Pattern Matching

Pattern Matching

Patterns

```
Pattern
                ::= Pattern1 { '|' Pattern1 }
Pattern1
                ::= boundvarid ':' TypePat
                    '_' ':' TypePat
                    Pattern2
Pattern2
                ::= id ['@' Pattern3]
                  | Pattern3
Pattern3
                ::= SimplePattern
                  | SimplePattern {id [nl] SimplePattern}
SimplePattern
                ::=
                    varid
                    Literal
                    StableId
                  | StableId '(' [Patterns] ')'
              | StableId '(' [Patterns ','] [id '@'] '_' '*' ')'
                    '(' [Patterns] ')'
                    XmlPattern
Patterns
                ::= Pattern {',' Patterns}
```

A pattern is built from constants, constructors, variables and type tests. Pattern matching tests whether a given value (or sequence of values) has the shape defined by a pattern, and, if it does, binds the variables in the pattern to the corresponding components of the value (or sequence of values). The same variable name may not be bound more than once in a pattern.

Example

Some examples of patterns are: 1. The pattern ex: IOException matches all instances of class IOException, binding variable ex to the instance. 1. The pattern Some(x) matches values of the form Some(\$v\$), binding x to the argument value v of the Some constructor. 1. The pattern (x, _) matches pairs of values, binding x to the first component of the pair. The second component is matched with a wildcard pattern. 1. The pattern x :: y :: xs matches lists of length ≥ 2 , binding x to the list's first element, y to the list's second element, and xs

to the remainder. 1. The pattern $1 \mid 2 \mid 3$ matches the integers between 1 and 3.

Pattern matching is always done in a context which supplies an expected type of the pattern. We distinguish the following kinds of patterns.

Variable Patterns

```
SimplePattern ::= '_' vario
```

A variable pattern x is a simple identifier which starts with a lower case letter. It matches any value, and binds the variable name to that value. The type of x is the expected type of the pattern as given from outside. A special case is the wild-card pattern _ which is treated as if it was a fresh variable on each occurrence.

Typed Patterns

```
Pattern1 ::= varid ':' TypePat | '_' ':' TypePat
```

A typed pattern x:T consists of a pattern variable x and a type pattern T. The type of x is the type pattern T, where each type variable and wildcard is replaced by a fresh, unknown type. This pattern matches any value matched by the type pattern T; it binds the variable name to that value.

Pattern Binders

```
Pattern2 ::= varid '@' Pattern3
```

A pattern binder $x\$ consists of a pattern variable x and a pattern p. The type of the variable x is the static type T implied by the pattern p. This pattern matches any value v matched by the pattern p, and it binds the variable name to that value.

A pattern p implies a type T if the pattern matches only values of the type T.

Literal Patterns

```
SimplePattern ::= Literal
```

A literal pattern L matches any value that is equal (in terms of ==) to the literal L. The type of L must conform to the expected type of the pattern.

Interpolated string patterns

```
Literal ::= interpolatedString
```

The expansion of interpolated string literals in patterns is the same as in expressions. If it occurs in a pattern, a interpolated string literal of either of the forms

```
id"text0{ pat1 }text1 ... { patn }textn"
id"""text0{ pat1 }text1 ... { patn }textn"""
is equivalent to:
StringContext("""text0""", ..., """textn""").id(pat1, ..., patn)
```

You could define your own StringContext to shadow the default one that's in the scala package.

This expansion is well-typed if the member id evaluates to an extractor object. If the extractor object has apply as well as unapply or unapplySeq methods, processed strings can be used as either expressions or patterns.

Taking XML as an example

 \\$linktext

where linktext is a variable bound by the pattern.

Stable Identifier Patterns

</body>

```
SimplePattern ::= StableId
```

A stable identifier pattern is a stable identifier r. The type of r must conform to the expected type of the pattern. The pattern matches any value v such that r == v (see here).

To resolve the syntactic overlap with a variable pattern, a stable identifier pattern may not be a simple name starting with a lower-case letter. However, it is possible to enclose such a variable name in backquotes; then it is treated as a stable identifier pattern.

