



(51) International Patent Classification:

H03M 13/03 (2006.01) *H04L 1/00* (2006.01)
H03M 13/11 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/RU2017/000509

(22) International Filing Date:

10 July 2017 (10.07.2017)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(71) Applicant: **HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD**
[CN/CN]; Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518129 (CN).

(72) Inventor; and

(71) Applicant (for RU only): **USATYUK, Vasily Stanislavovich** [RU/CN]; Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518129 (CN).

(72) Inventors: **POLIANSKII, Nikita Andreevich**; Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518129 (CN). **VORORYEV, Ilya Viktorovich**; Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518129 (CN). **GAEV, Vladimir Anatolyevich**; Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518129 (CN). **SVISTUNOV, German Viktorovich**;

Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518129 (CN). **KAMENEV, Mikhail Sergeevich**; Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518129 (CN). **KAMENEVA, Yulia Borisovna**; Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518129 (CN).

(74) Agent: **LAW FIRM "GORODISSKY & PARTNERS" LTD.**; MITS, Alexander Vladimirovich, B. Spasskaya str., 25, bldg. 3, Moscow, 129090 (RU).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV,

(54) Title: GENERALIZED LOW-DENSITY PARITY CHECK CODES (GLDPC)




Fig. 3

(57) Abstract: Provided is an encoder, a decoder, a computer-readable medium and methods of forward error correction channel encoding/decoding within a HARQ scheme, based on a generalized quasi-cyclic low-density parity-check code comprising a Cordaro-Wagner component code.

MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM,
TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW,
KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

FIELD

The present disclosure relates to Generalized Low-Density Parity-Check (GLDPC) codes for channel coding in digital communication systems. In particular, the present disclosure relates to GLDPC codes for Incremental Redundancy Hybrid Automatic Repeat Request (IR-HARQ) schemes.

BACKGROUND

Fig. 1 shows a block diagram illustrating a generic digital communications system 10 in which the elements of the present disclosure may be implemented. The system includes a transmitting side comprising a generic encoder 12 and a receiving side comprising a generic decoder 14. The input of the generic encoder 12 at the transmitting side may be an information sequence IS_1 of k bits to which a redundancy sequence of r bits is added in an encoding operation performed by the generic encoder 12, thereby producing an encoded information sequence IS_2 of $k+r=n$ bits which may be forwarded to a modulator 16.

The modulator 16 may transform the encoded sequence IS_2 into a modulated signal vector CH_IN which is in turn transmitted through a channel 18 such as, for example, a radio channel or an optical channel. Since the channel 18 is usually subject to noisy disturbances, the channel output CH_OUT may differ from the channel input CH_IN.

At the receiving side, the channel output vector CH_OUT may be processed by a demodulator 20 which produces some likelihood ratio. The generic decoder 14 may use the redundancy in the received information sequence IS_3 in a decoding operation to correct errors in the received information sequence IS_3 and produce a decoded information sequence IS_4 which is an estimate of the information sequence IS_2 from which the information sequence IS_1 can be extracted.

The encoding operation and the decoding operation may be governed by an LDPC code. In the general formulation of channel coding, an LDPC code may employ a generator matrix G for the encoding operation in the generic encoder 12 and a parity-check matrix H for the decoding operation in the generic decoder 14.

- 5 For a LDPC code with an information sequence IS_1 of size $1 \times k$, a code word IS_2 of size $1 \times n$ and a redundancy (parity) sequence of $r = (n - k)$ bits, the generator matrix G has size $k \cdot n$, and the parity-check matrix H has size $r \cdot n = (n - k) \cdot n$. The parity-check matrix H_{rxn} and the generator matrix G_{kxn} enjoy the orthogonality property, which states that for any generator matrix G_{kxn} with k linearly independent rows there exists a parity-check matrix H_{rxn} with
 10 $r = (n - k)$ linearly independent rows. Thus, any row of the generator matrix G_{kxn} is orthogonal to the rows of the parity-check matrix H_{rxn} such that the following equation is satisfied:

$$G_{kxn} \cdot H_{rxn}^T = 0$$

- The encoding operation can be performed by means of a multiplication between the information sequence IS_1 and the generator matrix G_{kxn} , wherein the result of the multiplication provides
 15 the encoded output sequence IS_2 as follows:

$$IS_2 = IS_1 \cdot G_{kxn}$$

At the receiving side, due to the orthogonality property between the generator matrix G_{kxn} and the parity-check matrix H_{rxn} , the following equation should be satisfied:

$$H_{rxn} \cdot IS_4^T = 0$$

- 20 where IS_4 is the decoded received information sequence of size $1 \times n$. If the above equation is verified, the information signal estimate IS_4 is correct.

Once the parity-check matrix H_{rxn} is generated, it is possible to obtain the generator matrix G_{kxn} and vice versa. Accordingly, any process of determining a parity-check matrix H_{rxn} may be mapped to an equivalent process of obtaining a generator matrix G_{kxn} , so that any process disclosed throughout the description and claims in relation to determining a parity-check matrix H_{rxn} shall be understood as encompassing the equivalent process of obtaining a generator matrix G_{kxn} and vice versa.

A particular form of the parity-check matrix H_{rxn} is a regular QC-LDPC matrix ${}^{reg}H_{rxn}^{QC}$ which can be divided into quadratic submatrices $I(b_{j,l})$, i.e. circulant matrices (or “circulants” for short), which may, for example, be obtained from cyclically right-shifting an $N \times N$ identity matrix $I(0)$ by $b_{j,l}$ positions:

$${}^{reg}H_{rxn}^{QC} = \begin{bmatrix} I(b_{0,0}) & I(b_{0,1}) & \dots & I(b_{0,L-1}) \\ I(b_{1,0}) & I(b_{1,1}) & & I(b_{1,L-1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I(b_{J-1,0}) & I(b_{J-1,1}) & \dots & I(b_{J-1,L-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

with $N=n/L$ (cf. M. P. C. Fossorier, “*Quasi-Cyclic Low-Density Parity-Check Codes from Circulant Permutation Matrices*”, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY, Volume 50, Issue 8, Pages 1788–1793, August 2004). Thus, a regular QC-LDPC matrix ${}^{reg}H_{rxn}^{QC}$ may be defined by a base matrix B which satisfies:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{0,0} & b_{0,1} & \dots & b_{0,L-1} \\ b_{1,0} & b_{1,1} & & b_{1,L-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{J-1,0} & b_{J-1,1} & \dots & b_{J-1,L-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Moreover, an irregular QC-LDPC matrix ${}^{irreg}H_{rxn}^{QC}$ may be obtained by ${}^{irreg}H_{rxn}^{QC} = {}^{reg}H_{rxn}^{QC} \circ M_{mask}$ where “ \circ ” denotes the Hadamard product and

$$M_{mask} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{0,0} & m_{0,1} & \dots & m_{0,L-1} \\ m_{1,0} & m_{1,1} & & m_{1,L-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{J-1,0} & m_{J-1,1} & \dots & m_{J-1,L-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

denotes a mask matrix with $m_{j,l} \in \{0,1\}$.

Thus, for employing a QC-LDPC code in the generic encoder 12 and the generic decoder 14, the generic encoder 12 and the generic decoder 14 may be provided with a circulant, shift values, i.e., values corresponding to the entries of the base matrix B , and (optionally) a mask matrix M_{mask} . For instance, an apparatus configured to choose shift values for determining a QC-LDPC matrix H_{rxn}^{QC} (or the corresponding generator matrix) may be integrated in (or connected to) the generic encoder 12 and/or the generic decoder 14. Moreover, the generic encoder 12 and the generic decoder 14 may also be provided with a mask matrix M_{mask} to generate an irregular QC-LDPC matrix H_{rxn}^{QC} .

Moreover, a QC-LDPC matrix H^{QC} can be described by its equivalent bipartite graph (“Tanner graph”), wherein each edge of the Tanner graph connects one variable node of a plurality of variable nodes (which form the first set of the bipartite graph) to one check node of a plurality of check nodes (which form the second set of the bipartite graph). For example, a QC-LDPC matrix H_{rxn}^{QC} of r rows and n columns can be represented by its equivalent bipartite graph with r check nodes and n variable nodes which has edges between the check nodes and the variable nodes if there are corresponding “1s” in the QC-LDPC matrix H_{rxn}^{QC} (cf. R. Tanner, “A Recursive Approach to Low Complexity Codes”, IEEE TRANSACTIONS IN INFORMATION THEORY, Volume 27, Issue 5, Pages 533-547, September 1981). Thus, the variable nodes represent code-word bits and the check nodes represent parity-check equations.

