ALGORITHMS AND COMPLEXITY

1. a) For each of the following statements, state whether it is true or false and provide a supporting proof.

i) $2n^2 + 3n^3 + 4n^4 = O(n^4)$. [3] Answer: True. Easy to show that for all n, we have $n^2 \le n^4$ and $n^3 \le n^4$. So $2n^2 + 3n^3 + 4n^4 \le 2n^4 + 3n^4 + 4n^4 = 9n^4$. So let $n_0 = 1$ and c = 9 in definition of O.

ii) $n^2 + 2^{-n} = O(n^2)$. [3] Answer: True. For $n \ge 1$ we have $2^{-n} \le 1/2 \le n^2$ and therefore $n^2 + 2^{-n} \le 2n^2$ so set $n_0 = 1$ and c = 2.

- b) Describe an algorithm (using pseudocode or a precise description in words) for each of the following tasks, and give a tight upper bound for its running time in O notation. You may assume and state running times for standard algorithms without proving them.
 - i) Calculate the mean of an array of n values. [3]

 Answer:

 Set S = 0. Iterate through each item x of the array X, and compute S = S + x. Return S/n. Within each iteration, fixed number of operations so O(1). First and final steps are fixed number of operations so O(1). n iterations, so total cost is O(n).
 - Count the number of unique items in an array of n values. So, for example, the array $\{1, 5, 3, 1, 3, 1, 3\}$ has n = 7 but only 3 unique items. [4]

Answer:

Two equally good answers:

Sort the list $O(n\log n)$ using merge sort or other standard algorithm. Set the count of unique items U=0. Iterate from i=0 to n-1. For each i, if i=0 or $X[i-1] \neq X[i]$, set U=U+1. Return U. Each iteration is O(1) and there are n iterations so the second stage of the algorithm is O(n). $O(n) + O(n\log n) = O(n\log n)$ so the algorithm is $O(n\log n)$. The algorithm works because when the array is sorted, duplicate copies of a value will be adjacent in the sorted array.

Initialise a hash table T with values being booleans (for example). Set U = 0. Iterate through each item x in the array X. If x is not in T, set U = U + 1 and set T[x] = True. Return U. Each hash table operation is O(1) and there are n iterations so this algorithm is O(n).

- Give a tight bound for each of the following recurrence relations, or explain why it's not possible to do so.
 - i) $T(n) = 8T(n/4) + n\sqrt{n}$. [3] Answer: a = 8, b = 4, d = 1.5. $\log_b a = \log_4 8 = 1.5 = d$. So, $T(n) = O(n^d \log n) = 1.5$

$$O(n^{1.5}\log n)$$
.

ii)
$$T(n) = aT(n/3) + O(n^3)$$
 assuming $a < 27$. [4] Answer:

To apply the master theorem, we need to know which is larger, d or $\log_b a$. Since a < 27 we have $\log_3 a < \log_3 27 = 3 = d$. Therefore, $T(n) = O(n^d) = O(n^3)$.

Master Theorem. If T(n) satisfies

$$T(n) = a T(n/b) + O(n^d)$$

for some a > 0, b > 1 and $d \ge 0$, then

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} O(n^d) & \text{if } d > \log_b a \\ O(n^d \log n) & \text{if } d = \log_b a \\ O(n^{\log_b a}) & \text{if } d < \log_b a \end{cases}$$

2. Moria Mining Corporation (MMC) holds the rights to n mine shafts. They estimate that mine shaft i ($0 \le i < n$) has a total amount of gold g_i . Their competitor, Balrog Incorporated (BI), is going to mount a hostile takeover of these mine shafts in T days. MMC wants to maximise the amount of gold they extract before this happens. Each day, only a single shaft can be mined and the amount of gold they can extract from mine i if they spend t days mining it is

$$m_i(t) = g_i \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{t+1}\right).$$

MMC have asked you to devise a dynamic programming algorithm to maximise the total amount of gold they can extract in the time remaining.

We will define G(n,T) as the maximum amount of gold that can be mined from mine shafts 0 to n-1 in T days.

