


Algebraic Geometry

February 12, 2020

0 Introduction

What is algebraic geometry? Broadly speaking, it is the study of the geometry of solutions to systems of polynomial equations. For example, in \mathbb{R}^2 , if we have the set X of solutions to $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$, then we know that this set forms a circle, and we know lots of geometric facts about circles. If we take a more complicated function, such as $y^2 = x^3 - x$, we get something that looks like:



If we instead think about complex solutions, we get something of the form of a torus minus a single point, with another rich geometric structure.

In \mathbb{C}^3 , if $X = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 1\}$, then X contains 27 lines: $x = -\xi^m y, z = \xi^n$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ gives 9 of them, and the other 18 come by rotating x, y, z in this linear system.

In \mathbb{R}^3 , consider the equation $1 + x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = (1 + x + y + z)^3$.

1 Basic Setup

Fix a field K . We define an **affine n -space over K** to be $\mathbb{A}^n := K^n$. Let $A := K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over K , and let $S \subseteq A$ be a subset of A . We then define $\mathbb{V}(S)$, the **vanishing locus of S** to be the set of all n -tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ where $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for all $f \in S$.

Proposition 1.1.

1. $\mathbb{V}(\{0\}) = \mathbb{A}^n$
2. $\mathbb{V}(A) = \emptyset$
3. $\mathbb{V}(S_1 \cdot S_2) = \mathbb{V}(S_1) \cup \mathbb{V}(S_2)$, where $S_1 \cdot S_2 = \{f_1 \cdot f_2 : f_1 \in S_1, f_2 \in S_2\}$.
4. Let I be an index set, $S_i \subseteq A$ for each $i \in I$. Then $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathbb{V}(S_i) = \mathbb{V}(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i)$

Proof. 1., 2. are obvious

1. If $p \in \mathbb{V}(S_1) \cup \mathbb{V}(S_2)$, then either $p \in \mathbb{V}(S_1)$ or $p \in \mathbb{V}(S_2)$. If $p \in \mathbb{V}(S_1)$, then $f_1(p) = 0$ for all $f_1 \in S_1$, and so $f_1(p) \cdot f_2(p) = 0$ for all $f_1 \in S_1, f_2 \in S_2$, so $p \in \mathbb{V}(S_1 \cdot S_2)$, and similarly for if $p \in \mathbb{V}(S_2)$.

Conversely, suppose that $p \in \mathbb{V}(S_1 \cdot S_2)$, and $p \notin \mathbb{V}(S_1)$. Then there is some $f_1 \in S_1$ with $f_1(p) \neq 0$. But $f_1(p) \cdot f_2(p) = 0$ for all $f_2 \in S_2$, and so $f_2(p) = 0$ for all $f_2 \in S_2$, so $p \in \mathbb{V}(S_2)$.

2. If $p \in \mathbb{V}(S_i)$ for all $i \in I$, then $f_i(p) = 0$ for all $f_i \in S_i$, and so for all $f \in \bigcup_i S_i$, so $p \in \mathbb{V}(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i)$.

Conversely, if $p \in \mathbb{V}(\bigcup_i S_i)$, then $f(p) = 0$ for all the polynomials in $\bigcup_i S_i$, and so $p \in \bigcap_i \mathbb{V}(S_i)$. □

These four properties should remind you of the four axioms for a topology.

A subset of \mathbb{A}^n is **algebraic** if it is of the form $\mathbb{V}(S)$ for some $S \subseteq A$. A **Zariski open set** in \mathbb{A}^n is a set of the form $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \mathbb{V}(S)$ for some $S \subseteq A$. This proposition tells us that the Zariski open sets define a topology on \mathbb{A}^n , called the **Zariski topology**.

Examples:

1. $K = \mathbb{C}$. The Zariski open (or closed) subsets of $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{A}^n$ are in particular open (or closed) in the usual Euclidean sense, but not vice versa.
2. For any K , consider $\mathbb{A}^1, A = K[x], S \subseteq K[x]$. If S has a non-zero element, then $\mathbb{V}(S)$ is finite. Thus the closed sets are the finite subsets of \mathbb{A}^1 , and all of \mathbb{A}^1 . The open sets are \emptyset and all the co-finite sets (i.e. sets with finite complement).

Recall that, if A is any commutative ring with $S \subseteq A$ a subset, then the **ideal generated by S** is the ideal $A \supseteq \langle S \rangle = \{\sum_{i=1}^q f_i g_i : q \geq 0, f_i \in S, g_i \in A\}$, or the smallest ideal of A containing S .

Lemma 1.2. Let $S \subseteq A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then $\mathbb{V}(S) = \mathbb{V}(\langle S \rangle)$.

Proof. If $p \in \mathbb{V}(S)$, then for $f_1, \dots, f_q \in S; g_1, \dots, g_q \in A$ we have:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^q f_i g_i \right) (p) = \sum_{i=1}^q f_i(p) g_i(p) = \sum_{i=1}^q 0 \cdot g_i(p) = 0$$

So $p \in \mathbb{V}(\langle S \rangle)$, and so $\mathbb{V}(S) \subseteq \mathbb{V}(\langle S \rangle)$.

The other inclusion follows from the fact that $S \subseteq \langle S \rangle$, we must have $\mathbb{V}(\langle S \rangle) \subseteq \mathbb{V}(S)$. □

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be a subset. Define $I(X) := \{f \in A : f(p) = 0 \forall p \in X\}$, the **ideal of X** . Note that $I(X)$ is indeed an ideal, since if $f, g \in I(X)$ then $f + g \in I(X)$, and if $f \in I(X), g \in A$, then $f \cdot g \in I(X)$. Note that if $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq A_1$, then $\mathbb{V}(S_2) \subseteq \mathbb{V}(S_1)$, and if $X_1 \subseteq X_2$, then $I(X_2) \subseteq I(X_1)$.

The **radical** of an ideal $I \subset A$ is the set $\sqrt{I} := \{x \in A : \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } x^n \in I\}$. This is defined in general for any commutative ring A , not just polynomial rings.

