Paper Number(s): E4.26

C2.2

IMPERIAL COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND MEDICINE UNIVERSITY OF LONDON

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING EXAMINATIONS 2001

MSc and EEE PART IV: M.Eng. and ACGI

## ESTIMATION AND FAULT DETECTION

Monday, 14 May 10:00 am

There are SIX questions on this paper.

Answer FOUR questions.

Time allowed: 3:00 hours

**Corrected Copy** 

Examiners:

Clark, J.M.C. and Allwright, J.C.

Special instruction for invigilators:

None

Information for candidates

Some formulae relevant to the questions

The normal 
$$N(m, \sigma^2)$$
 density:  $p(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ 

System equations:

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + Mv_k$$
$$y_k = Cx_k + Nw_k$$

Here,  $v_k$  and  $w_k$  are standard white-noise sequences.

The Kalman one-step-ahead predictor:

$$\hat{x}_{k+1|k} = A\hat{x}_{k|k-1} + K(k) \left( y_k - C\hat{x}_{k|k-1} \right) 
K(k) = AP_{k|k-1}C^T \left( CP_{k|k-1}C^T + NN^T \right)^{-1} 
P_{k+1|k} = AP_{k|k-1}A^T + MM^T - AP_{k|k-1}C^T \left( CP_{k|k-1}C^T + NN^T \right)^{-1} CP_{k|k-1}A^T$$

The average quadratic cost identity:

$$E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(x_k^T Q x_k + u_k^T R u_k\right) + x_N^T Q_N x_N\right]$$

$$= E\left[x_0^T S_0 x_k + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (u_k + F_k x_k)^T (B^T S_{k+1} B + R)(u_k + F_k x_k)\right]$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} tr\left(S_{k+1} M M^T\right)$$

where for  $k = 0, \ldots, N - 1$ ,

$$F_{k} = (B^{T}S_{k+1}B + R)^{-1}B^{T}S_{k+1}A$$

$$S(k) = A^{T}S_{k+1}A + Q - A^{T}S_{k+1}B(B^{T}S_{k+1}B + R)^{-1}B^{T}S_{k+1}A, \quad S_{N} = Q_{N}$$

The algebraic Riccati equations:

$$S = A^{T}SA + Q - A^{T}SB \left(B^{T}SB + R\right)^{-1} B^{T}SA \qquad \text{(control)}$$

$$P = A^{T}PA + MM^{T} - APC^{T} \left(CPC^{T} + NN^{T}\right)^{-1} CPA^{T} \qquad \text{(filtering)}$$

1. Let x(t) be the state process of the continuous time model

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Mv(t)$$

where v(t) is a vector white noise process with covariance function  $E\left[v(t)v(s)^{T}\right]$ =  $I\delta(t-s)$ .

(a) Show that for h > 0 the sampled state  $x_k = x(kh), k = 0, 1, \ldots$ , satisfies an equation of the form

$$x_{k+1} = \bar{A}x_k + \bar{v}_k$$

where  $\bar{v}_k$  is discrete time white noise with covariance matrix  $Q = E[\bar{v}_k \bar{v}_k^T]$ . Determine how  $\bar{A}$  and Q are expressed in terms of A, M and h and obtain a formula for  $E[x_{k+1}x_k^T]$ .

(b). In the case where h = 200,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.01 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the process x(t) is assumed to be stationary, show that  $x_k = (x_{1,k}, x_{2,k})^T$  is approximate white noise in the sense that the following cross-correlations are less than 0.2:

$$\frac{E[x_{1,k}x_{2,k}]}{\left(Ex_{1,k}^2Ex_{2,k}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} , \frac{E[x_{2,k+1}x_{2,k}]}{\left(Ex_{2,k+1}^2Ex_{2,k}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} .$$

2. (a) Suppose X and Y are scalar random variables with a joint covariance matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{array}\right] \quad (p_{22} > 0) .$$

Then the linear least squares estimate (LLSE) of X given Y is

$$\hat{X} = EX + p_{12}p_{22}^{-1}(Y - EY).$$

Prove this.

