

Second Marker(s) : S. Evangelou

### Information for candidates:

The probability density  $N(m, Q)$  of an  $n$ -vector, normal random variable with mean  $m$  and covariance matrix  $Q$  ( $Q > 0$ ) is

$$N(m, Q)(x) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{\frac{n}{2}} (\det Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp -\frac{1}{2} \left( (x - m)^T Q^{-1} (x - m) \right) .$$

In the case that  $n = 1$ ,  $m$  is a scalar and  $Q = \sigma^2$  ( $\sigma^2 > 0$ ),

$$N(m, \sigma^2)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{(x - m)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$$

and, if  $X$  is a scalar random variable with probability density  $N(m, \sigma^2)$ ,

$$\text{Prob}\{m - 2\sigma \leq X \leq m + 2\sigma\} \approx 0.95 .$$

1. Two coupled, stationary, chemical processes  $y_t$  and  $z_t$  are governed by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= \alpha y_{t-1} + e_t \\z_t &= \alpha z_{t-1} + \gamma y_{t-1},\end{aligned}$$

in which  $e_t$  is a white noise process with variance  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . The constant  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha| < 1$ ) is the reaction rate parameter for both processes. The constant  $\gamma$  is the coupling coefficient.

Let  $x_t = (y_t, z_t)^T$ . Develop a state space model for  $x_t$ , of the form

$$x_t = Fx_{t-1} + ge_t. \quad [2]$$

Show that  $R = E[x_t x_t^T]$  satisfies the equation

$$R = FRF^T + bb^T \sigma^2$$

and derive formulae for the entries of  $R$  in terms of  $\sigma^2$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ . [4]

Now assume that the value of the reaction rate parameter is [6]

$$\alpha = 0.5.$$

Assume also that, by means of an identification experiment, it has been possible to establish the following relation between the variances  $r_z = E[z_t^2]$  and  $r_y = E[y_t^2]$ :

$$\frac{r_z}{r_y} = 1.25.$$

Determine the value of the coupling coefficient  $\gamma$ . [8]

2. An  $N$ -dimensional measurement vector is assumed to be modelled by the equation

$$y = x\theta + e$$

in which  $x$  is a known, non-zero, deterministic  $N$ -vector and  $e$  is a normal  $N$ -vector random variable zero mean and covariance matrix  $Q$  ( $Q > 0$ ).  $\theta$  is an unknown scalar parameter.

Consider the linear estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $\theta$  given  $y$ :

$$\hat{\theta} = (x^T Q^{-1} x)^{-1} x^T Q^{-1} y.$$

Show that the estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  is unbiased. [3]

Determine the variance of the estimate  $\hat{\theta}$ . [3]

Show that the estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  minimizes the mean square error

$$E[|\hat{\theta} - \theta|^2]$$

over all unbiased linear estimates  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $\theta$  given  $y$ . [10]

*Hint: Use the fact that an arbitrary linear, unbiased estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  can be expressed as*

$$\hat{\theta} = (x^T Q^{-1} x)^{-1} x^T Q^{-1} y + b^T y,$$

where  $b$  is an  $N$ -vector satisfying the condition:

$$b^T x = 0.$$

Now assume that, for some integer  $N \geq 2$ ,  $x$  is the  $N$ -vector  $[1, \dots, 1]^T$  and

$$Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & . & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & . & . \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0.5 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Determine a 0.95 confidence interval for  $\theta$ , given the estimate  $\hat{\theta}$ . [4]

3. Let  $y_t$  be a stationary, ergodic, scalar process satisfying

$$y_t + ay_{t-1} = e_t ,$$

in which  $e_t$  is a Gaussian, white noise process, with variance  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . The number  $a$  is an unknown, scalar parameter satisfying  $|a| < 1$ . Write

$$\hat{R}^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} y_t^2 \quad (\text{the sample covariance function of } y_t) .$$

What is the linear least squares estimate  $\hat{a}_N$  of the parameter  $a$ , based on observations  $y_t, t = 0, 1, \dots, N$ ? [2]

Show that, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\hat{a}_N \rightarrow a . \quad [8]$$

Show further that

$$\hat{R}^N(\hat{a}_N - a) = - \sum_{i=1}^N y_{i-1} e_i . \quad [5]$$

Hence, or otherwise, show that

$$\text{var}\{\hat{R}^N(\hat{a}_N - a)\} \leq \frac{1}{N} \times \frac{\sigma^2}{(1 - a^2)} ,$$

for all  $N > 1$ . [5]

*Hint: To evaluate  $\text{var}\{\hat{R}^N(\hat{a}_N - a)\}$ , use the fact that*

$$E[y_{t-1} e_t y_{t'-1} e_{t'}] = 0 \quad \text{for } t' \neq t .$$

- 4 A control system relating the scalar control signal  $u_t$  and the scalar output signal  $y_t$  is modelled by the equations

$$y_t - ay_{t-1} = bu_t + e_t$$

in which  $e_t$  is a white noise sequence with variance  $\sigma^2$ . Here,  $a$  and  $b$  are scalar parameters. The true value of the parameter  $a$  is  $a = 0$ .  $b$  is non-zero.

