

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014

Confidential

Model Answers and Mark Schemes

First Examiner:

A. Casson

Paper Code: E4.17


Second Examiner:

P. Georgiou

1.

(a)

(i) (Theory)



Ladder state equations:

$$V1 = V_{in} - V2$$

$$I1 = V1/R1$$

$$I2 = I1 - I3$$

$$V2 = I2/sC2$$

$$V3 = V2 - V4$$

$$I3 = V3/sL3$$

$$I4 = I3 - I5$$

$$V4 = I4/sC4$$

$$V5 = V4 - V6$$

$$I5 = V5/sL5$$


$$I6 = I5 - I7$$

$$V6 = I6/sC6$$


$$V7 = V6$$

$$I7 = V7/R7$$

Signal flow graph:



Scaled signal flow graph:



[4]

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014

Confidential

Model Answers and Mark Schemes

First Examiner:

A. Casson


Paper Code: E4.17

Second Examiner:

P. Georgiou

(ii) (Application of theory)

Vertical transitions become circuit blocks with two inputs and one output with the corresponding transfer function. The signs on the horizontal branches determine which input of the circuit block they connect to. The circuit voltages/states are illustrated below:



X/Y terms (the two on the ends) are amplifiers. X/sY terms (the middle five) are integrators.

[2]

(iii) (Theory)

Advantage: Parasitic insensitive: presence of virtual earth means back-plate parasitic can be between two ac grounds.

Disadvantage: Relatively low bandwidth due to the need for negative feedback.

[2]

(b)

(i) (New computed example)

For MOSFET in triode region: $I_d = GV_{ds} - \beta V_{ds}^2$ where $G = 2\beta(V_{gs} - V_{th})$.

Hence from current flow through FETs and capacitors:

$$I_{d1} = G1V1 - \beta V1^2 = -Vo1.sC$$

$$I_{d2} = G2V2 - \beta V2^2 = -Vo2.sC$$

$$V_{out} = Vo2 - Vo1: V_{out} = \frac{1}{sC} [G1V1 - \beta V1^2 - G2V2 + \beta V2^2]$$

If matched: $G1 = G2 = G$. If balanced: $V2 = -V1$.

$$\text{Hence: } V_{out} = \frac{1}{sC} [GV1 - \beta V1^2 + GV1 + \beta V2^2] \text{ so } V_{out} = \frac{1}{sC} 2GV1.$$

$$\omega u = \frac{2G}{C} = \frac{4\beta(Vc - V_{th})}{C}.$$


Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014		Confidential
Model Answers and Mark Schemes	First Examiner:	A. Casson
Paper Code: E4.17	Second Examiner:	P. Georgiou

Substituting values gives $V_c = 1.7$ V.

[4]

(ii) (Theory)

Use cross-coupled MOS resistors:



$$V_{o1} - V_{o2} = \frac{1}{sC} (I_{d1a} + I_{d2b} - I_{d1b} - I_{d2a})$$

$$= \frac{1}{sC} ((G_1 - G_2)(V_1 - V_2) + (\beta_1 - \beta_2)(V_2^2 - V_1^2))$$

Provided that devices are matched (equal β), then $V_{out} = \frac{(G_1 - G_2)}{sC} (V_1 - V_2)$

where $G_1 - G_2 = 2\beta(V_{c1} - V_{c2})$

[4]

(iii) (Application of theory)

The cross-coupled topology from (ii) is better as the unity gain frequency does not depend on the threshold voltage.

[1]

(iv) (New computed example)

For the circuit from Figure 1.3: The variation could be corrected by changing the control voltage (V_c) so that the difference ($V_c - V_{th}$) is unchanged even if V_{th} varies. To keep the same operating point in (i) we need $(V_c - V_{th}) = 1$ V. Hence for $V_{c,max} = 3$ V, V_{th} could be as large as 2 V, 1.3 V above nominal.

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014		Confidential
Model Answers and Mark Schemes	First Examiner:	A. Casson
Paper Code: E4.17	Second Examiner:	P. Georgiou

For the circuit from (ii): the topology is already compensated for mismatch in the threshold voltages and so no adjustments are necessary. In principle any change in the threshold value is tolerable, as long as the FETs stay in the triode region.

[3]

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014

Confidential

Model Answers and Mark Schemes

First Examiner:

A. Casson

Paper Code: E4.17


Second Examiner:

P. Georgiou

2.

