General comments on EE2-6 Control Engineering paper 2017

Overall, the students have done very well on the exam paper, scoring an exam average of 67%.

- 1. The students have done relatively well on this question, scoring approximately 72%. This is an op-amp modeling, proportional controller design and Nyquist stability analysis question and is a variation on a past exam question and typical study group questions.
 - (a) Tests understanding of transfer functions for simple circuits.
 - (b) Tests obtaining differential equations from transfer functions.
 - (c) Tests obtaining state—space realizations from transfer functions. A bit tricky since inputs and their derivatives are involved.
 - (d) Tests understanding of the use of the final value theorem in simple analysis.
 - (e) Tests understanding of the use of the final value theorem in simple design.
 - (f) Tests understanding of the use of the final value theorem in more complex design.
 - (g) Tests sketching Nyquist diagrams for simple systems.
 - (h) Tests the use of the Nyquist theorem in simple proportional stability design.
- 2. This is a Nyquist diagram analysis and design question that involves a a third order stable system. It also introduces the small gain theorem. The students did less well on this question scoring approximately 61%.
 - (a) Requires the students to demonstrate an understanding of the Routh–Hurwitz stability criterion and its use in sketching Nyquist diagrams.
 - (b) Requires an understanding of the use of the Routh–Hurwitz stability criterion to determine the stability margins and the cross–over frequency.
 - (c) Qualitative analysis of the effects of phase–lead compensation on the stability margins.
 - (d) Explores the relation between Nyquist analysis and the small gain theorem. Also, introduces, step-by-step, the use of the small gain theorem in robust stability analysis.
- 3. This is a root-locus type design question for a feedback loop employing rate feedback. It involves block diagram manipulations to reduce a non-standard feedback loop to a standard one for root-locus analysis and design. The students did relatively well on this question, scoring approximately 66%.
 - (a) Tests the students' understanding of the relation between design specifications and pole location.
 - (b) Tests the students' understanding of simple block diagram manipulations.
 - (c) Tests the students' understanding of the relation between the root–locus and the characteristic equation for nonstandard feedback loops.
 - (d) Tests the students' ability to draw the root—locus and its relation to proportional compensator design.
 - (e) Tests the students' understanding of the use of the gain and angle criteria in root-locus design.
 - (f) Tests the students' ability to draw the root–locus.