(b) Suppose  $X, N_1 N_2, \ldots, N_n$  are independent uniformly distributed random variables. The density of X is constant at  $\frac{1}{2a}$  on [0, 2a] and that of each  $N_i$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$  on [0,2]. Suppose

$$Y_i = X + N_i \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n .$$

It can be shown that the LLSE of X given  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  is the same as that of X given  $\bar{Y}$ , where  $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$ . Use this fact to derive the LLSE of X given  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ .

(c) Comment on whether you would expect the LLSE in (b) to be close to the conditional mean of X given  $Y_1$  in the case when n = 1. Illustrate your answer with a sketch of the set on which the joint density of X and  $N_1$  is positive.

3. A depth finder measures the depth of water beneath the keel of a ship. It produces a sequence of measurements of the form

$$y_k = x_k + w_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where  $x_k$  is the depth under the ship at time k and  $w_k$  is standard white noise  $(Ew_k = 0, Ew_kw_l = \delta_{kl})$ . The depth  $x_k$  is modelled by the equation

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \sigma v_k$$

where  $v_k$  is a standard white noise process independent of  $w_k$ . The variance  $\sigma^2$  of the depth noise is assume to lie between  $\frac{1}{4}$  and 4.

Using the formulae at the front of the paper, express the steady-state error variance  $p(\sigma^2)$  associated with the Kalman one-step-ahead predictor as a function of  $\sigma^2$  and calculate it in the case where  $\sigma^2 = 4$ .

(b) An "observer" filter is to be employed with a fixed gain K, where 0 < K < 2:

$$\hat{x}_{k+1} = \hat{x}_k + K(y_k - \hat{x}_k).$$

Show that the steady-state variance of the resulting estimation error  $\tilde{x}_k = x_k - \hat{x}_k$  satisfies the formula

$$E[\tilde{x}_k^2] = \frac{\sigma^2 + K^2}{2K - K^2}.$$

(c) The gain K is to be chosen to make the filter of part (b) insensitive to the value of  $\sigma^2$ . Explain why, if K is chosen to minimize the "worst-case" error covariance

$$max\Big\{E\tilde{x}_k^2: \frac{1}{4} \leq \sigma^2 \leq 4\Big\},$$

the resulting error covariance coincides with the error variance produced by the Kalman predictor for  $\sigma^2 = 4$ .

4. Consider the following target tracking problem. The target motion is represented by the linear system

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Mv_k$$

At time k the tracker has available observations  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}$  where  $y_i$  is given by

$$y_i = Cx_i + w_i$$
.

In these equations,  $v_k$  and  $w_k$  are independent Gaussian white noise processes with identity matrix covariances. The initial state  $x_0$  has distribution  $N(m_0, P_0)$ , independent of  $v_k$ ,  $w_k$ . The dynamics of the tracker - in which  $z_k$  is of the same dimension as  $x_k$  - are given by

$$z_{k+1} = \bar{A}z_k + \bar{B}u_k$$

where  $u_k$  is a scalar control input and  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{B}$  are, respectively, a constant matrix and a constant vector. For each k, the control  $u_k$  is to be chosen as a function of  $y_0, \ldots, y_{k-1}$  and  $z_k$  to minimize

$$E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(u_k^2 + ||\hat{x}_N - z_N||^2\right)\right].$$

(a) Show that the optimal control law is the same as that for the problem of minimizing;

$$E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(u_k^2 + ||\hat{x}_{N|N-1} - z_N||^2\right)\right].$$

(b) Suppose the Kalman filter for the one-step predictor  $\hat{x}_k := \hat{x}_{k|k-1}$  is time invariant with constant conditional covariance  $P_0 = cov(x_k|y_{k-1}, \dots, y_0)$ .

Using the formulae at the front of the paper, show that the control problem can be reformulated as a complete information LQG problem in which  $q_k = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}_k \\ z_k \end{pmatrix}$  is regarded as as a "hyperstate" that satisfies a time invariant stochastic state equation.

Give the statistics of the noise term in this equation and formulate the criterion that is to be minimized.

5. A stochastically disturbed state process

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + Mv_k$$

is controlled by time-invariant state feedback  $u=u(x_k)$  chosen to minimize - over the class of stabilizing controls for which  $Ex_k$  and  $E[x_kx_k^T]$  converge to constants - the average cost rate  $\lim_{N\to\infty}J^{u,N}$ , where

$$J^{u,N} = \frac{1}{N} E \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left( x_k^T Q x_k + u_k^T R u_k \right) \right].$$

Here  $v_k$  is white noise with  $Ev_kv_l^T = I\delta_{kl}$  and Q and R are positive definite matrices.