In the Tanner graph of an LDPC code, any degree- s check node may be interpreted as a length- s single parity-check code, i.e., as an $(s, s-1)$ linear block code. Thus, for generalizing an LDPC code, check nodes of the LDPC code may be replaced with a linear block code to enhance the overall minimum distance (cf. M. Lentmaier et al., “On Generalized Low-Density Parity-Check

Codes based on Hamming Component Codes", IEEE COMMUNICATIONS LETTERS, Volume 3, Issue 8, Pages 248-250, August 1999).

A finite set of connected edges in the Tanner graph, wherein the set starts and ends at the same node and satisfies the condition that no node (except the initial and final node) appears more than once forms a "cycle". The "length" of the cycle is the number of edges of the set. The "girth" of the Tanner graph (or "girth" in short) is the length of the shortest cycle(s) in the graph. In this regard, it is noted that short cycles in a Tanner graph of an LDPC code may prevent decoding algorithms from converging. Furthermore, short cycles may degrade the performance of the generic decoder 14, because they affect the independence of the extrinsic information exchanged in the iterative decoding. Accordingly, shift values are to be chosen that achieve a high girth of the Tanner graph representation of the respective LDPC matrix.

Moreover, a LDPC code may contain Trapping Sets (TSs). More particularly, a (a,b) TS contains b check nodes which have an odd number of connections to a variable nodes. Accordingly, when the a variable nodes are wrong, only the b check nodes will be unsatisfied which may lead to a high error floor, as a belief propagation algorithm employed in the decoder 14 may be "trapped" in a false minimum.

While the above approaches to channel coding such as generalized QC-LDPC block codes have proven to perform well for a wide variety of scenarios, the urge for higher data throughput requires even more sophisticated solutions that achieve high data throughput with decent encoding/decoding resources. It is thus the object of the present invention to provide for a more efficient forward error correction channel coding technique applicable to the generic digital communications system 10.

SUMMARY

According to a first aspect of the present invention, there is provided an encoder for forward error correction channel encoding, the encoder configured to determine a protomatrix of a low-density parity check, LDPC, code for a hybrid automatic repeat request, HARQ, scheme, the protomatrix being representable by a sub-matrix formed by intersecting first rows and first columns, and second rows and second columns, wherein a number of the second columns is

two times a number of the second rows, lift the protomatrix to determine a parity check matrix of a QC-LDPC code, replace rows of the parity check matrix with row sets (e.g., row pairs) of a component code (e.g., Cordaro-Wagner) to derive a generalized QC-LDPC code, provide a codeword having data bits and parity bits, based on the data bits and rows and columns of the generalized QC-LDPC code corresponding to the first rows and first columns, and provide additional parity bits, based on the codeword and rows and/or columns of the generalized QC-LDPC code.

In this regard, it is noted that the term “matrix” as used throughout the description and claims in particular refers to a set of (integer) values stored in a (logical) memory array or having assigned row and column indices. If not involving matrix algebra, or if respective matrix algebra routines are suitably redefined, the notion of rows and columns may even be changed or freely chosen. However, throughout the description and claims it is adhered to the mathematical concepts and notations regularly used in the art and they shall be understood as encompassing equivalent mathematical concepts and notations.

Moreover, the term “protomatrix” as used throughout the description and claims in particular refers to a matrix which determines the structure of the parity check matrix of the QC-LDPC code by indicating which blocks of the parity check matrix are to correspond to a zero matrix. In addition, the term “lifting” as used throughout the description and claims in particular refers to the process of determining entries in blocks of the parity check matrix that do not correspond to a zero matrix, but to a circulant matrix. In this regard, the term “circulant matrix” as used throughout the description and claims particularly refers to a matrix, e.g., the identity matrix, where each row vector is shifted one element to the right/left relative to the preceding row vector.

In a first possible implementation form of the encoder according to the first aspect, wherein a matrix formed by an intersection of rows and columns of a parity check matrix of the generalized QC-LDPC code, which correspond to the second rows and columns, has a triangular structure.

Accordingly, the generalized QC-LDPC code may be provided with an easy encoding structure.

In a second possible implementation form of the encoder according to the first aspect, to lift the protomatrix to determine the parity check matrix of the QC-LDPC code comprises to determine multiple possible shift values for entries of a base matrix of the QC-LDPC code, wherein the entries correspond to edges of a protograph corresponding to the protomatrix, and to iteratively
5 select shift values for the entries, wherein a selection probability of a shift value is based on a measure of girth of the QC-LDPC code.

Hence, QC-LDPC codes having a higher girth may be promoted over QC-LDPC codes having a lower girth.

In a third possible implementation form of the encoder according to the first aspect, the selection
10 probability of a shift value which results in a larger girth than another shift value, is iteratively decreased.

Thus, the selection of shift values may be optimized using a simulated annealing algorithm.

In a fourth possible implementation form of the encoder according to the first aspect, a selection probability of a shift value of multiple shift values achieving a same girth is to be larger, if the
15 shift value achieves a higher extrinsic message degree, EMD, or a higher approximated cycle EMD, ACE, of a smallest cycle generated by the shift value.

Hence, a metric for the extrinsic message degree may be optimized during selection.

In a fifth possible implementation form of the encoder according to the first aspect, the encoder is to repeat the acts of determining and lifting for multiple protomatrices which differ in regard
20 to the second rows and columns, and to lift the protomatrix to determine the parity check matrix of the QC-LDPC code by selecting the protomatrix of the multiple protomatrices based on a performance measure.

By this, code quality of a code candidate to be chosen from a plurality of code candidates may be ensured.

According to a second aspect of the present invention, there is provided a decoder for forward error correction channel decoding, the decoder configured to receive data including reliability values of a bit sequence of data bits and parity bits, wherein the bit sequence is to represent a codeword of a codebook, decode the data bits by passing messages between Cordaro-Wagner component code decoding units, wherein the messages are based on the reliability values and the passing is governed by subrows and subcolumns of a protomatrix of a low-density parity check, LDPC, code for a hybrid automatic repeat request, HARQ, scheme, the protomatrix being represented by the subrows and subcolumns and second rows and second columns, wherein a number of the second columns is two times a number of the second rows, transmit a HARQ message in relation to the codeword, if the decoder determines that one or more data bits have not been decoded correctly, wherein the HARQ message is to demand a provision of additional parity bits for the data bits, receive further data including further reliability values of a bit sequence comprising the additional parity bits, and decode the one or more data bits that have not been decoded correctly by passing further messages between the Cordaro-Wagner component code decoding units, wherein the further messages are based, at least in part, on the further reliability values, and the passing of the further messages is governed by the subrows and the subcolumns of the protomatrix and one or more of the second rows and/or columns.

In this regard, it is noted that the term “reliability value” as used throughout the description and claims in particular refers to a likelihood, likelihood ratio, or log likelihood ratio. Moreover, the term “message” as used throughout the description and claims in particular refers to a data structure comprising an estimate calculated by carrying-out a belief propagation algorithm.

In a first possible implementation form of the decoder according to the second aspect, a decoding unit is to operate on two parity check equations.

According to a second aspect of the present invention, there is provided a system for forward error correction channel encoding comprising the encoder and the decoder.

According to a third aspect of the present invention, there is provided a computer-readable medium storing a rate-adaptive generalized quasi-cyclic low-density parity check, QC-LDPC code, the generalized QC-LDPC code being representable by a protomatrix which is dividable into a submatrix corresponding to a first rate, the submatrix being formed by an intersection of

first rows and first columns, and second rows and second columns corresponding to one or more second rates, wherein a number of the second columns is two times a number of the second rows and component codes (e.g., Cordaro-Wagner component codes) mapped to the rows of the protomatrix, wherein a component code is representable by a check matrix having multiple
5 (e.g., two) rows.

According to a fourth aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of forward error correction channel encoding, comprising determining a protomatrix of a low-density parity check, LDPC, code for a hybrid automatic repeat request, HARQ, scheme, the protomatrix being representable by a sub-matrix formed by an intersection of first rows and
10 first columns, and second rows and second columns, wherein a number of the second columns is two times a number of the second rows, lifting the protomatrix to determine a parity check matrix of a QC-LDPC code, replacing rows of the parity check matrix with row pairs of a component code (e.g., a Cordaro-Wagner component code) to derive a generalized quasi-cyclic LDPC, QC-LDPC, code, providing a codeword having data bits and parity bits, based on the
15 data bits and rows and columns of the generalized QC-LDPC code corresponding to the first rows and first columns, and providing additional parity bits based on the codeword and rows and/or columns of the generalized QC-LDPC code.

In a first possible implementation form of the method according to the fourth aspect, a matrix formed by an intersection of rows and columns of a parity check matrix of the generalized QC-
20 LDPC code, which correspond to the second rows and columns, has a triangular structure.

Accordingly, the GLDPC code may be provided with an easy-encoding structure.

