

# SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN

---

The Structure of the U.S. Economy

Author(s): Wassily W. Leontief

Source: *Scientific American*, Vol. 212, No. 4 (April 1965), pp. 25-35

Published by: Scientific American, a division of Nature America, Inc.

Stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/24931837>

---

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <https://about.jstor.org/terms>



*Scientific American, a division of Nature America, Inc.* is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Scientific American*

JSTOR

# The Structure of the U.S. Economy

*The input-output tables on the next seven pages divide the economy into 81 sectors and list the transactions among them. The numbers are the constants of the technological relations among the sectors*

by Wassily W. Leontief

“Gross national product,” “Total output,” “Value added by manufacture,” “Personal consumption expenditures,” “Federal Government expenditures,” “Exports”—these headings in the book of national accounts describe the familiar external features of the economic system. In recent years the students and the managers of the system have been confronted with many questions that cannot even be clearly posed in such aggregative terms. To answer them one must now look “under the hood” at the inside workings of the system. In the fiscal agencies of many governments, in universities and in large industrial organizations in this country and abroad the needed insight is being supplied by the technique of “input-output” analysis. This technique reckons with the intermediate sales and purchases—that is, the outputs and inputs—that carry goods and services from industry to industry, from manufacturer to distributor and on to their final purchaser in the market. The technique thus ties predictions about the external configuration of the system to the indirect flows of supply and demand within. Input-output analysis of the U.S. economy has recently taken a major step forward with the publication by the Office of Business Economics in the U.S. Department of Commerce of the preliminary results of a study of the interindustry relations of the system as of the year 1958. The report features an input-output “table”

that breaks down the system into 81 industries or functional economic sectors.

The Federal Government is charged by the Constitution itself with the task of taking a decennial census. Ever since the first census of 1790 Federal statistical agencies have maintained the tradition of keeping a comprehensive quantitative record of the country’s social and economic progress. As the economy has grown in complexity and size, so have the variety and volume of data collected. It was not until the end of World War I, however, that the collecting agencies undertook to organize the data in accordance with some distinct picture of the economic system. The conceptual framework for the specification of the national accounts was supplied by the aggregative models of prevailing economic theory. That framework has evolved over the years as theory has evolved and today shows the pervasive influence of the ideas of the great British economist John Maynard Keynes.

The need for finer-grained information was felt acutely by the administrators of the U.S. industrial production effort during World War II. Given President Roosevelt’s order for “50,000 airplanes,” it was easy enough to predict that the country would have to produce more aluminum. It was not immediately apparent, however, that the building of aluminum potlines

would collide with a shortage of copper—a shortage ultimately met by borrowing silver from Fort Knox to make the massive bus bars that delivered electricity to the potlines. As the locus of concern in the war-production effort shifted from the Office of Production Management to the administration of the Controlled Materials Plan in 1943 and 1944, officials were grateful to have at hand an input-output table of the U.S. economy for the year 1939. This was the first input-output table prepared under official Government sponsorship. It broke the system down into 95 sectors. (The author had constructed and published in 1936 tables of 42 sectors for the years 1919 and 1929.) The technique appeared promising enough, however, to encourage the Air Force and the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the Department of Labor to join forces after the war in Project Scoop. At a cost of \$1.5 million a 200-sector input-output table of the economy was constructed for the year 1947, based on detailed statistical studies of transactions among 450 industrial sectors [see “Input-Output Economics,” by Wassily W. Leontief; SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, October, 1951].

After such an auspicious beginning the publication in late 1964 of a table of only 81 sectors for the year 1958, on a reported budget of less than \$1 million, would seem a step backward. But the 1958 table does constitute progress in the input-output analysis of

**COEFFICIENT TABLE** is one way to present transactions among 81 sectors of economy. Sectors are listed vertically at left and

are repeated horizontally at top. Reading horizontally across one sector, the coefficients in each cell govern outputs, or sales, to

other sectors. Reading vertically down one sector, they govern inputs, or purchases. The coefficients are ratios of the input in a

This content downloaded from  
168.176.5.118 on Tue, 22 Mar 2022 15:13:43 UTC

**INVERSE-COEFFICIENT TABLE** shows indirect relations between the 81 sectors of the economy. Each sector delivers a cer-

tain amount of its output to what is called "final demand." (The final-demand totals appear in table on page 32.) The coefficient

in any cell of this table gives, per dollar of delivery to final demand made by sector listed at top, the total input directly and indi-

rectly required from industry listed at left. Cells are colored where delivery of sector at left exceeds 1/81 of its total output.