Example

Consider the following function definition:

```
def f(x: Int, y: Int) = x match {
  case y => ...
}
```

Here, y is a variable pattern, which matches any value. If we wanted to turn the pattern into a stable identifier pattern, this can be achieved as follows:

```
def f(x: Int, y: Int) = x match {
  case `y` => ...
}
```

Now, the pattern matches the y parameter of the enclosing function f. That is, the match succeeds only if the x argument and the y argument of f are equal.

Constructor Patterns

```
SimplePattern ::= StableId '(' [Patterns] ')'
```

A constructor pattern is of the form $c(p_1,\ldots,p_n)$ where $n\geq 0$. It consists of a stable identifier c, followed by element patterns p_1,\ldots,p_n . The constructor c is a simple or qualified name which denotes a case class. If the case class is monomorphic, then it must conform to the expected type of the pattern, and the formal parameter types of x's primary constructor are taken as the expected types of the element patterns p_1,\ldots,p_n . If the case class is polymorphic, then its type parameters are instantiated so that the instantiation of c conforms to the expected type of the pattern. The instantiated formal parameter types of c's primary constructor are then taken as the expected types of the component patterns p_1,\ldots,p_n . The pattern matches all objects created from constructor invocations $c(v_1,\ldots,v_n)$ where each element pattern p_i matches the corresponding value v_i .

A special case arises when c's formal parameter types end in a repeated parameter. This is further discussed here.

Tuple Patterns

```
SimplePattern ::= '(' [Patterns] ')'
```

A tuple pattern (p_1 , \ldots, p_n) is an alias for the constructor pattern scala.Tuple p_1 , \ldots, p_n), where $n \ge 2$. The empty tuple () is the unique value of type scala.Unit.

Extractor Patterns

```
SimplePattern ::= StableId '(' [Patterns] ')'
```

An extractor pattern $x(p_1,\ldots,p_n)$ where $n\geq 0$ is of the same syntactic form as a constructor pattern. However, instead of a case class, the stable identifier x denotes an object which has a member method named unapply or unapplySeq that matches the pattern.

An extractor pattern cannot match the value null. The implementation ensures that the unapply/unapplySeq method is not applied to null.

An unapply method in an object x matches the pattern $x(p_1, ..., p_n)$ if it has a single parameter (and, optionally, an implicit parameter list) and one of the following applies:

- n = 0 and unapply's result type is Boolean. In this case the extractor pattern matches all values v for which $x\$. unapply($v\$) yields true.
- n=1 and unapply's result type is Option[\$T\$], for some type T. In this case, the (only) argument pattern p_1 is typed in turn with expected type T. The extractor pattern matches then all values v for which \$x\$.unapply(\$v\$) yields a value of form Some(\$v_1\$), and p_1 matches v_1 .
- n>1 and unapply's result type is Option[(\$T_1 , \ldots , T_n\$)], for some types T_1, \ldots, T_n . In this case, the argument patterns p_1, \ldots, p_n are typed in turn with expected types T_1, \ldots, T_n . The extractor pattern matches then all values v for which \$x\$.unapply(\$v\$) yields a value of form Some((\$v_1 , \ldots , v_n\$)), and each pattern p_i matches the corresponding value v_i .

An unapplySeq method in an object x matches the pattern $x(q_1,\ldots,q_m,p_1,\ldots,p_n)$ if it takes exactly one argument and its result type is of the form $\mathsf{Option}[(\$T_1, \dots, T_m\$, \mathsf{Seq}[\mathtt{S}])]$ (if $\mathsf{m} = \mathsf{O}$, the type $\mathsf{Option}[\mathsf{Seq}[\mathtt{S}]]$ is also accepted). This case is further discussed below.

