Attributed network embedding

- Motivations & challenges
- **Mining attributed networks with shallow embedding**
  - Coupled spectral embedding
  - Coupled matrix & tri-factorization
  - Random walk based embedding
- Mining attributed networks with deep embedding
- Human-centric network analysis

Coupled spectral embedding

- Spectral embedding on plain networks:

$$\underset{\mathbf{U}}{\text{minimize}} \quad \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g_{ij}\left\|\frac{\mathbf{u}_i}{\sqrt{d_i}}-\frac{\mathbf{u}_j}{\sqrt{d_j}}\right\|_2^2 = \text{Trace}[\mathbf{U}^\top(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}})\mathbf{U}]$$

Normalized Graph Laplacian

- For each pair of nodes i and j, larger $\boldsymbol{g}_{ij}$ tends to make their vector representations more similar
- Spectral Graph Theory: Eigenvalues are strongly connected to almost all key invariants of a graph
- How to extend spectral embedding to attributed networks?
  - Challenges: Heterogeneity & Large Scale

Label informed attributed network embedding



LANE [Huang et al. WSDM, 2017]

- Goal: embed nodes with similar network structure, attribute proximity, or same label into similar vector representations

Couple embedding via correlation projection



- Though network $\mathbf{G}$, node attributes $\mathbf{A}$, labels $\mathbf{Y}$ are heterogeneous, node proximities defined by $\mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{Y}$ are homogeneous
- We map the node proximities in network and node attributes into two latent representations $\mathbf{U}^{(G)}$ and $\mathbf{U}^{(A)}$ via spectral embedding and fuse them by extracting their correlations

$$\underset{\mathbf{U}^{(G)},\mathbf{U}^{(A)}}{\text{maximize}} \ \mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{U}^{(G)\top}\mathcal{L}^{(G)}\mathbf{U}^{(G)} + \alpha\mathbf{U}^{(A)\top}\mathcal{L}^{(A)}\mathbf{U}^{(A)} + \alpha\mathbf{U}^{(A)\top}\mathbf{U}^{(G)}\mathbf{U}^{(G)\top}\mathbf{U}^{(A)})$$

Uniform projections



- Consider nodes with the same label as a clique, and employ the learned network proximity to smooth the label information

$$\underset{\mathbf{U}^{(G)},\mathbf{U}^{(Y)}}{\text{maximize}} \ \text{Tr}\left(\mathbf{U}^{(Y)\top}(\mathcal{L}^{(YY)} + \mathbf{U}^{(G)}\mathbf{U}^{(G)\top})\mathbf{U}^{(Y)}\right)$$

- Uniformly project all of the learned latent representations into $\mathbf{H}$

$$\underset{\mathbf{U}^{(G)},\mathbf{U}^{(A)},\mathbf{U}^{(Y)},\mathbf{H}}{\text{maximize}} \ \text{Tr}\left(\mathbf{H}^{\top}(\mathbf{U}^{(G)}\mathbf{U}^{(G)\top} + \mathbf{U}^{(A)}\mathbf{U}^{(A)\top} + \mathbf{U}^{(Y)}\mathbf{U}^{(Y)\top})\mathbf{H}\right)$$

Experimental results





- LANE and its variation outperform Original Features
- LANE achieves significantly better performance than the state-of-the-art embedding algorithms

Summary of coupled spectral embedding

I. Convert node attributes into a network by computing the affinity matrix and couple multiple spectral embedding
   - Label informed attributed network embedding, WSDM 2017
   - Co-regularized multi-view spectral clustering, NIPS 2011

$$\underset{\mathbf{U}^{(G)},\mathbf{U}^{(A)}}{\text{maximize}} \ \text{Tr}(\mathbf{U}^{(G)\top}\mathcal{L}^{(G)}\mathbf{U}^{(G)} + \alpha\mathbf{U}^{(A)\top}\mathcal{L}^{(A)}\mathbf{U}^{(A)} + \alpha\mathbf{U}^{(A)\top}\mathbf{U}^{(G)}\mathbf{U}^{(G)\top}\mathbf{U}^{(A)})$$

   - ANE for learning in a dynamic environment, CIKM 2017
     - Initialization:

$$\underset{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}{\text{maximize}} \ \mathbf{p}^\top\mathbf{U}^{(G)\top}\mathbf{U}^{(G)}\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^\top\mathbf{U}^{(G)\top}\mathbf{U}^{(A)}\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^\top\mathbf{U}^{(A)\top}\mathbf{U}^{(G)}\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}^\top\mathbf{U}^{(A)\top}\mathbf{U}^{(A)}\mathbf{q}$$

     - Joint representations:

$$\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{U}^{(G)}, \mathbf{U}^{(A)}] \times [\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}]$$