FINAL NONMETAL		FINAL METAL		BASIC METAL	
FOOTWEAR AND OTHER LEATHER PRODUCTS	1	345	1	15	8
MISCELLANEOUS FURNITURE AND FIXTURES	2	40	33	8	29
HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE	3	1	57	65	9
TOBACCO MANUFACTURES	4		156	1	1
APPAREL	5	23	2	2	3771
MISCELLANEOUS FABRICATED TEXTILE PRODUCTS	6	2	2	2	239
DRUGS, CLEANING AND TOILET PREPARATIONS	7	1	10	2	190
FOOD AND KINDRED PRODUCTS	8	1	37	47	250
SPECIAL INDUSTRY MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	9	1	13	12	14028
ORDNANCE AND ACCESSORIES	10			168	7
AIRCRAFT AND PARTS	11	2		7	27
MISCELLANEOUS TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT	12	1		12	1286
RADIO, TELEVISION AND COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	13	3	1	23	3235
MATERIALS-HANDLING MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	14	3		11	22
MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURING	15	16	31	21	4
OPTICAL, OPHTHALMIC AND PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT	16	4		31	215
SERVICE INDUSTRIES	17	19		215	460
HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCES	18	1	5	1	38
SCIENTIFIC AND CONTROLLING INSTRUMENTS	19	7	12	3	9
OFFICE, COMPUTING AND ACCOUNTING MACHINES	20	4		6	141
FARM MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	21	1		5	293
ENGINES AND TURBINES	22			7	26
CONSTRUCTION, MINING AND OIL-FIELD MACHINERY	23	1		16	25
MISCELLANEOUS ELECTRICAL MACHINERY, EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES	24			12	3
METALWORKING MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	25	5	4	1	18
MOTOR VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT	26	5		8	27
GENERAL INDUSTRIAL MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	27	1	3	2	186
ELECTRIC LIGHTING AND WIRING EQUIPMENT	28	3	2	4	40
ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT AND APPARATUS	29	1	1	11	130
ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS AND ACCESSORIES	30	3	1	137	57
HEATING, PLUMBING AND STRUCTURAL-METAL PRODUCTS	31	1	26	14	167
MACHINE SHOP PRODUCTS	32		1	1	14
METAL CONTAINERS	33		10	144	2023
STAMPINGS, SCREW-MACHINE PRODUCTS AND BOLTS	34	2	15	23	1
OTHER FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	35	32	73	265	12
PRIMARY NONFERROUS METAL MANUFACTURING	36	2	27	37	9
NONFERROUS METAL ORES MINING	37			1	1
PRIMARY IRON AND STEEL MANUFACTURING	38	187	105	1	1
IRON AND FERROALLOY ORES MINING	39			2	101
STONE AND CLAY PRODUCTS	40	10	4	11	16
STONE AND CLAY MINING AND QUARRING	41			5	5
PRINTING AND PUBLISHING	42	23	2	2	17
Glass and Glass Products	43	75	62		177
PAPERBOARD CONTAINERS AND BOXES	44	51	37	81	94
PAPER AND ALLIED PRODUCTS, EXCEPT CONTAINERS	45	7	16	93	19
WOODEN CONTAINERS	46	1	1	12	131
LUMBER AND WOOD PRODUCTS, EXCEPT CONTAINERS	47	36	120	544	2
FORESTRY AND FISHERY PRODUCTS	48			9	197
MISCELLANEOUS TEXTILE GOODS AND FLOOR COVERINGS	49	55	35	58	106
RUBBER AND MISCELLANEOUS PLASTICS PRODUCTS	50	245	18	177	13
BROAD AND NARROW FABRICS, YARN AND THREAD MILLS	51	4	249	2	5056
PAINTS AND ALLIED PRODUCTS	52	33	89	1	18
LEATHER TANNING AND INDUSTRIAL LEATHER PRODUCTS	53	664	3	5	50
LIVESTOCK AND LIVESTOCK PRODUCTS	54			7	19751
MISCELLANEOUS AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS	55		1457	11	3
AGRICULTURAL, FORESTRY AND FISHERY SERVICES	56			6366	10
PLASTICS AND SYNTHETIC MATERIALS	57	3	2	2	141
CHEMICALS AND SELECTED CHEMICAL PRODUCTS	58	1	7	56	195
CHEMICAL AND FERTILIZER, MINERAL MINING	59			21	11
PETROLEUM REFINING AND RELATED INDUSTRIES	60	1	4	5	4
ELECTRICITY, GAS AND WATER	61	14	11	25	8
COAL MINING	62	3	2	1	5
CRUDE PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS	63			54	1
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ENTERPRISES	64	9	2	3	17
TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	65	49	35	97	96
STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTERPRISES	66	1	1	2	1
HOTELS, PERSONAL AND REPAIR SERVICES, EXCEPT AUTOMOBILE	67	12	3	9	3
AUTOMOBILE REPAIR AND SERVICES	68	2	4	7	3
RADIO AND TELEVISION BROADCASTING	69			5	374
AMUSEMENTS	70			1	1
MEDICAL AND EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS	71	5	2	5	8
WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE	72	112	108	240	109
FINANCE AND INSURANCE	73	31	10	23	16
COMMUNICATIONS, EXCEPT RADIO AND TELEVISION BROADCASTING	74	12	8	23	3
BUSINESS SERVICES	75	117	20	73	356
REAL ESTATE AND RENTAL	76	38	22	53	10
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR CONSTRUCTION	77	1	1	3	11
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	78			2	310
OFFICE SUPPLIES	79	4	2	3	1
BUSINESS TRAVEL, ENTERTAINMENT AND GIFTS	80	29	21	38	147
SCRAP, USED AND SECONDHAND GOODS	81			2	1
NONCOMPETITIVE IMPORTS		1	11	17	1980
VALUE ADDED	1822	900	1821	3818	7345
	1	2	3	4	5
	6	7	8	9	10
	11	12	13	14	15
	16	17	18	19	20
	21	22	23	24	25
	26	27	28	29	30
	31	32	33	34	35
	36	37	38	39	30
	31	32	33	34	35

