EE1-10B MATHEMATICS II

1. a) Given the equations of three planes

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}} \cdot (1, -1, 2) = 2, \qquad \underline{\mathbf{r}} \cdot (0, 1, -3) = \alpha, \qquad \underline{\mathbf{r}} \cdot (2, 1, -5) = 1$$

show that when $\alpha = 1$ the three planes do not intersect, but form the sides of a prism. Find the value of α so that the three planes intersect, and obtain the intersection.

Solution:

Can eliminate variables from equations, but more elegant to use Gaussian elimination. Each plane equation gives one row of the augmented coefficient matrix:

$$(A:\underline{b}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & \alpha \\ 2 & 1 & -5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{R_3-2R_1}{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & \alpha \\ 0 & 3 & -9 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{R_3-3R_2}{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3-3\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence if $\alpha = 1$, row 3 represents 0x + 0y + 0z = -6, and the equations are inconsistent: no intersection exists. None of the planes are parallel, as none of the normal vectors are multiples of each other; the only possibility left is that the planes form the sides of a prism.

For an intersection to exist, require consistent equations: $\alpha = -1$. Row 2 then gives y - 3z = -1.

Choose the free variable $z = \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow y = -1 + 3\lambda$ and then Row 1 gives $x = 2 + y - 2z = 2 + (-1 + 3\lambda) - 2\lambda = 1 - \lambda$. In vector form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

the equation of a line in \mathbb{R}^3

[Similar to examples seen in class.]

[6]

b) Show that for any three vectors $\underline{\mathbf{u}}, \underline{\mathbf{v}}, \underline{\mathbf{w}} \colon [(\underline{\mathbf{u}} + \underline{\mathbf{v}}) \times (\underline{\mathbf{v}} - \underline{\mathbf{w}})] \cdot (\underline{\mathbf{u}} + \underline{\mathbf{w}}) = 0$.

Solution:

Begin with $(\underline{\mathbf{u}} + \underline{\mathbf{v}}) \times (\underline{\mathbf{v}} - \underline{\mathbf{w}}) = \underline{\mathbf{u}} \times \underline{\mathbf{v}} - \underline{\mathbf{u}} \times \underline{\mathbf{w}} - \underline{\mathbf{v}} \times \underline{\mathbf{w}}$, as $\underline{\mathbf{v}} \times \underline{\mathbf{v}} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$ Hence we have

$$(\underline{\mathbf{u}} \times \underline{\mathbf{v}} - \underline{\mathbf{u}} \times \underline{\mathbf{w}} - \underline{\mathbf{v}} \times \underline{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \underline{\mathbf{u}} + (\underline{\mathbf{u}} \times \underline{\mathbf{v}} - \underline{\mathbf{u}} \times \underline{\mathbf{w}} - \underline{\mathbf{v}} \times \underline{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \underline{\mathbf{w}}$$
$$= -(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w},$$

as all the other terms are zero, e.g. $(\underline{\mathbf{v}} \times \underline{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \underline{\mathbf{v}} = 0$, etc. Rearrange:

$$= -\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\underline{\mathbf{v}} \times \underline{\mathbf{w}}) + \underline{\mathbf{w}} \cdot (\underline{\mathbf{u}} \times \underline{\mathbf{v}})$$

Finally, the triple scalar product gives that $\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\underline{\mathbf{v}} \times \underline{\mathbf{w}}) = \underline{\mathbf{w}} \cdot (\underline{\mathbf{u}} \times \underline{\mathbf{v}})$ and the result follows. [Similar to examples seen in class.]

c) Consider the matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ -6 & 5 & -4 \end{array}\right).$$

i) Show that $\lambda = 1$ is an eigenvalue of A, and find the other eigenvalues.

Solution:

Set up

$$det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 - \lambda & 2 \\ -6 & 5 & -4 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

and expand by first column:

$$= (1 - \lambda)[(-1 - \lambda)(-4 - \lambda) - 10] - 6[-4 - 2(-1 - \lambda)]$$

Note $(1 - \lambda)$ in first term, and don't multiply out:

$$= (1 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 + 5\lambda - 6) + 12(1 - \lambda) = (1 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 + 5\lambda + 6) = 0$$

Hence $\lambda = 1$ is an eigenvalue and the quadratic term factorizes to give $\lambda = -2, -3$ as the other eigenvalues.

ii) Find an eigenvector of A, corresponding to $\lambda = 1$.

Find $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x, y, z)^T$ such that $(A - 1I)\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ -6 & 5 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{x}} = \underline{\mathbf{0}} \text{ and no further operations are required.}$$

Row 1 and 2 both give y = z. Row 3 gives $-6x + 5y - 5z = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ and an eigenvector for $\lambda = 1$ is $(0, 1, 1)^T$.

- d) Let A be an invertible matrix, and λ an eigenvalue of A.
 - i) Show that $\lambda \neq 0$;

If $\lambda = 0$ were an eigenvalue of A, then $A\underline{\mathbf{x}} = 0\underline{\mathbf{x}}$ for a non-zero eigenvector $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$. Hence $A\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$ would have a nonzero solution. But if A is invertible, the only solution for $A\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$ is $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$. Hence zero cannot be an eigenvalue, and $\lambda \neq 0$ [Unseen.]

ii) Hence, or otherwise, show that $1/\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of A^{-1} .