Lemma 1.3. \sqrt{I} is an ideal.

Proof. If $f, g \in \sqrt{I}$, there is n, m such that $f^n, g^m \in I$. Then $(f+g)^{m+n} = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n}{i} f^i g^{m+n-i}$. Now for each term in this sum, either we have $i \geq n$ or $m+n-i \geq m$, and so one of these terms is in I . Hence by the closure rules for ideals, $(f+g)^{m+n} \in I$, so $f+g \in \sqrt{I}$. Given $f \in \sqrt{I}, g \in A$, we have $(fg)^n = f^n g^n$, and $f^n \in I \implies f^n g^n \in I$, so $fg \in \sqrt{I}$. \square

Proposition 1.4.

1. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is algebraic, then $\mathbb{V}(I(X)) = X$.
2. If $I \subseteq A$ is an ideal, then $I(\mathbb{V}(I)) \supseteq \sqrt{I}$.

Proof.

1. Since X is algebraic $X = \mathbb{V}(I)$ for some $I \subseteq A$. Certainly $I \subseteq I(X)$, and so $\mathbb{V}(I(X)) \subseteq \mathbb{V}(I) = X$. But $X \subseteq \mathbb{V}(I(X))$ trivially, and so $X = \mathbb{V}(I(X))$.
2. If $f \in \sqrt{I}$, then $f^n \in I$ for some n , and so f^n vanishes on $\mathbb{V}(I)$, thus f vanishes on $\mathbb{V}(I)$. Hence $f \in I(\mathbb{V}(I))$. \square

Theorem 1.5 (Hilbert Nullstellensatz). *Let K be algebraically closed. Then $I(\mathbb{V}(I)) = \sqrt{I}$.*

Proof. Deferred until later. \square

Example: If $K = \mathbb{R}$, $I = \langle x^2 + y^2 + 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x, y]$, then $\mathbb{V}(I) = \emptyset$, so $I(\mathbb{V}(I)) = \mathbb{R}[x, y] \neq \sqrt{I}$.

We define an **affine (algebraic) variety** to be an algebraic subset of \mathbb{A}^n . Very often we can decompose affine varieties into smaller subsets. For instance, $\mathbb{V}(\langle xy \rangle) = + = - \cup | = \mathbb{V}(\langle x \rangle) \cup \mathbb{V}(\langle y \rangle)$. If $Y \subseteq X$ is a non-empty closed subset, then we say Y is **irreducible** if whenever $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ with Y_1, Y_2 closed, then either $Y_1 = Y$ or $Y_2 = Y$. In the Euclidean topology on \mathbb{C}^n , the irreducible subsets are single points, but under the Zariski topology they are much more interesting. We will now turn to the question of identifying when an algebraic set is irreducible.

Proposition 1.6. *If $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, then $I(X_1 \cup X_2) = I(X_1) \cap I(X_2)$.*

Proof. Since $X_1, X_2 \subseteq X_1 \cup X_2$, $I(X_1 \cup X_2) \subseteq I(X_1), I(X_2)$. Hence $I(X_1 \cup X_2) \subseteq I(X_1) \cap I(X_2)$.

Conversely, if $f \in I(X_1) \cap I(X_2)$, then f vanishes on both X_1 and X_2 , and so on all of the union. So $I(X_1) \cap I(X_2) \subseteq I(X_1 \cup X_2)$. \square

Recall that an ideal $P \subseteq A$ of a commutative ring is said to be **prime** if it is not the whole ring, and whenever $fg \in P$, either $f \in P$ or $g \in P$.

Lemma 1.7. *Let $P \subseteq A$ be prime, and $I_1, \dots, I_n \subseteq A$ be ideals. Suppose that $P \supseteq \bigcap_i I_i$. Then there is some i such that $P \supseteq I_i$, and if equality holds in either then it holds in both.*

Proof. Suppose $P \not\supseteq I_i$ for any i , so for all i there is some $x_i \in I_i \setminus P$. Then $x = \prod_i x_i \in I_i$ for all i , so $x \in \bigcap_i I_i$ and hence $x \in P$. But then some $x_i \in P$ because P is prime. \nmid

If we have equality, then $P \subseteq I_i$ for all i , and by above there is some i for which $P \supseteq I_i$, so $P = I_i$. \square

Proposition 1.8. *Let K be algebraically closed. Then an algebraic set $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is irreducible if and only if $I(X)$ is prime.*

Proof.

\implies If $f \cdot g \in I(X)$, then $X \subseteq \mathbb{V}(f \cdot g) = \mathbb{V}(f) \cup \mathbb{V}(g)$. So $X = (X \cap \mathbb{V}(f)) \cup (X \cap \mathbb{V}(g))$. Irreducibility implies that wlog $X = X \cap \mathbb{V}(f)$, i.e. $X \subseteq \mathbb{V}(f)$ as $\mathbb{V}(f), \mathbb{V}(g), X$ are closed. Then $f \in I(X)$, and so $I(X)$ is prime.

\impliedby If P is prime with $\mathbb{V}(P) = X_1 \cup X_2$, X_1, X_2 closed. Then $I(X_1) \cap I(X_2) = I(X_1 \cup X_2) = I(\mathbb{V}(P)) = \sqrt{P}$ by the Nullstellensatz. But if $f^n \in P$, then $f \in P$, and so $\sqrt{P} = P$. Hence $I(X_1) \cap I(X_2) = P$, and $P = I(X_1)$ or $I(X_2)$, so $\mathbb{V}(P) = X_1$ or X_2 . \square

So if K is algebraically closed, then we have a one-to-one correspondence between algebraic subsets and radical ideals, and between irreducible algebraic subsets and prime ideals. This correspondence suggests that, just as prime ideals are the “building blocks” of ideals, so too are irreducible varieties the building blocks of algebraic sets.

Proposition 1.9. *Any algebraic set is a finite union of irreducible varieties.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of non-empty closed subsets of \mathbb{A}^n which cannot be written as a finite union of irreducible subsets. Suppose $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$. Then we claim \mathcal{S} has a minimal element with respect to inclusion.