DOLLAR-FLOW TABLE contains figures that show, in millions of dollars, the transactions among the 81 sectors of the economy that

are required to sustain a gross national product of \$600 billion, as set forth in table on page 32. Cells are left blank where the trans-

actions were less than \$.5 million. Colored cells indicate the largest transactions. Each cell in bottom row ("Value added") indicates

what the sector in whose column it appears contributes to gross national product above the sum of its inputs from other industries.

										GROSS DOMESTIC OUTPUT
										TOTAL FINAL DEMAND
										EXPORTS
										NET INVENTORY CHANGE
										FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PURCHASES
										STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT PURCHASES
										PERSONAL CONSUMPTION EXPENDITURES
										INVESTMENT (PRODUCERS' DURABLE EQUIPMENT)
FINAL NONMETAL										1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	FOOTWEAR AND OTHER LEATHER PRODUCTS	1	3469	8	42	29	4	50	21	3583 4133
	MISCELLANEOUS FURNITURE AND FIXTURES	2	173	1080	275	34	172	24		1757 2012
	HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE	3	3196	167	398	9	35	75	22	3884 4378
	TOBACCO MANUFACTURES	4	5664		32			585	36	6201 7916
	APPAREL	5	14880		173	58	115	192	45	15027 18994
	MISCELLANEOUS FABRICATED TEXTILE PRODUCTS	6	1482		1	140		25	11	1635 3054
	DRUGS; CLEANING AND TOILET PREPARATIONS	7	4982		72	179	243	440	60	5856 8829
	FOOD AND KINDRED PRODUCTS	8	60994		23	351	262	350	1489	1772 61697 84719
FINAL METAL	SPECIAL-INDUSTRY MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	9	27	1981		144	41	41	501	44 2404 3364
	ORNAMENT AND ACCESSORIES	10	212		7	113	3042	6	25	17 3388 6224
	AIRCRAFT AND PARTS	11	34	480	360	8722		754	80	9550 16979
	MISCELLANEOUS TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT	12	971	1591	3	102	880	51	403	80 3718 4992
	RADIO TELEVISION AND COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	13	1821	1362	49	98	1881	82	269	56 5310 8042
	MATERIALS-HANDLING MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	14	479	335	30	185	67	104	29	1117 1458
	MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURING	15	3390	374	113	45	45	239	157	331 4025 7064
	OPTICAL, OPHTHALMIC AND PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT	16	610	215	6	178	19	119	104	1043 2027
	SERVICE-INDUSTRY MACHINES	17	325	1261	263	48	86	27	179	7 2088 2974
	HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCES	18	3260	127	276	88	29		220	2 3823 4835
	SCIENTIFIC AND CONTROLLING INSTRUMENTS	19	473	722	257	10	746	118	251	155 2402 4990
	OFFICE, COMPUTING AND ACCOUNTING MACHINES	20	78	1365		18	100	118	182	59 1766 2959
	FARM MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	21	10	2283	3	32	7	25	256	172 2381 3303
	ENGINES AND TURBINES	22	115	785	5	91	324	3	288	9 1480 2962
	CONSTRUCTION, MINING AND OILFIELD MACHINERY	23	1791	229	100	109	29	962	1	3018 4162
	MISCELLANEOUS ELECTRICAL MACHINERY, EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES	24	347	113	29	34	121	44	96	29 679 2039
	METALWORKING MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	25	39	1562	1	179	233	5	446	51 2057 4863
	MOTOR VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT	26	12262	4793	2	726	409	599	1230	863 17708 30346
	GENERAL INDUSTRIAL MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	27	1411	366	111	967	5	368	13	2999 5003
	ELECTRIC LIGHTING AND WIRING EQUIPMENT	28	420	34	1067	41	25	13	87	87 15 1590 3062
	ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT AND APPARATUS	29	21	2156	569	179	241	7	372	77 3108 6802
	ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS AND ACCESSORIES	30	198	36	3	64	313		121	2 605 3539
BASIC METAL	HEATING, PLUMBING AND STRUCTURAL-METAL PRODUCTS	31	97	957	6966	98		305	21	8200 10756
	MACHINE-SHOP PRODUCTS	32		3	15	57	48	20	23	89 2102
