Solution of Question 1.

- (a) Both the polynomial rings $\mathbb{F}_3[y]/y^2+2$ and $\mathbb{F}_3[y]/y^2+1$ contain the same set of elements $\{0, 1, 2, y, y+1, y+2, 2y, 2y+1, 2y+2\}$. [4]
- (b) Note that

$$y^{2} + 2 = (y + 2)(y + 1),$$

 $y^{2} + 1 = (y + 2)(y + 1) + 2.$

It is clear that the multiplicative inverse of y+1 does not exist in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_3[y]/y^2+2$. In the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_3[y]/y^2+y+2$, because

$$2 = (y^2 + y + 2) - (y + 2) (y + 1)$$

$$\equiv 2 (y + 2) (y + 1) \mod y^2 + 1$$

it holds that $(y+1)^{-1} = y+2$.

[6]

(c)

- i). The cyclotomic cosets of 3 mod 8 are $\{0\}$, $\{1,3\}$, $\{2,6\}$, $\{4\}$, and $\{5,7\}$.
- ii). From the cyclotomic cosets of 3 mod 8, it is clear that the minimal polynomial of α^2 is given by

$$M^{(2)}(x) = (x - \alpha^2)(x - \alpha^6).$$

[2]

iii). To write $M^{(2)}(x)$ as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$, we realize that $\alpha^6 \equiv \alpha + 2 \mod \alpha^2 + \alpha + 2$, $\alpha^2 \equiv 2\alpha + 1 \mod \alpha^2 + \alpha + 2$, and hence

$$M^{(2)}(x) = (x - (2\alpha + 1))(x - (\alpha + 2))$$
$$= x^2 - (3\alpha + 3)x + (2\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 2)$$
$$= x^2 + 1.$$

[4]

Solutions of Question 2.

- (a) We focus on the mod n algebra. That $a,b \in \mathcal{S}$ implies that a^{-1} and b^{-1} exist. It is clear that $b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}$ is the multiplicative inverse of $a \cdot b$. By the existence of the multiplicative inverse of $a \cdot b$, it can be concluded that $\gcd(ab, n) = 1$. [4]
- (b) By default, we focus on the mod n algebra.
 aS ⊂ S: ∀b ∈ S, a · b ∈ S. This implies aS ⊂ S.
 Now ∀b₁ ≠ b₂ from the set S, we shall prove that ab₁ ≠ ab₂. Suppose that ab₁ = ab₂. Then a (b₁ b₂) = 0. In other words, b₁ b₂ = a⁻¹ (a (b₁ b₂)) = 0. Contradicts with the assumption that b₁ ≠ b₂.
 As a result, |a·S| = |S|. Hence, a·S = S.
- (c) The calculation of |S|: Among all the integers $1 \le i \le p_1p_2 1$, only the following integers are not in S:

$$p_1 \quad 2p_1 \quad \cdots \quad (p_2-1) p_1$$

 $p_2 \quad 2p_2 \quad \cdots \quad (p_1-1) p_2$

As a result, $|\mathcal{S}| = p_1p_2 - 1 - (p_1 - 1) - (p_2 - 1) = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) = t$. For any $a \in \mathcal{S}$, from the fact that $a \cdot \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}$, one has

$$\prod_{x \in a \cdot \mathcal{S}} x = \prod_{y \in \mathcal{S}} y,$$

or equivalently |

$$a^t \prod_{y \in \mathcal{S}} y = \prod_{y \in \mathcal{S}} y.$$

Hence $a^t = 1$. [5]

(d)

- i). Since $x \equiv y \mod p_1$ and $x \equiv y \mod p_2$, it is clear that $p_1 | (y x)$ and $p_2 | (y x)$. Hence, $\operatorname{lcm}(p_1, p_2) | (y x)$, which implies that $p_1 p_2 | (y x)$. Therefore, $x \equiv y \mod p_1 p_2$. [3]
- ii). Fix an $a \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p_1p_2 1\}$. We first show that $a^{de} \equiv a \mod p_1$. If $p_1 | a$, then it is clear that $a^{de} \equiv a \mod p_1$. If $p_1 \nmid a$, then by Fermat's Little Theorem $a^{p_1-1} \equiv 1 \mod p_1$ and therefore $a^{de} \equiv a^{k(p_1-1)(p_2-1)+1} \equiv a \mod p_1$. Similarly $a^{de} \equiv a \mod p_2$.

[5]

Use the claim in Question 2(d)i). It can be concluded that $a^{de} \equiv a \mod p_1 p_2$. [3]

Solution of Question 3.

(a)

i). The systematic generator matrix is given by

$$\boldsymbol{G} = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

[2]

ii). The systematic parity check matrix is given by

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

[2]

[3]

- iii). The minimum distance of the code \mathcal{C} is 2. This is because there is no zero column in H (d > 1) and the first and third columns of H are the same $(d \le 2)$.
- (b) The code $\mathcal C$ is not a linear code. To verify it, consider the two codewords $c_1 = [1,1,0,0,\cdots,0] \in \mathcal C$ and $c_2 = [0,1,1,0,\cdots,0] \in \mathcal C$. It is clear that $c_1 + c_2 = [1,2,1,0,\cdots,0] \notin \mathcal C$. [3]

(c)

i). For all $v_1, v_2 \in \varphi^{-1}(0)$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$,

$$\varphi(\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2) = (\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2) H^T$$
$$= \lambda_1 v_1 H^T + \lambda_2 v_2 H^T$$
$$= 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence, $\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 \in \varphi^{-1}(0)$.

[2]

ii). Any element from $v+\varphi^{-1}(0)$ can be written as v+w where $w \in \varphi^{-1}(0)$. Since

$$\varphi(v + w) = (v + w)H^{T}$$
$$= vH^{T} + wH^{T}$$
$$= s + 0 = s,$$

it can be concluded that $v+arphi^{-1}\left(0\right)\subsetarphi^{-1}\left(s\right)$.

