

BSc, MSci and MSc EXAMINATIONS (MATHEMATICS)

May-June 2019

This paper is also taken for the relevant examination for the Associateship of the
Royal College of Science

Probability and Statistics 2

Date: Wednesday 22 May 2019

Time: 10.00 - 12.00

Time Allowed: 2 Hours

This paper has 4 Questions.

Candidates should start their solutions to each question in a new main answer book.

Supplementary books may only be used after the relevant main book(s) are full.

All required additional material will be provided.

- DO NOT OPEN THIS PAPER UNTIL THE INVIGILATOR TELLS YOU TO.
- Affix one of the labels provided to each answer book that you use, but DO NOT USE THE LABEL WITH YOUR NAME ON IT.
- Calculators may not be used.

1. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ be a random sample.

- (a) Write down the likelihood function $\Pr(x_1, \dots, x_n | \mu, \sigma^2)$.
- (b) Determine the maximum likelihood estimators of μ and σ^2 , being sure to verify that they are indeed maxima.
- (c) Obtain the bias and variance of the estimators determined above, stating clearly any general results that you use.

Consider now the case in which $\mu = 0$ is known, and let $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$.

- (d) Stating any general results used, determine the distribution of T and find the constant α such that αT is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 .
- (e) Show that $U = \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\beta}$ is an unbiased estimator of σ , where

$$\beta = \frac{\sqrt{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}.$$

2. An urn contains N balls in total, r of which are red. Balls are removed from the urn at random. Define the indicator variable Z_i to be 1 if the i th ball removed is red, and 0 otherwise. When n balls have been removed, let S_n be the number of removed balls that are red.

- (a) If n balls are removed *with* replacement, write down the probability distribution of each of the random variables Z_i . Use your answer to find the mean and variance of Z_i , and hence determine the mean and variance of S_n .
- (b) If $n < r$ balls are removed *without* replacement, show that the variables Z_i are identically distributed but not independent, and hence determine the mean and variance of S_n .
- (c) Suppose instead X balls are removed *with* replacement, where X is a Poisson distributed random variable with mean n . Find the mean and variance of S_X .

3. (a) Consider a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \Pr)$.
- (i) What is meant by saying that \mathcal{F} is a sigma algebra?
 - (ii) What is meant by saying that \Pr is a probability function?
 - (iii) Stating clearly the properties of \mathcal{F} and \Pr you use, show that the collection

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid \Pr(A) \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

is a sigma algebra.

- (b) Let $Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \sim N(0, 1)$ be independent normally distributed variables, and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, define

$$X_i = Z_i + \beta Z_{i-1}, \quad Y_i = Z_i^2, \quad \text{and} \quad Y = Y_1^2 + Y_2^2 + Y_3^2.$$

Stating clearly any general results that you use, determine

- (i) the joint distribution of (X_1, X_2, X_3) ,
 - (ii) the joint distribution of $(\frac{Y_1}{Y}, \frac{Y_2}{Y}, \frac{Y_3}{Y})$.
4. (a) Define what it means for a sequence Z_1, Z_2, \dots of random variables to converge in probability to a random variable Z .
- (b) Show that for any random variable Z and any non-negative function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which the expectation of $g(Z)$ exists,

$$\Pr(g(Z) > r) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(g(Z))}{r},$$

and deduce that if Z is a random variable with expectation μ and variance σ^2 ,

$$\Pr(|Z - \mu| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}.$$

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n be independent random samples from a $\text{POISSON}(\lambda)$ distribution. For any $0 < p < 1$, define $\widehat{\lambda}_p = p\bar{X} + (1-p)\bar{Y}$, where \bar{X} and \bar{Y} denote the respective sample means.

- (c) Show that $\widehat{\lambda}_p$ is an unbiased estimator of λ .
- (d) Show that $\widehat{\lambda}_p$ defined above converges in probability to λ as both $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (e) Find the value p_0 of p for which $\widehat{\lambda}_p$ has minimal variance, and determine the variance of $\widehat{\lambda}_{p_0}$.
- (f) Define what it means for a sequence Z_1, Z_2, \dots of random variables to converge in distribution to a random variable Z .
- (g) State the central limit theorem, and use it to give a transformation of $\widehat{\lambda}_{p_0}$ (depending on λ) that converges in distribution to a standard normal random variable as the total sample size becomes large.

