

CRA case - Model A




Figure 1: Model A.

CRA case - Model E




Figure 2: Model E.

NRP case - Model A




Figure 3: Model A.

NRP case - Model C




Figure 4: Model C.

SCRUM case - Model A




Figure 5: Model A.

SCRUM case - Model B




Figure 6: Model B.

Takeaways:

- Applying PESA2 UC is closer to SC and SIC in the CRA and SCRUM cases, however, it is still notably worse than the other sets. In the NRP case, however, SIC is less effective. Thereby, SIC becomes worse than SC and even worse than UC which gets very close to SC.
- With UC PESA2 is not able to find the extremum where CouplingRatio is 0 in model A of CRA case.