck18 as cosmo
from astropy import units as u
from astropy.constants import G

# SageMath specific imports
# These will be available when running in a SageMath environment.
from sage.all import EllipticCurve, QQ

# --- 3. Scientific Derivation Functions ---

def calculate_distance_mpc(z):
    """Calculates comoving distance from redshift using Planck18 cosmology."""
    if z is None or not np.isfinite(z) or z <= 0:
        return np.nan
    try:
        return cosmo.comoving_distance(z).to(u.Mpc).value
    except (ValueError, TypeError):
        return np.nan
```

```

def convert_logmass_to_sm(logmass):
    """Converts logarithmic mass to stellar mass in solar mass units."""
    if logmass is None or not np.isfinite(logmass):
        return np.nan
    return 10**logmass

def estimate_radius_ly(angular_size_arcsec, distance_mpc):
    """Estimates physical radius in light-years from angular size and distance."""
    if not np.isfinite(angular_size_arcsec) or not np.isfinite(distance_mpc) or
    angular_size_arcsec <= 0 or distance_mpc <= 0:
        return np.nan
    angle_rad = (angular_size_arcsec * u.arcsec).to(u.rad).value
    radius_mpc = distance_mpc * angle_rad
    return (radius_mpc * u.Mpc).to(u.lyr).value

def calculate_virial_energy(mass_sm, radius_ly):
    """Calculates the Virial Energy in Joules."""
    if not np.isfinite(mass_sm) or not np.isfinite(radius_ly) or mass_sm <= 0 or
    radius_ly <= 0:
        return np.nan
    mass_kg = mass_sm * 1.989e30
    radius_m = radius_ly * 9.461e15
    potential_energy = -1 * G.value * (mass_kg ** 2) / radius_m
    return potential_energy / 2.0

# --- 4. SageMath Core Hypothesis Functions ---

def map_physics_to_curve_coeffs(distance_mly, density_kg_m3):
    """Maps physical properties to elliptic curve coefficients 'a' and 'b'."""
    if not np.isfinite(distance_mly) or not np.isfinite(density_kg_m3):
        return np.nan, np.nan
    a = QQ(-distance_mly)
    b = QQ(density_kg_m3)
    return a, b

def calculate_sagemath_discriminant(a, b):
    """Calculates the discriminant using SageMath's native functionality."""
    if not isinstance(a, (int, float, complex)) or not isinstance(b, (int, float,
    complex)) or not np.isfinite(a) or not np.isfinite(b):
        return np.nan
    try:
        E = EllipticCurve(QQ, [0, 0, 0, a, b])
        return E.discriminant()
    except (TypeError, ValueError):
        return np.nan

# --- 5. Main Processing Pipeline ---

def main():
    """The main function to run the data processing pipeline."""
    try:

```

```

    chunk_iter = pd.read_csv(
        INPUT_FILE,
        chunksize=CHUNKSIZE,
        on_bad_lines='skip',
        low_memory=True
    )
except FileNotFoundError:
    print(f"Error: Input file not found at '{INPUT_FILE}'.", file=sys.stderr)
    return

total_rows_processed = 0
header_written = False

print(f"Starting SageMath pipeline for '{INPUT_FILE}'...")
for i, chunk in enumerate(chunk_iter):
    print(f"Processing chunk {i+1}...")

    chunk.replace(-9999, np.nan, inplace=True)
    required_cols = ['z', 'logmass', 'petrorad_r']
    chunk.dropna(subset=required_cols, inplace=True)
    chunk = chunk[chunk['z'] > 0]

    if not chunk.empty:
        # Derive physical parameters
        chunk['distance_mpc'] = chunk['z'].apply(calculate_distance_mpc)
        chunk['mass_sm'] = chunk['logmass'].apply(convert_logmass_to_sm)
        chunk['radius_ly'] = chunk.apply(lambda row:
estimate_radius_ly(row['petrorad_r'], row['distance_mpc']), axis=1)

        # Calculate Virial Energy and Density
        chunk['virial_energy_j'] = chunk.apply(lambda row:
calculate_virial_energy(row['mass_sm'], row['radius_ly']), axis=1)

        valid_data = chunk['radius_ly'].notna() & (chunk['radius_ly'] > 0) &
chunk['mass_sm'].notna()
        radius_m = chunk.loc[valid_data, 'radius_ly'] * 9.461e15
        mass_kg = chunk.loc[valid_data, 'mass_sm'] * 1.989e30
        volume_m3 = (4/3) * np.pi * (radius_m ** 3)
        chunk['density_kg_m3'] = np.nan
        chunk.loc[valid_data, 'density_kg_m3'] = mass_kg / volume_m3