DISCRETE DISTRIBUTIONS						
	range \mathbb{X}	parameters	pmf f_X	cdf F_X	$E[X]$	$\text{Var}[X]$
$\text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\theta \in (0, 1)$	$\theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x}$		θ	$\theta(1 - \theta)$
$\text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$	$\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$	$n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \theta \in (0, 1)$	$\binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{n-x}$		$n\theta$	$n\theta(1 - \theta)$
$\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$	$\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$	$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$	$\frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}$		λ	λ
$\text{Geometric}(\theta)$	$\{1, 2, \dots\}$	$\theta \in (0, 1)$	$(1 - \theta)^{x-1} \theta$	$1 - (1 - \theta)^x$	$\frac{1}{\theta}$	$\frac{(1 - \theta)}{\theta^2}$
$\text{NegBinomial}(n, \theta)$	$\{n, n + 1, \dots\}$	$n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \theta \in (0, 1)$	$\binom{x-1}{n-1} \theta^n (1 - \theta)^{x-n}$		$\frac{n}{\theta}$	$\frac{n(1 - \theta)}{\theta^2}$
or	$\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$	$n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \theta \in (0, 1)$	$\binom{n+x-1}{x} \theta^n (1 - \theta)^x$		$\frac{n(1 - \theta)}{\theta}$	$\frac{n(1 - \theta)}{\theta^2}$
						$\left(\frac{\theta e^t}{1 - e^t(1 - \theta)} \right)^n$
						$\left(\frac{\theta}{1 - e^t(1 - \theta)} \right)^n$

The PDF of the multivariate normal distribution is

$$f_X(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{K/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu) \right\},$$

for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^K$ with Σ a $(K \times K)$ variance-covariance matrix and μ a $(K \times 1)$ mean vector.

The location/scale transformation $Y = \mu + \sigma X$ gives

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\sigma} f_X \left(\frac{y - \mu}{\sigma} \right) \quad F_Y(y) = F_X \left(\frac{y - \mu}{\sigma} \right)$$

$$M_Y(t) = e^{\mu t} M_X(\sigma t) \quad E[Y] = \mu + \sigma E[X] \quad \text{Var}[Y] = \sigma^2 \text{Var}[X]$$

The gamma function is given by $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx$.

CONTINUOUS DISTRIBUTIONS							
		parameters	pdf	cdf	$E[X]$	$Var[X]$	mgf
$Uniform(\alpha, \beta)$ (stand. model $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$)	(α, β)	$\alpha < \beta \in \mathbb{R}$	$\frac{1}{\beta - \alpha}$	$\frac{x - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}$	$\frac{(\alpha + \beta)}{2}$	$\frac{(\beta - \alpha)^2}{12}$	$\frac{e^{\beta t} - e^{\alpha t}}{t(\beta - \alpha)}$
$Exponential(\lambda)$ (stand. model $\lambda = 1$)	\mathbb{R}^+	$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$	$\lambda e^{-\lambda x}$	$1 - e^{-\lambda x}$	$\frac{1}{\lambda}$	$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$	$\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}\right)$
$Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ (stand. model $\beta = 1$)	\mathbb{R}^+	$\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$	$\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x}$		$\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$	$\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$	$\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta - t}\right)^\alpha$
$Weibull(\alpha, \beta)$ (stand. model $\beta = 1$)	\mathbb{R}^+	$\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$	$\alpha \beta x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x^\alpha}$	$1 - e^{-\beta x^\alpha}$	$\frac{\Gamma(1 + 1/\alpha)}{\beta^{1/\alpha}}$	$\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha}) - \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha})^2}{\beta^{2/\alpha}}$	
$Normal(\mu, \sigma^2)$ (stand. model $\mu = 0, \sigma = 1$)	\mathbb{R}	$\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$		μ	σ^2	$e^{\{\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2 / 2\}}$
$Student(\nu)$	\mathbb{R}	$\nu \in \mathbb{R}^+$	$\frac{(\pi\nu)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right) \left\{1 + \frac{x^2}{\nu}\right\}^{(\nu+1)/2}}$		0 (if $\nu > 1$)	$\frac{\nu}{\nu - 2}$ (if $\nu > 2$)	
$Pareto(\theta, \alpha)$	\mathbb{R}^+	$\theta, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$	$\frac{\alpha \theta^\alpha}{(\theta + x)^{\alpha+1}}$	$1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta + x}\right)^\alpha$	$\frac{\theta}{\alpha - 1}$ (if $\alpha > 1$)	$\frac{\alpha \theta^2}{(\alpha - 1)^2 (\alpha - 2)}$ (if $\alpha > 2$)	
$Beta(\alpha, \beta)$	$(0, 1)$	$\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$	$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha-1} (1 - x)^{\beta-1}$		$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$	$\frac{\alpha \beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2 (\alpha + \beta + 1)}$	

