

# Heuristic Analysis

## Factors of Heuristic Function

---

At first, I designed several factor based on `improved_score()` in `sample_players.py`, such as a coefficient multiplied to `my_moves` or `opponent_moves`, `distance_from_center` or `distance_between_players`. But I didn't know which number of coefficient and signs(positive or negative) of these factors I should choose. So I refactored `tournament.py` in order to compare different coefficients and signs(positive or negative) of all these factors.

Here are some heuristic functions I designed for testing in `my_tournament.py`:

```
1 if game.is_loser(player):
2     return float("-inf")
3 if game.is_winner(player):
4     return float("inf")
5 my_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(player))
6 opponent_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(game.get_opponent(player)))
7 w, h = game.width / 2., game.height / 2.
8 y_m, x_m = game.get_player_location(player)
9 y_o, x_o = game.get_player_location(game.get_opponent(player))
10 center_distant = math.sqrt((h - y_m) ** 2 + (w - x_m) ** 2)
11 player_distant = math.sqrt((y_m - y_o) ** 2 + (x_m - x_o) ** 2)
```

- `opp_x2()` - `opponent_moves` is multiplied by 2

```
1 score = float(my_moves - 2 * opponent_moves)
```

- `my_x2()` - `my_moves` is multiplied by 2

```
1 score = float(2 * my_moves - opponent_moves)
```

- `opp_x3()` - `opponent_moves` is multiplied by 3

```
1 score = float(my_moves - 3 * opponent_moves)
```

- `my_x3()` - `my_moves` is multiplied by 3

```
1 score = float(3 * my_moves - opponent_moves)
```

- `pos_center_dis()` - base score plus distance from the center of game board

```
1 score = float(my_moves - opponent_moves + center_distant)
```

- `neg_center_dis()` - base score minus distance from the center of game board

```
1 score = float(my_moves - opponent_moves - center_distant)
```

- `pos_player_dis()` - base score plus distance between players

```
1 score = float(my_moves - opponent_moves + player_distant)
```

- `neg_player_dis()` - base score minus distance between players

```
1 score = float(my_moves - opponent_moves - player_distant)
```

In order to evaluate these heuristic functions better, I set `NUM_MATCHES` to 10 and `TIME_LIMIT` to 500. Then I got the performances table:

***** Playing Matches *****																			
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		opp_x2		my_x2		opp_x3		my_x3		pos_ct_dis		neg_ct_dis		pos_p_dis		neg_p_dis	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	13	7	13	7	16	4	14	6	16	4	13	7	16	4	14	6	16	4
2	MM_Open	13	7	16	4	13	7	13	7	12	8	13	7	14	6	15	5	13	7
3	MM_Center	14	6	13	7	13	7	14	6	15	5	13	7	14	6	15	5	15	5
4	MM_Improved	13	7	17	3	13	7	11	9	10	10	13	7	12	8	15	5	14	6
5	AB_Open	10	10	11	9	8	12	12	8	9	11	9	11	10	10	10	10	11	9
6	AB_Center	11	9	13	7	12	8	13	7	10	10	12	8	12	8	10	10	9	11
7	AB_Improved	12	8	10	10	9	11	7	13	10	10	10	10	9	11	12	8	10	10
Win Rate:		61.4%		66.4%		60.0%		60.0%		58.6%		59.3%		62.1%		65.0%		62.9%	

## Combination of Factors

From the performance table above, I decided the sign of each factor:

- Choice: `opp_x2`

```
opp_x2 > opp_x3 = my_x2 > my_x3
```

- Choice: `neg_center_dis`

```
neg_center_dis > pos_center_dis
```

- Choice: `pos_player_dis`

```
pos_player_dis > neg_player_dis
```

Then I combined every two of factors as well as all of them to get candidates of the finally heuristic function:

- `ox2_ppd()` - `opp_x2()` & `pos_player_dis()`

```
1 score = float(my_moves - opponent_moves * 2 + player_distant)
```

- `ox2_ncd()` - `opp_x2()` & `neg_center_dis()`

```
1 score = float(my_moves - opponent_moves * 2 - center_distant)
```

- `ncd_ppd()` - `neg_center_diss()` & `pos_player_dis()`

```
1 score = float(my_moves - opponent_moves - center_distant + player_distant)
```

- `ox2_ncd_ppd()` - `opp_x2()` & `neg_center_diss()` & `pos_player_dis()`

```
1 score = float(my_moves - opponent_moves * 2 - center_distant + player_distant)
```

Performances Table:

*****											
Playing Matches											
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		ox2_ppd		ox2_ncd		ncd_ppd		ox2_ncd_ppd	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	14	6	15	5	17	3	16	4	14	6
2	MM_Open	12	8	15	5	11	9	15	5	13	7
3	MM_Center	13	7	16	4	14	6	16	4	15	5
4	MM_Improved	13	7	16	4	15	5	13	7	14	6
5	AB_Open	9	11	12	8	11	9	9	11	7	13
6	AB_Center	11	9	8	12	9	11	11	9	11	9
7	AB_Improved	11	9	11	9	9	11	11	9	12	8
-----											
Win Rate:		59.3%		66.4%		61.4%		65.0%		61.4%	

## Final Heuristic Function

Finally, from the performances table of combination factors above, I decided the order of final heuristic functions in `game_agent.py`:

- `custom_score()`:

```
score = float(my_moves - opponent_moves * 2 + player_distant)
```

Win Rate: 66.4%

- `custom_score_2()`:

```
score = float(my_moves - opponent_moves - center_distant + player_distant)
```

Win Rate: 65.0%

- `custom_score_3()`:

```
score = float(my_moves - opponent_moves * 2 - center_distant + player_distant)
```

Win Rate: 61.4%

### Analysis:

It seems that `custom_score()` is the best heuristic function of all functions. In my opinion, the reason is as following:

- `my_moves` maximize legal moves of active player so that player will get more opportunities in future decisions.
- `-opponent_moves` minimize legal moves of opponent. This action help player 'isolate' the opponent. Also, in this heuristic function, `opponent_moves` is multiplied by 2, and this measure forces the player's strategy to be more radical, which means to be more aggressive.
- `player_distant` maximize the distance between two players. Maybe the agent think it's better to stay away from the opponent and get more opportunities in future decisions.