(a)


(i) (Application of theory)



[2]

(ii) (Application of theory)

If V2, V3, and V4 are constant they act as ac grounds for the noise analysis. The capacitor bank thus acts as a potential divider:



Hence by circuit analysis: $v_x = \frac{0.25C_T}{0.25C_T + 0.75C_T} \cdot V_{in} \rightarrow v_x = 0.25V_{in}$.

To refer noise sources to input need to invert this, and square to deal with powers.

End result: $16(v_{ng}^2 + \frac{ind^2}{gm^2}) V^2$.

[5]

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014		Confidential
Model Answers and Mark Schemes	First Examiner:	A. Casson
Paper Code: E4.17	Second Examiner:	P. Georgiou

(b) (Theory)

$$\text{Noise factor} = \frac{\text{Total equivalent input noise power}}{\text{Input noise power due to the source only}}$$

Noise figure is the noise factor expressed in dB.

[2]

(c)

(i) (Application of theory)

- Considering the first stage alone:

$$F1 = \frac{v_{eq1}^2}{v_{ns}^2}$$

Thus the equivalent input noise voltage $v_{eq1}^2 = F1 v_{ns}^2$

This equivalent input noise v_{eq1}^2 consists of the received (source) input noise, plus internal noise v_{n1}^2 contributed by the first stage:

$$v_{eq1}^2 = v_{ns}^2 + v_{n1}^2$$

$$v_{n1}^2 = v_{eq1}^2 - v_{ns}^2 = (F1-1) v_{ns}^2$$

- Considering the second stage alone, $v_{n2}^2 = (F2-1)v_{ns}^2$

- Combining both stages, the total output noise:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{nt}^2 &= G1G2v_{ns}^2 + G1G2v_{n1}^2 + G2v_{n2}^2 \\ &= G1G2v_{ns}^2 + G1G2(F1-1)v_{ns}^2 + G2(F2-1)v_{ns}^2 \\ &= [G1G2F1 + (F2-1)G2]v_{ns}^2 \end{aligned}$$

- Noise factor of the cascaded pair,

$$F = \frac{v_{nt}^2}{G1G2v_{ns}^2} = F1 + \frac{F2-1}{G1}$$

[3]

(ii) (New computed example)

Using given numbers: $F = 2.02$.

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014		Confidential
Model Answers and Mark Schemes	First Examiner:	A. Casson
Paper Code: E4.17	Second Examiner:	P. Georgiou

We can define $SNR_{in} = \frac{\text{Received signal power}}{\text{Received noise power}} = \frac{v_{sig}^2}{v_{ns}^2}$

Similarly $SNR_{out} = \frac{\text{Output signal power}}{\text{Output noise power}} = \frac{G v_{sig}^2}{G v_{eq}^2} = \frac{v_{sig}^2}{v_{eq}^2}$

Thus $\frac{SNR_{in}}{SNR_{out}} = \frac{v_{eq}^2}{v_{ns}^2} = F$

$SNR_{in} = F \times SNR_{out}$.

$SNR_{out} = 50 \text{ dB} = 100,000$.

$SNR_{in} = 202,000 = 53.05 \text{ dB to 2 d.p.}$

[3]

(d) (New computed example)

By extension from part (c), for three stages:

$$F = F1 + \frac{F2 - 1}{G1} + \frac{F3 - 1}{G1G2}$$

Substituting numbers gives the noise factor as 6.1445. Hence the noise figure is 7.88 dB.

[5]

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014			Confidential
Model Answers and Mark Schemes	First Examiner:	A. Casson	
Paper Code: E4.17	Second Examiner:	P. Georgiou	

3.

(a) (Theory)

The mixer is used for frequency conversion.

Selecting a particular frequency channel from the complete RF spectrum requires a narrowband bandpass filter. Making a high Q, tuneable, filter at RF frequencies is difficult. The mixer multiplies the RF input signal with a Local Oscillator (LO) such that the resulting output is at a lower Intermediate Frequency (IF). The design of the bandpass IF filter is eased since it doesn't have to be tuneable, and the IF centre frequency is much lower than the input RF signal. The LO can be tuneable to select different channels.

[2]

(b) (New computed example)

All superhet receivers have the potential for responding to frequencies other than the desired channel. Most spurious responses originate in the mixer, especially from harmonic mixing of the RF and LO signals.

Here the LO is at 1000 MHz.