M2S1 SOLUTIONS

1. (a) [2 marks]

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu, \sigma^2) &= \Pr(x_1, \dots, x_n | \mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

[Seen]

(b) [6 marks] First obtain the log-likelihood

$$l(\mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

Now differentiate partially with respect to μ and σ^2

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n 2(x_i - \mu)}{2\sigma^2}, \\ \frac{\partial l(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2(\sigma^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting the two equations above simultaneously to zero gives the estimates

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \bar{x}.$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n}.$$

To confirm that these estimates do indeed maximize the likelihood, examine the second derivative of the log-likelihood evaluated at the estimate.

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial^2 l(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu^2} \right|_{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2} &= -\frac{n}{\sigma^2} < 0, \\ \left. \frac{\partial l(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} \right|_{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2} &= \frac{n}{2(\sigma^2)^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{(\sigma^2)^3} \Big|_{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2} = -\frac{n}{2(\hat{\sigma}^2)^2} < 0, \\ \left. \frac{\partial l(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma^2} \right|_{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2} &= -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)}{(\sigma^2)^2} \Big|_{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The hessian of the log likelihood is immediately seen to be negative definite, so these estimates do indeed maximize the likelihood.

The estimators are

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} = \bar{X}, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n}.$$

[Seen]

- (c) [5 marks] $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$, so the bias and variance for $\hat{\mu}$ are 0 and $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$, respectively.
 $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$, which is a gamma distribution with shape parameter $\frac{n-1}{2}$ and rate parameter $\frac{1}{2}$. This has mean $n-1$ and variance $2(n-1)$. Hence the bias of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is

$$\frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 - \sigma^2 = -\frac{\sigma^2}{n},$$

and its variance is

$$2(n-1) \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right)^2 = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

[Seen]

- (d) [3 marks] $\frac{T}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n)$, which is a gamma distribution with shape parameter $\frac{n}{2}$ and rate parameter $\frac{1}{2}$, hence, since gamma distributions form a scale family, T has a gamma distribution with shape parameter $\frac{n}{2}$ and rate parameter $\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$. Hence $E(T) = n\sigma^2$, and so $\frac{1}{n}T$ is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 , i.e. $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}$.

[Seen Similar]

- (e) [4 marks]

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sqrt{T}) &= \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{t^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}{(2\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} e^{-\frac{t}{2\sigma^2}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{t}{2\sigma^2}} dt \\ &= \frac{(2\sigma^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{(2\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} = \sqrt{2}\sigma \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that the integrand is an un-normalized gamma density. Hence taking $U = \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\beta}$ as given in the question gives an unbiased estimator.

[Unseen]

2. (a) [6 marks] As sampling occurs with replacement, the random variables Z_i are independent, and

$$\Pr(Z_i = k) = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{N} & k = 1 \\ \frac{N-r}{N} & k = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Hence

$$E(Z_i) = 1 \times \frac{r}{N} + 0 \times \frac{N-r}{N} = \frac{r}{N}.$$

Linearity of expectation then gives

$$E(S_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(Z_i) = \frac{nr}{N}.$$

For the variance, similarly

$$E(Z_i^2) = 1 \times \frac{r}{N} + 0 \times \frac{N-r}{N} = \frac{r}{N}.$$

Hence,

$$\text{Var}(Z_i) = E(Z_i^2) - E(Z_i)^2 = \frac{r(N-r)}{N^2}.$$

Using the independence of the Z_i ,

$$\text{Var}(S_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Z_i) = \frac{nr(N-r)}{N^2}.$$

[Seen]

- (b) [9 marks] Each of the $\binom{N}{n}$ configurations of removed balls is equally likely, and there is a one-to-one correspondence between configurations with a red ball in position i and those with a red ball in position j . Hence $\Pr(Z_i = 1) = \frac{r}{N} = \Pr(Z_j = 1)$.

