

Lecture

Mutation Testing - III

1

Mutation Testing

- To measure the effectiveness
- To measure the adequacy
- To generate test cases

2

Outline

- Equivalent mutants
- In the absence of test oracle
- Effectiveness measurements for MRs
- Prioritizations of MRs

3

Equivalent Mutants

A mutant (M) of a reference program (P) is said to be an equivalent mutant, if for any input, the outputs of M and P are identical

4

Example: Equivalent Mutants

Given program

.....

$C=A+B$

.....

.....

Equivalent Mutant

.....

$C=B+A$

.....

.....

5

Example: Equivalent Mutants

Given program

.....

$B=K$

$C=A+B$

.....

.....

Equivalent Mutant

.....

$B=K$

$C=A+K$

.....

.....

6

Example: Equivalent Mutants

Given program	Equivalent Mutant
.....
If (A != B)	If (A != B)
Then (If (A>B)	Then (If (A>=B)
Then C=A+B	Then C=A+B
.....
.....

7

Impact of Equivalent Mutants

- Test adequacy
- Mutation scores

8

Test Adequacy

- Use a set of mutants as a test adequacy criterion
 - killing all mutants

Exclude equivalent mutants

9

Example

Suppose

- $SM = \{M1, M2, M3, M4, M5, M6\}$
- Set of killed mutants = $\{M1, M2, M3, M5\}$

Then, $MS = (4 / 6) = 2/3$ (or 0.67)

10

Example (continued)

Suppose

- $SM = \{M1, M2, M3, M4, M5, M6\}$
- Set of killed mutants = $\{M1, M2, M3, M5\}$

What happens if M4 and M6 are equivalent mutants?

Is $MS = 0.67$ still a good measurement?

11

Example (continued)

Suppose

- $SM = \{M1, M2, M3, M4, M5, M6\}$
- Set of killed mutants = $\{M1, M2, M3, M5\}$

What happens if M4 and M6 are equivalent mutants?

Intuitively, perfect testing!

12

Mutation Score

Needs to redefine mutation score

The mutation score (MS) for TC and SM is defined as the ratio of the number of killed mutants and the total number of non-equivalent mutants.

13

Equivalent Mutants

Unfortunately, identification of equivalent mutants is extremely difficult

14

Without Test Oracle

How to use mutation testing, in the absence of test oracle for P?

15

Without Test Oracle (continued)

Given an MR which involves only one source input (SI) and one follow-up input (FI)

Define a pair of (SI, FI) as a metamorphic test group (MTG)

16

Without Test Oracle (continued)

Example: sine program

MR1: if $y=x+360$, then $\sin(y)=\sin(x)$

(26, 386), (95, 455), (-20, 340) are MTGs for MR1

MR2: if $y=-x$, then $\sin(y)= - \sin(x)$

(26, -26), (95, -95), (-20, 20) are MTGs for MR2

17

Without Test Oracle (continued)

For sum program,

MR3: if FI is the reverse of SI,
then $\text{sum}(\text{FI})=\text{sum}(\text{SI})$

([3, 9, 6, 2, 11, 8, 15], [15, 8, 11, 2, 6, 9, 3]),
([5, 5, 8, 5, 5], [5, 5, 8, 5, 5]) are MTGs for MR3

18

Without Test Oracle (continued)

Two important correspondence between

- Test cases and MTGs
- The output relationship of P and M and the validation outcome of MRs
 - killing a mutant corresponding to violation of MR

19

Without Test Oracle (continued)

Consider sin function with

- Set of Mutants = {M1, M2, M3, M4}
- MR1: if $y=x+360$, then $\sin(y)=\sin(x)$
 - (26, 386), (95, 455), (-20, 340) are MTGs

20

Without Test Oracle (continued)

For MTG (26, 386), suppose

- $M1(26) \neq M1(386)$, MR is violated with M1
- $M2(26) = M2(386)$, MR is satisfied with M2
- $M3(26) = M3(386)$, MR is satisfied with M3
- $M4(26) \neq M4(386)$, MR is violated with M4

MTG (26, 386) kills M1 and M4

21

Without Test Oracle (continued)

For MTG (95, 455), suppose

- $M1(95) \neq M1(455)$, MR is violated with M1
- $M2(95) \neq M2(455)$, MR is violated with M2
- $M3(95) \neq M3(455)$, MR is violated with M3
- $M4(95) = M4(455)$, MR is satisfied with M4

MTG (95, 455) kills M1, M2 and M3

22

Without Test Oracle (continued)

For MTG (-20, 340), suppose

- $M1(-20) \neq M1(340)$, MR is violated with M1
- $M2(-20) = M2(340)$, MR is satisfied with M2
- $M3(-20) \neq M3(340)$, MR is violated with M3
- $M4(-20) \neq M4(340)$, MR is violated with M4

MTG (-20, 340) kills M1, M3 and M4

23

Violation Results

	M1	M2	M3	M4
(26, 386)	v	s	s	v
(95, 455)	v	v	v	s
(-20, 340)	v	s	v	v

24

Violation Results

	M1	M2	M3	M4
(26, 386)	s	s	s	v
(95, 455)	v	v	v	s
(-20, 340)	v	s	v	v

- Number of violations = 7
- Number of satisfactions = 5
- Effectiveness for MR1 = $7 / (7+5) = 0.58$

25

Effectiveness -Violation Ratio

This effectiveness ratio depends

- The set of MTGs
- The set of mutants

26

Effectiveness of MRs

For an MR,

- Identify a set of MTG for this MR (SMTG)
- Identify a set of mutants (SM)

Effectiveness of an MR:

ratio of violations = $\frac{\text{number of violations}}{\text{total number of violations and satisfactions}}$

27

Effectiveness -Violation Ratio

This effectiveness ratio depends

- The set of MTGs
- The set of mutants

28

Effectiveness -Violation Ratio

This effectiveness ratio depends

- The set of MTGs
 - Various test case selection methods to generate source test cases
- The set of mutants
 - Mimic real life faults

29

Prioritization of MRs

- Given a group of MRs
 - MR1, MR2, MR3,
- Design a set of mutants
- Design a set of source inputs
 - some adaptations may be required in this step
- Compute the expected ratios of violations for these MRs to prioritize them

30

Mutants

- A slightly mutated version of a given program through the application of mutation operators
- Successfully compiled
- Programming language dependent
- Mimic real life faults (bugs)

31

Summary

32

References

- Y. Jia and M. Harman, “An Analysis and Survey of the Development of Mutation Testing”, IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, Vol. 37(5), 649-678, 2011.