In a second possible implementation form of the method according to the fourth aspect, lifting the protomatrix to determine the parity check matrix of the QC-LDPC code comprises determining multiple possible shift values for entries of a base matrix of the QC-LDPC code, wherein the entries correspond to edges of a protograph corresponding to the protomatrix, and
25 iteratively selecting shift values for the entries, wherein a selection probability of a shift value is calculated based on a measure of girth of the QC-LDPC code.

Hence, QC-LDPC codes having a higher girth may be promoted over QC-LDPC codes having a lower girth.

In a third possible implementation form of the method according to the fourth aspect, the selection probability of a shift value resulting in a larger girth than another shift value is
5 iteratively decreased.

Thus, the selection of shift values may be optimized using a simulated annealing algorithm.

In a fourth possible implementation form of the method according to the fourth aspect, the steps of determining and lifting are repeated for multiple protomatrices which differ in regard to the second rows and columns, and lifting the protomatrix to determine the parity check matrix of
10 the QC-LDPC code comprises selecting the protomatrix of the multiple protomatrices based on a performance measure.

By this, code quality of a code candidate to be chosen from a plurality of code candidates may be ensured.

According to a fifth aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of forward error
15 correction channel decoding, comprising receiving data including reliability values of a bit sequence of data bits and parity bits, wherein the bit sequence is to represent a codeword of a codebook, decoding the data bits by passing messages between Cordaro-Wagner component code decoding units, wherein the messages are based on the reliability values and the passing is governed by subrows and subcolumns of a protomatrix of a low-density parity check, LDPC,
20 code for a hybrid automatic repeat request, HARQ, scheme, the protomatrix being represented by the subrows and subcolumns and second rows and second columns, wherein a number of the second columns is two times a number of the second rows, transmitting a HARQ message in relation to the codeword, if one or more data bits have not been decoded correctly, wherein the HARQ message is to demand a provision of additional parity bits for the data bits, receiving
25 further data including further reliability values of a bit sequence comprising the additional parity bits, and decoding the one or more data bits that have not been decoded correctly by passing further messages between the Cordaro-Wagner component code decoding units, wherein the further messages are based, at least in part, on the further reliability values, and the

passing of the further messages is governed by the subrows and the subcolumns of the protomatrix and one or more of the second rows and/or columns.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a block diagram illustrating a generic digital communications system in which the
5 elements of the present disclosure may be implemented.

Fig. 2 is a flow-chart of a procedure for forward error correction channel encoding;

Fig. 3 illustrates a protomatrix structure of a of a low-density parity check, LDPC, code.

Fig. 4 is a flow-chart of a procedure for optimizing/choosing between code candidates.

Fig. 5 shows examples of a TS produced by a union of cycles.

10 Fig. 6. depicts an error floor of a code due to a TS.

Fig. 7 illustrates an influence of increasing the ACE which prevents harmful TS.

Fig. 8 and 9 illustrate a procedure for lifting a protomatrix.

Fig. 10 shows an example of a lifted family of nested QC-LDPC codes.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

15 The following provides a non-limiting example of a process of generating a GLDPC parity-check matrix for deriving a family of GLDPC codes to be used in a HARQ scheme. The process may be implemented by hardware, software, or a combination of hardware and software. For example, the process of generating a GLDPC parity-check matrix for deriving a family of GLDPC codes as well as procedures involving the usage of the family of codes such as
20 encoding/decoding a sequence of information bits may be automatically carried-out by the

encoder 12, the decoder 14, or a machine comprising a processor which carries out machine-readable instructions persistently stored on a machine-readable medium.

As shown in Fig. 2, the process of generating a GLDPC parity-check matrix may start with a step 20 of determining a protomatrix of a low-density parity check, LDPC, code. As shown in Fig. 3, the protomatrix 30 may be represented by a sub-matrix (which is also referred to as the “high rate/core protomatrix” in the following) comprising an information part A and a parity part B which are formed by intersecting first rows 32 and first columns 34, and second rows 36 and second columns 38.

The parity part B of the core protomatrix may be chosen for the GLDPC code to have an “easy-encoding structure” that allows an efficient calculation of the parity bits, i.e., the core protomatrix may be chosen for the corresponding GLDPC code to be designed as an IRA/eIRA LDPC code (cf. M. Yang, W. E. Ryan, and Y. Li, “*Design of efficiently encodable moderate-length high-rate irregular LDPC codes*,” IEEE TRANS. COMMUN., vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 564-571, Apr. 2004.)

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
 -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
 \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
 C & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\
 \vdots & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
 -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{cccccc}
 C & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
 -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
 \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\
 \vdots & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
 -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
 C & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0
 \end{array}$$

where “-1” indicates the zero matrix, “0” indicates the identity matrix, and C indicates another circulant.

The second columns 38 correspond to additional parity bits and the ratio of the number of second columns 38 and the number of second rows 36 may equal a pre-determined factor (which happens to be two in Fig. 3), to allow for replacing a single row of the resulting LDPC code with multiple rows of a component code, wherein the pre-determined factor may equal the number of rows of the component code, on the basis of which the GLDPC code is generated. The rate of a GLDPC code (comprising a 2-row component code) may be equal to

$R = \frac{VN - 2 \times CN}{VN - PN}$, where VN is the number of variable nodes, CN is the number of check nodes, and PN is the number of punctured VNs.

To allow for an “easy-encoding structure” (e.g., IRA/eIRA) for the additional parity bits, the second rows 36 of the protomatrix 30 may be designed to allow for a triangular form of the GLDPC code to be generated, by having two (i.e. the pre-determined factor) consecutive entries to be labelled (with an entry that does not correspond to a zero matrix), wherein each two consecutive entries do not overlap column-wise (i.e. in the vertical direction) with the two consecutive entries in the preceding and succeeding second row 36.

The protomatrix 30 may be iteratively generated starting from the core protomatrix in accordance with a maximum number of i IR-HARQ transmissions (TX) such that, as indicated in Fig. 3, the protomatrix 30 may comprise a set of i nested protomatrices P_1, \dots, P_K , wherein each nested protomatrix P_1, \dots, P_K corresponds to a transmission according to a HARQ protocol. For example, P_2 may be generated from P_1 by adding an extension of one row and two columns:

1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1

Fig. 4 shows steps of a process for choosing an extension. After a step 40 of generating a set of protographs as code candidates, a multi-stage sieving algorithm may be performed at step 42. After sieving, a selection may be performed among the “remaining” code candidates based on a (performance) simulation at step 44. The process may be reiterated in form of a simulated annealing algorithm, to optimize the code and prevent an early termination on a local minimum. E. g., a protograph may be determined based on multi-dimensional density evolution (or protograph-exit chart to achieve a faster search) and after labeling, a simulated annealing optimization of the ACE spectrum may be performed, followed by sieving code candidates based on a simulation of code performance. Implementation examples for the steps of the process are provided in the following.

For each weight distribution value λ_d (where λ_d may, for example, be the fraction of ones in the columns in the protomatrix with d ones in the column) a set of all possible columns which achieves a required rate may be generated. Parallel edges in the protograph may be allowed, i.e. a cell in the protomatrix may not only be 0 or 1, but have a bigger value. For a desired size of
 5 a base matrix, an optimal density of parity checks may be chosen.

Based on these protographs P_i , parity check matrices $H(P_i)$ may be constructed by lifting while observing constraints on the graph properties.

Density Evolution and Multi-Dimensional Density Evolution

A photograph with minimal threshold may be determined using density evolution. Multi-
 10 Dimensional Density Evolution may allow considering weight one nodes and parallel edges in a protograph which may improve performance of the code. Density evolution is based on statistical considerations about the fraction of edges that connect to variable and check nodes. More precisely, there are two polynomials $\lambda(x) = \sum_i \lambda_i x^{i-1}$, $p(x) = \sum_i p_i x^{i-1}$, where λ_i is a fraction of edges that connect to variables node of degree i and p_i is a fraction of edges that
 15 connect to a check node of degree i with $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1, \sum_i p_i = 1$.

The average variable and check node degree may be expressed as $l_{avg} = \frac{1}{\sum_i (\lambda_i / i)}$,

$$r_{avg} = \frac{1}{\sum_i (p_i / i)} \text{ and the code rate may be defined as } r = 1 - \frac{l_{avg}}{r_{avg}}.$$

For $n \rightarrow \infty$, the graph becomes a computational tree. Then, with probability λ_i , a variable node has exactly i neighbors and consequently the variable node has one parent and $i-1$ child
 20 nodes. Each child of the variable node is a check node which in turn with probability p_i has $i-1$ neighbors as its own children. In this case, codes become completely defined from a statistical point of view.