	METAL CONTAINERS	33		14		17	23		34	1 88 2776
	STAMPINGS, SCREW-MACHINE PRODUCTS AND BOLTS	34	335	118	91	120	5	40	31	495 4986
	OTHER FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	35	509	221	1166	62	150	62	336	145 2337 8574
	PRIMARY NONFERROUS METAL MANUFACTURING	36	14	1166	14	448		408	1336	788 12189
	NONFERROUS METAL ORES MINING	37			44		263		6	283 167 1365
	PRIMARY IRON AND STEEL MANUFACTURING	38	26	2986	269	156		730	381	3302 25448
	IRON AND FERROALLOY ORES MINING	39				30			56	612 507 1046
	STONE AND CLAY PRODUCTS	40	285	6400	41	10		133	108	5812 10044
	STONE AND CLAY MINING AND QUARRYING	41	24	839	4	13	15	31	159	737 2012
	PRINTING AND PUBLISHING	42	3267	10	17	119	239	119	54	3171 16771
	GLASS AND GLASS PRODUCTS	43	172	114	6	3		91	84	291 2853
	PAPERBOARD CONTAINERS AND BOXES	44	48			5		24	5	72 4825
	PAPER AND ALLIED PRODUCTS, EXCEPT CONTAINERS	45	1139	434		99	14	340	1336	688 17643
	WOODEN CONTAINERS	46			13	2	1	4	8	14 588
	LUMBER AND WOOD PRODUCTS, EXCEPT CONTAINERS	47	203	11	4401	80	11	148	697	4135 10517
	FORESTRY AND FISHERY PRODUCTS	48	377			26	184		41	412 152 152
	MISCELLANEOUS TEXTILE GOODS AND FLOOR COVERINGS	49	1908	62	5	38	7	62	440	665 2907
	RUBBER AND MISCELLANEOUS PLASTICS PRODUCTS	50	1735	74	417	46	156	101	285	45 2678 9106
	BROAD AND NARROW FABRICS, YARN AND THREAD MILLS	51	944			147	73	15	278	345 616 14093
	PAINTS AND ALLED PRODUCTS	52	22	284	5	3		38	2	319 2497
	LEATHER TANNING AND INDUSTRIAL LEATHER PRODUCTS	53				4			39	50 16 1173
	LIVESTOCK AND LIVESTOCK PRODUCTS	54	2806		813			35	315	3340 32653
	MISCELLANEOUS AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS	55	3273	318	566	1474	31	2397	479	7541 30133
	AGRICULTURAL, FORESTRY AND FISHERY SERVICES	56				28	62	94	4	
	PLASTICS AND SYNTHETIC MATERIALS	57	11		58	6		456	54	361 5630
	CHEMICALS AND SELECTED CHEMICAL PRODUCTS	58	291	492	33	991	326	912	459	2320 15652
	CHEMICAL AND FERTILIZER, MINERAL MINING	59	1		1	13	15	69	108	11 632
ENERGY	PETROLEUM REFINING AND RELATED INDUSTRIES	60	6969	1323	243	968	509	873	692	12238 23054
	ELECTRICITY, GAS AND WATER	61	10751	201		463	655	55	49	12076 26968
	COAL MINING	62	348			39		81	447	4 842 3665
	CRUDE PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS	63				58			44	1612 1677 12817
	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ENTERPRISES	64	836			77	88	82	108	5454
	TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	65	11233	669	2425	178	1869	535	2907	24 19920 43749
	STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTERPRISES	66	414			154	6	6		599 6357
	HOTELS; PERSONAL AND REPAIR SERVICES, EXCEPT AUTOMOBILE	67	12598			326	114			13039 16208
	AUTOMOBILE REPAIR AND SERVICES	68	5818	154		169	106			6447 10481
	RADIO AND TELEVISION BROADCASTING	69						13		13 2060
	AMUSEMENTS	70	4377		30	23	81	350	4719	7529
	MEDICAL AND EDUCATIONAL SERVICES; NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS	71	27157	78		152	425			27812 30150
	WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE	72	81465	4958	6657	127	888	254	1907	860 97116 126318
	FINANCE AND INSURANCE	73	15645	584		248	35	62	16573	35078
	COMMUNICATIONS, EXCEPT RADIO AND TELEVISION BROADCASTING	74	5737	536	146	247	275	96		7036 13000
	BUSINESS SERVICES	75	2499	3427		751	722	229		7658 32506
	REAL ESTATE AND RENTAL	76	53230	1664	281	166	333	333		56007 82399
	MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR CONSTRUCTION	77		9		1430	4525			5964 24949
	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	78				6910				6910 7126
	OFFICE SUPPLIES	79			19	98	173			290 1806
	BUSINESS TRAVEL, ENTERTAINMENT AND GIFTS	80		302					218	84 8577
	SCRAP, USED AND SECONDHAND GOODS	81	18	1060	98	269	154	450	375	404 756 961
	NONCOMPETITIVE IMPORTS	82	5207	28		14	3695		263	13539 4433
	VALUE ADDED	83	6218	24959	422	26036	25872	5798	896	87 5 600000
		389358	34285	70321	2022	67359	38245	31410	28955	600000

