

Positive Functions on C*-Algebras Author(s): W. Forrest Stinespring

Source: Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 6, No. 2 (Apr., 1955), pp.

211-216

Published by: American Mathematical Society Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2032342

Accessed: 15-11-2017 07:53 UTC

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://about.jstor.org/terms



 $American\ Mathematical\ Society\ is\ collaborating\ with\ JSTOR\ to\ digitize,\ preserve\ and\ extend\ access\ to\ Proceedings\ of\ the\ American\ Mathematical\ Society$

POSITIVE FUNCTIONS ON C*-ALGEBRAS

W. FORREST STINESPRING

1. Introduction. Let X be any set, let S be a Boolean σ -algebra of subsets of X, and let F be a function from S to non-negative operators on a Hilbert space $\mathscr K$ such that F(X)=1 and F is countably-additive in the weak operator topology. Neumark [2] has shown that there exists a Hilbert space K of which $\mathscr K$ is a subspace and a spectral measure E defined on S such that F(S)P=PE(S)P for all S in S, where P is projection of K on $\mathscr K$. Let us rephrase this situation so that we speak of algebras rather than Boolean algebras and linear functions rather than measures. Thus, we consider, instead of the Boolean σ -algebra S, the C^* -algebra $\mathscr A$ of all bounded functions on K which are measurable with respect to S. A C^* -algebra is defined as a complex Banach algebra with an involution $x \to x^*$ such that $\|xx^*\| = \|x\|^2$ for all x in the algebra. The measure F is supplanted by the linear function μ on $\mathscr A$

$$\mu(f) = \int f(\gamma)dF(\gamma), \qquad f \in \mathcal{A},$$

where the integral is to be taken in the weak sense. The theorem now asserts that $\mu(f)P = P\rho(f)P$, where

$$\rho(f) = \int f(\gamma) dE(\gamma), \qquad f \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In the original formulation, E was an improvement over F because E was a *spectral* measure; in the reformulation, ρ is an improvement over μ since ρ is a *-homomorphism. When the situation is phrased in this manner, the question naturally occurs: "Is it essential that the algebra \mathcal{A} be commutative?" The present paper is devoted to a discussion of this point.

2. The main theorem. If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are C^* -algebras and μ is a linear function from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} , we shall say that μ is positive if $\mu(A) \geq 0$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \geq 0$. The algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathcal{A} is also a C^* -algebra, which we shall denote by $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. By applying μ to each entry of an element of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$, we obtain an element of $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$; this linear function from $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ to $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ will be denoted by $\mu^{(n)}$. We shall say that μ is completely positive if $\mu^{(n)}$ is positive for each positive integer n.

Received by the editors March 29, 1954.

THEOREM 1. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra with a unit, let \mathfrak{R} be a Hilbert space, and let μ be a linear function from \mathcal{A} to operators on \mathfrak{R} . Then a necessary and sufficient condition that μ have the form

$$\mu(A) = V^* \rho(A) V \qquad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A},$$

where V is a bounded linear transformation from 3°C to a Hilbert space K and ρ is a *-representation of $\mathcal A$ into operators on K, is that μ be completely positive.

PROOF OF NECESSITY. Suppose that $\mu(A) = V^*\rho(A) V$. Let $M = (A_{ij})$ be a non-negative matrix in $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. Then $\mu^{(n)}(M)$ is an operator on the direct sum of \mathcal{X} with itself n times. What we have to check is that

$$\sum_{i,j} (\mu(A_{ij})x_j, x_i) \ge 0$$

whenever x_1, \dots, x_n are vectors in \mathcal{K} . Since ρ is a *-representation, the matrix $(\rho(A_{ij}))$ is a non-negative operator on $K \oplus \cdots \oplus K$; and therefore,

$$\sum_{i,j} (\mu(A_{ij})x_j, x_i) = \sum_{i,j} (\rho(A_{ij})Vx_j, Vx_i) \ge 0.$$

PROOF OF SUFFICIENCY. Suppose that μ is completely positive. Consider the vector space $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, the algebraic tensor product of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{H} . For $\xi = \sum_i A_i \otimes x_i$ and $\eta = \sum_j B_j \otimes y_j$ in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ we define

$$(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{i,j} (\mu(B_j^*A_i)x_i, y_j).$$