Consider a check node c of this computational tree. Without constraints, it can be assumed that its degree is at least 2, $i \geq 2$. Thus, a check node c has a variable node v as a parent node and it has $i-1$ variable nodes v_s , $s \in i, \dots, i-1$ as child nodes. Let γ_s be a log-likelihood ratio which is a bit estimate known to a node v_s in advance. In this case γ_s are independent estimates. During belief propagation, the check node c may receive estimates γ_s as message from its child nodes. Due to the fact that the check node c corresponds to a parity-check equation involving the later, the estimations from all bits which participate in this equation except one which corresponds to the parent node are obtained by the check node c . Check node c may process the information from child nodes and may estimate the value of the parent bit as $m_{cv} = 2 \tanh^{-1} \left[\prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \tanh(\gamma_s) \right]$, and the m_{cv} may then be sent as new estimates to the parent node v .

Independent random variables γ_s with a density function f may have a probability of γ_s to be between a and b which is given by $P(a < \gamma_s < b) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$, in which case m_{cv} may also be a random variable. The density function f_{cv} of m_{cv} may be equal to $f_{cv} = \sum_{i=2}^{l_{\max}} p_i f^{(i-1)\otimes}$, where $f^{(i-1)\otimes}$ is a D-dimensional convolution.

A variable node of degree i which belongs to the computational tree may obtain messages from its children check nodes which are log-likelihood estimates generated by a check node and make a decision. This estimate may be denoted by δ_s . If all messages are statistically independent, a bit estimate δ generated by this variable node is the sum $\delta = \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \delta_s - \delta_0$, where δ_0 is an initial channel estimate. The density evolution method implies that the δ_s have the same density function f . Thus, for the δ density function $f_{cv} = f \times f \times \dots \times f \times f_0 = f^{(i-1)\otimes} \times f_0$, with \times indicating a convolution of density functions.

The variable node degrees of a computational tree may be random integers associated with degree distribution λ . $f_{cv} = \left(\sum_{i=2}^{i_{\max}} \lambda_i f^{(i-1)\times} \right) \times f_0$. If using Cordaro-Wagner check nodes, the

density function could be estimated as in: M. Lentmaier, M. B. S. Tavares and G. P. Fettweis, "Exact erasure channel density evolution for protograph-based generalized LDPC codes," 5 2009 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON INFORMATION THEORY, Seoul, 2009, pp. 566-570.

The probability of erroneous estimate may be $P_e = \int_{-\infty}^0 f_{vc}(x) dx$.

Density Evolution:

Let f_0 be a density function of initial log-likelihood estimates, I_λ be the maximum degree of 10 variable nodes, and I_p be the maximum degree of check nodes:

1. $f_{cv} = f_0$
2. For i
3. $f_{cv} = \sum_{i=2}^{i_{\max}} p_i f^{(i-1)\otimes}$
4. $f_{cv} = \left(\sum_{i=2}^{i_{\max}} \lambda_i f^{(i-1)\times} \right) \times f_0$.
- 15 5. If $P_e = \int_{-\infty}^0 f_{vc}(x) dx < \varepsilon$ then break
6. End For

If, for any value ε , there exist i such that after i iterations P_e is less than ε , it may be said that the density evolution method converges.

A code with a degree distribution (λ, p) may be regarded as optimal code if it has a decoding 20 (belief propagation) threshold $\sigma_+ : (\lambda, p) = \arg \max_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{p}} \sigma_+(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{p})$.

Moreover, a differential evolution method may be implemented (cf. Differential Evolution (DE) for Continuous Function Optimization (an algorithm by Kenneth Price and Rainer Storn) <http://www1.icsi.berkeley.edu/~storn/code.html>). The method may start with a certain noise level σ . For the first generation $G=0$, NP degree distributions (λ, p) , $s=1, \dots, NP$ (called a

5 list of distributions in the following) may be randomly chosen.

1. Initialization: For each distribution, k steps of the density evolution method may be run and its residual error P_e may be recorded. The distribution with the smallest P_e may be labelled as the best distribution $(\lambda_{best}, p_{best})$.

2. For the generation, $G+1$ new distributions may be generated according to the following
10 scheme. For each $s=1, \dots, NP$ 4 distributions may be randomly chosen from the list with numbers s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 , $1 \leq s_i \leq NP$ and $s_i \neq s$. New (λ_s, p_s) may be defined as $\lambda_s = \lambda_{best} + F(\lambda_{s_1} - \lambda_{s_2} + \lambda_{s_3} - \lambda_{s_4})$, and $p_s = p_{best} + F(p_{s_1} - p_{s_2} + p_{s_3} - p_{s_4})$, where F is a real constant.

3. Selection scheme: For each distribution, i steps of the density evolution method may
15 be run and its residual error P_e may be recorded. The distribution with the smallest P_e may be labelled as the best distribution $(\lambda_{best}, p_{best})$.

4. Stopping criteria: If P_{best} is not small enough, it may be returned to step 2. Otherwise, σ may be increased slightly and it may be returned to step 1.

Density evolution may be challenging in view of computation complexity and the fact that with

20 large rate code $r = 1 - \frac{l_{avg}}{r_{avg}} > 0.7$ polynomials have large degrees which may slow down

convergence. When using a Multi-Edge approach (involving puncturing of high degree variable nodes, not to be confused with precoding which puncture middle or even low degree nodes) for QC GLDPC, the challenges may be reduced. For improving the threshold estimation, the use of the average value in the density-function may consider the propagation of the message on a
25 decoding tree, specified by the protograph of the ensemble, multi-dimension Density Evolution (cf. T. Richardson, "Multi-Edge Type LDPC Codes," presented at the WORKSHOP HONORING PROF. BOB MCELIECE ON HIS 60TH BIRTHDAY (but not included in the proceedings), California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, May 24– 25, 2002,

<http://wiiiau4.free.fr/pdf/Multi-Edge%20Type%20LDPC%20Codes.pdf>) or Covariance evolution (cf. Amraoui, A., Montanari, A. and Urbanke, R. (2007), "*How to find good finite-length codes: from art towards science*," EUR. TRANS. TELECOMM., 18: 491–508). In the case of convolutional GLDPC, threshold estimate may be performed as in M. Lentmaier and
5 G. P. Fettweis, "*On the thresholds of generalized LDPC convolutional codes based on protographs*," 2010 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON INFORMATION THEORY, Austin, TX, 2010, pp. 709-713. For further details see Richardson, T., Shokrollahi, A., Urbanke, R., "*Design of provably good low-density parity check codes*," INFORMATION THEORY, 2000. PROCEEDINGS. IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON, vol., no.,
10 pp.199, 2000 and Richardson, T.J., Urbanke, R.L., "*The capacity of low-density parity-check codes under message-passing decoding*," INFORMATION THEORY, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON, vol.47, no.2, pp.599-618, Feb 2000.

EXIT Chart and PEXIT Chart

An EXIT chart may be considered to be a special form of a capacity approximation of an
15 iterative soft decoding method under some structural specified infinite length code which allows for a fast evaluation approximation of the density evolution. The infinite length basic assumption is the reason why the method neglects graph properties (which is the same for density evolution). Hence, it may benefit from sieving code candidates derivable from the protomatrix by labeling and simulation, because some protographs may not be liftable with the
20 required length. Moreover, protograph thresholds may be wrong due to the numerical solution in combination with special types of protographs.

For a given protograph, an assumption of non-existence of cycles and hence a Gaussian distribution for LLR in the belief propagation algorithm may be made. After that, a *decoding threshold* of an ensemble of protographs characterized by given variable and check nodes
25 distributions may be calculated.

The growth of information obtained by a belief propagation algorithm may be shown by a step function. If EXIT functions are not intersecting – the belief propagation algorithm can be finished. If the decoding threshold is the value of the noise, then two EXIT functions touch each other.

$$I_{E,V} = J(\sigma) = J\left(\sqrt{(d_v - 1)\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_{ch}^2}\right)$$

$$J(\sigma) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(l-\frac{\sigma^2}{2})^2/2\sigma^2} \log(1 + e^{-l}) dl$$

$$I_{A,V} = J(\sigma_A)$$

$$I_{E,V} = J(\sigma) = J\left(\sqrt{(d_v - 1)[J^{-1}(I_{A,V})]^2 + \sigma_{ch}^2}\right)$$

5 The following approximation may be used for $I_{E,C}$:

$$I_{E,C} = 1 - J\left(\sqrt{(d_c - 1)[J^{-1}(1 - I_{A,C})]^2}\right)$$

For irregular LDPC codes, $I_{E,V}$ and $I_{E,C}$ may be calculated as weighted averages. The weighting may be given by coefficients of the edge degree distribution polynomials $\lambda(z) = \sum_{d=1}^{d_v} \lambda_d z^{d-1}$ and $\rho(z) = \sum_{d=1}^{d_c} \rho_d z^{d-1}$, where λ_d may be the fraction of ones in the columns in the protograph with d ones in the column, and ρ_d may be the fraction of ones in the rows in the protograph with d ones in the row.