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

FINAL DEMAND on industry is listed in this table. Ninth column gives totals for deliveries to final-demand sectors; 10th lists each industry's output. The total of the "Total final demand" column is gross national product of \$600 billion. Colored figures are negative.

the U.S. economy. In particular its reception by the business community, now equipped with computers of sufficient capacity to make use of the technique, indicates that future ventures in this field by Government agencies will have more generous backing and encouragement.

To visualize the economy as it is revealed by input-output analysis it is easiest to begin with a table of dollar flows, the third of the three interindustry tables that illustrate this article [see preceding two pages]. This table shows the dollar flows corresponding to a gross national product of \$600 billion, a bench mark first surpassed by the economy in 1964. The makeup of this hypothetical gross national product is detailed by industry and by category of ultimate market or final demand in the table at the left. From this bill of goods the table of interindustry dollar flows was computed, purely for purposes of demonstration, in accordance with the specifications for the economy set out in the Department of Commerce input-output table for the year 1958 (when the gross national product was \$445 billion). The cells in each horizontal row of the table show the distribution of the outputs—the sales—of that industry or sector to each of the 81 industrial sectors. The sum of these outputs plus the industry's deliveries to final demand equals its total output—the conventional index of the activity of an industry—which is shown in the column at the far right in the table at the left.

As every sale is a purchase, so each figure in a horizontal row is also a figure in a vertical column: each output from one industry is shown to be an input to another. The figures in each vertical column accordingly list the inputs to that industry or sector from all the others. In the "Value added" row at the bottom of the table the entry for the industry in question totals up its wage bill, depreciation, profit and other "prime factor" charges. These constitute the industry's own contribution to the gross national product above the value of the inputs it draws from other industries or sectors. The value added plus the total of the intermediate inputs (including the entry in the "Imports" row) equal the total output of the industry, as entered in the total-output ("Gross domestic output") column in the table at the left. The rows and columns—that is, the outputs and inputs, or sales and purchases, making up the total activity of the economy—thus come into

balance in the double-entry bookkeeping of input-output economics.

The dollar-flow table also shows the national accounts in balance. The sum of all the entries in the value-added row is equal to the sum of all the entries in the "Total final demand" column in the table on the opposite page. This figure is the gross national product. As the final-demand columns show, "gross national product" expresses the total activity of the economy in terms of the expenditures by which goods and services are acquired for final use by consumers and the Government and for investment and exports.