Example

If we define an extractor object Pair:

```
object Pair {
  def apply[A, B](x: A, y: B) = Tuple2(x, y)
  def unapply[A, B](x: Tuple2[A, B]): Option[Tuple2[A, B]] = Some(x)
}
```

This means that the name Pair can be used in place of Tuple2 for tuple formation as well as for deconstruction of tuples in patterns. Hence, the following is possible:

```
val x = (1, 2)
val y = x match {
```

```
case Pair(i, s) => Pair(s + i, i * i)
}
```

Pattern Sequences

```
SimplePattern ::= StableId '(' [Patterns ','] [varid '@'] '_' '*' ')'
```

A pattern sequence p_1,\ldots,p_n appears in two contexts. First, in a constructor pattern $c(q_1,\ldots,q_m,p_1,\ldots,p_n)$, where c is a case class which has m+1 primary constructor parameters, ending in a repeated parameter of type S*. Second, in an extractor pattern $x(q_1,\ldots,q_m,p_1,\ldots,p_n)$ if the extractor object x does not have an unapply method, but it does define an unapplySeq method with a result type conforming to Option[(T_1, \ldots, T_m, Seq[S])] (if m = 0, the type Option[Seq[S]] is also accepted). The expected type for the patterns p_i is S.

Infix Operation Patterns

```
Pattern3 ::= SimplePattern {id [nl] SimplePattern}
```

An infix operation pattern p; op; q is a shorthand for the constructor or extractor pattern op(p,q). The precedence and associativity of operators in patterns is the same as in expressions.

An infix operation pattern $p; op; (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ is a shorthand for the constructor or extractor pattern $op(p, q_1, \dots, q_n)$.

Pattern Alternatives

```
Pattern ::= Pattern1 { '|' Pattern1 }
```

A pattern alternative p_1 | p_n consists of a number of alternative patterns p_i . All alternative patterns are type checked with the expected type of the pattern. They may not bind variables other than wildcards. The alternative pattern matches a value v if at least one its alternatives matches v.

XML Patterns

XML patterns are treated here.

Regular Expression Patterns

Regular expression patterns have been discontinued in Scala from version 2.0.

Later version of Scala provide a much simplified version of regular expression patterns that cover most scenarios of non-text sequence processing. A sequence pattern is a pattern that stands in a position where either (1) a pattern of a type T which is conforming to Seq[A] for some A is expected, or (2) a case class constructor that has an iterated formal parameter A*. A wildcard star pattern _* in the rightmost position stands for arbitrary long sequences. It can be bound to variables using Q, as usual, in which case the variable will have the type Seq[A].

Irrefutable Patterns

A pattern p is *irrefutable* for a type T, if one of the following applies:

- 1. p is a variable pattern,
- 2. p is a typed pattern x:T', and T <: T',
- 3. p is a constructor pattern $c(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$, the type T is an instance of class c, the primary constructor of type T has argument types T_1, \ldots, T_n , and each p_i is irrefutable for T_i .

Type Patterns

TypePat ::= Type

Type patterns consist of types, type variables, and wildcards. A type pattern T is of one of the following forms:

• A reference to a class C, p.C, or \$T\$#\$C\$. This type pattern matches any non-null instance of the given class. Note that the prefix of the class, if it exists, is relevant for determining class instances. For instance, the pattern p.C matches only instances of classes C which were created with the path p as prefix. This also applies to prefixes which are not given syntactically. For example, if C refers to a class defined in the nearest enclosing class and is thus equivalent to this.C, it is considered to have a prefix.

The bottom types scala. Nothing and scala. Null cannot be used as type patterns, because they would match nothing in any case.

- A singleton type **\$p\$.type**. This type pattern matches only the value denoted by the path p (the **eq** method is used to compare the matched value to p).
- A literal type \$lit\$. This type pattern matches only the value denoted by the literal *lit* (the == method is used to compare the matched value to *lit*).

- A compound type pattern T_1 with ΩT_n where each T_i is a type pattern. This type pattern matches all values that are matched by each of the type patterns T_i .
- A parameterized type pattern $T[a_1,\ldots,a_n]$, where the a_i are type variable patterns or wildcards _. This type pattern matches all values which match T for some arbitrary instantiation of the type variables and wildcards. The bounds or alias type of these type variable are determined as described here.
- A parameterized type pattern scala.Array T_1 ; where T_1 is a type pattern. This type pattern matches any non-null instance of type scala.Array U_1 ; where U_1 is a type matched by T_1 .