[2]

- iii). Let $w \in \varphi^{-1}(s)$. Consider the vector w v. Since $\varphi(w v) = (w v)H^T = wH^T vH^T = s s = 0$, it holds that $w v \in \varphi^{-1}(0)$ and hence $w \in v + \varphi^{-1}(0)$. [3]
- iv). Suppose that $\varphi^{-1}(s_1) \cap \varphi^{-1}(s_2) \neq \phi$. Then there exists a $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ such that $v \in \varphi^{-1}(s_1) \cap \varphi^{-1}(s_2)$. Hence $\varphi(v) = s_1$ and $\varphi(v) = s_2$, which is not possible. Therefore, $\varphi^{-1}(s_1) \cap \varphi^{-1}(s_2) = \phi$. [3]

Solutions of Question 4.

(a)

i). We first compute the syndrome:

$$\boldsymbol{y}\boldsymbol{H}^T = [0,1,1].$$

From the syndrome vector and the parity check matrix, it is clear that

$$e = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1],$$

which gives the most plausible transmitted codeword

$$\hat{x} = y - e = [0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0].$$

[5]

ii). The generator matrix of \mathcal{H}_3^{\perp} is clearly H.

[2]

(b)

i). It holds that

$$x \cdot c(x) = c_0 x + c_1 x^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} x^n$$

$$\equiv c_{n-1} + c_0 x + \dots + c_{n-2} x^{n-1} \mod x^n - 1.$$

From the definition of the cyclic code, since $[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}] \in \mathcal{C}$, the codeword $[c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2}]$ is also in the code \mathcal{C} . Clearly, $x \cdot c(x) \mod x^n - 1$ is a generating function of a codeword in \mathcal{C} . [3]

- ii). Among all possible generating functions, we find the monic polynomial with least degree and set it as the generator polynomial g(x). [2]
- iii). Let $x^n-1=q(x)\,g(x)+r(x)$ where $\deg(r(x))<\deg(g(x))$. Take the modulo x^n-1 algebra with both sides of the equation. It holds $0=q(x)\,g(x)+r(x)$. By linearity of cyclic codes, $r(x)\in\mathcal{C}$. Suppose that $r(x)\neq 0$. Then there is a generating function in \mathcal{C} with $\deg(r(x))<\deg(g(x))$. This contradicts with the definition of g(x). As a result, r(x)=0 and hence $g(x)|x^n-1$.
- iv). Let α be the primitive element in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} . Let $M^{(1)}(x), \dots, M^{(\delta-1)}(x)$ be the minimal polynomials of $\alpha, \dots, \alpha^{\delta-1}$ respectively. Construct the

5

cyclic code by choosing the generator polynomial as

$$g(x) = \operatorname{lcm}\left(M^{(1)}(x), \cdots, M^{(\delta-1)}(x)\right).$$

To show that the distance $d \geq \delta$, note that for any $c \in C$, the corresponding generating function c(x) satisfies g(x)|c(x). In other words, $c(\alpha) = c(\alpha^2) = \cdots = c(\alpha^{\delta-1}) = 0$. In the matrix format,

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix}
1 & \alpha & \cdots & \alpha^{n-1} \\
1 & \alpha^2 & \cdots & \alpha^{2(n-1)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \alpha^{\delta-1} & \cdots & \alpha^{(\delta-1)(n-1)}
\end{bmatrix}}_{A} \begin{bmatrix}
c_0 \\
c_1 \\
\vdots \\
c_{n-1}
\end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Note that any $\delta-1$ columns in A are linearly independent. It can be concluded that $d \geq \delta$.

Solutions of Question 5.

(a) For any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, it holds that ord $(a) \mid (q-1)$. When q = 64, q-1 = 63. All possible values of the order of an element in \mathbb{F}_{64} are 1, 3, 7, 9, 21, 63. As a result, for any element $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}$, as long as

$$a^x \neq 1, \quad x \in \{3, 7, 9, 21\},\$$

we conclude that a is primitive. The search space is much smaller.

(b)

i). Firstly, since x_i 's are distinct, the products

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ j \ne \ell}} \frac{x - x_j}{x_\ell - x_j}, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

are well defined (the denominators will never be zero). Secondly, the degree of the polynomial is n-1. This follows from the fact that each of the product is of degree n-1 in x. Finally, we evaluate $P(x_i)$. Note that if $\ell \neq i$, then term $x_i - x_i = 0$ will appear in the product $\prod_{j \neq \ell} (x - x_j)$. If $\ell = i$, then $\prod_{j \neq \ell} (x - x_j) \Big|_{x = x_i} = \prod_{j \neq i} (x_i - x_j)$. Hence,

$$\prod_{\begin{subarray}{c} 1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq \ell \end{subarray}} \frac{x - x_j}{x_\ell - x_j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell \neq i, \\ 1 & \text{if } \ell = i. \end{cases}$$

This actually implies that $P(x_i) = y_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

ii). Let $P(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} a_{\ell} x^{\ell}$. That $P(x_i) = y_i$ implies that

$$\sum_{\ell} a_{\ell} x_i^{\ell} = y_i, \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

[6]

[7]

In a matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix}
y_1 \\
y_2 \\
\vdots \\
y_n
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & x_1 & \cdots & x_1^{n-1} \\
1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_2^{n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & x_n & \cdots & x_n^{n-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
a_0 \\
a_1 \\
\vdots \\
a_{n-1}
\end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a Vandermonde matrix. It is of full rank when x_i 's are distinct. The solution of a is unique. That is, the polynomial is unique.

[7]