Numerical Optimisation: Trust Region Methods

Marta M. Betcke
m.betcke@ucl.ac.uk,
Kiko Rul·lan
f.rullan@cs.ucl.ac.uk

Department of Computer Science, Centre for Medical Imaging Computing, Centre for Inverse Problems, University College London

Assignment 3



2D Subspace Method

Recall the constraint minimisation problem for the trust region method with quadratic approximation of the function:

$$\min m(p) = f(x_k) + g^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T B p$$
 s.t. $||p|| \le \Delta$

Let us consider S the subspace spanned by g and $B^{-1}g$:

$$S = \operatorname{span}(g, B^{-1}g)$$

We can take an orthonormal basis V in S and express p as a linear combination of this basis via:

$$p = Va$$



Now consider the minimisation problem in terms of this basis:

$$\min m_{v}(a) = f(x_k) + g_{v}^{T} a + \frac{1}{2} a^{T} B_{v} a \quad \text{ s.t. } ||a|| \leq \Delta,$$

where we have used the fact that $V^TV=I$. As long as V has a full rank (g and $B^{-1}g$ are not collinear), if B is s.p.d. so is B_v . Note that if $g=cB^{-1}g$ the problem becomes 1D.

To solve the projected model m_v subject to $||p|| \leq \Delta$ we make use of Theorem 4.1 Nocedal Wiright. From this theorem for m_v we have that a minimizes m_v s.t. $||a|| \leq \Delta$ iff

$$\begin{cases} (B_{v} + \lambda I) a = -g_{v}, & \lambda \geq 0 \\ \lambda(\Delta - ||a||) = 0, \\ (B_{v} + \lambda I) \text{ is s.p.d.} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

This gives two cases:

• $\lambda = 0$ and $||a|| < \Delta$. The unconstraint solution is inside the trust region. Then the first equation becomes:

$$B_{\rm v} a = -g_{\rm v} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a = -B_{\rm v}^{-1} g_{\rm v}$$

• $\lambda \ge 0$ and $||a|| = \Delta$. The constraint is active. Then we can solve the first equation:

$$a = -(B_{\nu} + \lambda I)^{-1} g_{\nu}$$

The additional equation is provided by the constraint:

$$||a|| = \Delta$$

To solve this system we make use of eigendecomposition of B_{ν} :

$$B_v = Q^T D Q$$
 with Q orthonormal



Then we have:

$$Qa = -(D + \lambda I)^{-1}Qg_{\nu}$$

and realise that $(Qa)^T(Qa) = a^TQ^TQa = a^Ta$. We denote $Q_a = Qa$ and $Q_g = Qg_v$. For *i*-th element on Q_a :

$$Q_{\mathsf{a},i} = -\frac{1}{(d_i + \lambda)} Q_{\mathsf{g},i},$$

with d_i the *i*-th element in the diagonal of D. Now, substituting Q_a into $||Q_a||^2 = Q_{a,1}^2 + Q_{a,2}^2 = \Delta^2$ we obtain:

$$\frac{Q_{g,1}^2}{(d_1+\lambda)^2} + \frac{Q_{g,2}^2}{(d_2+\lambda)^2} = \Delta^2$$

which we can transform to a 4th degree polynomial in λ assuming that $d_i + \lambda > 0$.