If not, then there is an infinite descending chain of elements of \mathcal{S} , say, $X_1 \supsetneq X_2 \supsetneq \dots$. If $I_j = I(X_j)$, then we get an *ascending* chain of ideals $I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \dots$. But since $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is Noetherian (proven in IB GRM), every ascending chain of ideals is eventually stationary. \nmid

Let $Y \in \mathcal{S}$ be a minimal element. Since $Y \in \mathcal{S}$, Y is not irreducible, and so $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$, with $Y_1, Y_2 \neq Y$. Since $Y_i \subsetneq Y$ and Y is minimal in \mathcal{S} , $Y_i \notin \mathcal{S}$, and so can be written as a finite union of irreducible closed subsets. But then Y can also be written as a finite union of irreducible closed subsets. \square

If $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$, with Y_i irreducible varieties, $Y_i \subseteq Y_j$ for $i \neq j$, then Y_i, \dots, Y_n are the **irreducible components** of Y .

Example: $\mathbb{V}(xy) = \mathbb{V}(x) \cup \mathbb{V}(y)$. Note that $\mathbb{V}(x)$ is irreducible because (x) is a prime ideal in $\overline{K[x, y]}$ - to see this observe $K[x, y]/(x) \cong K[y]$ is an integral domain. So the irreducible component of $\mathbb{V}(xy)$ are $\mathbb{V}(x)$ and $\mathbb{V}(y)$, or the two coordinate axes.

Proposition 1.10. *The irreducible components of Y are unique up to ordering.*

Proof. Exercise. □

Example: $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a unique factorisation domain (UFD). If $f \in A \setminus \{0\}$, then f is irreducible if and only if (f) is prime. If instead, $f = f_1 \dots f_n$ is a factorisation into irreducible components, then $\mathbb{V}(f) = \mathbb{V}(f_1) \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{V}(f_n)$ is the irreducible decomposition of $\mathbb{V}(f)$.

For instance, $\mathbb{V}(y^2 - x^3 + x)$, the diagram on the first page of these notes, is irreducible.

2 Regular and Rational Functions

In algebraic geometry we are interested in polynomial functions, i.e. $f : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow K$ for some $f \in A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then, given $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ closed, we get $f|_X : X \rightarrow K$. Note that if there are $f, g \in A$ with $f|_X = g|_X$, then $(f - g)|_X = 0$, and so $f - g \in I(X)$.

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine variety. We define the **coordinate ring** of X to be $A(X) := A/I(X)$. The lecturer also uses the notation $K[X]$ to mean the same thing. I'm not sure why, but if you ever think we're doing some sort of polynomial ring in an affine variety, it's probably because of this.

If X is irreducible and $U \subseteq X$ is open, then a **regular function** on U is a function $f : U \rightarrow K$ such that, for every $p \in U$, there is an open neighbourhood $p \in V \subseteq U$, and functions $g, h \in A(X)$, with $h(q) \neq 0$ for all $q \in V$ and $f = g/h$ on V , i.e. everywhere in U it is *locally* a ratio of two polynomials.

Examples:

1. $f \in A(X)$ induces $f|_U : U \rightarrow K$, which is $f/1$ on U and so regular.
2. If $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^1$, $U = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$. Then $g(x)/x^n$ is a regular function on U for any polynomial g .

We write for $U \subseteq X$ open, $\mathcal{O}_X(U) := \{f : U \rightarrow K : f \text{ a regular function}\}$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a ring, i.e. sums, products, and differences of regular functions are regular. $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is also a K -algebra, so we can multiply by scalars in K .

Lemma 2.1. $\mathcal{O}_X(X) = A(X)$ if K is algebraically closed.

Proof. Deferred until after Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. □

Recall that, if A is an integral domain, then we define the **field of fractions** of A to be $\left\{ \frac{f}{g} : f \in A, g \in A \setminus \{0\} \right\} / \sim$, with $\frac{f}{g} \sim \frac{f'}{g'}$ if $fg' = f'g$. This is, as the name would suggest, a field.

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is an irreducible variety, then we define the **function field** of X to be the field of fractions of $A(X)$. We denote this $K(X)$. Note that $A(X)$ is an integral domain if and only if X is irreducible. Then $f \in K(X)$ can be written as $f = \frac{g}{h}$ for $g, h \in A(X)$, and this is a regular function on $X \setminus \mathbb{V}(h)$.

3 Morphisms

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between affine varieties is a **morphism** if:

1. f is continuous in the Zariski topology (i.e. the induced topologies on X and Y)

2. For all open $V \subseteq Y$ and for any regular function $\varphi : V \rightarrow K$, the map $\varphi \circ f : f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow K$ is also regular.

The lecturer appreciates that this is a conceptually difficult definition, but has no idea how to make it clearer.

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism, then for any $\varphi \in A(Y)$, $\varphi \circ f$ is a regular function on X , and hence $\varphi \circ f \in A(X)$ by **2.1**. This defines a map $f^\# : A(Y) \rightarrow A(X); \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ f$.

Note that $f^\#$ is a K -algebra homomorphism:

- $f^\#(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) = (\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \circ f = \varphi_1 \circ f + \varphi_2 \circ f = f^\#(\varphi_1) + f^\#(\varphi_2)$.
- $f^\#(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2) = f^\#(\varphi_1)f^\#(\varphi_2)$
- For $a \in K$, $f^\#(a \cdot \varphi) = f^\#(a)f^\#(\varphi) = af^\#(\varphi)$

Theorem 3.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of affine varieties and K -algebra homomorphisms $f^\# : A(Y) \rightarrow A(X)$.*

Proof. We've seen how to get $f^\#$ from f . So now suppose we have $f^\# : A(Y) \rightarrow A(X)$ a K -algebra homomorphism given. Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n, Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$. Then:

$$A(X) = \frac{K[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{I(X)} \quad A(Y) = \frac{K[y_1, \dots, y_m]}{I(Y)}$$

Let \bar{y}_i be the element of $A(Y)$ represented by y_i , and let $f_i = f^\#(\bar{y}_i) \in A(X)$.