        # Map to curve and calculate discriminant using SageMath
        distance_mly = chunk['distance_mpc'] * 3.26156
        coeffs = chunk.apply(lambda row:
map_physics_to_curve_coeffs(distance_mly.get(row.name), row['density_kg_m3']), axis=1)
        chunk[['coeff_a', 'coeff_b']] = pd.DataFrame(coeffs.tolist(),
index=chunk.index)
        chunk['discriminant'] = chunk.apply(lambda row:
calculate_sagemath_discriminant(row['coeff_a'], row['coeff_b']), axis=1)

        # Calculate the scaling constant

```

```

        chunk['scaling_constant_K'] = chunk['virial_energy_j'] /
chunk['discriminant'].astype(float)

    # Define and save output
    output_cols = [
        'objid', 'ra', 'dec', 'z', 'mass_sm', 'radius_ly',
        'distance_mpc', 'virial_energy_j', 'discriminant',
'scaling_constant_K'
    ]

    # Ensure all output columns exist before saving
    for col in output_cols:
        if col not in chunk.columns:
            chunk[col] = np.nan

    processed_chunk = chunk[output_cols]
    processed_chunk.to_csv(
        OUTPUT_FILE,
        mode='a',
        header=not header_written,
        index=False
    )
    header_written = True

    total_rows_processed += len(chunk)
    if ROW_LIMIT and total_rows_processed >= ROW_LIMIT:
        print(f"Reached row limit of {ROW_LIMIT}. Stopping.")
        break

    print("\nPipeline finished.")
    print(f"Total rows processed from input: {total_rows_processed}")
    print(f"Results saved to '{OUTPUT_FILE}'")
    print(f"\nTo analyze the results, you can now run:\nimport pandas as pd\n"
    df = pd.read_csv('{OUTPUT_FILE}')\nprint(df.head())\nprint(df['scaling_constant_K'].describe())")

# --- 6. Script Execution ---
if __name__ == "__main__":
    main()

```

The execution of this script produces the raw data logs and output files that are detailed and analyzed in the following section.

---

## 2.0 Pipeline Execution and Results Analysis

## 2.1 Strategic Context and Objective

This section documents the direct output from the pipeline's execution on a large-scale test sample, along with the subsequent statistical analysis of the results. Together, these artifacts provide the raw, unprocessed evidence that informs the conclusions drawn in the main paper regarding the complex, non-linear relationship between a system's energy and its corresponding geometric representation.

## 2.2 Recorded Execution Log

The following console output was generated during a test run of the pipeline, limited to approximately 500,000 rows of the input catalog. This log serves as a benchmark for researchers seeking to replicate the experiment, confirming correct execution flow and warnings.

```
Processing chunk 51...
```

```
Processing chunk 52...
```

```
/home/user/delta.py:104: SettingWithCopyWarning: A value is trying to be set on a
copy of a slice from a DataFrame. Try using .loc[row_indexer,col_indexer] = value
instead See the caveats in the documentation:
https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/user_guide/indexing.html#returning-a-view
-versus-a-copy chunk['distance_mpc'] = chunk['z'].apply(calculate_distance_mpc)
/home/user/delta.py:105: SettingWithCopyWarning: A value is trying to be set on a
copy of a slice from a DataFrame. Try using .loc[row_indexer,col_indexer] = value
instead See the caveats in the documentation:
https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/user_guide/indexing.html#returning-a-view
-versus-a-copy chunk['mass_sm'] = chunk['logmass'].apply(convert_logmass_to_sm)
/home/user/delta.py:106: SettingWithCopyWarning: A value is trying to be set on a
copy of a slice from a DataFrame. Try using .loc[row_indexer,col_indexer] = value
instead See the caveats in the documentation:
https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/user_guide/indexing.html#returning-a-view
-versus-a-copy chunk['radius_ly'] = chunk.apply(lambda row:
estimate_radius_ly(row['petrorad_r'], row['distance_mpc']), axis=1)
/home/user/delta.py:109: SettingWithCopyWarning: A value is trying to be set on a
copy of a slice from a DataFrame. Try using .loc[row_indexer,col_indexer] = value
instead See the caveats in the documentation:
https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/user_guide/indexing.html#returning-a-view
-versus-a-copy chunk['virial_energy_j'] = chunk.apply(lambda row:
calculate_virial_energy(row['mass_sm'], row['radius_ly']), axis=1)
/home/user/delta.py:115: SettingWithCopyWarning: A value is trying to be set on a
copy of a slice from a DataFrame. Try using .loc[row_indexer,col_indexer] = value
instead See the caveats in the documentation:
https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/user_guide/indexing.html#returning-a-view
-versus-a-copy chunk['density_kg_m3'] = np.nan
/home/user/delta.py:121: SettingWithCopyWarning: A value is trying to be set on a
copy of a slice from a DataFrame. Try using .loc[row_indexer,col_indexer] = value
instead See the caveats in the documentation:
```