Given that $\lambda \neq 0$ is an eigenvalue, we have non-zero \underline{x} such that $A\underline{x} = \lambda \underline{x}$. As A^{-1} exists, we can multiply on left by A^{-1} :

$$A^{-1}(A\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = A^{-1}(\lambda\underline{\mathbf{x}}) \Rightarrow (A^{-1}A)\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \lambda A^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{x}} \Rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{x}} = \lambda A^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Now divide by $\lambda \neq 0$ to obtain $\frac{1}{\lambda}\underline{x} = A^{-1}\underline{x}$ and as \underline{x} is non-zero, we see that $1/\lambda$ is an eigenvalue for A^{-1} .

2. a) i) Evaluate the determinant of the matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 \\ \alpha & 1 & -5 \end{array} \right)$$

and state the value of α for which A is singular.

Solution:

Expand by first column:

$$\det(A) = 1(5-1) - 2(5-2) + \alpha(-1+2) = -2 + \alpha.$$

So the matrix is singular, det(A) = 0 when $\alpha = 2$.

[Similar to examples seen in class?]

[3]

[4]

ii) Let $\alpha = 3$. Use Gauss-Jordan elimination (row operations) to find A^{-1} .

Solution:

Set up the augmented matrix, and use row operations, one column at at time, left to right:

$$(A:I) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & | & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & -5 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & | & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & -11 & | & -3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_1 + R_2 \\ R_3 - 4 R_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & | & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & | & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 5 & -4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_1 + R_3 \\ R_2 + 3 R_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 4 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 13 & -11 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 5 & -4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So that
$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -3 & 1 \\ 13 & -11 & 3 \\ 5 & -4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

[Similar to examples seen in class.]

[5]

iii) Use the inverse found in (ii) to solve the set of linear equations

$$-x + 2y + z = 3$$

 $-x + y + 2z = 0$
 $x + 3y - 5z = -8$

Solution:

Spot the rearrangement of the equations:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
-x & + & y & + & 2z & = & 0 \\
-x & + & 2y & + & z & = & 3 \\
x & + & 3y & - & 5z & = & -8
\end{array}$$

and then

$$y - x + 2z = 0$$

 $2y - x + z = 3$
 $3y + x - 5z = -8$

(can be done in one step!)

so the system is equivalent to $A\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (0,3,-8)^T$ where $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (y,x,z)^T$. [*Unseen*.]

Now use the inverse, $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = A^{-1}(0,3,-\hat{\mathbf{8}})^T$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -3 & 1 \\ 13 & -11 & 3 \\ 5 & -4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ -8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -17 \\ -57 \\ -20 \end{pmatrix}$$

so the solution is x = -57, y = -17 and z = -20.

- b) Given the function $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}}$,
 - i) Obtain the Maclaurin series for f(x) up to the term in x^3 and state the remainder term

Solution:

$$f(x) = (1-x)^{-1/2} \implies f(0) = 1$$

$$f'(x) = -\frac{1}{2}(1-x)^{-3/2}(-1) \implies f'(0) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$f''(x) = \frac{3}{4}(1-x)^{-5/2} \implies f''(0) = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$f'''(x) = \frac{15}{8}(1-x)^{-7/2} \implies f'''(0) = \frac{15}{8}$$

$$f^{(4)}(x) = \frac{105}{16}(1-x)^{-9/2}$$

So the Maclaurin series is

$$(1-x)^{-1/2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{3}{4 \cdot 2!}x^2 + \frac{15}{8 \cdot 3!}x^3 + R_3$$
$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{3}{8}x^2 + \frac{5}{16}x^3 + R_3$$

[4]

where the remainder term is

$$R_3(x) = \frac{35x^4}{128(1-c)^{9/2}}$$
, with $0 < |c| < |x|$.

[Similar to examples seen in class.]

[5]

ii) Find the maximum error incurred in using the series up to the term in x^4 to estimate $\frac{1}{\sqrt{0.9}}$. [You can leave the answer in terms of a fraction.]

Solution:

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{0.9}} = f(0.1)$, so the maximum error will be bound by $|R_3(0.1)|$.

Given that $\frac{1}{(1-c)^{9/2}}$ is maximal when the denominator is smallest, and 0 < c < 0.1, then c = 0.1 gives the upper bound:

$$|R_3(0.1)| < \frac{35(0.1)^4}{128(0.9)^{9/2}}$$

[Similar to examples seen in class.]

[4]

(For information: The error is ≈ 0.00003 and the bound is ≈ 0.00004 .)