Then let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$ be given by $f(p) = (f_1(p), \dots, f_m(p))$. We claim that the image of f lies in Y .

We have the maps $K[y_1, \dots, y_m] \xrightarrow{\text{quotient map}} A(Y) \xrightarrow{f^\#} A(X)$ given by $y_i \mapsto \bar{y}_i \mapsto f_i$, and so $g \mapsto g(f_1, \dots, f_m)$.

Then since $g \in I(Y)$, we have $g(f_1, \dots, f_m) = 0$ in $A(X)$, and so in particular, evaluating at p , we get $g(f_1(p), \dots, f_m(p)) = 0$ as desired. Note that if $\varphi \in A(Y)$ we can write $\varphi = \bar{g}$ for some $g \in K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$, and then $f^\#(\varphi) = g(f_1, \dots, f_m) \in A(X)$. Hence f is a map from X to Y .

Next, we must show that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism.

For continuity, we show that if $Z \subseteq Y$ is closed, then $f^{-1}(Z) \subseteq X$ is closed. For this, note $I(Z) \supseteq I(Y)$, and write $\overline{I(Z)}$ for the image $I(Z)/I(Y)$ in $A(Y)$. This is the ideal of regular functions on Y vanishing on Z .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}(f^\#(\overline{I(Z)})) &= \{p \in X : g(p) = 0 \forall g \in f^\#(\overline{I(Z)})\} \subseteq A(X) \\ &= \{p \in X : (h \circ f)(p) = 0 \forall h \in \overline{I(Z)}\} \\ &= \{p \in X : f(p) \in \mathbb{V}(\overline{I(Z)})\} \\ &= \{p \in X : f(p) \in Z\} \\ &= f^{-1}(Z) \end{aligned}$$

If I denotes the inverse image of $f^\#(I(Z))$ under the quotient map $K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow A(X)$. Then $\mathbb{V}(I) = \mathbb{V}(f^\#(\overline{I(Z)}))$, and in particular $f^{-1}(z)$ is closed.

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_Y(U)$, i.e. $\varphi : U \rightarrow K$ is regular, we need to show that $\varphi \circ f : f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow K$ is regular. Let $p \in f^{-1}(U)$. Then there exists a neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ of $f(p)$ on which $\varphi|_V = \frac{g}{h}$ for $g, h \in A(Y)$ with h non-vanishing on V .

Then $(\varphi \circ f)|_{f^{-1}(V)} = \varphi|_V \circ f = \frac{g}{h} \circ f = \frac{g \circ f}{h \circ f} = \frac{f^\#(g)}{f^\#(h)}$. Here, $f^\#(g), f^\#(h) \in A(X)$, and $f^\#(h) = h \circ f$ does not vanish on $f^{-1}(V)$. Hence $\varphi \circ f$ is regular as desired, and hence a morphism. \square

The moral of this notationally heavy proof is that a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is given by a choice of polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_n \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $f(p) = (f_1(p), \dots, f_n(p)) \in Y$ for all $p \in X$.

Example: $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2; t \mapsto (t, t^2)$, so that $f_1(t) = t, f_2(t) = t^2$. Then $f^\#$ is a homomorphism of rings from $K[y_1, y_2] \rightarrow K[t]$, with $f^\#(y_1) = t, f^\#(y_2) = t^2$. Note that $y_1^2 - y_2 \in \ker f^\#$, so in fact we have a K -algebra homomorphism, $f^\# : K[y_1, y_2]/(y_1^2 - y_2) \rightarrow K[t]$, inducing a morphism $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y = \mathbb{V}(y_1^2 - y_2)$, a parabola.

We say X and Y as affine varieties are **isomorphic** if there is $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow X$ both morphisms with $f \circ g = \text{id}_Y, g \circ f = \text{id}_X$.

Corollary 3.2. *X and Y are isomorphic if and only if $A(X)$ and $A(Y)$ are isomorphic as K -algebras.*

4 Projective Space


Consider the two lines $\ell_1 = \mathbb{V}(x), \ell_2 = \mathbb{V}((1 + 1/t)x + 1)$, for t some parameter in K . Then the intersection $\ell_1 \cap \ell_2$ is a single point for $t \neq \infty$. However, at $t = \infty$ the lines are parallel, and so we have lost this intersection. We think of this as being a failure of compactness, and introduce the idea of projective space to restore this compactness.

Let U be a vector space of dimension $n + 1$ over K . Then the **projectivization** of U , $\mathbb{P}(U)$ is the set of all 1-dimensional subspaces of U . We will write $\mathbb{P}^n := \mathbb{P}(K^{n+1})$.


For example, $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}(K^2) = \{\text{nonzero vectors in } K^2\} / \text{scaling action of } K^*$, where by this scaling action we identify $(1, 1) \sim (2, 2) \sim (-1, -1)$. More formally, this is the quotient space $(\mathbb{A}_K^2 \setminus \{0\}) / \sim$ where $(z_0, z_1) \sim (\lambda z_0, \lambda z_1)$ for $\lambda \in K^*$, so that the equivalence classes of \sim are straight lines through the origin.

Why does this “compactify” \mathbb{A}^1 ? Consider the subset of \mathbb{P}^1 given by $\{(z_0, z_1) \in \mathbb{P}^1 : z_0 \neq 0\} = \{(1, z_1/z_0) : z_0 \neq 0, z_1 \in K\}$, using the equivalence relation. But this is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 , by picking $z_1 = z_0 k$ for $k \in K$, so \mathbb{P}^1 contains all the information about \mathbb{A}^1 by encoding each element in \mathbb{A}^1 as the gradient of a line in \mathbb{A}^2 , together with some missing information, where $z_0 = 0$. In the \mathbb{A}^1 “view” of \mathbb{P}^1 , this point is the point at infinity, which seems special or distinguished in some way, but we view it as a line in \mathbb{A}^2 , it is simply another straight line through the origin $\{(0, t) : t \in K\}$.