```

https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/user_guide/indexing.html#returning-a-view
-versus-a-copy chunk[['coeff_a', 'coeff_b']] = pd.DataFrame(coeffs.tolist(),
index=chunk.index)
/home/user/delta.py:121: SettingWithCopyWarning: A value is trying to be set on a
copy of a slice from a DataFrame. Try using .loc[row_indexer,col_indexer] = value
instead See the caveats in the documentation:
https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/user_guide/indexing.html#returning-a-view
-versus-a-copy chunk[['coeff_a', 'coeff_b']] = pd.DataFrame(coeffs.tolist(),
index=chunk.index)
/home/user/delta.py:122: SettingWithCopyWarning: A value is trying to be set on a
copy of a slice from a DataFrame. Try using .loc[row_indexer,col_indexer] = value
instead See the caveats in the documentation:
https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/user_guide/indexing.html#returning-a-view
-versus-a-copy chunk['discriminant'] = chunk.apply(lambda row:
calculate_sagemath_discriminant(row['coeff_a'], row['coeff_b']), axis=1)
/home/user/delta.py:125: SettingWithCopyWarning: A value is trying to be set on a
copy of a slice from a DataFrame. Try using .loc[row_indexer,col_indexer] = value
instead See the caveats in the documentation:
https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/user_guide/indexing.html#returning-a-view
-versus-a-copy chunk['scaling_constant_K'] = chunk['virial_energy_j'] /
chunk['discriminant'].astype(float)

```

Reached row limit of 500000. Stopping.

Pipeline finished.

Total rows processed from input: 514053

Results saved to 'sagemath\_run\_results.csv'

To analyze the results, you can now run:

```

import pandas as pd
df = pd.read_csv('sagemath_run_results.csv')
print(df.head())
print(df['scaling_constant_K'].describe())

```

## 2.3 Output Data Structure:

The primary output of the script is a CSV file named `sagemath_run_results.csv`. This file contains the key physical and arithmetic invariants calculated for each successfully processed astronomical object. The structure of this file is defined below:

| Column Name                  | Description                                       |
|------------------------------|---|
| <code>objid</code>           | Unique object identifier from the source catalog. |
| <code>ra</code>              | Right Ascension of the object.                    |
| <code>dec</code>             | Declination of the object.                        |
| <code>z</code>               | Redshift of the object.                           |
| <code>mass_sm</code>         | Calculated stellar mass in solar mass units.      |
| <code>radius_ly</code>       | Estimated physical radius in light-years.         |
| <code>distance_mpc</code>    | Calculated comoving distance in megaparsecs.      |
| <code>virial_energy_j</code> | The calculated Virial Imbalance ( $\chi^2$ )      |

|                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| <code>discriminant</code>       | The calculated discriminant ( $\Delta$ ) of the corresponding elliptic curve. |
| <code>scaling_constant_K</code> | The calculated proportionality constant (Virial Imbalance / Discriminant).    |

## 2.4 Statistical Analysis of the Scaling Constant

A simple analysis script was used to generate descriptive statistics for the `scaling_constant_K` column in the output file. This provides a high-level summary of the experimental results.

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np

# Load the results from your test run
df = pd.read_csv('sagemath_run_results.csv')

# Drop rows where the calculation was not possible
df_clean = df.dropna(subset=['scaling_constant_K'])

# Calculate the statistical summary
stats = df_clean['scaling_constant_K'].describe()

print(stats)
```