- (a) State conditions for there to be a unique positive semidefinite solution to the "control" form of the associated algebraic Riccati equation (ARE) given at the front of the paper.
- (b) Assume that the control ARE possesses a unique positive semidefinite solution S. Prove that the control law  $u_k$  that minimizes the finite-time cost

$$E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(x_k^T Q x_k + u_k^T R u_k\right) + x_N S x_N\right]$$

takes the time-invariant form  $u_k = u^0(x_k)$  and simultaneously minimizes the average cost rate  $\lim_{N\to\infty} J^{u,N}$ .

(c) Suppose  $x_k$  is scalar, A = a and B = M = Q = R = 1. Determine the optimal control law.

6. In a simple test between two hypotheses: the null hypothesis, "index J=0" and the alternative, "J=1", N independent measurements are made.

If J = 0, the probability density of each  $y_k$  is  $p_0(y)$ ; if J = 1 the probability density of each  $y_k$  is  $p_1(y)$ .

If  $\pi_0 = P(J=0)$  and  $\pi_1 = P(J=1)$  are the prior probabilities of the two alternatives, then the minimum probability-of-error Bayes test is

Choose 
$$J = 1$$
 if  $\frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{p_1(y_k)}{p_0(y_k)} \ge 1$ ;

Choose J = 0 otherwise.

- (a) Show that this Bayes test has the interpretation of picking the "conditionally most likely" of the two alternatives, given the measurements.
- (b) In a test to detect radiation, the N "inter-click" times  $y_k$  between N+1 clicks produced by a Geiger counter are independent and identically distributed as

$$p_0(y) = 0.1e^{-0.1y}, y \ge 0$$
 if there is no radiation

$$p_1(y) = 0.5e^{-0.5y}, y \ge 0$$
 if radiation is present.

The prior probability of radiation being present is 0.5.

Derive a Bayes test in this case, and show that it depends only on the sufficient statistic  $Y_N = \sum_1^N y_k$ .

If

$$N = 4$$
 and  $y_1 = 6$ ,  $y_2 = 3$ ,  $y_3 = 5$ ,  $y_4 = 4$ ,

what are the conditional "odds" of the presence of radiation against its absence?

1 Solution - ESTIMATION AND FANCE DETECTION 200]

(a) The variable of contract formula

$$X(A) = e^{A(A-r)} \times (r) + \int_{r}^{r} e^{A(A-r)} M v(s) ds.$$

So if  $r = kh$ ,  $t = (k+1)h$ 

$$X_{k+1} = e^{Ak} X_k + \int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} e^{A(k+1)h-2} M v(s) ds.$$

$$= \overline{A} \times_k + \overline{V}_k$$

As this is what clearly the  $\overline{V}_k$  are married for displant  $k$ . Further,  $\overline{E}_{kk} = e^{-and}$ 

$$\overline{E}[\overline{V}_k \overline{V}_k] = \int_{kh}^{(k+r)h} e^{A((k+r)h-1)} H \Pi^T e^{A^T((k+r)h-1)} ds.$$

10

$$= \int_{0}^{h} e^{As} M H^T e^{A^T s} ds = Q$$

$$A(k) \overline{E}[X_k, X_k] = \overline{A} \overline{E}[X_k, X_k]$$
(b) For statemany procument

$$X_k = \int_{0}^{kk} e^{A(kk-1)} H H V(s) ds.$$

$$\overline{E}[X_k, X_k] = \int_{0}^{e^{-and}} e^{A(kk-1)} H \Pi^T e^{A^T s} ds.$$

$$\overline{E}[X_k, X_k] = \int_{0}^{e^{-and}} e^{A(kk-1)} H H R^T e^{A^T s} ds.$$

$$\overline{E}[X_k, X_k] = \int_{0}^{e^{-and}} e^{A(kk-1)} H H R^T e^{A^T s} ds.$$

$$\overline{E}[X_k, X_k] = \int_{0}^{e^{-and}} e^{-and} ds.$$

$$\overline{E}[X_k, X_k] = \int_{0}^{e^{-and}} e^{-and} ds.$$

$$\overline{E}[X_k, X_k] = \int_{0}^{e^{-and}} e^{-and} ds.$$

$$\overline{E}[X_k, X_k] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 \cdot e^{-and}} \frac{1}{1 \cdot e^$$