We can think of \mathbb{P}^1 in another way - if we draw in the unit circle centered on the origin in the \mathbb{A}^2 view of \mathbb{P}^1 , we see we have a bijection between the straight lines through the origin (elements of \mathbb{P}^1 as defined) and pairs of antipodal points on the unit circle. So we can also view \mathbb{P}^1 as the unit circle in \mathbb{A}^2 with antipodal points identified.



(a) \mathbb{P}^2 as the straight lines in \mathbb{A}^2 , so that points of the same colour are identified



(b) \mathbb{P}^2 as the corresponding points in \mathbb{A}^1 , i.e. the gradients of lines. Note the point at infinity

(c) \mathbb{P}^2 as the points on a circle, with antipodal points identified (points of the same colour)

For \mathbb{P}_K^2 , consider $\mathbb{P}_K^2 \supseteq \mathcal{U}_0 = \{(z_0, z_1, z_2) : z_0 \neq 0\} = \{(1, z_1/z_0, z_2/z_0) : z_0 \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{A}_K^2$. The missing stuff at infinity is $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathcal{U}_0 = \{(0, z_1, z_2) : (z_1, z_2) \neq (0, 0)\}/\text{scaling} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Similarly as in the \mathbb{P}^1 , we can think of \mathbb{P}^2 as being a sphere with antipodal points identified.

In general, in \mathbb{P}^n with **homogeneous coordinates** $[z_0, \dots, z_n]$, (where the square brackets indicates that we are working with an equivalence class of coordinates)¹ we have subsets $\mathcal{U}_i = \{(z_0, \dots, z_n) : z_i \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{A}_K^n$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$, we have $\mathbb{P}_K^n \setminus \mathcal{U}_i = \mathbb{P}_K^{n-1}$.

¹Also used in literature are the notations $(z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n)$ or $[z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n]$

4.1 Projective Varieties

We want to extend our idea of an affine variety to some sort of “projective variety” in projective space. The idea of polynomial function in z_0, \dots, z_n is not well defined on points in projective space, because of the scaling that we have quotiented out by. For instance, take the polynomial $f = z_0 + 1$ as a function on \mathbb{P}_K^2 . We expect to obtain values in K for $f(p)$ where $p \in \mathbb{P}_K^2$. But $(1, 0, 0) \sim (-1, 0, 0)$ are equivalent in \mathbb{P}_K^2 , and $f(1, 0, 0) = 2, f(-1, 0, 0) = 0$, so f is not well defined on \mathbb{P}_K^2 .

It’s not so much a problem that the value of the polynomial isn’t well defined, and we never really used this property in the affine case. We only cared that the points where it was zero, $\mathbb{V}(f)$, was well defined. Similarly, in the projective case, we can see that the polynomial will have a well defined zero set when $f(Ap) = Bf(p)$ for fixed constants A, B independent of p . Plugging this into the definition of a polynomial, we obtain the following criterion:

A polynomial $f \in K[z_0, \dots, z_n]$ is **homogeneous of degree d** if $f(\lambda p) = \lambda^d f(p)$ for all $\lambda \in K^*, p \in \mathbb{A}_K^n$. Equivalently, if the total degree of every term is d .

- $z_0^2 + 2z_1z_2 + 8z_2^2$ is homogeneous, as the total degree of each term is 2.
- $z_0 + z_1^2 + 2z_2^3 + 1$ is not homogeneous, as the terms have total degree 1, 2, 3, 0 respectively.

A **projective hypersurface** in \mathbb{P}_K^n is the vanishing set $\mathbb{V}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ for a f a homogeneous polynomial in $K[z_0, \dots, z_n]$. This is well defined, since f is homogeneous.

An ideal in $K[z_0, \dots, z_n]$ is **homogeneous** if it is generated by homogeneous polynomials, not necessarily of the same degree.

Lemma 4.1. *The following two conditions are equivalent for an ideal $I \subseteq K[z_0, \dots, z_n]$:*

1. *I is homogeneous.*
2. *If $f \in I$, then every constituent in f of degree r , denoted $f_{[r]}$ also lies in I .*

By this we mean that every polynomial $f \in K[z_0, \dots, z_n]$ can be written as a sum $\sum_{r=0}^d f_{[r]}$ where $f_{[r]}$ is homogeneous of degree r . For example:

$$z_0 + 2z_1^2 + z_0z_2 + z_2^3 + 3z_2 = \underbrace{0}_{f_{[0]}} + \underbrace{z_0 + 3z_2}_{f_{[1]}} + \underbrace{2z_1^2 + z_0z_2}_{f_{[2]}} + \underbrace{z_2^3}_{f_{[3]}}$$

Proof. 2. \implies 1. is immediate, since I is generated by the constituent parts of all of its polynomials, which are all homogeneous.

For the other direction, let $I = \langle f_j \rangle$ where f_j is homogeneous of degree d_j . Then for $f \in I$, $f = \sum_j h_j f_j$ where h_j is arbitrary and f_j is homogeneous.

Now split h_j into its constituent homogeneous pieces, so that $h_j = \sum_r h_{j[r]}$, a sum of terms of degree r . Then $f_{[r]} = \sum_j h_{j[d_j-r]} f_{j[r]}$. Now $f_{j[r]} = f_j$ if $r = d_j$, and 0 otherwise since f_j is homogeneous, so $f_{j[r]} \in I$. Then $f_{[r]}$ is a sum of terms hg for $g \in I, h \in K[z_0, \dots, z_n]$, and so $f_{[r]} \in I$ as required. \square

Let $I \subseteq K[z_0, \dots, z_n]$ be a homogeneous ideal. Then the associated **projective variety** is $\mathbb{V}(I) = \{P \in \mathbb{P}_K^n : f(P) = 0 \forall f \in I\}$, which by the previous lemma is the same as saying $f(P)$ vanishes for every homogeneous $f \in I$. Projective space then inherits a Zariski topology in three ways:

1. Closed sets are given by $\mathbb{V}(I)$ for $I \subseteq K[z_0, \dots, z_n]$ homogeneous.
2. \mathbb{P}_K^n is covered by $n+1$ open sets $\mathcal{U}_i = \mathbb{P}_K^n \setminus \{z_i = 0\}$, each in bijection with \mathbb{A}_K^n which has a Zariski topology, so each \mathcal{U}_i has a Zariski topology. Now declare a subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ closed if and only if $C \cap \mathcal{U}_i = \emptyset$ for all i .
3. We describe $\mathbb{P}_K^n = (\mathbb{A}_K^{n+1} \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}) / \sim$, and then inherit the topology as a quotient topology of the Zariski topology on \mathbb{A}_K^n .