Summary of coupled spectral embedding

II. Leverage spectral embedding to handle networks and couple with other low-rank approximations, including matrix factorization
   - Exploring context and content links in social media, TPAMI 2012

$$\underset{\mathbf{H}}{\text{minimize}} \quad \|\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2+\lambda \mathrm{Trace}[\mathbf{H}^\top(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{G})\mathbf{H}]+\gamma\|\mathbf{H}\|_*$$

   - Attributed signed network embedding, CIKM 2017
     - Use spectral embedding to encode node attribute affinity matrix

III. Spectral filters in graph neural networks
   - Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors are identified as the frequencies of graph & graph Fourier modes
   - CNN on graphs with fast localized spectral filtering, NIPS 2016
   - Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks, 2016
   - GCN networks with complex rational spectral filters, 2019

Coupled matrix & tri- factorization

- Learning a unified representation from two matrices is trivial

$$\min_{\mathbf{H},\mathbf{U},\mathbf{V}} \|\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 + \alpha\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{V}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2$$



- Intuitive solutions:
  - Combining Content and Link for Classification using Matrix Factorization, 2007 (LCMF)

$$\min_{\mathbf{H},\mathbf{U},\mathbf{V}} \|\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}^{\top}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 + \alpha\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{V}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 + \gamma\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 + \beta\|\mathbf{V}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2$$

- Focuses:
  - Factorizing networks
  - Improving efficiency

Accelerated attributed network embedding



AANE [Huang et al. SDM, 2017]

- Goal: Preserve the network & node attributes into a unified latent representation, in an efficient way
- AANE accelerates the optimization by decomposing it into low complexity sub-problems

Network structure modeling

- Objective function: $\min_{\mathbf{H}} \quad \mathcal{J} = \|\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^\top\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 + \lambda \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{E}} g_{ij}\|\mathbf{h}_i - \mathbf{h}_j\|_2$

  Network Lasso

- Network lasso [Hallac et al. KDD, 2015]:
  - A generalization of group lasso, encouraging $h_i = h_j$ across the edge
  - If we use squared norms, it would reduce to Laplacian regularization
  - For each edge i to j, set $\{(h_{i1}-h_{j1}),\ (h_{i2}-h_{j2}), \ldots\}$ as a group
  - Group lasso: $\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \quad \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2^2 + \lambda \sum_{\mathcal{I}=1,\ldots,I} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathcal{I}}\|_2$

- λ adjusts the size of clustering groups
- ℓ_2-norm alleviates the impacts from outliers and missing data

Incorporating node attribute affinities



Objective functions:

$$\min_{\mathbf{H}} \quad \mathcal{J} = \|\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^\top\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 + \lambda \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{E}} g_{ij}\|\mathbf{h}_i - \mathbf{h}_j\|_2$$

Network Lasso

- Though network & node attributes are heterogeneous info, node proximity defined by attributes is homogenous with network
- Based on the decomposition of similarities defined by attributes and penalty of embedding difference between connected nodes

Acceleration via distributed optimization



- Make sub-problems independent to each other to allow parallel computation

Low-complexity independent sub-problems

- Make a copy of $\mathbf{H}$, named $\mathbf{Z}$
- Reformulate objective function into a linearly constrained problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{H}} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{h}_i \mathbf{Z}^\top\|_2^2 + \lambda \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{E}} g_{ij} \|\mathbf{h}_i - \mathbf{z}_j\|_2, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \mathbf{h}_i = \mathbf{z}_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n. \end{aligned}$$

- Given fixed $\mathbf{H}$, all the row $\mathbf{z}_i$ could be calculated independently
- Each sub-problem only needs row $\mathbf{s}_i$, not the entire $\mathbf{S}$
- Time complexity of updating $\mathbf{h}_i$ is $\mathcal{O}(d^3 + dn + d|N(i)|)$, with space complexity $\mathcal{O}(n)$

Summary of coupled matrix & tri- factorization

I. Accelerate coupled matrix factorization via distributed optimizations
   - Accelerated attributed network embedding, SDM 2017
   - Accelerated local anomaly detection via resolving AN, IJCAI 2017
     - $\min_{\mathbf{H},\mathbf{V}} \quad \|\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^\top\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2+\alpha\|\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{H}\mathbf{V}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2+\gamma(\|\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2+\|\mathbf{V}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2)$
     - A parallel mini-batch SGD to accelerate the optimization

$\|\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^\top\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2$ $\|\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{H}\mathbf{V}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2$



Summary of coupled matrix & tri- factorization

I. Modeling networks via matrix tri-factorization
   - Network Representation Learning with Rich Text Information, IJCAI 2015
     - Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the transition matrix of the PageRank on $\mathbf{G}$, and $\mathbf{M} = (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^2)/2$
     - $\min_{\mathbf{H},\mathbf{V}} \quad \|\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{A}^\top\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}(\|\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 + \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2)$
   - Preserving Proximity and Global Ranking for Network Embedding, 2017
     - Lemma: Matrix tri-factorization $\mathbf{H}^\top\mathbf{V}\mathbf{H} \approx \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{PMI}}$ preserves the second-order proximity, where (shifted) pointwise mutual information is defined as follows