For $i \neq j$, the variables Z_i and Z_j are not independent since

$$\Pr(Z_i = 1, Z_j = 1) = \frac{r}{N} \frac{(r-1)}{N-1} \neq \Pr(Z_i = 1) \Pr(Z_j = 1).$$

To determine the mean of S_n , note that as in the previous part

$$E(Z_i) = 1 \times \frac{r}{N} + 0 \times \frac{N-r}{N} = \frac{r}{N},$$

so again by linearity of expectation

$$E(S_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(Z_i) = \frac{nr}{N}.$$

To determine the variance of S_n , first note that

$$\text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j) = E(Z_i Z_j) - E(Z_i)E(Z_j) = \frac{r}{N} \frac{(r-1)}{N-1} - \frac{r^2}{N^2},$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(S_n) &= \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Z_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j) \\ &= n \frac{r}{N} \frac{N-r}{N} + n(n-1) \left(\frac{r}{N} \frac{(r-1)}{N-1} - \frac{r^2}{N^2} \right) \\ &= n \frac{r}{N} \left[\frac{N-r}{N} + (n-1) \left(\frac{r-1}{N-1} - \frac{r}{N} \right) \right] \\ &= n \frac{r}{N} \left[\frac{N-r}{N} + (n-1) \frac{r-N}{N(N-1)} \right] \\ &= n \frac{r}{N} \frac{N-r}{N} \left[1 - \frac{n-1}{N-1} \right] = n \frac{r}{N} \frac{(N-r)}{N} \frac{(N-n)}{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

[Seen] (Optional question on problem sheet)

- (c) [5 marks] Using the law of iterated expectation, and part (a),

$$E(S) = E(E(S|X)) = E\left(\frac{Xr}{N}\right) = \frac{nr}{N}.$$

Now, using the law of total variance,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(S) &= E(\text{Var}(S|X)) + \text{Var}(E(S|X)) \\ &= E\left(\frac{Xr(N-r)}{N^2}\right) + \text{Var}\left(\frac{Xr}{N}\right) \\ &= \frac{nr(N-r)}{N^2} + \frac{nr^2}{N^2} = \frac{nr}{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, show $S \sim \text{POISSON}\left(\frac{nr}{N}\right)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(S=s) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Pr(S=s|X=k) \Pr(X=k) = \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \binom{k}{s} \left(\frac{r}{N}\right)^s \left(1 - \frac{r}{N}\right)^{k-s} e^{-n} \frac{n^k}{k!} \\ &= n^s \left(\frac{r}{N}\right)^s \frac{e^{-n}}{s!} \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-s)!} \left(1 - \frac{r}{N}\right)^{k-s} n^{k-s} \\ &= \left(\frac{nr}{N}\right)^s \frac{e^{-\frac{nr}{N}}}{s!}, \end{aligned}$$

so $E(S) = \text{Var}(S) = \frac{nr}{N}$.

[Seen Similar]

3. (a) (i) [2 marks] \mathcal{F} is a sigma algebra if

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
- $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{F}$
- $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ whenever A_1, A_2, \dots is a sequence of sets in \mathcal{F} .

[Seen]

(ii) [2 marks] $\Pr : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is said to be a probability function if

- $\Pr(A) \geq 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$,
- $\Pr(\Omega) = 1$,
- whenever A_1, A_2, \dots is a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets in \mathcal{F} ,

$$\Pr\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr(A_i).$$

[Seen]

(iii) [8 marks]

Note first that since \mathcal{F} is a sigma algebra, all sets considered lie in the domain of the probability function \Pr . Need to show that the three conditions hold.