Here it should be noted that no total is given at the foot of the total-output column in the same table. That is because this figure—\$1,032 billion—is misleading as an index of economic activity. It compounds all the intermediate transactions through which goods or services reach their final destination in the marketplace; it redundantly adds, for example, to the consumer's outlay for fabricated steel in an automobile ("Personal consumption expenditures," row 26 in the table) the price paid by the motor vehicles and equipment industry for the same metal in the raw sheet (column 26, row 38 in the dollar-flow table on pages 30 and 31) and again the price paid by the primary iron and steel manufacturing industry for the same metal in the ore (column 38, row 39). The consumer, of course, pays only once for the metal in the ore and for each unit of value added between the mine and the showroom. In accounting for these interindustry transactions, each of which is reckoned in the total output of the industry in question, and relating these transactions to final demand, input-output analysis yields its deep insights into the structure and operation of the economy.

The figures in the dollar-flow table were computed from the quite different set of figures shown in the table on pages 26 and 27. These are the input-output coefficients on which the technique of input-output analysis turns. The figure in each cell expresses the ratio of the input from the industry in whose row the cell appears to the total output of the industry in whose column the cell appears. Although the dollar-flow figures in the table on pages 30 and 31 are hypothetical, the input-output coefficients reflect the real activity of the U.S. economy in the year 1958, as analyzed by the Office of Business Economics. In the present

table the coefficients from the Office of Business Economics table have been recomputed by the Harvard Economic Research Project in order to show gross domestic output. Competitive imports are therefore entered as negative figures in final demand, whereas noncompetitive imports are entered in an external row at the bottom of the table and represent tropical agricultural products such as coffee and certain minerals. It should be noted also that sectors 78 through 81 are "dummy" industries, set up to simplify the estimating procedures.

As can be imagined, the construction of this table has involved a considerable enterprise in fact-gathering. Actual records of interindustry transactions had to be searched out and compiled, and these studies were supplemented and refined by reference to the technological considerations underlying the transactions. Although the coefficients in the table can be read as dollar figures (the sum of all the coefficients in a column plus the coefficient in the value-added row comes to \$1,000.00), they are better visualized as standing for the input of the actual physical quantity of this or that commodity purchasable at the price (in 1958 dollars) shown and required to produce \$1,000 worth of the output of the industry in whose column it appears. For each sector of the economy the column of input-output coefficients specifies the mix and proportion of inputs required to produce each unit of output. For the economy as a whole an input-output table reveals the structure of interlocking interdependencies that ties the highly differentiated and specialized parts of the system together as a whole. It presents, in effect, a working model of the system. As such it can be employed for the experimental study of a great many theoretical and practical questions about the economy.

The computation of the table of transactions for a \$600 billion gross national product illustrates one such use. Input-output analysis derives its conceptual framework from recognition of the fact that all the possible interconnections of the different sectors of a national economy can be regarded as special instances of the general solution of a single large system of equations in matrix algebra. In the case of the 1958 table the system contains 81 equations, each corresponding to an industry or a sector and each involving 81 distinct but interdependent variables. The parameters of these variables, the constants of the equa-

tions, are the input-output coefficients. The coefficients answer the question "In what proportions?" To solve the equations for \$600 billion—that is, to answer the question "How much?"—the first step was to set up the appropriate bill of final demand. With these numbers given, solution of the equations produced the dollar-flow table and the total outputs required of each industry. The task of computation is of course immense. Each unit of output from industry A requires inputs from industries B, C, D and so on; these inputs ultimately call for an increase in output from industry A, which itself turns out to be a supplier to B, C, D or a supplier to their suppliers, and so the flow of direct and indirect demand cascades again. Nonetheless, the solution of the 81 equations with their 81 variables, carried out to five significant figures, required only three minutes on the IBM 7090 computer at Harvard University.

The dollar flows and total outputs thus satisfy not only the final demand laid directly on each sector but also the demand laid indirectly on each sector by the final demand for the output of the sectors to which it delivers inputs and still other sectors to which its customers deliver inputs. In the case of the rubber industry (row 50), for example, the total output depends not alone on the direct demand for the industry's products arising from consumer and Government expenditures and from exports but on the indirect demand for rubber set up by the final demand for motor vehicles and equipment (column 26), for footwear and other leather products (column 1) and for transportation and warehousing (column 65). At a \$600 billion gross national product, the total final demand for rubber is \$2,678 million, but the total output of the industry is shown to be \$9,100 million. Most of the rubber industry's output reaches final demand via inputs from rubber to other industries. A table of input-output coefficients can thus be used to forecast the total output required of an industry by changes in the size or composition of the gross national product.

