

Imperial College London
BSc and MSci EXAMINATIONS (MATHEMATICS)
May-June 2012

This paper is also taken for the relevant examination for the Associateship.

M34PM19

Measure Theory & Integration

Date: examdate Time: examtime

Credit will be given for all questions attempted but extra credit will be given for complete or nearly complete answers.

Calculators may not be used.

1. i. Consider sets of the plane in $E = \{0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$. Give the definition of the outer measure, a measurable set (in Lebesgue sense) and its measure.
 ii. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable functions on a measure space. Show that $f + g$ is measurable.
 2. i. Show that convergence in $L^1(X, \mu)$ implies convergence in measure.
 ii. For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ construct an open set $A \in [0, 1]$ such that it is dense in $[0, 1]$ (i.e. its closure contains $[0, 1]$) and the Lebesgue measure $\mu(A) \leq \epsilon$.
 3. Let (X, M, μ) be a complete measure space. Let $f : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be measurable and $\int_X f d\mu = c$, where $0 < c < \infty$. Prove the following:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X n \log \left(1 + \frac{f}{n} \right) d\mu = c,$$

where “log” is the natural logarithm.

4. i. State the Poincare recurrence theorem.
ii. Show that the product of two absolutely continuous functions on a bounded interval $[a, b]$ is absolutely continuous.

	EXAMINATION SOLUTIONS 2010-11	Course
Question 1		Marks & seen/unseen
Parts		
i.	<p>The outer measure of a set A is $\mu^*(A) = \inf_{\substack{A \subset \bigcup P_k}} \sum_k m(P_k)$ over all coverings of A by finite or countable number of rectangles P_k; $m(P_k)$ is the area (measure) of rectangle P_k.</p> <p>Let $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L})$ be the minimal ring generated by the semiring \mathcal{L} of rectangles. A set A is called measurable if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists B \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mu^*(A \Delta B) < \varepsilon$. μ^* restricted to measurable sets is called measure (μ).</p>	10 seen

Setter's initials

Checker's initials

Page number

1

	EXAMINATION SOLUTIONS 2010-11	Course
Question		Marks & seen/unseen
Parts		
1		
ii	<p>$f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable means $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$ the sets $\{x: f(x) < c\}$, $\{x: g(x) < c\}$ are measurable.</p> <p>Therefore, $a \cdot f$, $a + f$, $a = \text{const}$ are measurable functions.</p> <p>We have $\{x: f(x) > g(x)\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (\{x: f(x) > z_k\} \cap \{x: g(x) < z_k\})$, $z_k \in \mathbb{Q}$. This set is measurable because measurable sets form a σ-algebra. Therefore also $\{x: f(x) > a + (-1)g(x)\} = \{x: f(x) + g(x) > a\}$ is measurable $\forall a$. Thus,</p> <p>$f + g$ is a measurable function.</p>	10 seen
	Setter's initials	Checker's initials
		Page number 2

	EXAMINATION SOLUTIONS 2010-11	Course
Question		Marks & seen/unseen
Parts		
2	<p>i Consider a measure space (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) Let a sequence of measurable functions f_n converge to f in $L^1(X, \mu)$, i.e.,</p> $\int_X f - f_n d\mu \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$ $f_n, f \in L^1.$ <p>By Chebyshov inequality, for $\delta > 0$,</p> $\mu\{x : f_n - f \geq \delta\} \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_X f - f_n d\mu$ <p>and therefore</p> $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\{x : f_n - f \geq \delta\} = 0$ <p>$\forall \delta > 0$, i.e.,</p> $f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in measure.}$	5 seen
	Setter's initials	Checker's initials
		Page number 3

	EXAMINATION SOLUTIONS 2010-11	Course
Question		Marks & seen/unseen
Parts		
2	<p>ii Let $q_n, n=1,2,\dots$ be rational numbers in $[0,1]$</p> <p>Consider $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(q_n - \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n+1}}, q_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n+1}}\right)$</p> <p>- measurable and open as countable union of open intervals</p> <p>q_n are dense in $[0,1]$ so</p> <p>A is dense in $[0,1]$</p> <p>$\mu(A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} = \epsilon$</p> <p>By subadditivity.</p>	15 unseen
	Setter's initials	Checker's initials
		Page number 4

	EXAMINATION SOLUTIONS 2010-11	Course
Question 3		Marks & seen/unseen
Parts	$f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, $\int_X f d\mu = c$ First, note that $\log(1+z) \leq z$, $z \geq 0$ Indeed, let $g = \log(1+z) - z$ $g' = \frac{1}{1+z} - 1 = \frac{-z}{1+z} \leq 0$, $z \geq 0$ $g(0) = 0$ and $g(z)$ is decreasing so $g(z) \leq 0$, $z \geq 0$. Thus, $0 \leq n \log(1 + \frac{f}{n}) \leq f$, $\int_X f d\mu < \infty$; $n \log(1 + \frac{f}{n}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f$. Therefore, by Lebesgue's thm $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X n \log(1 + \frac{f}{n}) d\mu =$ $= \int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \log(1 + \frac{f}{n}) d\mu =$ $= \int_X f d\mu = c$.	20 unseen
	Setter's initials	Checker's initials
		Page number 5

	EXAMINATION SOLUTIONS 2010-11	Course
Question		Marks & seen/unseen
Parts		
4		
i	<p>Let (X, \mathcal{L}_X, μ) - probability space, let $E \in \mathcal{L}_X, \mu(E) > 0$, $T: X \rightarrow X$ - measure-preserving.</p> <p>Then almost all points of E return infinitely often to E under positive iteration by T</p> <p>(i.e. there exists $F \subset E$, $\mu(F) = \mu(E)$ such that $\forall x \in F$ there is a sequence of positive integers n_1, n_2, \dots with $T^{n_j}(x) \in F, j=1,2,\dots$)</p>	5 seen
	Setter's initials	Checker's initials
		Page number 6

	EXAMINATION SOLUTIONS 2011-12	Course
Question		Marks & seen/unseen
Parts		
4		
ii	<p>Let f, g be absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, i.e.,</p> $\forall \epsilon_1 > 0 \exists \delta_1 > 0 \text{ s.t.}$ $\sum_{j=1}^n f(b_j) - f(a_j) < \epsilon_1,$ <p>for any finite family of disjoint subintervals (a_j, b_j) such that</p> $\sum_{j=1}^n b_j - a_j < \delta_1.$ <p>Similarly, for g (with ϵ_2, δ_2)</p> <p>In particular, f, g are continuous on $[a, b]$ and therefore bounded, as $[a, b]$ is compact.</p> <p>So</p> $\sum_{j=1}^n f(b_j)g(b_j) - f(a_j)g(a_j) =$	15 unseen
	Setter's initials	Checker's initials
		Page number 7

	EXAMINATION SOLUTIONS 2011-12	Course
Question 4		Marks & seen/unseen
Parts	$ \begin{aligned} &= \sum_{j=1}^n (f(b_j) - f(a_j)) g(b_j) + \\ &\quad + f(a_j) \cdot (g(b_j) - g(a_j)) \\ &\leq C_1 \sum_{j=1}^n f(b_j) - f(a_j) + \\ &\quad + C_2 \sum_{j=1}^n g(b_j) - g(a_j) \\ &< \varepsilon_1 C_1 + \varepsilon_2 C_2 < \varepsilon \end{aligned} $ <p>Taking $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$, we obtain that $f+g$ is a.c. on $[a, b]$.</p>	
	Setter's initials	Checker's initials
		Page number 8