Solution to Problem 1.

(a)

- i A vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an eigenvector of M if $Mv = \lambda v$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is the corresponding eigenvalue.
- ii The i^{th} eigenvector and eigenvalue of $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T$ are given by \mathbf{u}_i and σ_i^2 respectively. This can be justified by

$$Bu_i = AA^Tu_i = U\Sigma V^T V\Sigma^T U^T u_i$$
$$= U\Sigma \Sigma^T U^T u_i = U\Sigma \Sigma^T e_i$$
$$= \sigma_i^2 U e_i = \sigma_i^2 u_i,$$

where e_i is the standard basis vector.

[2]

iii Let r be the rank of A. Define $U_r = [u_1, \dots, u_r], \Sigma_r = \text{diag}([\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r]),$ and $V_r = [v_1, \dots, v_r]$. Then $A^{\dagger} = V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^T$. [1]

iv
$$\operatorname{proj}(x, A) = AA^{\dagger}x$$
. [1]

v The orthogonality can be verified as

$$A^{T}x_{r} = A^{T}x - A^{T}(AA^{\dagger})x = (A^{T} - A^{T}(AA^{\dagger}))x$$
$$= (V_{r}\Sigma_{r}U_{r}^{T} - V_{r}\Sigma_{r}U_{r}^{T}(U_{r}U_{r}^{T}))x$$
$$= (V_{r}\Sigma_{r}U_{r}^{T} - V_{r}\Sigma_{r}U_{r}^{T})x = 0.$$

[2]

vi Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{v}\|_{2}^{2} &= \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{p} + \boldsymbol{x}_{p} - \boldsymbol{v}\|_{2}^{2} = \|\boldsymbol{x}_{r} + \boldsymbol{x}_{p} - \boldsymbol{v}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \|\boldsymbol{x}_{r}\|_{2}^{2} + 2\boldsymbol{x}_{r}^{T}(\boldsymbol{x}_{p} - \boldsymbol{v}) + \|\boldsymbol{x}_{p} - \boldsymbol{v}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \|\boldsymbol{x}_{r}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{x}_{p} - \boldsymbol{v}\|_{2}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from the orthogonality between x_r and $x_p - v$. Since $||x_p - v||_2^2 \ge 0$, it is clear that $||x - v||_2 \ge ||x_r||_2$. [3]

(b)

i

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{B}\right) &= \sum_{i} \left(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{B}\right)_{i,i} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{i,j} B_{j,i} \\ &= \sum_{j} \sum_{i} B_{j,i} A_{i,j} = \sum_{j} \left(\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{A}\right)_{j,j} = \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{A}\right). \end{split}$$

[2]

ii

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_F^2 &= \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j}^2 = \operatorname{tr}\left(A^T A\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(V \Sigma^2 V^T\right) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma^2 V^T V\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma^2\right) = \sum_i \sigma_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

[2]

iii $\|A\|_2 = \sigma_{\text{max}} = \sigma_1$. This can be proved as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2} &= \boldsymbol{x}^{T} A^{T} A \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}^{T} V \Sigma^{2} V^{T} \boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{T} v_{i} \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \sigma_{1}^{2} \sum_{i} \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{T} v_{i} \right)^{2} = \sigma_{1}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}^{T} V V^{T} \boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \sigma_{1}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{x} = \sigma_{1}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

[3]

iv Since $A = A^T$ and $A \ge 0$, the singular value decomposition of A can be written as $A = U\Sigma U^T$. Then

$$\operatorname{tr}(A) = \operatorname{tr}(U\Sigma U^{T}) = \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma U^{T}U) = \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_{i} = ||A||_{*}.$$

[3]

Solution to Problem 2.

(a)

i

A. The soft-thresholding function is of the form

$$x^* = \eta(z; \lambda) = \begin{cases} z - \lambda & \text{if } z \ge \lambda, \\ 0 & \text{if } -\lambda < z < \lambda, \\ z + \lambda & \text{if } z \le -\lambda. \end{cases}$$

[1]

B. The IST algorithm is an iterative algorithm where in the k^{th} iteration the variable \boldsymbol{x}^{k} is updated by

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{k} = \eta \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{k-1} + t_{k} \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}^{k-1} \right) ; \lambda t_{k} \right),$$

and $t_k > 0$ is an appropriately chosen step size.