An input-output matrix of another kind appears on pages 28 and 29. The figures in this matrix represent the general solution (by "inversion") of the input-output coefficient matrix. Each of these "inverse" coefficients expresses for the industry in whose row the cell appears that portion of the industry's total output required directly and in-

directly to meet one unit of final demand for the product of the industry in whose column the cell appears. An inverse matrix facilitates many calculations that can be carried through without the mediation of a computer. Multiplication of the final consumer demand for automobiles (\$12,262 million), for instance, by the inverse coefficient (.0129) appearing in the cell at the intersection of the automotive-industry column (column 26) and the iron-ore-industry row (row 39) yields \$158 million. The figure expresses the direct and indirect demand for iron ore generated by the sale of \$12,262 million worth of automobiles to consumers. Because the automotive industry buys no ore directly, however, the cell shows no entry at all in the table of input-output coefficients or in the table of dollar flows.

With the help of a table that embodied a more detailed analysis of the system, indirect relations of a still more remote order might be resolved. How would the demand for sulfuric acid be affected, for example, by a given increase in public expenditure for primary education? The demonstrated interdependence of the elements in the economic system suggests that such an effect may be of significance to some producers in the chemical industry. A rise in school budgets will increase the sale of elementary textbooks, which in turn means an increased demand for printing stock. The paper manufacturers' response to this demand will require an increase in the output of the wood-pulp industry, and the chemical industry will accordingly experience a step-up in the demand for sulfuric acid. The relatively coarse aggrega-

tion of the system in the present table, however, buries education in the "State and local government purchases" column in final demand and lumps sulfuric acid along with other chemicals in an undifferentiated chemical industry in sector 58.

In the tables illustrating this article the 81 industrial sectors have been "triangulated"; that is, they have been arranged in accordance with the hierarchy of interindustry dependence. The coloring of the cells in the table of input-output coefficients on pages 26 and 27 brings the structure into graphic relief. Here the color distinguishes those cells in which the input-output coefficient exceeds 1/81, that is, the cells to which the input exceeds \$12.35 per \$1,000 of output from the sector in whose column the cell appears. In

	FOOTWEAR AND OTHER LEATHER PRODUCTS	HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE	ORDNANCE AND ACCESSORIES	MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURING	HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCES	AND EQUIPMENT	VEHICLES	RUBBER AND MISCELLANEOUS PLASTICS PRODUCTS	TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	AUTOMOBILE REPAIR AND SERVICES	WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE
FOOTWEAR AND OTHER LEATHER PRODUCTS	1	3	10	15	18	26	50	65	65	68	68
RUBBER AND MISCELLANEOUS PLASTICS PRODUCTS	1	3	10	15	18	26	50	65	68	72	72
	245	177	151	252	158	870	276	338	360		

INTERINDUSTRY TRANSACTIONS between the rubber industry (subsumed under "Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products") and nine other sectors of the economy are excerpted from the dollar-flow input-output table. Such transactions account for most of the activity of the rubber industry. The gross domestic

output of the rubber industry is given (*column at right*) as \$9,100 million. The value of rubber delivered to total final demand is only \$2,678 million. The difference between the figures is the amount of rubber sold to other industries. Each of these other industries somehow uses rubber and then in turn makes deliveries to still other

	MOTOR VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT	VEHICLES AND MOTOR EQUIPMENT	MANUFACTURING PRIMARY IRON AND STEEL	IRON AND FERROALLOY ORES MINING
MOTOR VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT	26	26	38	39
PRIMARY IRON AND STEEL MANUFACTURING	38	2664	2088	0129
IRON AND FERROALLOY ORES MINING	39			1396

INDIRECT ECONOMIC RELATION between iron ore and automobiles is illustrated in excerpts from the dollar-flow table and the inverse table. The steel industry ("Primary iron and steel

manufacturing") buys iron ore from sector called "Iron and ferroalloy ores mining" and sells it to the automobile industry ("Motor vehicles and equipment"). This process is summarized in cells at

any one column, therefore, the colored cells identify the principal suppliers to that industry. As can be seen, most of the colored cells fall on or below the diagonal line running from the upper left to the lower right corner. (The cells on the diagonal show the intramural transactions of each of the 81 industrial sectors.) The distribution of colored cells indicates that the sectors in the upper rows of the table deliver little of their output to other industrial sectors and so deliver most of it directly to one or another final-demand column. In contrast, the output of the sectors toward the bottom of the table is distributed primarily as inputs to other industrial sectors. The colored cells tend to cluster, moreover, in the blocks of intersecting rows and columns set off from one another by the heavier horizontal and vertical lines that mark

the major categories of industry. In general, the sectors above and below any given row in the table bear quite different relations to that industrial sector: those above are its customers; those below are its suppliers.