- Since $\Pr(\emptyset) = 0$, certainly $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$ is such that $\Pr(A) = 1$, then $\Pr(A^c) = 1 - \Pr(A) = 0$ so $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_0$; symmetrically, if $\Pr(A) = 0$, $\Pr(A^c) = 1$, so again $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_0$.
- Suppose A_1, A_2, \dots is a sequence of sets in \mathcal{F}_0 . Consider two cases: either for each i , $\Pr(A_i) = 0$, or there exists an index j such that $\Pr(A_j) = 1$.

In the former case, for any two sets A and B with $\Pr(A) = \Pr(B) = 0$, $A \cap B \subset A$, so $0 \leq \Pr(A \cap B) \leq \Pr(A) = 0$.

Then we see that

$$\Pr(A \cup B) = \Pr(A) + \Pr(B) = 0.$$

By induction, we see that for any n ,

$$\Pr\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = 0.$$

By the continuity property of the probability function \Pr ,

$$\Pr\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = 0.$$

so $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

In the latter case, if (say) $\Pr(A_j) = 1$, then

$$\Pr\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \Pr(A_j) + \Pr\left(\bigcup_{i \neq j} A_i\right) - \Pr\left(A_j \cap \bigcup_{i \neq j} A_i\right).$$

Since $A_j \cap \bigcup_{i \neq j} A_i \subset \bigcup_{i \neq j} A_i$, $\Pr(A_j \cap \bigcup_{i \neq j} A_i) \leq \Pr(\bigcup_{i \neq j} A_i)$. Substituting this inequality into the equation above then gives

$$\Pr\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \geq \Pr(A_j) = 1.$$

Hence, since \Pr is a probability function,

$$\Pr\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 1,$$

and so $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}_0$.
[Unseen]

- (b) (i) [6 marks] As a linear transformation of the standard normal variables (Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) , (X_1, X_2, X_3) is multivariate normal.

Note that for each i , using the linearity of expectation,

$$E(X_i) = E(Z_i) + \beta E(Z_{i-1}) = 0.$$

Further, by independence of the Z_i ,

$$\text{Var}(X_i) = \text{Var}(Z_i) + \beta^2 \text{Var}(Z_{i-1}) = 1 + \beta^2.$$

To determine covariances,

$$\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2) = E(X_1 X_2) - E(X_1)E(X_2) = E(X_1 X_2) = E((Z_1 + \beta Z_0)(Z_2 + \beta Z_1)).$$

By linearity of expectation, this is

$$E(Z_1 Z_2) + \beta E(Z_1^2) + \beta E(Z_0 Z_2) + \beta^2 E(Z_0 Z_1) = 0 + \beta + 0 + 0 = \beta,$$

as if $i \neq j$, Z_i and Z_j are independent, and so $E(Z_i Z_j) = E(Z_i)E(Z_j) = 0$.

By symmetry, we also have

$$\text{Cov}(X_2, X_3) = \beta.$$

Finally,

$$\text{Cov}(X_1, X_3) = E(X_1 X_3) - E(X_1)E(X_3) = E(X_1 X_3) = E((Z_1 + \beta Z_0)(Z_3 + \beta Z_2)),$$

and so $\text{Cov}(X_1, X_3) = 0$, since no Z_i term appears twice in the above. Hence the required distribution is

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \end{pmatrix} \sim N_3 \left[\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \beta^2 & \beta & 0 \\ \beta & 1 + \beta^2 & \beta \\ 0 & \beta & 1 + \beta^2 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

[Seen Method]

- (ii) [2 marks] For each i , $Y_i \sim \chi^2(1)$, which is $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ (using the rate parameterization). A general result is that if for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $U_i \sim \Gamma(\alpha_i, \beta)$ independent, then

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k U_i} (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k) \sim \text{DIRICHLET}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k),$$

hence $(\frac{Y_1}{Y}, \frac{Y_2}{Y}, \frac{Y_3}{Y}) \sim \text{DIRICHLET}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$.