For irregular LDPC codes, this may result in

$$I_{E,V} = \sum_{d=1}^{d_v} \lambda_d I_{E,V}(d, I_{A,V})$$

$$I_{E,C} = \sum_{d=1}^{d_c} \rho_d I_{E,C}(d, I_{A,C})$$

15 For example, a pre-calculated approximation function $J(\cdot)$ with accuracy 1e-5 may be used. After $I_{E,V}(d, I_{A,V})$ and $I_{E,C}(d, I_{A,C})$ are obtained, calculating the iterations may be started

$$I_{A,V}^{(0)} = 0$$

$$I_{A,V}^{(n+1)} = I_{E,C}(d, I_{E,V}(d, I_{A,V}^{(n)}))$$

and stopped when $I_{A,V}^{(n)}$ is close to 1. For each distribution of weights λ_d, ρ_d a minimal $\frac{E_b}{N_0}$ (based on σ_A^2) may be calculated when the iteration converges to 1 for fixed number of steps.

- 5 Using EXIT charts, relatively good distributions λ_d, ρ_d and protomatrices with given distributions of ones in rows and columns may be generated. For improving the threshold estimation, an average value in the mutual information estimation $I_{E,V}, I_{E,C}$ may consider the propagation of the message in a decoding tree specified by the protograph of the ensemble.

In this case, $I_{E,C}^{j \rightarrow i}$ is the extrinsic mutual information between code bits associated with “type i”
 10 variable nodes (VNs) and the LLRs $L_{j \rightarrow i}$ send from “type j” check nodes (CNs) to these VNs. Then, because $I_{E,V}^{j \rightarrow i}$, one has (given an edge exists between CN j and VN i , i.e. given $b_{ji} \neq 0$)

$$I_{E,V}^{i \rightarrow j} = J \left(\sqrt{\sum_{c=1}^M (b_{ci} - \delta_{cj}) \left(J^{-1} \left(I_{E,C}^{j \rightarrow i} \right) \right)^2 + \sigma_{ch,i}^2} \right)$$

where $\delta_{cj} = 1$ when $c = 1$ and $\delta_{cj} = 0$ when $c \neq j$. $\sigma_{ch,i}^2$ can be set to zero if the code bit i is
 15 punctured.

Similarly, check node processing is

$$I_{E,C}^{j \rightarrow i} = 1 - J \left(\sqrt{\sum_{v=1}^N (b_{jv} - \delta_{ci}) \left(J^{-1} \left(1 - I_{E,V}^{v \rightarrow j} \right) \right)^2} \right)$$

But instead repetition codes, an internal decoder of the GLDPC component code may be used, which function depends on the type of processing, and for two rows EXIT of turbo code may be used.

A priori knowledge, mutual information between transmitted systematic bit X , and the L-values

5 A is

$$I_A(\sigma_A) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-((- \xi - \sigma_A/2)^2 / 2\sigma_A^2)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_A} \cdot \ln[1 + e^{-\xi}] d\xi.$$

which define

$$J(\sigma) := I_A(\sigma_A = \sigma)$$

as the function of mutual information with $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} J(\sigma) = 0, \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1} J(\sigma) = 1, \sigma > 0$.

- 10 Under binary AWGN capacity, this may be given by $C = J(\sigma = 2/\sigma_n)$. The function J and the capacity cannot be expressed in closed form. This is why it is usually estimated using some approximation. For example, it may be approximated by a polynomial or look-up table. Because J monotonically increases in $\sigma = 2/\sigma_n$, J is reversible:

$$\sigma_A = J^{-1}(I_A)$$

- 15 In the same way, mutual information may be considered as a function of I_A and the E_b/N_0 (SNR) value:

$$I_E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x=1,-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_e(\xi | X = x) \times \ln \frac{2 \cdot p_E(\xi | X = x)}{p_E(\xi | X = -1) + p_E(\xi | X = 1)} d\xi, \quad 0 \leq I_E \leq 1$$

Multidimension EXIT chart (Protograph EXIT-chart, PEXIT) method:

Input: Protograph P , level of error ε .

Output: Protograph threshold, value of E_b/N_0 for which BER (Bit Error Rate) less than ε .

1. Initialization. Select E_b/N_0 value. Initialize channel noise $(\sigma_{ch,0}, \dots, \sigma_{ch,N-1})$ such that (cf. S. ten Brink, "Convergence behavior of iteratively decoded parallel concatenated codes," in IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMMUNICATIONS, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 1727-1737, page 1732, equation 29):

$$\sigma_{ch,i} = 8R \left(\frac{E_b}{N_0} \right)_i$$

where $(E_b/N_0)_i$ equals zero when x_i is punctured and equals the selected E_b/N_0 otherwise.

2. VN to CN. For all protograph $I_{E,V}^{i \rightarrow j}$, $i = 0, \dots, N-1$ and $j = 0, \dots, M-1$
3. CN to VN. For all protograph $I_{E,C}^{j \rightarrow i}$, $i = 0, \dots, N-1$ and $j = 0, \dots, M-1$
4. Regarding cumulative mutual information, compute (for $i = 0, \dots, N-1$):

$$I_{CMI}^i = J \left(\sqrt{\sum_{c=1}^M \left(J^{-1} \left(I_{E,C}^{j \rightarrow i} \right) \right)^2 + \sigma_{ch,i}^2} \right)$$

5. If $I_{CMI}^i = 1$ (up to desired precision) for all i , then stop; otherwise, go to step 2.

For more details, see G Liva, S Song, L Lan, Y Zhang, S Lin, WE Ryan "Design of LDPC codes: A survey and new results" JOURNAL OF COMMUNICATION SOFTWARE AND SYSTEMS 2 (3), 191-211.

Labeling Code Candidates to Reach Required Girth and ACE(EMD) Values

Trapping set as a reason of decoder fail:

If considering some short length codes which shall be decoded by maximum likelihood (ML) decoder, only the codeword weight spectrum may be improved.

For QC-LDPC codes of medium to long block lengths, the ML decoding may not be suitable because of the large number of codeword's involved. Instead, some sub-optimal iterative decoding algorithms like the sum product algorithm (SPA), min sum algorithm (MSA) may be used.

- 5 One significant assumption for which the SPA and MSA converges to the MAP decoding is that the states of all the check nodes (CN) connected to any specified variable node (VN) are independent given the value of the bit corresponding to the VN. Although this assumption holds asymptotically, it becomes invalid for finite-length codes after a certain number of iterations. The reason for this is the presence of cycles in the Tanner graph. In a Tanner graph of girth g ,
 10 the independence assumption becomes invalid after m number of iterations where $m < \frac{g}{4} < m+1$. So, the girth of the Tanner graph should be increased in order to delay the violation of the independence assumption.

Further research has shown that cycles and their union form a special type of subgraph – Trapping set (TS), that become a reason of decoder failure under the AWGN-channel.

- 15 A trapping set, $TS(a,b)$ is a sub-graph with a variable nodes and b odd degree check nodes. Hence, $TS(a,0)$ is a codeword of weight a . Examples of a TS produced by a union of cycles (which include all variable nodes in a trapping set) are shown in Fig. 5.

- For instance $TS(5,3)$ is produced by three 8-cycles. $TS(4,4)$ shows the variable nodes involved in the 8-cycles. The existence of cycles of size g (girth g) in a Tanner graph produces $TS(g/2, g/2)$ or they overlap. The overlap of TSs produces $TS(a,b)$ with $b/a < 1$ which is a more
 20 harmful. This is why some code design methods strive at maximizing the value of $TS(a,b)$ threshold b/a . Indeed, if there is code with girth g , there is no $TS(a,b) : a+b < g$.

- This is provided by knowledge of TS structures where, for example, in girth 8 code, there is no $TS(4,2)$, $TS(3,3)$, or very harmful $TS(5,1)$ and low weight codeword $TS(4,0)$, which means that
 25 the minimal code distance is larger than 4 (cf. Vasić, B., Chilappagari, S.K., Nguyen, D.V., Planjery, S.K., “*Trapping set ontology*,” COMMUNICATION, CONTROL, AND

COMPUTING, 2009. ALLERTON 2009. 47TH ANNUAL ALLERTON CONFERENCE ON, vol., no., pp.1,7, Sept. 30 2009-Oct. 2 2009. But it does not provide any detail about the existence of other types of harmful TS ($b/a < 1$, error in a VNs provide error in b VNs) $a + b \geq g$.

