



Overview

- PageSpeed
- Public DNS
- Hosted Libraries
- Protocols
- Standards
- Performance Best Practices

Optimize Caching

Minimize Round Trip Times

Minimize Request Overhead

Minimize Payload Size

Optimize Browser Rendering

Optimize for Mobile

▸ Articles

Tools

Community

Optimize browser rendering

Once resources have been downloaded to the client, the browser still needs to load, interpret, and render HTML, CSS, and JavaScript your code and pages in ways that exploit the characteristics of current browsers, you can enhance performance on the client side.

[Use efficient CSS selectors](#)
[Avoid CSS expressions](#)
[Put CSS in the document head](#)
[Specify image dimensions](#)
[Specify a character set](#)

Use efficient CSS selectors

Overview

Avoiding inefficient key selectors that match large numbers of elements can speed up page rendering.

Details

As the browser parses HTML, it constructs an internal document tree representing all the elements to be displayed. It then matches various stylesheets, according to the standard CSS cascade, inheritance, and ordering rules. In Mozilla's implementation (and probal element, the CSS engine searches through style rules to find a match. The engine evaluates each rule from right to left, starting from the "key") and moving through each selector until it finds a match or discards the rule. (The "selector" is the document element to w

According to this system, the fewer rules the engine has to evaluate the better. So, of course, [removing unused CSS](#) is an important : performance. After that, for pages that contain large numbers of elements and/or large numbers of CSS rules, optimizing the definiti can enhance performance as well. The key to optimizing rules lies in defining rules that are as specific as possible and that avoid unn the style engine to quickly find matches without spending time evaluating rules that don't apply.

The following categories of rules are considered to be inefficient:

Rules with descendant selectors

For example:

Rules with the universal selector as the key

```
body * {...}
.hide-scrollbars * {...}
```

Rules with a tag selector as the key

```
ul li a {...}
#footer h3 {...}
* html #atticPromo ul li a {...}
```

Descendant selectors are inefficient because, for each element that matches the key, the browser must also traverse up the DOM ancestor element until it finds a match or reaches the root element. The less specific the key, the greater the number of nodes th

Rules with child or adjacent selectors

For example:

Rules with the universal selector as the key

```
body > * {...}
```

```
.hide-scrollbars > * {...}
```

Rules with a tag selector as the key

```
ul > li > a {...}
#footer > h3 {...}
```

Child and adjacent selectors are inefficient because, for each matching element, the browser has to evaluate another node. It becomes inefficient because of each child selector in the rule. Again, the less specific the key, the greater the number of nodes that need to be evaluated. However, it is still preferable to descendant selectors in terms of performance.

Rules with overly qualified selectors

For example:

```
ul#top_blue_nav {...}
form#UserLogin {...}
```

ID selectors are unique by definition. Including tag or class qualifiers just adds redundant information that needs to be evaluated.

Rules that apply the `:hover` pseudo-selector to non-link elements

For example:

```
h3:hover {...}
.foo:hover {...}
#foo:hover {...}
div.faa :hover {...}
```

The `:hover` pseudo-selector on non-anchor elements is known to make IE7 and IE8 slow in some cases*. When a strict doctype is used, `:hover` on non-anchors may cause performance issues.

* See a bug report at <http://connect.microsoft.com/IE/feedback/ViewFeedback.aspx?FeedbackID=391387>.

Recommendations

Avoid a universal key selector.

Allow elements to inherit from ancestors, or use a class to apply a style to multiple elements.

Make your rules as specific as possible.

Prefer class and ID selectors over tag selectors.

Remove redundant qualifiers.

These qualifiers are redundant:

- ID selectors qualified by class and/or tag selectors
- Class selectors qualified by tag selectors (when a class is only used for one tag, which is a good design practice anyway).

Avoid using descendant selectors, especially those that specify redundant ancestors.

For example, the rule `body ul li a {...}` specifies a redundant `body` selector, since all elements are descendants of the `body`.

Use class selectors instead of descendant selectors.

For example, if you need two different styles for an unordered list item and an ordered list item, instead of using two rules:

```
ul li {color: blue;}
ol li {color: red;}
```

You could encode the styles into two class names and use those in your rules; e.g:

```
.unordered-list-item {color: blue;}
.ordered-list-item {color: red;}
```

If you must use descendant selectors, prefer child selectors, which at least only require evaluation of one additional node, not all an ancestor.

Avoid the `:hover` pseudo-selector for non-link elements for IE clients.

If you use `:hover` on non-anchor elements, test the page in IE7 and IE8 to be sure your page is usable. If you find that `:hover` causes performance issues, use a class instead.

consider conditionally using a JavaScript `onmouseover` event handler for IE clients.