In an identification experiment, the input signal is chosen to be samples of a process modelled as

$$u_t = v_t + 0.5v_{t-1}$$

in which  $v_t$  is a white noise sequence with unit variance, uncorrelated with  $e_t$ . It can be assumed that the joint process  $(y_t, u_t)$  is stationary and ergodic.

Let  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$  be linear least squares estimate of  $(a, b)$ , given  $\{y_0, \dots, y_N\}$  and  $\{u_1, \dots, u_N\}$ , based on the assumption that both  $a$  and  $b$  are unknown parameters.

Let  $\hat{\hat{b}}$  be the linear least squares estimate of  $b$ , based on the assumption that  $a = 0$ .

- (a): Calculate  $R_u(0) = E[u_t^2]$ ,  $R_y(0) = E[y_t^2]$  and  $R_{uy}(1) = E[u_t y_{t-1}]$ . (In performing this calculation you should assume that  $a = 0$  and  $b$  is an arbitrary non-zero number). [5]

- (b): Obtain formulae for  $\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{\hat{b}}$ , expressed in terms of sample covariances and cross-covariances of  $y_y$  and  $u_t$ , and the constant  $b$ . [7]

- (c): Show that the conditional covariances  $\hat{\gamma}$  and  $\hat{\hat{\gamma}}$  of  $\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{\hat{b}}$  respectively, given  $\{y_0, \dots, y_N\}$  and  $\{u_1, \dots, u_N\}$ , are, for  $N$  large, approximately

$$\hat{\gamma} = \frac{1}{N}(b^2 + \frac{4}{5})\sigma^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\hat{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{N} \times \frac{4}{5}\sigma^2.$$

Comment on the relative magnitudes of the  $\hat{\gamma}$  and  $\hat{\hat{\gamma}}$ . [7]

In (c) you should assume that sample covariances/cross-covariances can be replaced by covariances/cross-covariances. [1]

5. (a): Measurements  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are taken at times  $t = 1$  and  $t = 2$  of a process governed by the ARMA model equations

$$y_t = e_t + c e_{t-1} ,$$

in which  $e_0 = 0$ , and  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are independent, zero mean, normal random variables, each with variance  $\sigma^2$ .  $c$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown parameters.

Show that the 2-vector random variables  $y = (y_1, y_2)^T$  and  $e = (e_1, e_2)^T$  are related by

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{bmatrix} e .$$

Calculate the log likelihood function  $L(c, \sigma^2; y)$  of  $c$  and  $\sigma^2$ :

$$L(c, \sigma^2; y) = \log_e p(y|c, \sigma^2)$$

in which  $p(y|c, \sigma^2)$  denotes the probability density of  $y$ , given  $c$  and  $\sigma^2$ . [3]

Calculate the maximum likelihood estimates  $\hat{c}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  of  $c$  and  $\sigma^2$ ; that is, the values of  $c$  and  $\sigma^2$  maximizing  $L(c, \sigma^2)$ . [7]

Show that  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is an biased estimate of  $\sigma^2$ . [2]

*Hint: Maximize the likelihood function first over  $c$  (for fixed  $\sigma^2$ ) and then over  $\sigma^2$ .*

(b): Describe the Generalized Least Squares Algorithm for estimating the parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  in the model

$$\begin{cases} A(z)y_t = B(z)u_t + n_t \\ D(z)n_t = e_t \end{cases}$$

given measurements  $y_1, \dots, y_N, u_1, \dots, u_N$  (and appropriate starting values). Here

$$A(z) = 1 + a_1 z^{-1} + \dots + a_n z^{-n}, B(z) = b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + \dots + b_n z^{-n}, D(z) = 1 + d_1 z^{-1} + \dots + d_n z^{-n},$$

and  $\{e_t\}$  is a white noise sequence with random variables, each with variance  $\sigma^2$ . [8]

Model Answer. Identification + Adaptive Control, 2009

1. Set  $x_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ z_t \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $x_t^{(1)} = \alpha x_{t-1}^{(1)} + e_t$ ,  $x_t^{(2)} = \gamma x_{t-1}^{(2)} + \alpha x_{t-1}^{(1)}$ . (2)