Such triangulation of an input-output table not only serves to develop graphically the structure of the system but also facilitates the use of the table in forecasting and planning. Computation of the indirect effects of an increase in final demand for the output of a given industry, for example, requires principally the use of the coefficients for the inputs from the industries below it in the table. Correspondingly the computation of effects on this sector exerted by demand originating elsewhere in the system involves mainly the coefficients of its deliveries to its customers in the rows above.

Belgium, West Germany, Italy and Holland; the construction of an integrated table for the entire western European economic complex will soon follow. At the other end of the scale an increasing number of smaller economic units—many states in the U.S. and even cities and metropolitan areas—have been compiling their own input-output tables. Managements of a number of large industrial enterprises, in the U.S. and abroad, have constructed input-output tables of their companies in order to gain better control of the effects that follow from the mutual interdependence of their many departments and of the phases in their production processes. In West Germany and France these internal corporate tables are coupled to the tables for the national economy in the storage memory of the computers in which they are put to work.

The sectors in the inverse and dollar-flow tables are triangulated in the same order, but the cells in these two tables are colored to highlight the structure of the system from two different points of vantage. In the inverse table the coloring of the cells in each row distinguishes the principal industrial customers of the industry in whose row the cells appear, that is, the customers to which the industry delivers 1/81 or more of its total output. This might be described as the sales managers' view of the system. In the dollar-flow table the color identifies the 645 cells (roughly the same number of cells as in the two other tables) that show interindustry transactions of \$100 million or more. Correspondingly this could be described as an economic analysts' view of the system.

Further development of input-output analysis and the realization of its potentialities for informed and rational decision-making at all levels of economic life call for detailed and more up-to-date tables. Comparison of the 1947 and 1958 input-output tables for the U.S. economy indicates significant changes in the input-output coefficients arising from technological innovation. Change in a single input-output coefficient means change in the structure of the equation in which it appears; this forces a new solution of all the equations in a table and brings about change in all the elements of the inverse matrix. Even if the bill of final demand remains the same, therefore, this revises the dollar flows throughout the table and the total outputs of all the industries, although to a lesser degree in those more remote in the order of triangulation. These effects of change in coefficients suggest an important use of input-output analysis, which is to assess the consequences of critical advances in technology. With sufficiently detailed and current data at hand it would be possible to reckon with these results in advance.

Work has now begun on the preparation of an input-output table for the U.S. economy based on the data from the census of manufactures for 1963. It is to be hoped that this table will be designed to describe the steadily increasing complexity of the system in terms of at least 500 to 600 sectors. Such an input-output table would give the discipline of economics the 200-inch telescope it requires for its work today.

	SALE	CONSUMPTIONS EXPENDITURES	PERSONAL PURCHASES	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PURCHASES	TOTAL FINAL DEMAND	DOMESTIC OUTPUT	GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT
72		3469			3583		4133
		3196			3884		4378
			3042		3388		6235
		3390			4025		7064
		3260			3823		4835
		12262			17706		30346
314		1735			2678		9100
		11233			19920		43749
		5818			6447		10481
		81465			97116		126318

industries or to final demand. Whether an industry delivers to final demand or to other industries depends on the nature of its product. Footwear, for example, unlike rubber, delivers primarily to final demand.

intersections of the three sectors. Only an inverse coefficient appears in the cell at the intersection of ore and automobiles.

During the 25 years since the publication of the first officially sponsored input-output table for the U.S. economy, many other countries—developed and underdeveloped, free-enterprise and centrally planned—have constructed tables for their systems. At three international congresses on input-output analysis, the last held under the auspices of the United Nations Secretariat in Geneva in 1962, planners from socialist countries and business economists from the West have found that they have a common language for discussion not only of theory but also of concrete experience in the solution of practical problems of many kinds. Last fall, simultaneously with the release of the 1958 table for the U.S., the statistical office of the Common Market countries published a set of statistically compatible tables for France,