Additional resources

- For more details on efficient CSS rules with Mozilla, see [Writing Efficient CSS for Use in the Mozilla UI](#).
- For complete information on CSS, see the [Cascading Style Sheets Level 2 Revision 1 \(CSS 2.1\) Specification](#). For information on CSS Chapter 5.

[Back to top](#)

Avoid CSS expressions

Overview

CSS expressions degrade rendering performance; replacing them with alternatives will improve browser rendering for IE users.

Note: This best practices in this section apply only to Internet Explorer 5 through 7, which support CSS expressions. CSS expressions are supported by Internet Explorer 8, and not supported by other browsers.

Details

Internet Explorer 5 introduced CSS expressions, or "dynamic properties", as a means of dynamically changing document properties. They consist of JavaScript expressions embedded as the values of CSS properties in CSS declarations. For the most part, they are used to emulate standard CSS properties supported by other browsers but not yet implemented by IE.

- To emulate standard CSS properties supported by other browsers but not yet implemented by IE.
- To provide dynamic styling and advanced event handling in a more compact and convenient way than writing full-blown JavaScript.

Unfortunately, the performance penalty imposed by CSS expressions is considerable, as the browser reevaluates each expression whenever a change is triggered, such as a window resize, a mouse movement and so on. The poor performance of CSS expressions is one of the reasons that Internet Explorer 8 was introduced. If you have used CSS expressions in your pages, you should make every effort to remove them and use other methods to achieve the same results.

Recommendations

Use standard CSS properties if possible.

Internet Explorer 8 is fully CSS-standards-compliant; it supports CSS expressions only if run in "compatibility" mode, but it does not support them if run in "standards" mode. If you do not need to maintain backwards compatibility with older versions of IE, you should convert any instances of expressions used in your CSS to their standard counterparts. For a complete list of CSS properties and IE versions that support them, see the [Internet Explorer CSS Compatibility List](#). If you do need to support older versions of IE in which the desired CSS properties are not available, use JavaScript to achieve the same results.

Use JavaScript to script styles.

If you are using CSS expressions for dynamic styling, it makes sense to rewrite them as pure JavaScript to both improve performance and to support the same functionality in other browsers at the same time. In this example given on the MSDN page on [Dynamic Positioning](#), a JavaScript function is used to center an HTML block whose dimensions can change at runtime, and to re-center that block every time the window is resized.

```
<div id="oDiv" style="background-color: #CFCFCF; position: absolute;
left:expression(document.body.clientWidth/2-oDiv.offsetWidth/2);
top:expression(document.body.clientHeight/2-oDiv.offsetHeight/2)">Example DIV</div>
```

Here's an equivalent example using JavaScript and standard CSS:

```
<style>
#oDiv { position: absolute; background-color: #CFCFCF;}
</style>

<script type="text/javascript">
// Check for browser support of event handling capability
if (window.addEventListener) {
    window.addEventListener("load", centerDiv, false);
    window.addEventListener("resize", centerDiv, false);
} else if (window.attachEvent) {
    window.attachEvent("onload", centerDiv);
    window.attachEvent("onresize", centerDiv);
} else {
```

```

window.onload = centerDiv;
window.resize = centerDiv;
}

function centerDiv() {
  var myDiv = document.getElementById("oDiv");
  var myBody = document.body;
  var bodyWidth = myBody.offsetWidth;

  //Needed for Firefox, which doesn't support offsetHeight
  var bodyHeight;
  if (myBody.scrollHeight)
    bodyHeight = myBody.scrollHeight;
  else bodyHeight = myBody.offsetHeight;

  var divWidth = myDiv.offsetWidth;

  if (myDiv.scrollHeight)
    var divHeight = myDiv.scrollHeight;
  else var divHeight = myDiv.offsetHeight;

  myDiv.style.top = (bodyHeight - divHeight) / 2;
  myDiv.style.left = (bodyWidth - divWidth) / 2;
}
</script>

```

If you are using CSS expressions to emulate CSS properties that aren't available in earlier versions of IE, you should provide JavaScript with a version test to disable it for browsers that do support CSS. For example, the `max-width` property, which forces text to a number of pixels, was not supported until IE 7. As a workaround, this CSS expression provides that functionality for IE 5 and 6:

```
p { width: expression( document.body.clientWidth > 600 ? "600px" : "auto" ); }
```

To replace the CSS expression with equivalent JavaScript for the IE versions that don't support this property, you could use some

```

<style>
  p { max-width: 300px; }
</style>

<script type="text/javascript">

  if ((navigator.appName == "Microsoft Internet Explorer") && (parseInt(navigator.appVersion)
    window.attachEvent("onresize", setMaxWidth);

  function setMaxWidth() {
    var paragraphs = document.getElementsByTagName("p");
    for ( var i = 0; i < paragraphs.length; i++ )
      paragraphs[i].style.width = ( document.body.clientWidth > 300 ? "300px" : "auto" );
  }
</script>

```

[Back to top](#)

Put CSS in the document head

Overview

Moving inline style blocks and `<link>` elements from the document body to the document head improves rendering performance.