It follows

$$x_t = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ \gamma & \alpha \end{bmatrix} x_{t-1} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} e_t \quad (E[e_t] = 0, \text{var}\{e_t\} = \sigma^2)$$

Write  $x_t = F x_{t-1} + b e_t$ , with

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ \gamma & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Write  $R = E[x_t x_t^T]$ . We have

$$E[x_t x_t^T] = E[F x_{t-1} x_{t-1}^T F^T] + b b^T \sigma^2$$

or

$$R = F R F^T + b b^T \sigma^2$$

Expand as

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{12} & r_{22} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ \gamma & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{12} & r_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ 0 & \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ \gamma & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha r_{11} & \gamma r_{11} + \alpha r_{12} \\ \alpha r_{12} & \gamma r_{12} + \alpha r_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^2 r_{11} + \sigma^2 & \alpha \gamma r_{11} + \alpha^2 r_{12} \\ \alpha \gamma r_{11} + \alpha^2 r_{12} & \gamma^2 r_{11} + \alpha \gamma r_{12} + \alpha^2 r_{22} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Equating matrix entries gives

$$r_{11} = \alpha^2 r_{11} + \sigma^2, \quad r_{12} = \alpha \gamma r_{11} + \alpha^2 r_{12}$$

$$\text{and } r_{22} = \gamma^2 r_{11} + 2\alpha \gamma r_{12} + \alpha^2 r_{22}$$

Hence

$$r_{11} = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\alpha^2}, \quad r_{12} = \frac{\alpha \gamma}{(1-\alpha^2)} \times \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\alpha^2} = \frac{\alpha \gamma \sigma^2}{(1-\alpha^2)^2}$$

$$r_{22} = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha^2)} \left( \frac{\gamma^2 \sigma^2}{1-\alpha^2} + 2 \frac{\alpha \gamma^2 \sigma^2}{1-\alpha^2} \times \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\alpha^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1-\alpha^2)^3} \left( \gamma^2 \sigma^2 (1-\alpha^2) + 2 \alpha^2 \gamma^2 \sigma^2 \right) = \frac{\sigma^2 (1+\alpha^2) \gamma^2}{(1-\alpha^2)^3}$$

If  $\alpha = 1/2$

$$r_{11} = \frac{4}{3} \sigma^2, \quad r_{22} = \left( \frac{4}{3} \right) \times 1.25 \gamma^2 \sigma^2$$

and

$$r_{22}/r_{11} = \frac{4^2}{3^2} \times 1.25 \gamma^2 = 1.25$$

$$\text{So } \gamma = 3/4$$



2. Take  $\hat{\theta} = (X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T Q^{-1} y$ ,  $y = X\theta + e$ ,  $e \sim N(0, Q)$   
 Then  $E[\hat{\theta}] = E[(X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T Q^{-1} (X\theta + e)] = \theta + E[\underbrace{(X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T Q^{-1} e}_{=0}]$   
 We have shown  $\hat{\theta}$  is unbiased.

$$\hat{\theta} - \theta = (X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T Q^{-1} e \text{ so}$$

$$E|\hat{\theta} - \theta|^2 = E[(X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-2} X^T Q^{-1} e e^T Q^{-1} X] = (X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-2} [X^T Q^{-1} X] = \underline{(X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1}}$$

An arbitrary linear, unbiased estimator can be written

$$\hat{\theta} = (X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T Q^{-1} y + b^T y$$

for some N-vector  $b$ . Because  $\hat{\theta}$  is unbiased

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = \theta + (X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T Q^{-1} E[e] + b^T X \theta + b^T E[e]$$

Since  $E[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$ , for all  $\theta$ , we must have

$$b^T X = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Now examine mean square error of estimate  $\theta$  (by (1))

$$\begin{aligned} E[|\hat{\theta} - \theta|^2] &= E[|(X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T Q^{-1} e + b^T X \theta + b^T e|^2] \\ &= E\left[\left[(X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T Q^{-1} + b^T\right] e e^T \left[Q^{-1} X (X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} + b\right]\right] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} & & & \end{bmatrix} Q \begin{bmatrix} & & & \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} + 0 + 0 + b^T Q b \end{aligned}$$

(we have used (1))

Since  $b^T Q b \geq 0$  we have shown

$$E[|\hat{\theta} - \theta|^2] \geq (X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} = E[|\hat{\theta} - \theta|^2]$$

This establishes that  $\hat{\theta}$  is BLUE ("best linear unbiased estimator.")