[1]

ii

A. Given an input vector z, the hard thresholding function $H_S(z)$ sets all but the largest (in magnitude) S elements of z to zero. It is designed to solve the non-convex optimisation problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z}\|_2^2 \text{ subject to } \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_0 \le S.$$

[2]

B. The IHT algorithm is an iterative algorithm where in the k^{th} iteration the variable \boldsymbol{x}^k is updated by

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{k} = H_{S} \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{k-1} + t_{k} \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}^{k-1} \right) \right),$$

and $t_k > 0$ is an appropriately chosen step size. It is designed to solve the non-convex optimisation problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2} \text{ subject to } \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{0} \leq S.$$

[2]

(b)

i Define the soft thresholding function $\eta_{\sigma}(Z;\lambda)$ as

$$X = \eta_{\sigma}(Z; \lambda) = \sum_{i} u_{i} \eta(\sigma_{i}; \lambda) v_{i}^{T},$$

where σ_i is the i^{th} singular value of Z, u_i and v_i are the corresponding singular vectors. The IST algorithm to solve the low-rank matrix recovery problem is an iterative algorithm where in the k^{th} iteration the matrix X^k is updated by

$$\boldsymbol{X}^{k} = \eta_{\sigma} \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{k-1} + t_{k} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^{*} \left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{k-1} \right) \right) ; \lambda t_{k} \right),$$

and $t_k > 0$ is an appropriately chosen step size.

ii Define the hard thresholding function $H_r(\mathbf{Z}; \lambda)$ as

$$X = H_r(Z) = U_r \operatorname{diag}([\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_r]) V_r^T$$

where σ_i is the i^{th} singular value of Z, $U_r = [u_1, \dots, u_r]$ and $V_r = [v_1, \dots, v_r]$. The IHT algorithm to solve the low-rank matrix recovery problem is an iterative algorithm where in the k^{th} iteration the matrix X^k is updated by

$$X^{k} = H_{r} \left(X^{k-1} + t_{k} \mathcal{A}^{*} \left(y - \mathcal{A} \left(X^{k-1} \right) \right) \right),$$

and $t_k > 0$ is an appropriately chosen step size.

iii

A. Since X is of rank 1, $X = uv^T$ and u must be of the form $u = [1, 1, -2]^T$. Hence $v = [1, -1, -2]^T$ and

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

[3]

[2]

|2|

B.

$$y = [1, 1, -1, 2, 4]^T$$
, and

$$A^*(y) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

|3|

(c) Write

$$y = \mathcal{A}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c} h\left(1\right) \\ h\left(2\right) \\ h\left(3\right) \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x\left(1\right) \\ x\left(2\right) \\ x\left(3\right) \end{array}\right]^T\right) = \mathcal{A}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} h\left(1\right)x\left(1\right) & h\left(1\right)x\left(2\right) & h\left(1\right)x\left(3\right) \\ h\left(2\right)x\left(1\right) & h\left(2\right)x\left(2\right) & h\left(2\right)x\left(3\right) \\ h\left(3\right)x\left(1\right) & h\left(3\right)x\left(2\right) & h\left(3\right)x\left(3\right) \end{array}\right]\right).$$

Clearly the matrix presentation of A is given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Possible convex optimisation formulations for blind deconvolution include

$$\min_{X} \frac{1}{2} \|y - A(X)\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|X\|_{*},$$

and

$$\min_{X} \|X\|_{*}$$
 subject to $y = \mathcal{A}(X)$.

[4]

Solution to Problem 3.

(a)

i A set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex if

$$\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y \in S$$

for all $x, y \in S$ and all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

ii A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda) f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

iii The standard form of a convex optimisation problem is

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{x})$$

subject to $h_i(x) \leq 0$. $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$\ell_j(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0, \quad j \in \{1, \cdots, p\}$$

where $f(\boldsymbol{x})$, $h_i(\boldsymbol{x})$ are convex and ℓ_j is affine.

iv The corresponding Lagrangian is given by

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}^T A \mathbf{x}.$$

Minimise the Lagrangian with respect to x gives

$$Qx + c + A^T u = 0.$$

Combine this with the constraints Ax = 0. One has

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} Q & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x \\ u \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} -c \\ 0 \end{array}\right].$$

Hence the optimal x can be computed by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} -c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

[3]

[2]

[2]

[2]

i For any $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$, it holds that $\forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda) f(y)$$

$$\le \lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda) \alpha = \alpha.$$

Hence
$$\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$$
.

ii The sublevel set $C_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_2 = \sqrt{y}\}$ which gives the shell of the ball with radius \sqrt{y} . Pick arbitrary two points on the shell. The line segment between these two points is not on the shell (in the ball defined by the shell). Hence the sublevel set C_0 is not convex and f is not convex.

(c)
i Note that
$$(a_i^T x)^2 = (a_i^T x)(x^T a_i) = a_i^T x(x^T a_i) = \operatorname{tr}(x(x^T a_i) a_i^T) = \operatorname{tr}(X A_i)$$
. One has $f(x) = \sum_i (y_i - (a_i^T x)^2)^2 = \sum_i (y_i - \operatorname{tr}(X A_i))^2$. [2] ii

A. It is sufficient to show that the objective function is convex and the constraint set is convex.

The first term in the objective function is a quadratic function of X and hence convex. In fact, it can be written as $\sum_{i} (y_i - \mathcal{A}(X))^2$ where \mathcal{A} is a linear operator. The second term in the objective function is a linear function of X and hence convex.

The first constraint is essentially to say $x_{i,j} - x_{j,i} = 0$. It is an equality constraint involving linear functions of X and hence defines a convex set.