Lemma 4.2. *These three topologies are equivalent.*

Proof. Exercise for a topology course. □

We call this topology the **Zariski topology on \mathbb{P}_K^n** .

Notice that, via description 3, \mathbb{P}_K^n is a compact topological space and even Hausdorff with respect to the second “Euclidean” topology, by observing it is a quotient of a unit hypersphere. We will often draw diagrams of projective space using this Euclidean topology, although this structure cannot be detected using the Zariski topology. The Zariski topology is weird, so we don’t draw diagrams using it.

Let $\mathcal{U}_0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ be the locus where $Z_0 \neq 0$, and let $X = \mathbb{V}(f)$ for some $f \in K[\mathbf{z}]$. Then $X \cap \mathcal{U}_0$ can be described by $\mathbb{V}\left(f\left(1, \frac{z_1}{z_0}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{z_0}\right)\right)$. As a consequence, every projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ is a union of $n+1$ affine varieties, as $\bigcup_{i=0}^n X \cap \mathcal{U}_i$.

A projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ is irreducible if X cannot be written as a union $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ with the X_i nonempty proper subvarieties.

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ be a projective variety. Then the **homogeneous ideal of X** $I^h(X)$ is the ideal generated by all homogeneous polynomials vanishing on X .

Lemma 4.3. *X is irreducible if and only if $I^h(X)$ is a prime ideal.*

Proof. This is the same as in the affine case, observing the following fact: If $J \subseteq K[\mathbf{z}]$ is homogeneous and non-prime then there exist $F, G \in K[\mathbf{z}]$ homogeneous with $FG \in J$ but neither F nor G in J . □

Hence, via our discussion in the affine case, every projective variety has a unique irreducible component decomposition. Consider the ideal $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{z}]$ given by $I = \langle z_0, \dots, z_n \rangle$. Then $\mathbb{V}(I) = \emptyset$ in \mathbb{P}_K^n as $(0, \dots, 0) \notin \mathbb{P}_K^n$.

Theorem 4.4 (Projective Nullstellensatz).

1. If $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{z}]$ is a homogeneous ideal such that $\mathbb{V}(I) = \emptyset \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$, then $I \supseteq \langle z_0^m : \dots : z_n^m \rangle$ for some $m > 0$.
2. If $X = \mathbb{V}(I)$ with I homogeneous, then $I^h(X) = \sqrt{I}$.

Proof. Essentially the same as the affine case, which will come later. □

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ is a projective variety, then every algebraic function $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is constant, by Liouville’s theorem. This is why we introduce the idea of rational functions.

4.2 Rational Functions

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ be an irreducible projective variety. Then we define the **function field** $K(X) = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} : f, g \text{ homogeneous of same degree}, g \in I^h(X) \right\} / \sim$, where $\frac{f_1}{g_1} \sim \frac{f_2}{g_2} \iff f_1 g_2 - g_1 f_2 \in I^h(X)$.

Note that these ratios of polynomials of the same degree are well defined on projective space - when the scaling term of λ^d comes out in the numerator and the denominator it cancels, so that $\frac{f(\lambda z)}{g(\lambda z)} = \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$.

We need to check that $K(X)$ is well defined, i.e. that the equivalence relation \sim is indeed an equivalence relation, and also that $K(X)$ is a field. The first of these requires that $I^h(X)$ is prime.

The set of degree d homogeneous polynomials in variables is a K -vector space denoted $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)$, where $\dim_K \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d) = |\{\text{monomials of degree } d \text{ in } z_0, \dots, z_n\}| = \binom{n+d}{d}$.

We can think of $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrices (i.e. elements of $GL(n+1, K)$) as changing coordinates in K^{n+1} , and inducing a change of homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}_K^n . These changes of coordinates are unique up to scaling, so we have the group of matrices corresponding to changes of homogeneous coordinates given by $\mathbb{P}GL(n+1, K) \cong GL(n+1, K)/\text{scaling}$.

For example, $\mathbb{P}GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the group of Möbius transformations on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$.

Let p_1, \dots, p_{n+2} be a set of points in \mathbb{P}_K^n such that no $n+1$ of these lie in a hyperplane $\mathbb{V}(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$. Then there exists a change of coordinates $\phi : \mathbb{P}_K^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^n$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p_1) &= [1 : 0 : \dots : 0] \\ \phi(p_2) &= [0 : 1 : \dots : 0] \\ &\vdots \\ \phi(p_{n+1}) &= [0 : 0 : \dots : 1] \\ \phi(p_{n+2}) &= [1 : 1 : \dots : 1] \end{aligned}$$

This is a simple exercise in linear algebra. Hence we can see that $\mathbb{P}GL(n+1, K)$ acts transitively on the set of collections of $n+2$ points in general position. This follows by choosing an appropriate basis of K^{n+1} .

Proposition 4.5. *Let $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)$ and assume that F is irreducible. Let $c = \mathbb{V}(F)$ and $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be a line (i.e. $\ell = \mathbb{V}(G)$ for $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$). Then $\#\ell \cap c \leq d$, and in fact there exist positive integers $m_p(c, \ell)$ for $p \in c \cap \ell$ such that $\sum_{p \in c \cap \ell} m_p(c, \ell) = d$.*

Proof. Choose coordinates z_0, z_1, z_2 on \mathbb{P}_K^2 such that the following things hold:

- $\ell = \mathbb{V}(z_2)$
- $[0 : 1 : 0] \notin c$

This implies that $c \cap \ell \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^2 \setminus \{z_0 = 0\}$. But this is $\mathbb{P}_K^2 \setminus \mathcal{U}_0 \cong \mathbb{A}_K^2$, and so we can work in the affine case.