Details

Specifying external stylesheets and inline style blocks in the body of an HTML document can negatively affect the browser's rendering of a web page until all external stylesheets have been downloaded. Inline style blocks (specified with the `<style>` tag) can also cause a visual shift in the content. Therefore, it's important to put references to external stylesheets, as well as inline style blocks, in the head of the document.

stylesheets are downloaded and parsed first, you can allow the browser to progressively render the page.

Recommendations

- As required by the HTML 4.01 Specification ([section 12.3](#)), always put external stylesheets in the `<head>` section using the `@import`. Also make sure that you specify the stylesheets [in the correct order with respect to scripts](#).
- Put `<style>` blocks in the `<head>` section.

[Back to top](#)

Specify image dimensions

Overview

Specifying a width and height for all images allows for faster rendering by eliminating the need for unnecessary reflows and repaints.

Details

When the browser lays out the page, it needs to be able to flow around replaceable elements such as images. It can begin to render the page as soon as the image is downloaded, provided that it knows the dimensions to wrap non-replaceable elements around. If no dimensions are specified in the HTML, the browser will require a reflow and repaint once the images are downloaded. If the dimensions specified don't match those of the actual images, the browser will require a reflow and repaint once the images are downloaded. To avoid these delays, specify the width and height of all images, either in the HTML `` tag, or in CSS.

Recommendations

Specify dimensions that match those of the images themselves.

Don't use width and height specifications to scale images on the fly. If an image file is actually 60 x 60 pixels, don't set the dimensions to 100 x 100 pixels in CSS. If the image needs to be smaller, scale it in an image editor and set its dimensions to match (see [Optimize images](#) for details).

Be sure to specify dimensions on the image element or block-level parent

Be sure to set the dimensions on the `` element itself, or a block-level parent. If the parent is not block-level, the dimensions will be inherited from an ancestor that is not an immediate parent.

[Back to top](#)

Specify a character set

Overview

Specifying a character set in the HTTP response headers of your HTML documents allows the browser to begin parsing HTML and executing JavaScript as soon as it receives the first byte.

Details

HTML documents are sent over the Internet as a sequence of bytes accompanied by character encoding information. Character encoding information is specified in the HTTP response headers sent with the document, or in the HTML markup of the document itself. The browser uses the character encoding information to convert the stream of bytes into characters that it renders on-screen. Because a browser cannot correctly render a page without knowing the character set, most browsers buffer a certain number of bytes before executing any JavaScript or drawing the page, while they wait for more information in the input. (A notable exception is Internet Explorer versions 6, 7, and 8.)

Browsers differ with the respect to the number of bytes buffered and the default encoding assumed if no character set is found. However, all browsers buffer the requisite number of bytes and begun to render the page, if they encounter a character set specification that doesn't match the buffered bytes. To reparse the input and redraw the page. Sometimes, they may even have to rerequest resources, if the mismatch affects the URLs of the resources.

To avoid these delays, you should always specify the character encoding in the HTTP response headers. Note that, while it is possible to specify a character set using a meta http-equiv tag, doing so **disables the lookahead downloader** in Internet Explorer 8. Disabling the lookahead downloader increases the amount of time it takes to load your page. [Microsoft notes](#): "we continue to strongly recommend that web developers specify the character set in the HTTP Content-Type response header, as this ensures that the performance benefit of the Lookahead Downloader is realized".

Recommendations

Always specify a content type.

Before browsers can begin to check for a character set, they must first determine the content type of the document being processed. If the browser cannot determine the content type from the HTTP header or the HTML meta tag, they will attempt to "sniff" the type, using various algorithms. This process can cause additional delays and, in some cases, represent a security vulnerability. For both performance and security reasons, you should always specify a content type for all documents (e.g., `text/html`).

Be sure to specify the correct character encoding.

It's important that the character set you specify in an HTTP header or HTML meta tag match the character encoding actually used in the documents. If you specify a charset parameter in both the HTTP header and HTML meta tag, make sure they match each other. If there is an incorrect or mismatched encoding, it will render the page incorrectly and/or incur additional delays while it redraws the page. For more information on character sets, see [Section 5.2, Character Encodings](#) in the [HTML 4.01 Specification](#).

Additional resources

For details on browser behavior with respect to the presence/absence of content-type and charset specifications, see:

- [Page Speed wiki](#)
- [Browser Performance Issues with Charsets](#)
- [Performance Implications of "charset"](#)

[Back to top](#)

Last updated March 28, 2012.