- 5 For example, considering Margulis code with girth 8 (cf. Tao Tian, Jones, C.R., Villasenor, J.D., Wesel, R.D., "Selective avoidance of cycles in irregular LDPC code construction," COMMUNICATIONS, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON, vol.52, no.8, pp.1242,1247, Aug. 2004), it is known from the girth value that there is no codeword with weight 4, TS(4,0), and TSs with $b < 4$, but that there exists harmful TS(12,4), due to which the error in 4 VNs provides for errors
10 in 12 VNs. As a result, there is an error floor at FER 10^{-6} , as shown in Fig. 6.

ACE Spectrum as measure of graph connective properties:

To measure the quality of QC-LDPC code from TS characteristics, an Extrinsic Message Degree (EMD) metric or its approximation, ACE, or the ACE spectrum may be used. The ACE spectrum is a spectrum of the approximate cycle EMD metric. The EMD of a cycle measures
15 the level of connectively of the cycle with rest of the graph. In this regard, the following basic concepts about subgraph connective properties may be used.

For a given cycle C in a LDPC code graph V_c may be the set of variable nodes in C and $C(V_c)$ may be the set of check node neighbors of V_c . The set $C(V_c)$ can be divided into three disjoint subsets:

- 20
- $C^{cyc}(V_c)$: subset of $C(V_c)$ belonging to cycle C . Each node from $C^{cyc}(V_c)$ is at least doubly connected to the set V_c ;
 - $C^{int}(V_c)$: subset of $C(V_c)$ that are not in the cycle C , but are at least doubly connected to the set V_c ;
 - $C^{ext}(V_c)$: subset of $C(V_c)$ singly connected to the set V_c .

For a given cycle C in the code graph and the corresponding set V_c , let $E(V_c)$ be the set of edges incident to V_c . The set $E(V_c)$ can be divided into three disjoint subsets:

- $E^{cyc}(V_c)$: subset of cycle edges in $E(V_c)$ incident to check nodes in $C^{cyc}(V_c)$;
- $E^{cut}(V_c)$: subset of cut edges in $E(V_c)$ incident to check nodes in $C^{cut}(V_c)$;
- 5 • $E^{ext}(V_c)$: subset of extrinsic edges in $E(V_c)$ incident to check nodes in $C^{ext}(V_c)$.

The EMD of a given cycle C in the code graph, denoted $EMD(C)$, is $EMD(C) = |E^{ext}(V_c)|$, where $|E^{ext}(V_c)|$ is the cardinality of $E^{ext}(V_c)$, the number of extrinsic edges of C .

If a given cycle in the code graph has low EMD, its communication with the rest of the graph is limited. This limits the amount of new knowledge about values of VNs in the cycle that could be collected from rest of the graph. In the extreme case, when the EMD of the cycle is zero, the VNs in the cycles are isolated from rest of the graph and the cycle becomes a low weight TS.

Determining the EMD of a cycle in a graph may be challenging, since it may take additional steps to determine if an edge is an extrinsic edge or a cut edge. If this difference is neglected and both, extrinsic and cut edges, are accounted for in the metric, a simplified version of the EMD metric may be used.

The ACE of a given cycle C in the code graph, denoted $ACE(C)$ is $ACE(C) = |E^{ext}(V_c)| + |E^{cut}(V_c)|$. $ACE(C)$ can be calculated as:

$$ACE(C) = \sum_{v \in E(V_c)} (d(v) - 2)$$

wherein $d(v)$ is the degree of the variable node v .

$G(H)$ may denote a code graph with n variable nodes and $d^{\max}(v)$ may be its largest left degree with i being an even integer with $4 \leq i \leq 2d_{\max}$. For each i , $\eta_{ACE}^i = (\eta_{ACE}^0(0), \dots, \eta_{ACE}^i(k_i - 1))$ may be the k_i -tuple of values where $\eta_{ACE}^i(i)$ is a number of VNs with a property that the smallest ACE value of the cycle of length i they belong to is equal to i with $0 \leq i \leq (k_i - 1) = (0.5) * (d_v^{\max} - 2)$. The ACE spectrum of $G(H)$, $ACE(G(H)) = (n_{ACE}^4, \dots, n_{ACE}^{2d_{\max}})$ is the $(d_v^{\max} - 2)$ -tuple of n_{ACE}^i k_i -tuples with $4 \leq i \leq 2d_{\max}$.

For example, Fig. 7 shows the influence of increasing the ACE to prevent harmful TS. Hence, if the ACE metric is used as a measure of TSs, harmful TSs may be avoided. To improve the usability of this approach, it may be beneficial to define sufficient parameters for which the ACE spectrum becomes (approximately) equal to the EMD spectrum of QC-LDPC codes.

Condition for which the ACE Spectrum becomes a TS enumerator:

In Deka, Kuntal, Rajesh, A., Bora, P.K., "On the equivalence of the ACE and the EMD of a cycle for the ACE spectrum constrained LDPC codes," TURBO CODES AND ITERATIVE INFORMATION PROCESSING (ISTC), 2014 8TH INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON, vol., no., pp.67,71, 18-22 Aug. 2014, a sufficient condition for the equality EMD=ACE is shown: For an LDPC code with girth g , any cycle C_{2i} of length $2i$ has equal ACE and EMD if $i < g - 2$.

For example, for a QC-LDPC code of girth 10, all cycles of length $< 2 * (10 - 2) = 16$: 10, 12 and 14 have equal respective ACE and EMD. This leads to two strong consequences, for any cycle with equal ACE and EMD:

1. CNs connected to the VNs in the cycle but not involved in the cycle will be singly connected to the cycle. The reliability of the messages coming from these CNs can be increased relative to messages coming from the CNs involved in the cycle in order to enhance the benefit of the messages coming from the rest of the Tanner graph. In this way, better connectivity can be ensured for the isolated small cycles and the performance of the iterative decoders can be improved (gain in waterfall region).

2. TS enumerators can be obtained via the ACE spectrum. This is because a cycle of length l contains $l/2$ number of VNs. For an ACE value η , the subgraph induced by the $l/2$ number of VNs constrains exactly η odd-degree CNs. Hence, the cycle can be treated as $TS(l/2, \eta)$, (error-floor region improvement).

5 Lifting the protomatrix:

The lifting may be based on a simulated annealing optimization algorithm. The algorithm may take as input a protograph P , a circulant size Z , a value g indicating a desired girth and an ACE or EMD value. The algorithm may output a parity-check matrix $H(P)$ lifted for the circulant size Z .

- 10 An initial parity check matrix may be generated by choosing random shift values, i.e., the choosing is not restricted as to the desired girth (cf. M. P. C. Fossorier, "Quasi-cyclic low-density parity-check codes from circulant permutation matrices", IEEE TRANS. INF. THEORY, vol. 50, pp. 1788-1794, Aug. 2004). A set of allowed shift values for the desired girth may then be calculated as follows: A cycle of length $2i$ in the parity-check matrix
- 15 $H(P) = [h_{x,y}]$ may be defined by $2i$ position $h_{x,y} = 1$ such that:

1. Two consecutive positions are obtained by changing alternatively row or column only; and

2. All positions are distinct, except the first and last ones. It follows that two consecutive positions in any cycle belong to distinct circulant permutation matrices which are either
- 20 in the same row, or in the same column. Hence, a cycle of length $2i$ may be associated

with an ordered series of circulant permutation matrices (CPM) $A_{j_0, l_0}, A_{j_1, l_1}, A_{j_2, l_2}, \dots, A_{j_{i-1}, l_{i-1}}, A_{j_0, l_0}$ with $1 \leq k \leq i$, $j_k \neq j_{k-1}$ and $l_k \neq l_{k-1}$. With the convention of

going from $A_{j_{k-1}, l_{k-1}}$ to A_{j_k, l_k} via $A_{j_k, l_{k-1}}$ (i.e. changing first of row and then of column),

any cycles of length $2i$ in H can be represented by $(j_0, l_0), (j_1, l_0), \dots, (j_{i-1}, l_{i-1}), (j_0, l_0)$

- 25 for $1 \leq k \leq i$, $j_k \neq j_{k-1}$ and $l_k \neq l_{k-1}$.

With $\Delta_{j_z, j_y}(l) = A_{j_x, l} - A_{j_y, l}$, the matrix H contains a cycle of length $2i$ only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \Delta_{j_k, j_{k+1}}(l_k) = 0 \mod Z \text{ with } j_0 \neq j_i, j_k \neq j_{k+1} \text{ and } l_k \neq l_{k+1}.$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for the Tanner graph representation of the matrix $H(P)$ to have a girth of at least $2(i+1)$ is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \Delta_{j_k, j_{k+1}}(l_k) = 0 \mod Z$$

for all m , $2 \leq m \leq i$, all j_k , $0 \leq j_k \leq m-1$, all j_{k+1} , $0 \leq j_{k+1} \leq m-1$, and all $1 \leq l_k \leq n$ with $j_0 = j_m, j_k \neq j_{k+1}$ and $l_k \neq l_{k+1}$.