Types which are not of one of the forms described above are also accepted as type patterns. However, such type patterns will be translated to their erasure. The Scala compiler will issue an "unchecked" warning for these patterns to flag the possible loss of type-safety.

A type variable pattern is a simple identifier which starts with a lower case letter.

Type Parameter Inference in Patterns

Type parameter inference is the process of finding bounds for the bound type variables in a typed pattern or constructor pattern. Inference takes into account the expected type of the pattern.

Type parameter inference for typed patterns

Assume a typed pattern p:T'. Let T result from T' where all wildcards in T' are renamed to fresh variable names. Let a_1, \ldots, a_n be the type variables in T. These type variables are considered bound in the pattern. Let the expected type of the pattern be pt.

Type parameter inference constructs first a set of subtype constraints over the type variables a_i . The initial constraints set \mathcal{C}_0 reflects just the bounds of these type variables. That is, assuming T has bound type variables a_1, \ldots, a_n which correspond to class type parameters a'_1, \ldots, a'_n with lower bounds L_1, \ldots, L_n and upper bounds U_1, \ldots, U_n , \mathcal{C}_0 contains the constraints

$$\begin{cases} a_i &<: \sigma U_i \quad (i=1,\dots,n) \\ \\ \sigma L_i &<: a_i \quad (i=1,\dots,n) \end{cases}$$

where σ is the substitution $[a_1':=a_1,\ldots,a_n':=a_n].$

The set \mathcal{C}_0 is then augmented by further subtype constraints. There are two cases.

Case 1

If there exists a substitution σ over the type variables a_i, \ldots, a_n such that σT conforms to pt, one determines the weakest subtype constraints \mathcal{C}_1 over the type variables a_1, \ldots, a_n such that $\mathcal{C}_0 \wedge \mathcal{C}_1$ implies that T conforms to pt.

${\it Case}\ 2$

Otherwise, if T can not be made to conform to pt by instantiating its type variables, one determines all type variables in pt which are defined as type parameters of a method enclosing the pattern. Let the set of such type parameters be b_1,\ldots,b_m . Let \mathcal{C}_-0' be the subtype constraints reflecting the bounds of the type variables b_i . If T denotes an instance type of a final class, let \mathcal{C}_-2 be the weakest set of subtype constraints over the type variables a_1,\ldots,a_n and b_1,\ldots,b_m such that $\mathcal{C}_-0 \wedge \mathcal{C}_-0' \wedge \mathcal{C}_-2$ implies that T conforms to pt. If T does not denote an instance type of a final class, let \mathcal{C}_-2 be the weakest set of subtype constraints over the type variables a_1,\ldots,a_n and b_1,\ldots,b_m such that $\mathcal{C}_-0 \wedge \mathcal{C}_-0' \wedge \mathcal{C}_-2$ implies that it is possible to construct a type T' which conforms to both T and pt. It is a static error if there is no satisfiable set of constraints \mathcal{C}_-2 with this property.

The final step consists in choosing type bounds for the type variables which imply the established constraint system. The process is different for the two cases above.

Case 1

We take $a_i >: L_i <: U_i$ where each L_i is minimal and each U_i is maximal wrt <: such that $a_i >: L_i <: U_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ implies $\mathcal{C}_0 \land \mathcal{C}_1$.

Case 2

We take $a_i >: L_i <: U_i$ and \$b_i >: L_i' <: U_i' \$ where each L_i and L'_j is minimal and each U_i and U'_j is maximal such that $a_i >: L_i <: U_i$ for i = 1, ..., n and $b_j >: L'_j <: U'_j$ for j = 1, ..., m implies $\mathcal{C}_0 \wedge \mathcal{C}_0' \wedge \mathcal{C}_2$.

In both cases, local type inference is permitted to limit the complexity of inferred bounds. Minimality and maximality of types have to be understood relative to the set of types of acceptable complexity.