4. (a) [1 mark] A sequence of random variables Z_1, Z_2, \dots converges in probability to the random variable Z if for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(|Z_n - Z| > \epsilon) = 0.$$

[Seen]

- (b) [3 marks]

Since $g(z) \geq 0$,

$$E(g(Z)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(z) f_Z(z) dz \geq \int_{z: g(z) > r} g(z) f_Z(z) dz$$

and since on this region of integration, $g(z) > r$, we have

$$E(g(Z)) \geq \int_{z: g(z) > r} r f_Z(z) dz = r \Pr(g(Z) > r),$$

which establishes the required inequality.

Now, applying this result to the particular non-negative function $g(Z) = (Z - \mu)^2$,

$$\Pr(|Z - \mu| > \epsilon) = \Pr((Z - \mu)^2 > \epsilon^2) \leq \frac{E((Z - \mu)^2)}{\epsilon^2}.$$

Noting that $E((Z - \mu)^2) = \text{Var}(Z) = \sigma^2$ gives

$$\Pr(|Z - \mu| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2},$$

as required.

[Seen Similar]

- (c) [2 marks] By linearity of expectation,

$$E(\widehat{\lambda}_p) = pE(\bar{X}) + (1-p)E(\bar{Y}).$$

Now $E(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m E(X_i) = \frac{m\lambda}{m} = \lambda$, and similarly $E(\bar{Y}) = \lambda$.

Hence

$$E(\widehat{\lambda}_p) = p\lambda + (1-p)\lambda = \lambda,$$

so $\widehat{\lambda}_p$ is unbiased for p .

[Seen Method]

- (d) [4 marks]

$$\Pr(|\widehat{\lambda}_p - \lambda| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\widehat{\lambda}_p)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (p^2 \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + (1-p)^2 \text{Var}(\bar{Y})) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(p^2 \frac{\lambda}{m} + (1-p)^2 \frac{\lambda}{n} \right),$$

using $\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{\lambda}{m}$, and similarly for $\text{Var}(\bar{Y})$.

Hence as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, $\Pr(|\widehat{\lambda}_p - \lambda| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$, and $\widehat{\lambda}_p$ converges in probability to λ .

[Seen Method]

- (e) [4 marks] As determined above,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}_p) = p^2 \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + (1-p)^2 \text{Var}(\bar{Y}) = p^2 \frac{\lambda}{m} + (1-p)^2 \frac{\lambda}{n},$$

so seek to minimize

$$p^2 \frac{1}{m} + (1-p)^2 \frac{1}{n}$$

as a function of p . This expression is a quadratic in p with a unique minimum, which can be found by setting the derivative to zero.

$$2p \frac{1}{m} - 2(1-p) \frac{1}{n} = 0,$$

so that $pn = (1-p)m$ and on solving, $p_0 = \frac{m}{m+n}$. This then gives

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}_{p_0}) = \frac{m}{(m+n)^2} \lambda + \frac{n}{(m+n)^2} \lambda = \frac{\lambda}{m+n}.$$

[Unseen]

- (f) [2 marks] A sequence Z_1, Z_2, \dots converges in distribution to a random variable Z if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{Z_n}(x) = F_Z(x)$$

at all points of continuity of F_Z .

[Seen]

- (g) [4 marks] Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots be a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables with $E(Z_i) = \mu$ and $\text{Var}(Z_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Then defining $\bar{Z}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$, the rescaled sequence $\sqrt{n} \frac{(\bar{Z}_n - \mu)}{\sigma}$ converges in distribution to a standard normal variable, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \left(\sqrt{n} \frac{(\bar{Z}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \leq z \right) = \Phi(z).$$

Here, for $p_0 = \frac{m}{m+n}$,

$$\hat{\lambda}_{p_0} = \frac{m}{m+n} \bar{X} + \frac{n}{m+n} \bar{Y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m X_i + \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j}{m+n}$$

is just the sample mean of $m+n$ independent, identically distributed random variables.

Hence, by the central limit theorem,

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \left(\sqrt{\frac{m+n}{\lambda}} (\lambda_{p_0} - \lambda) \leq z \right) = \Phi(z),$$

hence $\sqrt{\frac{m+n}{\lambda}} (\lambda_{p_0} - \lambda)$ converges in distribution to a standard normal variable.

[Unseen] (theorem is familiar, this example is unseen)