We know that  $\hat{\theta}$  has mean  $\theta$  and variance  $(X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1}$ . Furthermore, it is an affine function of  $e$ , so it is normal:  $\hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, (X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1})$

It is now supposed that

$$X = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{N \text{ terms}}, 1)^T \text{ and } Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0 & & \\ & 1 & 0.5 & \\ & 0.5 & 1 & \\ & 0 & 0.5 & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We see } X^T Q^{-1} X &= [1, \dots, 1] \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1.5 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \times 1.5 + (N-2) \times 2 \\ &= N-1 \quad (N > 2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, \frac{1}{N-1})$ . So  $|\hat{\theta} - \theta| \leq 2/\sqrt{N-1}$  w.p. 0.95

We conclude

$$\hat{\theta} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{N-1}} \leq \theta \leq \hat{\theta} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{N-1}} \quad \text{w.p. } 0.95$$

3.  $y_t = -a y_{t-1} + e_t \quad (1)$

implies  $\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -y_0 \\ \vdots \\ -y_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} a + \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_N \end{pmatrix}$ ; write as  $\underline{y} = \underline{X} \underline{a} + \underline{e}$

The linear l.s. estimate  $\hat{a} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y = - \frac{\sum_{t=1}^N y_t y_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=1}^N y_{t-1}^2}$

But  $N^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^N y_t y_{t-1} \rightarrow R_y(1)$ ,  $N^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^N y_{t-1}^2 \rightarrow R_y(0)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

It follows that  $\hat{a} \rightarrow -R_y(1)/R_y(0) \neq 0$

But, from (1),  $E\{y_t y_{t-1}\} = -a E\{y_{t-1}^2\} + E\{e_t y_{t-1}\}$ ,

whence  $R_y(1) = -a R_y(0)$

We deduce that

$\hat{a} \rightarrow a$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

We have

$\left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N y_{t-1}^2 \right) \hat{a} = - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N y_t y_{t-1}$

Multiply across 1 by  $y_{t-1}$  and summing gives

$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N y_t y_{t-1} = -a \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N y_{t-1}^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^N y_{t-1} e_t$

It follows

$\left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} y_t^2 \right) (\hat{a} - a) = - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N y_t y_{t-1} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N y_t y_{t-1} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^N y_{t-1} e_t$

Hence

$\hat{R}_y(0) \times (\hat{a} - a) = - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^N y_{t-1} e_t$

We see

$E[\hat{R}_y(0) \times (\hat{a} - a)] = -E\left[\frac{1}{N} \dots\right] = 0$

Hence

$\text{var}(\hat{R}_y(0) \times (\hat{a} - a)) = \frac{1}{N^2} E\left[\left(\sum_{t=1}^N y_{t-1} e_t\right) \times \left(\sum_{t=1}^N y_{t-1} e_t\right)\right]$   
 $= \frac{1}{N^2} E\left[\sum_{t=1}^N y_{t-1}^2\right] \sigma^2 + 0 + \dots + 0$   
 $= \frac{\sigma^2}{N} R_y(0)$

But, from (1)  $R_y(0) = E[y_t^2] = E[(-a y_{t-1} + e_t)^2] = a^2 R_y(0) + \sigma^2$

Hence  $R_y(0) = \sigma^2 / (1 - a^2)$

But then

$\text{var}(\hat{R}_y(0) \times (\hat{a} - a)) = \frac{1}{N} \times \frac{\sigma^4}{(1 - a^2)}$

4. (i)  $R_u(0) = E[(v_t + \frac{1}{2}v_{t-1})(v_t + \frac{1}{2}v_{t-1})] = E[v_t^2] + 0 + 0 + \frac{1}{4}E[v_t^2] = \frac{5}{4}$   
 $R_y(0) = E[(b(v_t + \frac{1}{2}v_{t-1}) + e_t)^2] = b^2(1 + \frac{1}{4}) + \sigma^2 = \frac{5}{4}b^2 + \sigma^2$   
 $R_{uy}(L) = E[(v_t + \frac{1}{2}v_{t-1})(b(v_t + \frac{1}{2}v_{t-1}) + e_t)] = \frac{5}{4}b$

(ii) We write  $\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 & u_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ y_{N-1} & u_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_N \end{bmatrix} \equiv y = X\theta + e$   
 Then  $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{b} \end{bmatrix} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} y_i^2 & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i y_{i-1} \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i y_{i-1} & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i y_{i-1} \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i y_i \end{bmatrix}$   
 $= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{R}_y(0) & \hat{R}_{uy}(L) \\ \hat{R}_{uy}(L) & \hat{R}_u(0) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} R_y(1) \\ R_{uy}(L) \end{bmatrix}$ . So  $\hat{b} = [0 \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} \hat{R}_y(0) & \hat{R}_{uy}(L) \\ \hat{R}_{uy}(L) & \hat{R}_u(0) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} R_y(1) \\ R_{uy}(L) \end{bmatrix}$

If however we assume  $a=0$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_N \end{bmatrix} b + \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_N \end{bmatrix} \equiv y = \bar{X}\bar{\theta} + e$

In this case,  $\hat{b} = (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T y = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^2 \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i y_i \right)$   
 giving  $\hat{b} = \hat{R}_u(0)^{-1} R_{uy}(0)$ .