Since μ was assumed to be completely positive, it follows that

$$(\xi, \xi) = \sum_{i,j} (\mu(A_j^*A_i)x_i, x_j) \ge 0.$$

Hence (\cdot, \cdot) is a positive Hermitian bilinear form. There is a natural mapping ρ' from \mathcal{A} to linear transformations on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ given by

$$\rho'(A) \sum_{i} B_{i} \otimes y_{i} = \sum_{i} (AB_{i}) \otimes y_{i}.$$

We shall show that for all A in \mathcal{A} and ξ in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathfrak{R}$

(1)
$$(\rho'(A)\xi, \rho'(A)\xi) \leq ||A||^2(\xi, \xi).$$

If (1) were not universally true, we could find A in \mathcal{A} and

$$\xi = \sum_{i} B_{i} \otimes x_{i}$$

in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $(\xi, \xi) \leq 1$ and ||A|| < 1, but $(\rho'(A)\xi, \rho'(A)\xi) > 1$.

Then $(\rho'(A*A)\xi, \xi) > 1$, which implies, by the Schwarz inequality, that $(\rho'(A^*A)\xi, \rho'(A^*A)\xi) > 1$. By continuing in this manner, we find that

$$(\rho'([A*A]^{2^k})\xi, \xi) > 1$$
 for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Since μ is positive and $-\|C\|1 \le C \le \|C\|1$ whenever C is a self-adjoint element of \mathcal{A} , it follows that $-\|C\|\mu(1) \le \mu(C) \le \|C\|\mu(1)$ and hence that $\|\mu(C)\| \le \|C\| \|\mu(1)\|$; this inequality shows that μ is uniformly continuous on the self-adjoint elements of \mathcal{A} , and it is easy to see from this that μ must be uniformly continuous on all of \mathcal{A} . The uniform continuity of μ together with the fact that ||A|| < 1 shows that

$$(\rho'([A^*A]^{2^k})\xi, \xi) = \sum_{i,j} (\mu(B_j^*[A^*A]^{2^k}B_i)x_i, x_j)$$

converges to 0. This contradiction proves (1).

1955]

Let \mathcal{N} be the set of all ξ in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $(\xi, \xi) = 0$. By the Schwarz inequality, N is a linear manifold and by (1), N is invariant under $\rho'(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore, the quotient space $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N}$ is a pre-Hilbert space, and each A in \mathcal{A} naturally induces a bounded operator on the completion K of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathfrak{N}/\mathcal{N}$. Let

$$Vx = 1 \otimes x + \mathcal{N}$$
 for all $x \in \mathcal{K}$.

Then $||Vx||^2 \le (\mu(1)x, x)$, so that V is a bounded linear transformation from 30 to K. Now

$$(V^*\rho(A)Vx, y) = (\rho(A)Vx, Vy) = (\rho'(A)1 \otimes x, 1 \otimes y)$$
$$= (A \otimes x, 1 \otimes y) = (\mu(A)x, y)$$

for all x and y in \mathfrak{R} , and therefore $\mu(A) = V^*\rho(A)V$.

3. Remarks. The operator $\rho(1)$ is a projection. We can take $\rho(1)$ to be 1, for we can replace V by $\rho(1) V$ and then replace the space K by the subspace $\rho(1)K$. Assuming that this has been done, we have $\mu(1) = V^*V$, so that if $\mu(1) = 1$, then V is an isometry. Since an isometry can be considered as an embedding, the Neumark theorem follows from Theorem 1, provided we can show that when \mathcal{A} is commutative, positivity of μ implies complete positivity. This fact will be proved in the next section.

It might be thought possibly that positivity always implies complete positivity. We give a counter-example to show that this is not the case. Let \mathcal{A} be the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries. We denote by e_{ij} the matrix with 1 in the *i*th row and *j*th column and with zeros elsewhere. The e_{ij} 's are a basis for the vector space \mathcal{A} . We define a linear function μ from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A} by specifying the values of μ on this basis: $\mu(e_{ij})$ is to be a matrix whose (r, s)th entry is (r-j)(s-i). Now μ is positive; for let $A = (a_{ij}) \ge 0$ be an $n \times n$ matrix and let

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

be any vector. Then

$$(\mu(A)x, x) = \sum_{i,j,r,s} a_{ij}(r-j)(s-i)x_r\bar{x}_s$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \left(\sum_r (r-j)x_r\right) \left(\sum_s (s-i)\bar{x}_s\right) \ge 0$$
since $A \ge 0$.