- a) Explain what each of the following special cases for G(n,T) means in a single non-mathematical sentence, and find the solution in each case:
 - i) G(n,0). [2] Answer: If T=0 there is no time remaining, so no gold can be mined, and G(n,0)=0.
 - ii) G(1,T). [2] Answer: If n = 1 there is only one mine shaft remaining, so all the remaining time T should be used on this shaft. This gives $G(1,T) = m_0(T)$.
 - iii) G(n,1). [2] Answer: If T = 1 there is only one day remaining, so it should be spent on the mine with the largest value of g_i to give $G(n,1) = \max_i m_i(1) = (\max_i g_i)(1-1/2) = (\max_i g_i)/2$.
- Write an equation for G(n,T), by considering the options for the number of days t that MMC should spend mining shaft n-1, and expressing the optimal solution in terms of $m_{n-1}(0)$, $m_{n-1}(1)$, $m_{n-1}(2)$, ..., and G(n-1,T), G(n-1,T-1), G(n-1,T-2), [6]

The options available are to spend 0, 1, 2, ..., T days on shaft n-1. If they spend t days, then they will mine $m_{n-1}(t)$ gold from shaft n-1. In the remaining T-t days they can mine G(n-1,T-t) gold. So, if they spend t days on shaft n-i they will make $m_{n-1}(t)+G(n-1,T-t)$ gold. To find the maximum amount of gold they can mine, we maximise over all the possible choices of t to get

$$G(n,T) = \max_{0 \le t \le T} m_{n-1}(t) + G(n-1,T-t).$$

Write pseudocode for an efficient algorithm to compute G(n,T), the maximum amount of gold they can mine. Your algorithm does not need to return the amount of time they should spend mining each shaft, and your pseudocode should not be substantially longer than 20 lines. [10]

Answer:

The following Python code solves this problem.

solutions = {}

```
def G(g, n, T):
    if (n, T) in solutions:
        return solutions[n, T]
    if T==0:
        return 0
    if n==1:
        return g[0]*f(T)
    best_G = G(g, n-1, T)
    for t in range(1, T+1):
        cur_G = g[n-1]*f(t)+G(g, n-1, T-t)
        if cur_G>best_G:
            best_G = cur_G
    solutions[n, T] = best_G
    return best_G
```

d) Compute the time complexity of your algorithm in terms of n and T.

Note that complexity notation for two variables behaves as you would expect for one variable. In particular $O(f(x)) \times O(g(y)) = O(f(x)g(y))$. [4] Answer:

Each call to G is at most O(T) due to the loop $0 \le t \le T$. At the end of the computation, nT different values of G(n,T) will have been computed, taking O(T) each so the total time is $O(nT^2)$.

Using your answer to parts (a) and (b), find the maximum amount of gold that could be mined for n = 3 mines each of which have a total amount of gold $g_i = 600$ in T = 3 days. [4]

Answer:

We drop the subscripts i because g_i and m_i are the same for each i. We start by computing m(t) for $0 \le t \le 3$.

$$m(0) = 0$$

 $m(1) = 600(1 - 1/2) = 300$
 $m(2) = 600(1 - 1/3) = 400$
 $m(3) = 600(1 - 1/4) = 450$.

Now we want to know G(3,3) which we compute as

$$G(3,3) = \max \begin{cases} G(2,3) & t = 0\\ G(2,2) + m(1) = G(2,2) + 300 & t = 1\\ G(2,1) + m(2) = 300 + 400 = 700 & t = 2\\ G(2,0) + m(3) = 0 + 450 = 450 & t = 3 \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

To compute this then, we need to compute G(2,3) and G(2,2). Let's start with G(2,2).

$$G(2,2) = \max \begin{cases} G(1,2) = m(2) = 400 & t = 0\\ G(1,1) + m(1) = m(1) + m(1) = 600 & t = 1\\ G(1,0) + m(2) = 0 + 400 = 400 & t = 2 \end{cases}$$
 (2.2)

So G(2,2) = 600. Now we compute G(2,3):

$$G(2,3) = \max \begin{cases} G(1,3) = m(3) = 450 & t = 0\\ G(1,2) + m(1) = m(2) + m(1) = 400 + 300 = 700 & t = 1\\ G(1,1) + m(2) = m(1) + m(2) = 300 + 400 = 700 & t = 2\\ G(1,0) + m(3) = 0 + 450 = 450 & t = 3 \end{cases}$$

$$(2.3)$$

So G(2,3) = 700. We can now put this into our equation for G(3,3) to get $G(3,3) = \max\{700,900,700,450\} = 900$.

