THE ANSWERS

Notations:

- (b) E New example
- (c) A New application

1. a)
$$P(X+Y \le 0.5) = 3 \int_{x=0}^{0.5} \int_{y=0}^{0.5-x} (x+y) dy dx$$
 [2-E]
$$P(X+Y \le 0.5) = \frac{3}{2} \int_{x=0}^{0.5} \frac{1}{4} - x^2 dx = \frac{1}{8}$$
 [2-E]

b)
$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \int_0^{1-x} 3(x+y) dy, & 0 < x < 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 [2 - E]
$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} (1-x^2), & 0 < x < 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 [2 - E]

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2}(1-x^2), & 0 < x < 1, \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$
 [2-E]

c)
$$E(X) = \int_0^1 x^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 - x^2) dx = \frac{3}{8}$$
 [2 - E]
 $E(X^2) = \int_0^1 x^2 \frac{3}{2} (1 - x^2) dx = \frac{1}{5}$ [1 - E]
 $Var(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2 = \frac{19}{320}$

d) In view of the joint pdf and the domain, we can easily reuse b) to write
$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2}(1-y^2), & 0 < y < 1, \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$
 [2 - E]

e) We find the same values as in c).
$$E(Y) = \frac{3}{8}$$
 [1 - E]
$$Var(Y) = \frac{19}{320}$$
 [1 - E]

f)
$$E(XY) = \int_{x=0}^{1} \int_{y=0}^{1-x} xy 3(x+y) dy dx = \int_{x=0}^{1} \frac{x}{2} (2-3x+x^3) dx = \frac{1}{10}$$

$$Cov(X,Y) = E(XY) + E(X)E(Y) = \frac{1}{10} - \frac{3}{8} \frac{3}{8} = \frac{-26}{640} = -0.0406$$

$$Corr(X,Y) = \frac{-26/640}{19/320} = -\frac{13}{19} = -0.684$$
[1 - E]

g)
$$X$$
 and Y are correlated since $Corr(X, Y) \neq 0$. [1 - E]
Since they are correlated, they are also dependent. [1 - E]

h)
$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3(x+y)}{\frac{3}{2}(1-x^2)}, & 0 < x < 1, \ 0 < y < 1, \ 0 < x+y < 1, \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$
 [2 - E]

i)
$$E[Y|X = x] = \begin{cases} \int_{y=0}^{1-x} y \frac{2(x+y)}{(1-x^2)} dy & 0 < x < 1, \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

$$E[Y|X = x] = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-x)(2+x)}{3(1+x)} & 0 < x < 1, \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$
[1 - E]

2. Let us take $Y_i = \log X_i$ and write the probability a) i)

$$P\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_i \le e^a\right) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \le a\right)$$
 with $a = -\frac{n}{2} + 0.5\sqrt{n}$. [1 - A]

We can then use the CLT to approximate the probability as

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} \le a\right) \approx P\left(Z \le \frac{a - nE(Y)}{\sqrt{n\text{Var}(Y)}}\right)$$
 with $Z \sim N(0, 1)$. [2 - A]

We can compute E(Y) and Var(Y) as follows.

 $E(Y) = \int_0^1 2x \log x dx = \left[x^2 \log x\right]_0^1 - \int_0^1 x dx = -\frac{1}{2}.$ (Use integration by part with $u = \log x$ and dv = 2x dx. Use L'Hospital rule to compute $\lim_{x\to 0} x^2 \log x$.

 $E(Y^2) = \int_0^1 2x (\log x)^2 dx = \left[x^2 (\log x)^2 \right]_0^1 - \int_0^1 2x \log x dx = \frac{1}{2}.$ (Use integration by part with $u = (\log x)^2$ and dv = 2x dx. Use L'Hospital rule to compute $\lim_{x\to 0} x^2 (\log x)^2$). $Var(Y) = E(Y^2) - E(Y)^2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4}$ [1-A]

We finally get $P\left(Z \le \frac{a - nE(Y)}{\sqrt{n \operatorname{Var}(Y)}}\right) = P\left(Z \le \frac{-n/2 + 0.5\sqrt{n} + n/2}{\sqrt{n/2}}\right) = P\left(Z \le 1\right) = 0.841$ from the tables.

We can make the change of variables $U = X_1 X_2$ and $V = X_1$. [1 - A] ii)

We can compute the Jacobian matrix

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial U} & \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial V} \\ \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial U} & \frac{\partial X_3}{\partial V} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{V} & \frac{-U}{V^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and make use of the change of variables relationship

$$f_{U,V}(u,v) = |\det J| f_{X_1,X_2}(x_1,x_2).$$

[2-A]

We first get the joint pdf of X_1, X_2 . Since X_1 and X_2 are independent, we have

$$f_{X_1,X_2}(x_1,x_2) = \begin{cases} (2x_1)(2x_2), & 0 < x_1 < 1, \ 0 < x_2 < 1, \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Since $X_1 = V$ and $X_2 = \frac{U}{V}$, we get from the change of variables rela-

$$f_{U,V}(u,v) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{v}\right)(2v)\left(2\frac{u}{v}\right) = 4\frac{u}{v}, & 0 < v < 1, \ 0 < u < v, \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Note the change of variables implies that the new domain is characterized by 0 < v < 1 and 0 < u < v. [2 - A]

The probability density function of U is finally obtained as

$$f_U(u) = \begin{cases} \int_u^1 4 \frac{u}{v} dv = -4u \log u, & 0 < u < 1, \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

[1-A]

- iii) $E(U) = \int_0^1 u(-4u \log u) du = \left[-4(u^3/3 \log u u^3/9)\right] = \frac{4}{9}$. We could have obtained the same result by noting that since X_1 and X_2 are independent, $E(U) = E(X_1)E(X_2)$.
- b) i) X and Y are clearly not independent since $Y = X^4$, i.e. if we know X, we also know Y. [2 E] To see whether X and Y are uncorrelated, we compute $Cov(X,Y) = E(XY) E(X)E(Y) = E(X^5) E(X)E(X^4) = E(X^5)$ since E(X) = 0. Since the distribution of X is symmetric around E(X) = 0 and E(X) = 0 are not independent but are uncorrelated.
 - No, it is incorrect. The correct statement is: If X is a continuous random variable with first moment m_1 and second moment m_2 , then we have $m_1^2 \le m_2$. [2 B] Recall that $\text{Var}(X) = E\left[(X m_1)^2\right] \ge 0$. Moreover $\text{Var}(X) = m_2 m_1^2$. Hence $m_2 m_1^2 \ge 0$ and $m_1^2 \le m_2$. [3 B]