On the other hand, μ is not completely positive, for we shall show that $\mu^{(n)}$ is not positive, n being as above. Let E be the element of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ whose (i, j)th entry is the matrix e_{ij} . It is easily seen that $E \ge 0$. But $\mu^{(n)}(E)$ is not ≥ 0 , for

$$\sum_{i,j,r,s} (r-j)(s-i)\delta_{ir}\delta_{js} = \sum_{i,j} (i-j)(j-i) < 0.$$

4. Conditions for complete positivity.

THEOREM 2. If \mathcal{A} is a W*-algebra of finite type (see [1]), then the center-valued trace t is completely positive.

PROOF. Suppose $M = (A_{ij})$ is a non-negative matrix in $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ and suppose $\epsilon > 0$. It is shown in [1] that there exist a finite number U_1, \dots, U_r of unitary operators in \mathcal{A} and non-negative numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ with $\sum_k \alpha_k = 1$ such that

$$\left\| t(A_{ij}) - \sum_{k} \alpha_{k} U_{k}^{*} A_{ij} U_{k} \right\| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

But then if x_1, \dots, x_n are any vectors,

$$\left| \sum_{i,j} (t(A_{ij})x_j, x_i) - \sum_{i,j,k} \alpha_k (U_k^* A_{ij} U_k x_j, x_i) \right| \leq \epsilon \sum_{i,j} |(x_j, x_i)|$$

and it is clear that

$$\sum_{i,j,k} \alpha_k (U_k^* A_{ij} U_k x_j, x_i) = \sum_k \alpha_k \sum_{i,j} (A_{ij} U_k x_j, U_k x_i) \ge 0$$

since $M \ge 0$. It follows that $t^{(n)}(M) \ge 0$.

THEOREM 3. If \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and μ is a positive linear function with complex values, then μ is completely positive.

PROOF. Suppose $M = (A_{ij})$ is a non-negative matrix in $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$, and $\{\lambda_i\}$ are complex numbers. We wish to check that

$$\sum_{i,j} \mu(A_{ij}) \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_i \geq 0.$$

But $\sum_{i,j} \mu(A_{ij}) \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_i = \mu(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_i)$. Writing $M = N^*N$ with $N = (B_{ij})$, we see that

$$\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_i = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_i \sum_k B_{ki}^* B_{kj}$$
$$= \sum_k \left(\sum_i \lambda_i B_{ki} \right)^* \left(\sum_j \lambda_j B_{kj} \right) \ge 0$$

and so $\mu(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_i) \ge 0$ since μ is positive.

Theorem 3 together with Theorem 1 gives the known fact that a state of a C^* -algebra induces a representation [3].

THEOREM 4. If \mathcal{A} is a commutative C^* -algebra and μ is a positive operator-valued linear function on \mathcal{A} , then μ is completely positive.

PROOF. We may take \mathcal{A} as the algebra of all continuous complexvalued functions vanishing at ∞ on a locally compact Hausdorff space Γ . Let $M = (f_{ij})$ be a non-negative matrix in $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. If x_1, \dots, x_n are vectors in the Hilbert space, we wish to verify that

$$\sum_{i,j} (\mu(f_{ij})x_j, x_i) \geq 0.$$

By the Riesz-Markoff theorem, there exists a regular measure m on Γ such that $\sum_{i} (\mu(f)x_{i}, x_{i}) = \int_{\Gamma} f dm$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then by the Riesz-Markoff and Radon-Nikodym theorems, there exist measurable functions h_{ij} such that

$$(\mu(f)x_i, x_i) = \int_{\Gamma} fh_{ij}dm$$
 for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now the matrix $(h_{ij}(\gamma))$ is non-negative almost everywhere; for

$$\int_{\Gamma} f \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_j dm \ge 0 \qquad \text{for all } f \ge 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{A},$$

and hence $\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}(\gamma) \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_j \ge 0$ for all r-tuples $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ of complex numbers with rational real and imaginary parts and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with the exception of $\gamma \in N$ where m(N) = 0. Also the matrix $(f_{ij}(\gamma))$ is

W. F. STINESPRING

non-negative for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by Theorem 3. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i,j} f_{ij}(\gamma) h_{ij}(\gamma) \ge 0 \qquad \text{almost everywhere}$$

and so

$$\sum_{i,j} (\mu(f_{ij})x_j, x_i) = \int_{\Gamma} \sum_{i,j} f_{ij} h_{ij} dm \ge 0.$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. J. Dixmier, Les anneaux d'opérateurs de classe finie, Ann. École Norm. (3) vol. 66 (1949) pp. 209-261.
- 2. M. A. Neumark, On a representation of additive operator set functions, C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS vol. 41 (1943) pp. 359-361.
- 3. I. E. Segal, Irreducible representations of operator algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 53 (1947) pp. 73-88.

University of Chicago