The only non-trivial part is to verify that the constraint $X \geq 0$ gives a convex set. To show that, note that $X \geq 0$ if and only if $v^T X v \geq 0$ for all v. Let $X_1 \geq 0$ and $X_2 \geq 0$. Then for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$,

$$v^{T} (\lambda X_{1} + (1 - \lambda) X_{2}) v = \lambda v^{T} X_{1} v + (1 - \lambda) v^{T} X_{2} v$$

$$\geq 0.$$

Hence the constraint $X \geq 0$ gives a convex set.

B. The goal of using the optimisation problem (3.4) is to find an \boldsymbol{X}

[3]

[2]

[2]

such that $X = xx^T$. The first term in the objective function is for data consistency. The second term in the objective function is to promote low-rank matrices as solutions: the matrix xx^T has rank 1 and $\operatorname{tr}(X) = \|X\|_*$ under the constraints given in (3.4). The two constraints are motivated by the fact that the matrix xx^T is symmetric and non-negative definite.

[2]

Solution to Problem 4.

(a) The mutual coherence is defined as

$$\mu\left(A\right) = \max_{i \neq j} \left| \left\langle a_i, a_j \right\rangle \right| = \max_{i \neq j} \left| a_i^T a_j \right|.$$

[1]

(b)

i A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is said to satisfy the RIP with parameters (K, δ) , if for all $\mathcal{T} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\mathcal{T}| \leq K$ and for all $q \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{T}|}$, it holds that

$$(1 - \delta) \|q\|_2^2 \le \|A_T q\|_2^2 \le (1 + \delta) \|q\|_2^2$$
.

The RIC δ_K is defined as the smallest constant δ for which the (K, δ) -RIP holds, i.e.,

$$\delta_{K} = \inf \left\{ \delta : (1 - \delta) \|\boldsymbol{q}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathcal{T}}\boldsymbol{q}\|_{2}^{2} \leq (1 + \delta) \|\boldsymbol{q}\|_{2}^{2} \right.$$
$$\forall |\mathcal{T}| \leq K, \ \forall \boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{T}|} \right\}.$$

[3]

ii It holds that

$$|\langle A_{\mathcal{I}}a, A_{\mathcal{J}}b\rangle| \leq \delta_{k+\ell} \|a\|_2 \|b\|_2$$
,

that is,
$$c = \delta_{k+\ell}$$
. [1]

iii Suppose that there exists another S-sparse vector $x' \neq x_0$ such that y = Ax'. Then

$$A(x_0-x')=y-y=0.$$

Note that $x_0 - x'$ has sparsity level at most 2S. By the definition of RIP,

$$\|\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}')\|_2 \ge (1 - \delta_{2S}) \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}'\|_2 > 0.$$

We have a contradiction. The S-sparse solution of y = Ax must be unique. [3]

(c) The diagonal elements of M are 1 and off-diagonal elements are bounded by μ by the definition of mutual coherence constant, i.e., $|M_{i,j}| < \mu$ for $i \neq j$.

By the Bershgorin circle theorem.

$$\lambda(M) \in \left[1 - \sum_{j \neq i} |M_{i,j}|, 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} |M_{i,j}|\right] \subset [1 - S\mu, 1 + S\mu].$$

Hence,

$$\delta_S \leq S\mu$$
.

[3]

(d)

i Suppose that $i \notin \mathcal{T}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{y} \right| &= \left| \boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \right| = \left| \boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathcal{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_{0,\mathcal{T}} \right| \\ &\leq \delta_{S+1} \left\| \boldsymbol{x}_{0,\mathcal{T}} \right\|_{2} = \delta_{S+1} \left\| \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \right\|_{2}. \end{aligned}$$

[3]

ii Suppose that $i \in \mathcal{T}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{y} \right| &= \left| \boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{a}_{i} x_{0,i} + \boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{T} \sum_{j} \boldsymbol{a}_{j} x_{0,j} \right| \\ &\geq \left| x_{0,i} \right| - \left| \boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}_{T \setminus \{i\}} x_{0,T \setminus \{i\}} \right| \\ &\geq \left| x_{0,i} \right| - \delta_{S+1} \left\| \boldsymbol{x}_{0,T \setminus \{i\}} \right\|_{2} \\ &\geq \left| x_{0,i} \right| - \delta_{S+1} \left\| \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \right\|_{2}. \end{aligned}$$

At the same time,

$$\max_{i \in \mathcal{T}} |x_{0,i}| \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}} \|\boldsymbol{x}_0\|.$$

Hence

$$\max_{i \in \mathcal{T}} \left| \boldsymbol{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{y} \right| \geq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{S}} - \delta_{S+1} \right) \left\| \boldsymbol{x}_0 \right\|_2.$$

[3]

iii To guarantee that $i^* \in \mathcal{T}$, one needs

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{S}} - \delta_{S+1} > \delta_{S+1}.$$

Or equivalently

$$\delta_{S+1} \le \frac{1}{2\sqrt{S}}.$$