If this has a significant 2nd harmonic it will be at 2000 MHz. Input signals at 1900 MHz and 2100 MHz will mix with this to give frequencies at the sum and difference: 100 MHz, 3900 MHz, 4100 MHz.

The 100 MHz component will overlap with the wanted IF signal, causing interference.

[3]

(c) (Application of theory)

For BJT: $I_c = I_s e^{\frac{V_{be}}{V_t}}$. Let the emitter node voltage be V_x .

Thus $I_{c1} = I_{s1} e^{\frac{V_{a/2} - V_x}{V_t}}$ and $I_{c2} = I_{s2} e^{\frac{-V_{a/2} - V_x}{V_t}}$.

Assume the transistors are matched so $I_{s1} = I_{s2} = I_s$.

Therefore $out = I_{c1} - I_{c2} = I_s (e^{\frac{V_{a/2} - V_x}{V_t}} - e^{\frac{-V_{a/2} - V_x}{V_t}}) = I_s e^{\frac{-V_x}{V_t}} (e^{\frac{V_{a/2}}{V_t}} - e^{\frac{-V_{a/2}}{V_t}})$.

For the constant current source: $q = I_{c1} + I_{c2} = I_s e^{\frac{-V_x}{V_t}} (e^{\frac{V_{a/2}}{V_t}} + e^{\frac{-V_{a/2}}{V_t}})$.

Combining these: $\frac{I_{out}}{I_q} = \frac{e^{\frac{V_{a/2}}{V_t}} - e^{\frac{-V_{a/2}}{V_t}}}{e^{\frac{V_{a/2}}{V_t}} + e^{\frac{-V_{a/2}}{V_t}}}$. $I_{out} = I_q \tanh(\frac{V_a}{2V_t})$.

Small angle approximation: $\tanh x \rightarrow x, x \rightarrow 0$.

Thus, if V_a is small: $I_{out} = \frac{I_q V_a}{2V_t}$.

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014

Confidential

Model Answers and Mark Schemes

First Examiner:

A. Casson

Paper Code: E4.17

Second Examiner:

P. Georgiou


For this to be valid need: $V_a \ll 2V_t$ so $V_a \ll 52 \text{ mV}$, say $|V_a| < 5.2 \text{ mV}$. Reasonable similar values acceptable.

[6]

(d)

(i) (Application of theory and new computed example)

Emitter degeneration:



$$V_{in} = V_{be1} + R_e I_{c1} - V_{be2} - R_e I_{c2}$$

$$= I_{c1}(r_{e1} + R_e) - I_{c2}(r_{e2} + R_e)$$

where the values of r_{e1} and r_{e2} depend on the instantaneous value of V_{in} . If $R_e \gg r_{e1}, r_{e2}$ then the non-linear variation of g_m with V_{in} is swamped:

$$V_{in} = I_{c1}R_e - I_{c2}R_e = (I_{c1} - I_{c2})R_e$$

$$\text{if } I_{out} = (I_{c1} - I_{c2}); I_{out}/V_{in} = 1/R_e$$

This can only be applied to the bottom differential pair, hence:

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014

Confidential

Model Answers and Mark Schemes


First Examiner:

A. Casson

Paper Code: E4.17

Second Examiner:

P. Georgiou




To work correctly need $R_e \gg r_e \rightarrow R_e \gg 1/g_m = 1 \text{ k}\Omega$. Hence values in excess of $10 \text{ k}\Omega$.

[5]

(ii) (Application of theory)

Gilbert cell has a $\tanh(X)$ operation. Precede this by a $\tanh^{-1}(X)$ operation so that when cascaded the two non-linear operations cancel, leaving the wanted multiplication terms with no non-linear operation present.

A suitable circuit to implement this is:



[4]

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014

Confidential

Model Answers and Mark Schemes

First Examiner:

A. Casson


Paper Code: E4.17

Second Examiner:

P. Georgiou

4.

(a) (Theory)



[2]

(b) (Application of theory)

For FET in saturation: $I_d = \beta(V_{gs} - V_{th})^2$ so $V_{gs} = \sqrt{\frac{I_d}{\beta}} + V_{th}$.

If source voltage = V_x : $\frac{V_d}{2} - V_{gs1} = V_x = -\frac{V_d}{2} - V_{gs2}$ so $V_d = V_{gs1} - V_{gs2}$.

Combining these for matched transistors: $d = \frac{\sqrt{I_{d1}} - \sqrt{I_{d2}}}{\sqrt{\beta}}$

Know: $I_s = I_{d1} + I_{d2}$.

