

CIS

February 5, 2019

1 CIS Equations in the Jellium AO Basis

The CIS wavefunction can be written as

$$\Psi_{CIS} = c_0|\Phi_0\rangle + \sum_{i,a} c_i^a |\Phi_i^a\rangle \quad (1)$$

where $|\Phi_0\rangle$ is the Hartree-Fock reference and $|\Phi_i^a\rangle$ are singly-excited determinants. The CIS Hamiltonian Matrix has three classes of terms:

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle = E_0, \quad (2)$$

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_i^a \rangle = \langle \phi_i | \hat{h} | \phi_a \rangle + \sum_k \langle \phi_i \phi_k | | \phi_a \phi_k \rangle, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{H} | \Phi_j^b \rangle = E_0 \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab} + \left(\langle \phi_a | \hat{h} | \phi_b \rangle + \sum_k \langle \phi_a \phi_k | | \phi_b \phi_k \rangle \right) \delta_{ij} - \left(\langle \phi_i | \hat{h} | \phi_j \rangle + \sum_k \langle \phi_i \phi_k | | \phi_j \phi_k \rangle \right) \delta_{ab} + \langle \phi_a \phi_j | | \phi_i \phi_b \rangle. \quad (4)$$

The 1- and 2-electron integrals above are expressed in the MO basis, and each MO can be expressed as a linear combination of AOs:

$$\phi_n = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu}^n \psi_{\mu} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\psi_{\mu} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{3/2} \sin(\mu_x x) \sin(\mu_y y) \sin(\mu_z z). \quad (6)$$

Consider the 1-electron integrals of the form $\langle \phi_a | \hat{h} | \phi_b \rangle$; they can be expressed as linear combinations of the AO integrals as follows:

$$\left(\sum_{\mu,\nu} c_{\mu}^a c_{\nu}^b \frac{\delta_{\mu,\nu}}{2} (\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + \mu_z^2) \right) + \left(n \sum_{\mu,\nu} c_{\mu}^a c_{\nu}^b V_{\mu,\nu} \right) \quad (7)$$

where the terms in the first sum only survive when $\mu = \nu$ and the terms in the second sum only survive when the pairs $(\mu_x, \nu_x), (\mu_y, \nu_y), (\mu_z, \nu_z)$ have the same parity. Thus, the first sum reduces to

$$\sum_{\mu} c_{\mu}^a c_{\mu}^a \frac{1}{2} (\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + \mu_z^2) \quad (8)$$

and somehow the second sum contains many fewer terms than you would expect, but need to figure out how much fewer more precisely!