If we choose $x = \frac{z_1}{z_0}, y = \frac{z_2}{z_0}$, then $c \cap \{z_0 \neq 0\} = \mathbb{V}(f(x, y)), f(x, y) = F(1, x, y)$. Now, since $[0 : 1 : 0] \notin c$, $f(x, y)$ has degree d in the x variable. Hence $c \cap \ell = \{f(x, y) = 0\}$, and the result

follows by the fundamental theorem of algebra, where the $m_p(c, \ell)$ are the multiplicities of the roots. \square

This implies that any two distinct lines in \mathbb{P}_K^2 intersect at a point.

in fact, if $C = \mathbb{V}(F_1), D = \mathbb{V}(F_2)$ for $F_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d_i)$ irreducible, then $C \cap D$ is $d_1 d_2$ points counted with multiplicity (Bezout's theorem).

Lemma 4.6. *Let F and G be homogeneous polynomials that are coprime, with $\deg(F), \deg(G) \leq 2$. Then*

$$\#\mathbb{V}(F) \cap \mathbb{V}(G) \leq \deg(F) \deg(G)$$

Proof. Omitted, similar to proposition above. \square

Proposition 4.7. *Let $p_1, \dots, p_5 \in \mathbb{P}_K^2$, where no three lie on a line. Then there exists a unique conic curve $C = \mathbb{V}(F), F \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$ passing through p_1, \dots, p_5 . Moreover, C is irreducible.*

Proof. Suppose there existed two conics C_1, C_2 through the points. Then they intersect at > 4 points, so they contradict the lemma above. But why does such a conic exist?

Any conic is given by $\mathbb{V}(a_1 z_0^2 + a_2 z_1^2 + a_3 z_2^2 + a_4 z_1 z_2 + a_5 z_0 z_1 + a_6 z_0 z_2) = \mathbb{V}(F)$ where $(a_1, \dots, a_6) \in K^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Now each condition that $\mathbb{V}(p_i) = 0$ is a linear condition in the coefficients a_1, \dots, a_6 . Linear algebra guarantees a one dimensional solution, but they are all multiples of each other so we get a unique irreducible polynomial F , and so C exists and is irreducible. \square

If $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)$, $\mathbb{V}(F)$ is usually called an elliptic curves.

4.3 The Rational Normal Curve, or the Twisted Cubic, and Other Short Stories

Suppose we have a map from $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, where $[x_0 : x_1] \mapsto [x_0^3 : x_0^2 x_1 : x_0 x_1^2 : x_1^3]$. We call this map the *twisted cubic*. In the affine patch $\{x_0 \neq 0\}$, this is given by $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^3; t \mapsto (t, t^2, t^3)$, the *rational normal curve*. The image of this map is an algebraic variety with equations

$$z_0 z_2 - z_1^2 = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$z_0 z_3 - z_1 z_2 = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$z_1 z_3 - z_2^2 = 0 \tag{3}$$

Take another map from $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3; ([x_0 : x_1], [y_0 : y_1]) \mapsto [x_0 y_0 : x_0 y_1 : x_1 y_0 : x_1 y_1]$ - it is easy to check this is well defined. This map is injective onto \mathbb{P}^3 , and its image is precisely the vanishing locus of equation (2) - this can be checked by considering the affine patches where the map becomes a morphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^3$. The vanishing locus of $(z_0 z_3 - z_1 z_2)$ endows $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with the structure of a projective algebraic variety.

We call $\Sigma_{1,1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ the image of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ under this map.

1. $\Sigma_{1,1}$ contains a family of lines $\{L_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{P}^1}$ such that $L_t \cap L_{t'} = \emptyset$ if $t \neq t'$.
2. There is in fact another family $\{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{P}^1}$ of disjoint lines such that $M_t \cap L_{t'} = *$, some single point, for all $t, t' \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

We get these lines by fixing one coordinate of \mathbb{P}^1 and letting the other vary - in this way injectivity gives the families of lines.

If X is an irreducible projective variety, then its field of rational functions is given by

$$K(X) = \left\{ \frac{F}{G} : F, G \in K[\mathbf{z}] \text{ homogeneous of same degree, } G \notin I^h(X) \right\} / \sim$$

$$\frac{F_1}{G_1} \sim \frac{F_2}{G_2} \iff F_1 G_2 - F_2 G_1 \in I^h(X)$$

However, computing $K(X)$ is a pain - checking if things are members of ideals is a pain to do. Instead, we can compute $K(X)$ via the affine case.

4.4 Projective Closures

If $f \in K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, then $\mathbb{V}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^n$. If we consider $\mathbb{A}_K^n = \mathbb{P}_K^n \setminus \{z_0 = 0\}$, we can consider the Zariski closure of $\mathbb{V}(f)$ in \mathbb{P}_K^n . To do this, we need to **homogenize** our polynomials. Say d is the maximum degree of a variable z_i appearing in f . Then $f^h := z_0^d f(z_1/z_0, \dots, z_n/z_0)$. For example, if $f(z_1, z_2) = z_1^3 + z_1 z_2$, $f^h(z_0, z_1, z_2) = z_1^3 + z_0 z_1 z_2$.