(iii) The conditional variance of  $\hat{b}$  (given  $\{y_0, \dots, y_N\}$  and  $\{u_1, \dots, u_N\}$ ):  
 $\hat{\delta} = \sigma^2 [0 \ 1] (X^T X)^{-1} [0 \ 1]^T = \frac{\sigma^2}{N} [0 \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} y_i^2 & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i y_{i-1} \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i y_{i-1} & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} [0 \ 1]^T$   
 $= \frac{\sigma^2}{N} \times \frac{1}{\left( \hat{R}_y(0) \hat{R}_u(0) - \frac{1}{N} R_{uy}(0)^2 \right)} \times \hat{R}_y(0)$

Also, the conditional variance of  $\hat{b}$  is  $\hat{\delta} = \sigma^2 (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{N} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^2 \right)^{-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{N} \hat{R}_u(0)^{-1}$

Using the results from (i), and assuming  $\hat{R}_y(0) = R_y(0)$ , etc, we have  
 $\hat{\delta} = \frac{\sigma^2}{N} \frac{(5/4 b^2 + \sigma^2)}{5/4 (5/4 b^2 + \sigma^2) - (\frac{5}{4})^2 b^2} = \frac{1}{N} (b^2 + 4/5 \sigma^2)$

$\hat{\delta} = \frac{1}{N} \times 4/5 \sigma^2$

We observe that  $\hat{\delta} > \delta$ , reflecting the fact that the model (with a unknown) is over-parameterized and therefore gives rise to estimates of non-zero parameters with increased variance.

5.(a)  $y_1 = e_1 + 0$  and  $y_2 = e_2 + ce_1$ , so  $y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{bmatrix}$

The prob. density of  $y$  is:

$$p(y|c, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma^2 \det Q} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} y^T Q^{-1} y \right\}$$

in which  $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c \\ c & 1+c^2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Note,  $\det Q = (1+c^2) = 1$ .

So  $Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+c^2 & -c \\ -c & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} L(c, \sigma^2) &= \log_e p(y|c, \sigma^2) = -\log(2\pi) - \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_1, y_2) \begin{bmatrix} 1+c^2 & -c \\ -c & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= -\log(2\pi) - \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left( (1+c^2)y_1^2 - 2cy_1y_2 + y_2^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

For fixed  $\sigma^2$ , the maximizing  $c = \hat{c}$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c} [ \hat{c}^2 y_1^2 - 2\hat{c} y_1 y_2 ] = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{c} = y_1 y_2 / y_1^2$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} L(\hat{c}, \sigma^2) &= -\log(2\pi) - \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left( y_1^2 + y_2^2 - \frac{(y_1 y_2)^2}{y_1^2} \right) \\ &= \text{const.} - \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} y_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

The maximizing  $\sigma^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} L(\hat{c}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = -\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + \frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}^4} y_1^2 = 0$$

Hence  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{2} y_1^2$ .

We have shown that the maximum likelihood estimates are

$$\hat{c} = y_1 / y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{2} y_1^2$$

We see  $E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \frac{1}{2} E[y_1^2] = \frac{1}{2} E[e_1^2] = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2$ , bias =  $\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2$

(b) Generalized Least Squares Algorithm:

Choose  $D(z)$  ( $=1$ , say). Compute  $y'_t = D(z)y_t$ ,  $u'_t = D(z)u_t$ .  
Obtain LS estimate  $A'(z), B'(z)$  of  $A(z), B(z)$  for model

$$A(z) y'_t = B(z) u'_t + \text{"noise"}$$

given  $y'_t, u'_t$ .

Calculate residuals  $n'_t = A'(z)y'_t - B'(z)u'_t$ .

Obtain LS estimate  $\hat{D}(z)$  of  $D(z)$  for model

$$\hat{D}(z) n'_t = 0 + \text{"noise"}$$

Repeat to obtain  $(A^2(z), B^2(z)), (\hat{D}^3, A^3, B^3), \dots$