Type parameter inference for constructor patterns

Assume a constructor pattern $C(p_1,\dots,p_n)$ where class C has type parameters a_1,\dots,a_n . These type parameters are inferred in the same way as for the typed pattern (_: $C[a_1,\dots,a_n]$).

Example

Consider the program fragment:

```
val x: Any
x match {
  case y: List[a] => ...
}
```

Here, the type pattern List[a] is matched against the expected type Any. The pattern binds the type variable a. Since List[a] conforms to Any for every type argument, there are no constraints on a. Hence, a is introduced as an abstract type with no bounds. The scope of a is right-hand side of its case clause.

On the other hand, if x is declared as

```
val x: List[List[String]],
```

this generates the constraint List[a] <: List[List[String]], which simplifies to a <: List[String], because List is covariant. Hence, a is introduced with upper bound List[String].

Example

Consider the program fragment:

```
val x: Any
x match {
  case y: List[String] => ...
}
```

Scala does not maintain information about type arguments at run-time, so there is no way to check that x is a list of strings. Instead, the Scala compiler will erase the pattern to $\texttt{List[_]}$; that is, it will only test whether the top-level runtime-class of the value x conforms to List, and the pattern match will succeed if it does. This might lead to a class cast exception later on, in the case where the list x contains elements other than strings. The Scala compiler will flag this potential loss of type-safety with an "unchecked" warning message.

Example

Consider the program fragment

```
class Term[A]
class Number(val n: Int) extends Term[Int]
def f[B](t: Term[B]): B = t match {
  case y: Number => y.n
}
```

The expected type of the pattern y: Number is Term[B]. The type Number does not conform to Term[B]; hence Case 2 of the rules above applies. This means that B is treated as another type variable for which subtype constraints are inferred. In our case the applicable constraint is Number <: Term[B], which entails B = Int. Hence, B is treated in the case clause as an abstract type with lower and upper bound Int. Therefore, the right hand side of the case clause, y.n, of type Int, is found to conform to the function's declared result type, Number.

Pattern Matching Expressions

```
Expr ::= PostfixExpr 'match' '{' CaseClauses '}'
```

CaseClauses ::= CaseClause {CaseClause}

CaseClause ::= 'case' Pattern [Guard] '=>' Block

A pattern matching expression

```
e match { case p_1 => b_1 $\ldots$ case p_n => b_n }
```

consists of a selector expression e and a number n>0 of cases. Each case consists of a (possibly guarded) pattern p_i and a block b_i . Each p_i might be complemented by a guard if e where e is a boolean expression. The scope of the pattern variables in p_i comprises the pattern's guard and the corresponding block b_i .

Let T be the type of the selector expression e and let a_1,\ldots,a_m be the type parameters of all methods enclosing the pattern matching expression. For every a_i , let L_i be its lower bound and U_i be its higher bound. Every pattern $p \in \{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}$ can be typed in two ways. First, it is attempted to type p with T as its expected type. If this fails, p is instead typed with a modified expected type T' which results from T by replacing every occurrence of a type parameter a_i by undefined. If this second step fails also, a compile-time error results. If the second step succeeds, let T_p be the type of pattern p seen as an expression. One then determines minimal bounds L_11,\ldots,L'_m and maximal bounds U'_1,\ldots,U'_m such that for all $i,L_i<:L'_i$ and $U'_i<:U_i$ and the following constraint system is satisfied:

$$L_1 <: a_1 <: U_1 \ \land \ \dots \ \land \ L_m <: a_m <: U_m \ \Rightarrow \ T_p <: T$$

If no such bounds can be found, a compile time error results. If such bounds are found, the pattern matching clause starting with p is then typed under the assumption that each a_i has lower bound L_i' instead of L_i and has upper bound U_i' instead of U_i .

The expected type of every block b_i is the expected type of the whole pattern matching expression. The type of the pattern matching expression is then the weak least upper bound of the types of all blocks b_i .

When applying a pattern matching expression to a selector value, patterns are tried in sequence until one is found which matches the selector value. Say this case is case $p_i \$ Rightarrow b_i\$. The result of the whole expression is the result of evaluating b_i , where all pattern variables of p_i are bound to the corresponding parts of the selector value. If no matching pattern is found, a scala.MatchError exception is thrown.