Fig. 8 and 9 illustrate the usage of this equation for labeling a protomatrix of size 3×6 , wherein the desired girth is above 4. If the desired girth is reached, it may be tried to improve its connective properties. Otherwise, the algorithm may be started anew (or backtracking may be used to choose another shift value).

For improving the connective properties, a temperature parameter with relatively high value may be chosen to ensure reaching a global optimum of cycle topology properties (EMD or ACE) and the connective properties of the labelled protomatrix (QC-LDPC matrix) may be iteratively improved by repeating the following procedure:

- 1) Nstep=0
- 2) Choosing a random non-empty cell of the protomatrix.
- 3) Enumerating all possible cycles through this cell of length shorter than g .
- 4) Calculating a number of existing cycles for all possible shift values of this circulant.
- 5) Randomly taking one of these values with a probability depending on the value of cycles and the temperature parameter. The probability weight function may be greater for smaller values of ShiftCycles(m) and a difference increase with the decrease of the temperature. An example of such a probability weight function is $w(m) = \frac{e^{-\text{ShiftCycles}(m)}}{e^{\text{Temperature}}}$. The exact probability of the choice m may be $p(m) = w(m) / \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w(i)$.

- 6) Decreasing the value of the temperature. Each step, the temperature should monotonically decrease. An example of a formula for decreasing the temperature is

$$Temperature = Const \frac{Total\ number\ of\ cycles\ in\ protograph}{Nstep^2}$$

- 7) Incrementing Nstep and going to step 2.

- 5 8) If the result is stable for a long time, the algorithm may end.

Simulating Code Candidates and Choosing a Code Candidate

The simulation may include choosing a frame error rate (FER) level and starting iterations of the belief propagation algorithm to find a smallest possible $\frac{E_b}{N_0}$ satisfying the FER. From different code candidates, the one that achieves the lowest $\frac{E_b}{N_0}$ may be chosen. By continuing
10 with adding rows and columns to the protomatrix and applying this method, a lifted family of nested QC-LDPC codes may be generated.

An example of the optimization result is shown in Fig. 10.

By replacing the rows in the QC-LDPC matrix with a component code, a family of nested GLDPC codes can be generated. For example, a Cordaro-Wagner component code may be used.

- 15 A Cordaro-Wagner component code is a 2-dimensional repetition code over GF(2) of length n, having the largest possible minimum weight:

$$H_{component_code} = \begin{bmatrix} \underbrace{1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1}_l & \underbrace{1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1}_m & \underbrace{0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0}_n \\ \underbrace{1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1}_l & \underbrace{0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0}_m & \underbrace{1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

This may minimize the GLDPC complexity overhead and improve the resulting performance.

For constructing the parity-check matrix of the generalized QC-LDPC code, H_{GLDPC} , from the

- 20 permutation parity-check matrix H_π , each row of the matrix

$$H_{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

may be replaced with a component code

$$H_{\text{component_code}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

Which results in the GLDPC code:

5

$$H_{GLDPC} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The GLDPC code parity-check matrix may be used for implementing an IR-HARQ scheme by dividing the parity-check matrix as shown in Fig. 3, where A is the information part of the core matrix, B is the parity part of the core matrix, D is the parity-check matrix for a lower rate.

HARQ Protocol

- 10 A HARQ protocol may govern a set of $K \geq 2$ transmissions. If denoting the number of columns of the protomatrix P_i by n_i with $n_1 = n$, $n_{i+1} > n_i$, then, for the case of incremental redundancy (IR), $n_i = i \cdot n$. If z is the circulant size and the information bits with attached CRC are denoted by \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{u} may be encoded using the GLDPC code $H(P_1)$ to generate the codeword \mathbf{c}_1 of length $n_1 \cdot z$. After modulation of the codeword, the codeword may be passed through the
- 15 channel. After demodulation of the received signal, the soft information \mathbf{L}_1 consisting of LLR's corresponding to bits of \mathbf{c}_1 is provided. Using the parity-check matrix $H(P_1)$, \mathbf{L}_1 may be decoded. After decoding, the CRC may be checked. If the information is confirmed, it may be assumed that the correct information bits have been decoded.

Otherwise, the transmission with number $iter = 2$ may be requested. Heretofore, the protograph P_{iter} may be constructed and \mathbf{u} may be encoded using the GLDPC code $H(P_{iter})$ to get the codeword \mathbf{c}_2 of length $n_{iter} \cdot z$. Since \mathbf{c}_{iter} contains \mathbf{c}_{iter-1} as a subword only the remaining part of \mathbf{c}_{iter} may be transmitted, i.e., only n bits $\mathbf{c}_{iter} \setminus \mathbf{c}_{iter-1}$ may be transmitted.

- 5 After modulation of the sent bits, passing through the channel, demodulation of the received signal, the soft information \mathbf{L}'_{iter} consisting of LLR's corresponding to bits of $\mathbf{c}_{iter} \setminus \mathbf{c}_{iter-1}$ is available at the decoder. The soft information \mathbf{L}_{iter-1} and \mathbf{L}'_{iter} may then be combined into \mathbf{L}_{iter} (e.g., by concatenation into a vector of length $n_{iter} \cdot z$). Using the parity-check matrix $H(P_2)$ \mathbf{L}_{iter} may be decoded. After decoding, the CRC may be checked. If the information is
- 10 confirmed, it may be assumed that the correct information bits have been decoded. Otherwise, if $iter < K$ the second step may be repeated with $iter = iter + 1$.

CLAIMS

1. An encoder for forward error correction channel encoding, the encoder configured to:

determine a protomatrix of a low-density parity check, LDPC, code for a hybrid automatic repeat request, HARQ, scheme, the protomatrix being representable by a sub-matrix formed by
5 intersecting first rows and first columns, and second rows and second columns, wherein a number of the second columns is two times a number of the second rows;

lift the protomatrix to determine a parity check matrix of a QC-LDPC code;

replace rows of the parity check matrix with row pairs of a Cordaro-Wagner component code to derive a generalized QC-LDPC code;

10 provide a codeword having data bits and parity bits, based on the data bits and rows and columns of the generalized QC-LDPC code corresponding to the first rows and first columns; and

provide additional parity bits, based on the codeword and rows and/or columns of the generalized QC-LDPC code.

2. The encoder of claim 1, wherein a matrix formed by an intersection of rows and columns
15 of a parity check matrix of the generalized QC-LDPC code, which correspond to the second rows and columns, has a triangular structure.

3. The encoder of claim 1 or 2, wherein to lift the protomatrix to determine the parity check matrix of the QC-LDPC code comprises:

to determine multiple possible shift values for entries of a base matrix of the QC-LDPC code,
20 wherein the entries correspond to edges of a protograph corresponding to the protomatrix; and

to iteratively select shift values for the entries, wherein a selection probability of a shift value is based on a measure of girth of the QC-LDPC code.

4. The encoder of claim 3, wherein the selection probability of a shift value which results in a larger girth than another shift value, is iteratively decreased.

5. The encoder of claim 4, wherein a selection probability of a shift value of multiple shift values achieving a same girth is to be larger, if the shift value achieves a higher extrinsic message degree, EMD, or a higher approximated cycle EMD, ACE, of a smallest cycle generated by the shift value.

6. The encoder of any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein the encoder is:

to repeat the acts of determining and lifting for multiple protomatrices which differ in regard to the second rows and columns; and

10 to lift the protomatrix to determine the parity check matrix of the QC-LDPC code by selecting the protomatrix of the multiple protomatrices based on a performance measure.

7. A decoder for forward error correction channel decoding, the decoder configured to:

receive data including reliability values of a bit sequence of data bits and parity bits, wherein the bit sequence is to represent a codeword of a codebook;

15 decode the data bits by passing messages between Cordaro-Wagner component code decoding units, wherein the messages are based on the reliability values and the passing is governed by subrows and subcolumns of a protomatrix of a low-density parity check, LDPC, code for a hybrid automatic repeat request, HARQ, scheme, the protomatrix being represented by the subrows and subcolumns and second rows and second columns, wherein a number of the second
20 columns is two times a number of the second rows;

transmit a HARQ message in relation to the codeword, if the decoder determines that one or more data bits have not been decoded correctly, wherein the HARQ message is to demand a provision of additional parity bits for the data bits;

receive further data including further reliability values of a bit sequence comprising the additional parity bits; and

decode the one or more data bits that have not been decoded correctly by passing further messages between the Cordaro-Wagner component code decoding units, wherein the further messages are based, at least in part, on the further reliability values, and the passing of the further messages is governed by the subrows and the subcolumns of the protomatrix and one or more of the second rows and/or columns.