Solving for I_{d1} : $\sqrt{\beta}V_d = \sqrt{I_{d1}} - \sqrt{I_s - I_{d1}}$ so $\beta V_d^2 = I_s - 2\sqrt{I_{d1}}\sqrt{I_s - I_{d1}}$

So: $\sqrt{I_{d1}I_s - I_{d1}^2} = \frac{I_s}{2} - \frac{\beta V_d^2}{2}$.

Squaring and re-arranging: $0 = I_{d1}^2 - I_s I_{d1} + \left(\frac{I_s^2}{4} - \frac{\beta V_d^2 I_s}{2} + \frac{\beta^2 V_d^4}{4}\right)$.

This is a quadratic equation in I_{d1} : $0 = aI_{d1}^2 + bI_{d1} + c$ so solve using the quadratic formula.

Gives: $1 = \frac{I_s}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\beta V_d^2 I_s - \beta^2 V_d^4}$.

To keep I_{d1} positive only the positive root is valid: $I_{d1} = \frac{I_s}{2} + \frac{I_s}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2\beta V_d^2}{I_s} - \frac{\beta^2 V_d^4}{I_s^2}}$

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014		Confidential
Model Answers and Mark Schemes	First Examiner:	A. Casson
Paper Code: E4.17	Second Examiner:	P. Georgiou

Analogously solving for I_{d2} : $I_{d2} = \frac{I_s}{2} - \frac{I_s}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2\beta V_{d2}^2}{I_s} - \frac{\beta^2 V_{d2}^4}{I_s^2}}$.

At the output know: $I_{out} = I_{d1} - I_{d2}$

Thus: $I_{out} = I_s \sqrt{\frac{2\beta V_{d2}^2}{I_s} - \frac{\beta^2 V_{d2}^4}{I_s^2}} = V_d \sqrt{2\beta I_s - \beta^2 V_{d2}^2}$.

[7]

(c) (Theory)

Let $I_s = I_{dc} + cV_d^2$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{out} &= V_d \sqrt{2\beta I_{dc} + 2c\beta V_d^2 - \beta^2 V_d^2} \\ &= V_d \sqrt{2\beta I_{dc} + 2\beta V_d^2(c - \beta/2)} \end{aligned}$$

If $c = \beta/2$, then $I_{out} = V_d \sqrt{2\beta I_{dc}}$

Which is a linear function of the input voltage. The transconductance is given by the derivative:

$$g_m = \sqrt{2\beta I_{dc}}$$

[3]

(d) (Theory)

Sum output currents (instead of difference):

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Examinations 2014

Confidential

Model Answers and Mark Schemes


First Examiner:

A. Casson

Paper Code: E4.17

Second Examiner:

P. Georgiou



$$I_{d1} = \beta(V_{gs1} - V_{th})^2$$

$$= \beta(V_{cm} + V_d/2 - V_s - V_{th})^2 = \beta(V_c - V_{th} + V_d/2)^2$$

where $V_c = V_{cm} - V_s$ Similarly $I_{d2} = \beta(V_c - V_{th} - V_d/2)^2$

$$I_{out} = I_{d1} + I_{d2} = \beta(2(V_c - V_{th})^2 + V_d^2/2) = I_{dc} + cV_d^2$$

where $I_{dc} = 2\beta(V_c - V_{th})^2$ and $c = \beta/2$ as required

The sum of the drain currents is quadratically related to the differential input voltage provided that the common-mode input signal V_c remains constant.

[4]

(e)

(i) (New computed example)

$$SNR_{dB} = \frac{Power_{signal}}{Power_{noise}} = 10 \log \left(\frac{(V_{rms, signal})^2 / R}{(V_{rms, noise})^2 / R} \right) = 20 \log \left(\frac{V_{rms, signal}}{V_{rms, noise}} \right)$$

Signal is 100 mVpp so 35.36 mVrms. Using this in the equation above gives 36.36 μ Vrms.

[2]

(ii) (Application of theory)

$$\text{FET flicker noise equation: } v_{ng}^2 = \frac{k\Delta f}{C_{ox}WLf} V^2.$$

Reduced by making transistors bigger, or providing more filtering so effective bandwidth is reduced. If possible can also operate circuit at a higher frequency where flicker noise is less significant due to the $1/f$ term. Any two or reasonable other answer.

[2]