Given the power series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{2^n - 1}$, find all values of x for which the series converges. Use the ratio test:

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{\frac{x^{n+1}}{2^{n+1}-1}}{\frac{x^n}{2^{n}-1}} = \frac{2^n-1}{2^{n+1}-1}x = \frac{1-2^{-n}}{2-2^{-n}}x,$$

and, as $2^{-n} \to 0$, in the limit, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - 2^{-n}}{2 - 2^{-n}} |x| = \frac{1}{2} |x|.$$

So by the ratio test, the series converges for $\frac{1}{2}|x| < 1 \Rightarrow -2 < x < 2$ and diverges for $\frac{1}{2}|x| > 1 \Rightarrow x > 2$ or x < -2. When $\frac{1}{2}|x| = 1$, the ratio test is inconclusive. When x = 2 the series diverges as all terms a_n are positive and $a_n \to 1$. When x = -2, the series converges by the alternating series test. Thus the desired interval of convergence is [-2, 2).

[Similar to examples seen in class.]

[4]

3. a) The first two terms of y form the complementary function y_c . Therefore the roots of the auxiliary polynomial $a(\lambda)$ are 1 and 2 so $a(\lambda) = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2) = \lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 2$ and the LHS of the equation is $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} - 3\frac{dy}{dx} + 2y$. The last term of y is the particular integral y_p and since it is not part of y_c the RHS of the differential equation has the form $f(x) = ce^{3x}$. Substituting $y_p(x) = e^{3x}$ into the LHS, we get $(3)^2 - 3(3) + 2 = 2$ and so $f(x) = 2e^{3x}$. Thus the differential equation is

b) We recognise the equation as separable by writing the equation in the form

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{x}{y}e^{x-y} = \frac{x}{y}e^{x}e^{-y} = (xe^{x})(ye^{y})^{-1}$$

Cross-multiplying, integrating by parts and rearranging the solution is given as

$$ye^{y}dy = xe^{x}dx \implies \int ye^{y}dy = \int xe^{x}dx \Rightarrow ye^{y} - \int e^{y}dy = xe^{x} - \int e^{x}dx$$
$$\Rightarrow \boxed{(y-1)e^{y} - (x-1)e^{x} + C = 0}$$

[6]

Write the differential equation as P(x,y)dx + Q(x,y)dy = 0 where $P(x,y) = 2xy + e^x$ and $Q(x,y) = x^2 + \cos y$. The equation is exact since

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = 2x = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}$$

[3]

Since the equation is exact, the solution has the form f(x,y) = 0 where

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = P(x, y) = 2xy + e^x, \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = Q(x, y) = x^2 + \cos y$$

Integrating in turn gives

$$f(x,y) = x^2y + e^x + g_1(y),$$
 $f(x,y) = x^2y + \sin y + g_2(x)$

It follows that $g_1(y) = \sin y$ and $g_2(x) = e^x$ so the general solution is

$$x^2y + \sin y + e^x + C = 0$$

[3]

d) i) The transformation $y = \frac{dz}{dx}$ gives the linear first order ODE

$$\boxed{\frac{dy}{dx} - \frac{3}{x}y = -3x}$$

[3]

ii) Multiplying by the integrating factor $\mu(x) = e^{\int \frac{-3}{x} dx} = x^{-3}$ gives

$$x^{-3}\frac{dy}{dx} - 3x^{-4}y = -3x^{-2} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx}(x^{-3}y) = -3x^{-2}$$

\Rightarrow $y = x^3 \left(\int -3x^{-2}dx + C \right) = x^3 (3x^{-1} + C) = 3x^2 + Cx^3$

Finally $z = \int y dx = \int 3x^2 + Cx^3 dx$ and so $z(x) = x^3 + C_1x^4 + C_2$ [4]

4. a) i)
$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = e^{xy} + y(x+y)e^{xy}$$
 [2]

ii) Since
$$dF = 0$$
 we have that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}dy + \frac{\partial F}{\partial z}dz = 0$. It follows that

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} := \frac{dz}{dx}|_{y=\text{constant}} = \frac{dz}{dx}|_{dy=0} = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}/\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{z\sin x}{e^z + \cos x}}$$

iii) Since the integration is with respect to
$$x$$
, $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{xy+1}{x^2+y^3}$ [3]

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} & \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2} \\ \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} & \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi/\rho \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi/\rho \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix}$$

and so
$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^2$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ -\sin \phi/\rho & \cos \phi/\rho \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi/\rho \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi/\rho \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} \end{array}\right]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\rho^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}\right)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
[4]

ii) Since $f_{\rho} = 0$ the equation transforms to

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}\right)^2 = \frac{x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)} = \cos^2 \phi \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} = \pm \cos \phi \Rightarrow f(\phi) = \pm \sin \phi + C$$
and so
$$f(x, y) = \pm \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} + C$$
[4]

$$\nabla f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} f_x \\ f_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6x^2 - 4x - 2y \\ 2(y - x) \end{bmatrix}$$

The stationary points are obtained as the solutions of the set of equations $\nabla f(x,y) = 0$ and so $(x_1,y_1) = (0,0), (x_2,y_2) = (1,1)$ [4]

ii) The Hessian is given by
$$M(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} f_{xx} & f_{xy} \\ f_{yx} & f_{yy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12x-4 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
. It follows that $M(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $M(1,1) = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. A calculation shows that the eigenvalues of $M(0,0)$ have opposite signs so $(0,0)$ is a saddle point and that the eigenvalues of $M(1,1)$ are both positive and so $(1,1)$ is a local minimiser.