As h = 200 and  $E[x_k x_k] = E[x_k, x_{k+1}]$ 

 $E\left(X_{k+1}, X_{k}^{T}\right) = e^{AL}E\left(X_{k}X_{k}^{T}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-2\sigma_{0}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 99\\ 0 & e^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 99\\ 0 & e^{-2} \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $\approx \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \\ .99e^{-2} & 50e^{-2} \end{array}\right]$ 

 $\frac{E\left(X_{2,k+1}, X_{2,k}\right)}{\left(EX_{2,k+1}, EX_{2,k}\right)^{N_{2}}} = \frac{50e^{-2}}{\left(50^{2}\right)^{N_{2}}} = e^{-2} = 6.135$ 

```
2 Solution
    (a) A linear ortinate is of the affine form & = a +6 Y
          which can be written
                           \hat{x} = Ex + a' + b' (Y - EY)
   for suitable a'
    Minimine over a', b
                   J = E[(x - (Ex + x)) - 3(Y-EY))]
                         = E[(x-Ex)2 + a12 - 24(x-Ex)(Y-EY) + 62(Y-EY)]
8.
                            = -2 P12 +6 P2 = 0
                    the USE \hat{x} = \hat{\epsilon} x + \rho_n \rho_n^{-1} (Y - \hat{\epsilon} Y)
                                \int_{1}^{2a} x^{2} dx = \int_{1}^{2a} \int_{1}^{2a} x^{2} dx = \frac{(2a)^{2}}{3} = \frac{4a^{2}}{3}
 (6) Clearly EX = a
                        = (\frac{4}{3} - 1)a^2 = \frac{a^2}{3}. Sim Hasy [N_i = \frac{1}{3}]
     \ddot{Y} = X + \frac{1}{n} \lesssim N_i S_0 = \tilde{EY} = a + 1, V_{ar} \ddot{Y} = \frac{a^2}{3} + n \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{3} (a^2 + \frac{1}{n})
            \rho_{12} = Cor(X, \overline{Y}) = Var X = \frac{a^2}{2}
8 h. the USE of X given & ( . Harefre Y, ... Yn) is
                              \hat{X} = a + \frac{a^2}{3} \frac{3n}{(na^2+1)} \left( \bar{Y} - a - 1 \right)
```

 $\hat{\chi} = a + \frac{a^2}{3} \frac{3n}{(na^2+1)} (\bar{y} - a - 1)$   $= a + \frac{n}{n+a^{-2}} (\bar{y} - a - 1)$ (c)  $E(x|\bar{y})$  has discontinuous  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

derivatives, as a  $\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{y}$ , 4 at y = 2, y = 2a.

The LLSE is smooth to so must deffer.

(a) The algebraic Rivati equation for this filter is
$$p = p + \sigma^2 - \frac{p^2}{p+1} \quad \text{or} \quad p^2 - \sigma^2 p - \sigma^2 = 0$$

The steady-state variance is the prostive solution:

$$p_{\phi}(\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2 + \sqrt{\sigma^4 + 4\sigma^2})$$

4 and the Kalman gam 
$$K(t) = Poo = \frac{\sigma^2 + \sqrt{\sigma^4 + 4\sigma^4}}{1 + \rho o}$$
 =  $\frac{\sigma^2 + \sqrt{\sigma^4 + 4\sigma^4}}{2 + \sigma^2 + \sqrt{\sigma^4 + 4\sigma^4}}$ 

So if 
$$\sigma^2 = 4$$
  

$$\int_{\infty}^{2} (4) = \frac{1}{2} (4 + 4\sqrt{2}) = \frac{2}{2} (1+12)$$

$$= 4.28$$

(b) Since 
$$x_{kx}$$
,  $= x_k + \sigma v_k$   
and  $\hat{x}_{k+1} = \hat{x}_k + K(x_k + w_k - \hat{x}_k)$   
 $\hat{x}_{k+1} = \hat{x}_k + \sigma v_k - Kw_k - Kx_k$   
 $= (1-k)\hat{x}_k + \sigma v_k - Kw_k$ 

$$E\tilde{\chi}_{ks}^{2} = (l-k)^{2} E\tilde{\chi}_{k}^{2} + \sigma^{2} + k^{2}$$

$$or \qquad E\tilde{\chi}_{k}^{2} = \frac{\sigma^{2} + k^{2}}{2k - k^{2}}$$

(c) For fixed K the largest 
$$E \tilde{x}_{k}^{2}$$
 is given by  $\sigma^{2}=4$ . (as  $0 < K < 2$ )