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{PMI}} = \begin{cases} \max\{0, \log \frac{p_{s,t}(i,j)}{p_s(i)p_t(j)} - \log \alpha\}, & \text{if } (i,j) \in \mathcal{E}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

     - $p_{s,t}(i,j) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|}$, $p_s(i) = \frac{\mathrm{degree}_{\mathrm{out}}^i}{|\mathcal{E}|}$, $p_t(j) = \frac{\mathrm{degree}_{\mathrm{in}}^j}{|\mathcal{E}|}$
     - Negative values are filtered since less informative [Levy and Goldberg, 2014]

Random walk based embedding



- Random walks on plain networks:
  - Conduct random walks on a network and record the walking trajectories
  - Treat nodes as words and sequences as sentences to learn embedding
- Nodes' co-occurrence probabilities ≈ linking probabilities
- It converts geometric structures into structured sequences while alleviating the issues of sparsity and curse of dimensionality
- Random walks on attributed networks? (Heterogeneity)

Word2vec Figure from Franziska Horn, "Context Encoders as A Simple But Powerful Extension of Word2vec", 2017.

Large-scale heterogeneous feature embedding



FeatWalk [Huang et al. AAAI, 2019]

- Goal: Incorporate multiple networks & multiple types of high-dimensional node attributes into a unified latent representation
- E.g., amazon products have product info, customer reviews, etc. Networks: customer purchase record, & customer viewing history

Learn node proximities to handle heterogeneity



- Node proximity: Similarities between nodes defined by links or attributes of nodes, i.e., rows of each $\mathbf{X}^{(i)}$
- Node proximities learned from different $\{\mathbf{X}^{(i)}\}$ are homogeneous
- FeatWalk projects each node proximity into a set of node sequences $\mathcal{Q}^{(i)}$, and learns $\mathbf{H}$ from all $\{\mathcal{Q}^{(i)}\}$

The intuitive solution



- To learn $\mathcal{Q}^{(i)}$, intuitive solution is to compute node similarity matrix $\mathbf{S}$ based on $\mathbf{A}^{(i)}$, and perform random walks on $\mathbf{S}$
- Random Walks: In $\mathcal{Q}^{(i)}$, a sequence of node indices, probability of i follows j approaches their similarity in $\mathbf{S}$
- Expensive: $\mathbf{S}$ is dense with $\mathrm{n} \times n$ dimensions

Equivalent similarity-based random walks



- Theorem 1. Probability of walking from i to j via FeatWalk is equal to the one via random walks on $\mathbf{S}$, where

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{T}^{\top}$$

- $\mathbf{Y}$ is the node attribute matrix after special normalizations
- FeatWalk learns the same sequences as the intuitive solution, while avoiding the computation of node similarities $\mathbf{S}$

FeatWalk walks via features

- Given the initial i, we walk to the m^{th} attribute category with probability

$$P(i \to a_m) = \frac{\hat{x}_{im}}{\sum_{p=1}^{M} \hat{x}_{ip}}$$

- We focus on the m^{th} attribute category and walk from a_m to j with probability

$$P(a_m \to j) = \frac{y_{jm}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_{nm}}$$

- $\hat{x}_{im}$ and y_{jm} are normalized node attributes





Summary of FeatWalk



- Project each node proximity into a set of node sequence $\mathcal{Q}^{(i)}$
- Consider nodes as words and truncated sequences as sentences
- Apply a scalable word embedding technique to all $\{\mathcal{Q}^{(i)}\}$ to learn a joint embedding representation $\mathbf{H}$

Efficiency evaluation





- Running time of FeatWalk is almost linear to N
- FeatWalk achieves a significant acceleration compared to the intuitive solution w/o_FW

Summary of random walk based embedding

Skip-Gram Model & Negative Sampling



Word2vec: Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality
DeepWalk: Online Learning of Social Representations
FeatWalk: Large-Scale Heterogeneous Feature Embedding
TriDNR: Tri-Party Deep Network Representation
Gat2vec: Representation Learning for Attributed Graphs

Word2vec:	words	surrounding words	[2013]
DeepWalk:	nodes	neighbors	[2014]
FeatWalk:	nodes	neighbors defined by edges nodes with same attributes	[2019]
TriDNR : Gat2vec:	nodes attributes labels	neighbors defined by edges nodes with same attributes nodes with same labels	[2016] [2019]

Mining attributed networks with shallow embedding

- **Focuses:**
  Joint learning, embedding networks, & accelerating optimization
- **Methods:**
  Coupled spectral embedding
  Coupled matrix & tri-factorization
  Random walk based embedding
- **Techniques:**
  Spectral graph theory, Coupling, distributed optimization, joint random walks, etc.