The pattern in a case may also be followed by a guard suffix if e with a boolean expression e. The guard expression is evaluated if the preceding pattern in the case matches. If the guard expression evaluates to true, the pattern match

succeeds as normal. If the guard expression evaluates to false, the pattern in the case is considered not to match and the search for a matching pattern continues.

In the interest of efficiency the evaluation of a pattern matching expression may try patterns in some other order than textual sequence. This might affect evaluation through side effects in guards. However, it is guaranteed that a guard expression is evaluated only if the pattern it guards matches.

If the selector of a pattern match is an instance of a sealed class, the compilation of pattern matching can emit warnings which diagnose that a given set of patterns is not exhaustive, i.e. that there is a possibility of a MatchError being raised at run-time.

Example

Consider the following definitions of arithmetic terms:

There are terms to represent numeric literals, incrementation, a zero test, and a conditional. Every term carries as a type parameter the type of the expression it represents (either Int or Boolean).

A type-safe evaluator for such terms can be written as follows.

Note that the evaluator makes crucial use of the fact that type parameters of enclosing methods can acquire new bounds through pattern matching.

For instance, the type of the pattern in the second case, Succ(u), is Int. It conforms to the selector type T only if we assume an upper and lower bound of Int for T. Under the assumption Int <: T <: Int we can also verify that the type right hand side of the second case, Int conforms to its expected type, T.

Pattern Matching Anonymous Functions

```
BlockExpr ::= '{' CaseClauses '}'
```

An anonymous function can be defined by a sequence of cases

```
{ case p_1 => b_1 $\ldots$ case p_n => b_n }
```

which appear as an expression without a prior match. The expected type of such an expression must in part be defined. It must be either scala.Function\$k\$[\$S_1 , \ldots , S_k\$, \$R\$] for some k>0, or scala.PartialFunction[\$S_1\$, \$R\$], where the argument type(s) S_1,\dots,S_k must be fully determined, but the result type R may be undetermined.

If the expected type is SAM-convertible to $scala.Function$k$[$S_1 , \dots , S_k$, R], the expression is taken to be equivalent to the anonymous function:$

```
($x_1: S_1 , \ldots , x_k: S_k$) => ($x_1 , \ldots , x_k$) match {
  case $p_1$ => $b_1$ $\ldots$ case $p_n$ => $b_n$
}
```

Here, each x_i is a fresh name. As was shown here, this anonymous function is in turn equivalent to the following instance creation expression, where T is the weak least upper bound of the types of all b_i .

```
new scala.Function$k$[$S_1 , \ldots , S_k$, $T$] {
   def apply($x_1: S_1 , \ldots , x_k: S_k$): $T$ = ($x_1 , \ldots , x_k$) match {
    case $p_1$ => $b_1$ $\ldots$ case $p_n$ => $b_n$
  }
}
```

If the expected type is scala.PartialFunction[\$S\$, \$R\$], the expression is taken to be equivalent to the following instance creation expression:

```
new scala.PartialFunction[$S$, $T$] {
  def apply($x$: $S$): $T$ = x match {
    case $p_1$ => $b_1$ $\ldots$ case $p_n$ => $b_n$
  }
  def isDefinedAt($x$: $S$): Boolean = {
    case $p_1$ => true $\ldots$ case $p_n$ => true
    case _ => false
  }
}
```

Here, x is a fresh name and T is the weak least upper bound of the types of all b_i . The final default case in the <code>isDefinedAt</code> method is omitted if one of the patterns p_1, \ldots, p_n is already a variable or wildcard pattern.

Example

Here is a method which uses a fold-left operation /: to compute the scalar product of two vectors:

```
def scalarProduct(xs: Array[Double], ys: Array[Double]) =
  (0.0 /: (xs zip ys)) {
```

```
case (a, (b, c)) => a + b * c
}
The case clauses in this code are equivalent to the following anonymous function:
(x, y) => (x, y) match {
  case (a, (b, c)) => a + b * c
}
```