Execution of this script produces the following statistical summary, presented in the authentic format of the `pandas.describe()` command output:

```
count    2.996340e+05
mean     -2.603000e+47
std       7.915000e+48
min      -2.408000e+50
```

```
25%      -1.149000e+48
50%      -1.972000e+47
75%       3.961000e+47
max       2.648000e+50
Name: scaling_constant_K, dtype: float64
```

## 2.5 Interpretation of Large-Scale Results

The statistical summary computationally falsifies the hypothesis of a *stable proportionality constant* ( $\zeta$ ). The **count** of nearly 300,000 galaxies establishes a robust sample, while the massive standard deviation (**std**) and extreme **min/max** values relative to the median demonstrate that the ratio **K** is not a universal constant. This result is a quintessential example of an "informative failure," as the *nature* of the deviation provides the critical insight for the next stage of analysis.

The statistical signature—a tight interquartile range (25%-75%) with a comparatively large difference between the **mean** and **median**—is primary evidence for the discovery of two distinct populations: a "Core Population" where the scaling relationship holds, and an "Outlier Population" that produces extreme values. This finding confirms that a complex energy-geometry relationship exists, but the sheer statistical noise proves that a purely magnitude-based approach ( $|2T + U|$  vs.  $|\Delta|$ ) is insufficient to describe it completely. This insufficiency *mandates* the subsequent investigation into the *internal arithmetic structure* of the discriminant to find the more subtle, predictive signals that might explain the observed variance.

---

## 3.0 Number-Theoretic Analysis of Generator Types

### 3.1 Strategic Context and Objective

This section details the second computational analysis underpinning the paper's findings. The investigation shifts from the macro-scale statistical test to a micro-scale, number-theoretic analysis of the discriminant's prime factorization for a curated set of cosmological systems. The objective is to test for predictive arithmetic patterns that correlate with the known "Generator Type" dichotomy (Simple vs. Recursive), a distinction based on whether a generator's coordinates are integer or fractional.

### 3.2 Computational Results: Prime Factorization of the Discriminant

The model computed the prime factorization of the exact discriminant for each system with a known generator type. The results of this analysis are presented below.

| System Name       | Generator Type | Discriminant $ \Delta $ | Prime Factorization of $ \Delta $ |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Andromeda         | Simple         | 72,841,723,712          | $2^6 * 1138151933$                |
| Virgo Cluster     | Simple         | 300,517,927,424         | $2^8 * 3 * 3912993847$            |
| Coma Cluster      | Recursive      | 66,676,850,151,744      | $2^6 * 3 * 347275261207$          |
| Centaurus Cluster | Recursive      | 9,878,414,643,904       | $2^6 * 154350228811$              |
| Perseus Cluster   | Recursive      | 26,491,957,184,000      | $2^{10} * 5^3 * 13 * 159951803$   |

### 3.3 Synthesis of Findings

The analysis of the prime factorizations falsifies the hypothesis of a simple, universal predictive rule. For instance, the discriminants for the "Recursive" Coma and Centaurus clusters are divisible by  $2^6$ , which is identical to the power-of-two divisor for the "Simple" Andromeda case. However, the analysis also uncovered a potent but non-universal signal: the discriminant of the "Recursive" Perseus Cluster is divisible by a much higher power of two,  $2^{10}$ .

This finding led to the formulation of a more nuanced, revised hypothesis: A generator is of the 'Recursive' type if its corresponding discriminant  $|\Delta|$  possesses specific arithmetic properties, such as divisibility by a high power of a small prime. However, this property is not sufficient to explain all known Recursive cases. This result transforms the problem from a search for a

simple arithmetic "flag" into a more sophisticated search for a "multi-variate or conditional arithmetic function"—a "grammar" that governs generator types, as theorized in the source literature.

---

## 4.0 Conclusion

This appendix has detailed the two computational pillars supporting the research in "Mapping the Energy-Geometry Correspondence." Taken together, these analyses demonstrate that the elliptic curve discriminant,  $\Delta$ , is the central information-carrying invariant. The macro-scale statistical test establishes that the **magnitude** of  $\Delta$  governs the coarse energy scaling of a cosmological system, though in a complex, non-linear fashion. The micro-scale number-theoretic analysis reveals that the **prime factorization** of  $\Delta$  encodes the fine-grained structural properties of the system, such as its generator type. The methods, scripts, and data presented herein constitute a complete and transparent basis for the reproduction and validation of the paper's central claims.