But the Kalman predictor minimises the variance (or fixed  $\sigma^{2}$ . So

the virnimized worst-cax variance =  $p_{s}(4) = 4.28$ .

4 Solution

(a) Target equation

Threelow

Threelow

2ke, = 
$$\overline{A} \ge + \overline{B} = 0$$

Observations

 $g_{\mu} = C \times \mu + W \times M$ 

From (1) + /3), which are unaffected by the tracker,

we can calculate a laborary (other ( $\mu = S_{\mu}|_{K_{1}} ... S_{\mu}|_{K_{2}})$ 
 $E[H = K_{1} - 2 \times H^{2}] = E[EH \times K_{1} - S_{1}|_{K_{1}} + \frac{2}{3} \times K_{1}|_{K_{1}} - \frac{2}{3} \times H^{2}|_{K_{1}} + \frac{2}{3} \times K_{1}|_{K_{1}} + \frac{2}{$ 

where  $\vec{v}_k$  is white usine with  $\vec{E}\vec{v}_k\vec{v}_k = Q_0$ The criterian because  $\vec{E}\left[\sum_{0}^{N+1}u_k^2 + \vec{q}_N^T \int_{-T}^{T} \vec{q}_N\right]$ 

. . . . . . . .

(a) The context MRE has a unique positive considerante colution if

(A, P) in a stabilizable pair

(B'', A) is a detectable pair.

But as  $G_N = S$ , the solution of the MRE  $S_k = S \quad (-all \quad k \quad , \text{ and } S = \mathcal{U}_k = -F \times k$ where  $F = (?^T S R + R)^T L^T S A$ .

So, For any other stabilizing laws u',  $N \supset u', N + E(x'_N S x'_N) = N \supset u', N + E(x'_N S x'_N)$   $V \supset u', N + E(x'_N S x'_N) = N \supset u', N + E(x'_N S x'_N) - E(x'_N S x'_N)$   $V \supset u', N = V \cup u', N = V \cup u', N = U', N =$ 

(c) S solves the ARE  $S = a^{2}S + 1 - \frac{a^{2}S^{2}}{1+S}$ or  $S^{2} \cdot -a^{2}, S - 1 = 0$   $S = + \frac{1}{2}a^{2} + \frac{1}{2}V(a^{4} + 4)$ 

 $u_{x}^{o} = -F_{x_{k}} = \frac{-a^{5}}{1+5} x_{k} = -\frac{a^{3} + a\sqrt{a^{4} + 4}}{2 + a^{2} + \sqrt{a^{4} + 4}} x_{k}$ 

6 Solution

(a) The directly - probability ( makes of 
$$J=0$$
 and  $y_k$ ,  $k=1,...,N$ 
in  $\overline{M}_0$ .  $\prod_{k=1}^N P_0(y_k)$ 

So the contitional density of yi, ... you given J=0, J=)

10 
$$P(y_1, \dots y_N | T=0) = \frac{T_0 \prod_{k=1}^N P_0(y_k)}{K}$$

$$P(y_1, \dots, y_N | J=1) = \pi_1 \underbrace{\prod_{k=1}^N P(y_k)}_{K}$$

The ratio is  $\frac{T_1}{T_0} \frac{T_{k=1}^N p_1 q_k}{T_{k=1}^N p_0 q_k}$ , which is just the test ratio.

(b) The likelihood ratio i

The test reduces to  $Y_N = \frac{N \log_2 5}{0.4} = 4.02 N$   $\Rightarrow radiakia$ 

he the special case, 
$$N=4$$
,  $V_4 = 18$ 

The odds become  $e^{-0.4 \times .18} + 4 \times 1.609$   $= e^{-4.(1.8 - 1.609)} = .466$ So no radiation is more than twice as likely as radiation.