Paper Number(s): ISE2.8

IMPERIAL COLLEGE OF SCIENCE,	TECHNOLOGY	AND MEDICINE
UNIVERSITY OF LONDON		

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING EXAMINATIONS 2001

ISE PART II: M.Eng. and B.Eng.

LANGUAGE PROCESSORS

Wednesday, 9 May 2:00 pm

There are FIVE questions on this paper.

Answer THREE questions.

Time allowed: 2:00 hours

Examiners: Bailey,R.

- 1a Explain briefly (100 words each) the functions of each of the following components of a language-processing system:
 - Lexical Analyser
 - ii. Syntax Analyser (Parser)
 - iii Semantic Analyser (Type-Checker)
 - iv Evaluator
 - Decompiler
 - vi Code Generator

16 marks

b Explain with examples what data structures are used in the operation of each of the components in a above.

[8 marks

- Draw diagrams showing how the components of a above can be combined together into:
 - A Compiler
 - ii. An Interpreter
 - iii A Source-to-Source Translator

In each case you should indicate clearly which of the data structures of *b* above are used to communicate between the components.

16 marks

2 In a fragment of a language, the abstract syntax trees for expressions are defined by the following Haskell data type:

```
data Exp = Plus Exp Exp
| Var Name
| Const Int
| Index Name Exp
```

For example, the expression x + 1 is represented as:

```
Plus ( Var "x" ) ( Const 1 )
```

The Index node is used to reference elements of one-dimensional arrays; thus the expression A [x + 1] is represented as:

```
Index "A" ( Plus ( Var "x" ) ( Const 1 ) )
```

a Use Haskell to sketch the design of a simple code generator for expressions represented using this data type. The output from your code generator should be for a zero-address (stack) machine. State clearly any assumptions you make about the target instruction set.

[10 marks

b. Now consider the same expression language augmented with function calls taking a single parameter. The modified abstract syntax tree is as follows:

```
        data
        Exp
        = Plus
        Exp
        Exp

        | Var
        Name
        Int

        | Index
        Name
        Exp

        | Index
        Name
        Exp

        | Call
        Name
        Exp
```

Show how your code generator would be extended to handle this.

[10 marks

3	A language provides expressions which consist of integer and Boolean <i>constants</i> , a dyadic <i>addition</i> operation to add two integer values, a dyadic <i>comparison</i> operation to compare two operands of the same type for equality (yielding a Boolean result), and a triadic <i>conditional</i> operation to evaluate one of two expressions of the same type according to the value of a Boolean expression.	
a	Suggest an appropriate Haskell data type for representing the <i>Abstract Syntax</i> of expressions written in the language.	[4 marks
b	Write an <i>Evaluator</i> which will evaluate semantically correct expressions (<i>i.e.</i> having operands of the correct types) written in the language.	[7 marks
С	Suggest an appropriate Haskell data type for representing the <i>type</i> of value represented by an expression, including the possibility that it may be semantically incorrect.	[3 marks
d	Write a Type-Checker which will determine the type of value represented by an expression, or report that it is semantically incorrect (but do not report the reason).	[6 marks
4	A functional language has the following partial grammar for expressions: <exp> ::= <var> <cond> <cond> ::= <exp> if <exp> else <exp></exp></exp></exp></cond></cond></var></exp>	
a	Explain why the grammar above is unsuitable for top-down parsing and transform it Into a version which is suitable.	/4 marks
b	Explain why the original version of the grammar is ambiguous, justifying your explanation by showing a parse tree of an ambiguous sentence or by formally manipulating the grammar.	[5 marks
c	State whether your modified grammar from part a is ambiguous and justify your answer.	[6 marks
d	Explain a strategy which can be used in a top-down parser to overcome such ambiguities.	12 marks
	anangunes.	I = marks
e	Suggest how the concrete syntax of conditional expressions in the language might be redesigned to remove the original ambiguity.	[3 marks

5 Boolean expressions in a programming language are described by the following BNF grammar:

where **neg** has the highest priority and associates to the *right*, **and** has a higher priority than **or** (both of which associate to the *left*) and parentheses override these priorities in the conventional way. It is proposed to write an *Operator Precedence Parser* for the language.

a Write down the Precedence Matrix for this fragment of the grammar.

18 marks

b Assuming the following declarations:

```
data Token = And | Or | Neg | Open | Close | Term data Tree = Node Token [ Tree ] | Leaf type Sentence = [ Token ] type Stack a = [ a ]
```

Write a precedence parsing function parse :: Sentence -> Tree which will construct abstract syntax trees from syntactically correct tokenised input sentences.

For simplicity, both the terminal symbol tokens <code><variable></code> and <code><constant></code> are represented by the single constructor Term. You may also assume the existence of a predicate lessthan:: Token <code>-></code> Token <code>-></code> Bool giving <code>true</code> if the <code><+</code> relation holds between its first and second arguments.

[12 marks