## UNIVERSITY OF LONDON IMPERIAL COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND MEDICINE

## **EXAMINATIONS 1997**

BEng Honours Degree in Computing Part III

MEng Honours Degree in Information Systems Engineering Part IV

BSc Honours Degree in Mathematics and Computer Science Part III

MSci Honours Degree in Mathematics and Computer Science Part III

MSc Degree in Advanced Computing

MSc Degree in Computing Science

for Internal Students of the Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine

This paper is also taken for the relevant examinations for the Diploma of Membership of Imperial College Associateship of the City and Guilds of London Institute Associateship of the Royal College of Science

PAPER 3.25 / I4.22

PARALLEL PROBLEM SOLVING Thursday, May 1st 1997, 10.00 - 12.00

Answer THREE questions

For admin. only: paper contains 4 questions

- 1a Discuss the concepts of *abstraction, efficiency and conventionality* with regard to parallel programming and explain how these concerns are addressed in the SCL coordination language.
- b The following parallel C program with MPI approximates  $\pi$  on an n-processor distributed memory parallel computer:

```
#include "mpi.h"
#include <math.h>
double f(a)
double a:
{ return (4.0 / (1.0 + a*a));
int main(argc,argv)
int argc;
char *argv[];
{
        int done = 0, n, myid, numprocs, i, rc;
        double PI25DT = 3,141592653589793238462643;
        double mypi, pi, h, sum, x, a;
        double startwtime, endwtime;
        MPI Init(&argc,&argv);
        MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD,&numprocs);
        MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD,&myid);
        n = 100;
        MPI_Bcast(&n, 1, MPI_INT, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
        sum = 0.0:
        for (i = myid + 1; i \le n; i += numprocs)
                x = h * ((double)i - 0.5);
                 sum += f(x);
        mypi = h * sum;
        MPI_Reduce(&mypi, &pi, 1, MPI_DOUBLE, MPI_SUM, 0,
        MPI_COMM_WORLD);
        if (myid == 0)
                printf("pi is approximately %.16f, Error is %.16f\n",
                pi, fabs(pi - PI25DT));
        MPI Finalize();
```

Discuss the parallel behaviour of this program and explain the functionality of each MPI construct used.

c Use the SCL Partition and SPMD co-ordination forms to specify the above program.

The three parts carry, respectively, 30%, 40%, 30% of the marks.

- Describe how the data partition operation of SCL abstracts the notion of data physically distributed around a parallel machine and discuss how SCL coordination forms can be used for efficiently distributing sparse matrices.
- b) The co-ordination structure of the Jacobi code for solving the Poisson equation is as follows:

```
Jacobi ips n X = let  (dx+br,f) = \text{partition row\_colu\_block } (n+(1,1)) (n+(1,1)) \text{ X}  in IterUntil conv finalsol step <dx+br, ips> final sol <dx+ br, dconv >= gather dx conv <dx+ br, dconv >= (fold max dconv) < ips step = SPMD [ (gf, Localsolver) ] where  \text{gf} < dx+br, dconv >= < dx + (update f dx), dconv >
```

Localsolver is a provided sequential Jacobi solver.

Discuss the requirements for data sharing in the above program and explain how these are supported by the SCL constructs used. In particular what is the role of the function f?

c) Suppose we wish to map an N\*N mesh onto P processors. Discuss the performance model of above program by examining the communication and computation costs of each iteration. (To simplify the analysis assume that N is a multiple of  $\sqrt{P}$ .).

The three parts carry, respectively, 40%, 40% and 20% of the marks.

Turn over ....

- 3a Discuss the main difficulties involved in programming irregular and adaptive data parallel applications and the principles involved in designing parallel data types in order to solve problems of this type.
- b) The Tree parallel abstract data type is designed to support the programming of parallel adaptive computations. Suppose the data type has abstract constructors Node and Leaf and provides the following four operators:
  - 1) New\_Tree f(m): builds up a tree from the data set m according to the function f.
  - 2) Map\_Leaf f T: maps a function f to all leaves of the tree T
  - 3)  $Tree\_Transfer\ leaff\ nodef\ T$ : rebuilds the tree T by applying the function leaff to all the leaves and the function nodef to all the nodes of the tree using post order traversal.
  - 4)  $Tree\_Fold\ con\ final\ rf\ T$ : recursively reduces the tree according to the reduction operation rf if the condition con is met; otherwise applies the function final to the whole tree.

Thus, for example, Map\_Leaf could have the following functional definition

```
Map\_Leaf f (Leaf l) = Leaf (f l)
```

```
Map_Leaf f (Node v [T_1, T_2, ..., T_N])
= Node v [Map_Leaf f T_1), [Map_Leaf f T_2), ..., [Map_Leaf f T_N)
```

Write similar functional definitions for the operators *Tree\_Transfer*, *Tree\_Fold* and discuss their possible parallel behaviour.

c) Use the Tree parallel data type to code the overall structure of the Barnes-Hut algorithm for particle simulation. You may assume that any necessary sequential program, such as one for computing the gravitational function, is already defined.

The three parts carry, respectively, 25%, 45%, and 30% of the marks.

- 4a Outline the main differences between data parallelism and task parallelism and discuss the issues involved in building complex parallel applications from individual data- or task-parallel components.
- b Given a data set S and a set of class values C the following procedure implements the construction of a decision tree in a classification algorithm. Parallelise the procedure using the DC (divide and conquer) co-ordination form and discuss the advantages and disadvantages of your parallelisation.

```
Tree_Construction (C, S)

let A = class(S) in

if A = {c}then Leaf c \ fi.e. class(S) is a singleton/

else

let a = Select_attribute(S, C)

[S1, ...,Sn] = Test (a, S)

in Node a [Ti | Ti = Tree_Construction (C, Si)]

where:
```

class (S): returns the class values of the elements in S

Select\_attribute(S, C): selects an attribute for testing

Test(a, S): partitions the data set S based on a pre-defined test

c Outline a data parallel version of the algorithm discussed in b.

The three parts carry, respectively, 35%, 45%, 20% of the marks.

End of Paper