8. The decoder of claim 7, wherein a decoding unit is to operate on two parity check equations.

9. A system for forward error correction channel encoding comprising an encoder according to any one of claims 1 to 6 and a decoder according to claim 7 or 8.

10. A computer-readable medium storing a rate-adaptive generalized quasi-cyclic low-density parity check, QC-LDPC code, the generalized QC-LDPC code being representable by:

a protomatrix which is dividable into a submatrix corresponding to a first rate, the submatrix being formed by intersecting first rows and first columns, and second rows and second columns corresponding to one or more second rates, wherein a number of the second columns is two times a number of the second rows; and

Cordaro-Wagner component codes mapped to the rows of the protomatrix, wherein a Cordaro-Wagner component code is representable by a check matrix having two rows.

11. A method of forward error correction channel encoding, comprising:

determining a protomatrix of a low-density parity check, LDPC, code for a hybrid automatic repeat request, HARQ, scheme, the protomatrix being representable by a sub-matrix formed by intersecting first rows and first columns, and second rows and second columns, wherein a number of the second columns is two times a number of the second rows;

lifting the protomatrix to determine a parity check matrix of a QC-LDPC code;

replacing rows of the parity check matrix with row pairs of a Cordaro-Wagner component code to derive a generalized quasi-cyclic LDPC, QC-LDPC, code;

5 providing a codeword having data bits and parity bits, based on the data bits and rows and columns of the generalized QC-LDPC code corresponding to the first rows and first columns; and

providing additional parity bits based on the codeword and rows and/or columns of the generalized QC-LDPC code.

10 12. The method of claim 11, wherein a matrix formed by an intersection of rows and columns of a parity check matrix of the generalized QC-LDPC code, which correspond to the second rows and columns, has a triangular structure.

13. The method of claim 11 or 12, wherein lifting the protomatrix to determine the parity check matrix of the QC-LDPC code comprises:

15 determining multiple possible shift values for entries of a base matrix of the QC-LDPC code, wherein the entries correspond to edges of a protograph corresponding to the protomatrix; and

iteratively selecting shift values for the entries, wherein a selection probability of a shift value is calculated based on a measure of girth of the QC-LDPC code.

14. The method of claim 14, wherein the selection probability of a shift value resulting in a larger girth than another shift value is iteratively decreased.

20 15. The method of any one of claims 11 to 14, wherein

the steps of determining and lifting are repeated for multiple protomatrices which differ in regard to the second rows and columns; and

lifting the protomatrix to determine the parity check matrix of the QC-LDPC code comprises selecting the protomatrix of the multiple protomatrices based on a performance measure.

16. A method of forward error correction channel decoding, comprising:

receiving data including reliability values of a bit sequence of data bits and parity bits, wherein
5 the bit sequence is to represent a codeword of a codebook;

decoding the data bits by passing messages between Cordaro-Wagner component code decoding units, wherein the messages are based on the reliability values and the passing is governed by subrows and subcolumns of a protomatrix of a low-density parity check, LDPC, code for a hybrid automatic repeat request, HARQ, scheme, the protomatrix being represented
10 by the subrows and subcolumns and second rows and second columns, wherein a number of the second columns is two times a number of the second rows;

transmitting a HARQ message in relation to the codeword, if one or more data bits have not been decoded correctly, wherein the HARQ message is to demand a provision of additional parity bits for the data bits;

15 receiving further data including further reliability values of a bit sequence comprising the additional parity bits; and

decoding the one or more data bits that have not been decoded correctly by passing further messages between the Cordaro-Wagner component code decoding units, wherein the further messages are based, at least in part, on the further reliability values, and the passing of the
20 further messages is governed by the subrows and the subcolumns of the protomatrix and one or more of the second rows and/or columns.





Fig. 1

2/7

**Fig. 2**

3/7




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6





Fig. 7

Girth point of view
Labeling problem

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 * & * & * & I_0 & 0 & I_1 \\
 * & * & * & I_0 & I_0 & 0 \\
 * & * & * & 0 & I_0 & I_0
 \end{array}$$

** is ?*



$$A_0 := \begin{pmatrix} x \\ (x + \delta_{A_0}) \bmod z \end{pmatrix} \quad A_3 := \begin{pmatrix} (y_{A_3} - \delta_{A_3}) \bmod z \\ y_{A_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} (y_{A_0} - \delta_{A_1}) \bmod z \\ y_{A_0} \end{pmatrix} \quad A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} x_{A_1} \\ (x_{A_1} + \delta_{A_2}) \bmod z \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\delta_{A_0} - \delta_{A_1} + \delta_{A_2} - \delta_{A_3}) \bmod z = 0$$

Target girth ≥ 6 length cycle

Fig. 8

Girth point of view

*	*	*	I_0	0	I_1
*	*	*	I_0	I_0	0
*	*	*	0	I_0	I_0
\Downarrow					
I_A	*	*	I_0	0	I_1
*	*	*	I_0	I_0	0
$, A \neq \{0,1\}$					
*	*	*	0	I_0	I_0
\Downarrow					
I_0	*	*	I_0	0	I_1
I_B	*	*	I_0	I_0	0
$, B \neq \{0,1\}$					
*	*	*	0	I_0	I_0

and so on for
Girth>4

Target girth ≥ 6 length cycle
Circulant 8

$(c-6+1-0) \bmod 8 \neq 0$
 $C \neq \{7,6\}$

I_0	*	*	I_0	0	I_1
I_6	*	*	I_0	I_0	0
I_c	*	*	0	I_0	I_0
\Downarrow					
I_0	I_D	*	I_0	0	I_1
I_6	*	*	I_0	I_0	0
$, D \neq \{0\}$					
I_3	*	*	0	I_0	I_0
\Downarrow					
I_0	I_0	*	I_0	0	I_1
I_6	I_E	*	I_0	I_0	0
$, E \neq \{0,6\}$					
I_3	*	*	0	I_0	I_0
\Downarrow					
I_0	I_0	I_0	I_0	0	I_1
I_6	I_7	I_3	I_0	I_0	0
$, J \neq \{3,0,1,5,7\}$					
I_3	I_1	I_8	0	I_0	I_0

[illegible]

Fig. 10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/RU2017/000509

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

INV. H03M13/03 H03M13/11 H04L1/00
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

H03M H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	XIE TINGJUN ET AL: "Design of rate-compatible efficiently-encodable generalized LDPC codes", PROC., IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COMMUNICATIONS, ICC 2013, 9 June 2013 (2013-06-09), pages 3275-3280, XP032522750, ISSN: 1550-3607, DOI: 10.1109/ICC.2013.6655050 [retrieved on 2013-11-04]	1-3, 6-13, 15, 16
A	the whole document ----- -/--	4, 5, 14



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

12 March 2018

Date of mailing of the international search report

26/03/2018

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Offer, Elke

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/RU2017/000509

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	CORDARO J ET AL: "Optimum (n,2) codes for small values of channel error probability (Corresp.)", IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY, IEEE PRESS, USA, vol. 13, no. 2, 1 April 1967 (1967-04-01), pages 349-350, XP011384889, ISSN: 0018-9448, DOI: 10.1109/TIT.1967.1053987	1-3, 6-13,15, 16
A	the whole document	4,5,14
Y	TINGJUN XIE ET AL: "Design of Efficiently-Encodable Generalized LDPC Codes", PROC., IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COMMUNICATIONS, ICC 2010, 23 May 2010 (2010-05-23), pages 1-5, XP031703251, ISBN: 978-1-4244-6402-9	1-3, 6-13,15, 16
A	the whole document	4,5,14
A	LIVA G ET AL: "Quasi-cyclic generalized LDPC codes with low error floors", IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMMUNICATIONS, IEEE SERVICE CENTER, PISCATAWAY, NJ. USA, vol. 56, no. 1, 1 January 2008 (2008-01-01), pages 49-57, XP011224721, ISSN: 0090-6778, DOI: 10.1109/TCOMM.2008.050600	1-16
A	the whole document	
A	US 2008/126916 A1 (CHUNG JI WOOK [KR] ET AL) 29 May 2008 (2008-05-29) the whole document	1-16
A	M. P. C. FOSSORIER: "Quasi-Cyclic Low-Density Parity-Check Codes from Circulant Permutation Matrices", IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY, vol. 50, no. 8, August 2004 (2004-08), pages 1788-1793, XP011115246, cited in the application the whole document	1-16

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/RU2017/000509

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2008126916	A1	29-05-2008	NONE