Similarly for an ideal $I \subseteq K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, we define I^h to be $\langle f^h | f \in I \rangle \subseteq K[z_0, \dots, z_n]$

Lemma 4.8 (Computing Projective Closures). *If $X^0 \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^n$, is an affine variety given by $\mathbb{V}(I)$, then $\bar{X}^0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$, ($\mathbb{A}_K^n = \mathbb{P}_K^n \setminus \{z_0 = 0\}$), in the Zariski topology, is given by $\mathbb{V}(I^h)$.*

Proposition 4.9. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ be an irreducible projective variety given by \bar{X}^0 for $X^0 \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^n$ an affine variety. Then $K(X) = \{\text{Field of fractions of } K[X^0]\} = K(X^0)$.*

For example:

1. $K(\mathbb{P}_K^n) \cong K(z_1, \dots, z_n)$
2. If $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^3$ is the twisted cubic, then $K(C) \cong K(z)$. Then C is the projective closure of $\{(t, t^2, t^3) \in \mathbb{A}^3 : t \in K\}$, which has coordinate ring $K[z]$.
3. Let $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{V}(xy - 1) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^2$. The coordinate ring of \mathbb{G}_m is the fraction field of $K[z, z^{-1}]$, i.e. $K(z)$. Notice that the fraction field of $K[z] = K(\mathbb{A}_K^1) = K(\mathbb{P}_K^1) = K(z)$, so $\mathbb{G}_m \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^1$.

Proof of Lemma. The goal is to show that $X = \mathbb{V}(I^h)$ is the smallest closed set containing $X^0 = \mathbb{V}(I)$. Assume there exists $Y \supseteq X^0$ closed in \mathbb{P}_K^n . Observe that $Y = \mathbb{V}(J)$ for some homogeneous ideal $J \subseteq K[\mathbf{Z}]$. Given any $F \in J$ homogeneous then there exists $f \in K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ such that $F = Z_0^d f^h$ for some $d \geq 0$.

Observe now that $Z_0^d f^h$ vanishes on X^0 , so f vanishes on X^0 . This implies that $f \in \sqrt{I}$, or $f^m \in I$ for some $m \geq 1$, and then $(f^m)^h = (f^h)^m \in I^h \implies Z_0^d (f^h)^m \in I^h$. This implies that $J \subseteq \sqrt{I^h}$, so $Y \supseteq X$. \square

Proof Sketch. There is a natural bijection between $K(X)$ and $K(X^0)$, obtained as follows:

Given $\frac{f}{g} \in K(X^0)$, then we can produce an element of $K(X)$ by homogenization and topping up the degree: let $m = \max \deg\{f, g\}$. Then $\frac{Z_0^m f(z_1/z_0, \dots, z_n/z_0)}{Z_0^m g(z_1/z_0, \dots, z_n/z_0)} \in K(X)$.

Conversely, given $\frac{F(Z_0, \dots, Z_n)}{G(Z_0, \dots, Z_n)}$, we produce a rational function on X^0 , by $\frac{F(1, z_1, \dots, z_n)}{G(1, z_1, \dots, z_n)} \in K(X^0)$. It is easy but painful to check that these are mutual inverses. \square

Given $\varphi \in K(X)$, write $\varphi = \frac{F}{G}$ for $F, G \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)$, and then we obtain a function $\varphi : X \setminus \mathbb{V}(G) \rightarrow K$.

Given $F_0, \dots, F_m \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)$, we obtain a map

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{F} : \mathbb{P}_K^n \setminus \bigcap_i \mathbb{V}(F_i) &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^m \\ [Z_0 : \dots : Z_n] &\mapsto [F_0(Z_0, \dots, Z_n) : \dots : F_m(Z_0, \dots, Z_n)] \end{aligned}$$

We write $\underline{F} : \mathbb{P}_K^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^m$, where the dashed arrow means the function is partially defined. Given this \underline{F} , the set $\bigcap_i \mathbb{V}(F_i)$ is called the **base locus**.

We say two rational maps $\underline{F}, \underline{G}$ are equivalent on a projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ if $F_i G_j - F_j G_i \in I(X)$ for all i, j . Note that they can possibly have different base loci.

Given $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^m$, an (equivalence class of) rational map $P \in X$ is a **regular point** for φ if there exists a representative $\varphi = \underline{F}$ such that $P \notin$ the base locus of $\underline{F} = \bigcap_i \mathbb{V}(F_i)$. We can then define a **morphism** of projective varieties to be a rational map $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^m$ with the property that P is a regular point for φ for all $P \in X$. We write $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^m$.

Let $P \in \mathbb{P}_K^n$ be any point and $\mathbb{P}_K^{n-1} \cong H \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ be a hyperplane such that $P \notin H$. Then define the **projection away from P** as follows: given $Q \in \mathbb{P}_K^n$, let \overline{PQ} be the unique line passing through these points. Then define φ :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{P}_K^n &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^{n-1} \cong H \\ Q &\mapsto \overline{PQ} \cap H \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2: The projection φ away from P in \mathbb{P}^2 onto $H \cong \mathbb{P}^1$

To honestly check this is a rational map, change coordinates such that $H = \{Z_n = 0\}$ and

$P = [0 : \dots : 0 : 1]$. Then the rational map is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^n &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \\ [Z_0 : \dots : Z_n] &\mapsto [Z_0 : \dots : Z_{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

The **degree d Veronese morphism** is given as follows. Choose a basis for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)$, say $F_1, \dots, F_{\binom{n+d}{d}}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_d : \mathbb{P}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+d}{d}-1} \\ P &\mapsto [\dots : F_j(P) : \dots] \end{aligned}$$

Then the twisted cubic is simply the degree 3 Veronese map of \mathbb{P}^1 .

Given a rational map $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ defined by restriction from a rational map $\mathbb{P}_K^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^m$, we define $\text{dom } \varphi$ to be the set of all regular points for φ on X . Then φ is said to be **dominant** if $\varphi(\text{dom } \varphi)$ is Zariski dense.

Let X and Y be irreducible. A rational map $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is called **birational** if there exists a rational map $\psi : Y \dashrightarrow X$ such that $\varphi \circ \psi$ and $\psi \circ \varphi$ are the identity whenever they are defined. We say a projective variety A is birational to another projective variety B whenever there exists some birational map between them, or we might say that A and B are birational.

For example:

- A^n and \mathbb{P}^n are birational
- $\mathbb{V}(y^2 - x^3)$ is birational to \mathbb{A}^1
- $\mathbb{V}(y^2 - x^3 + x) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is *not* birational to \mathbb{A}_K^1 for $\text{char } K \neq 2$.

Theorem 4.10. *Let X and Y be projective varieties. Then X is birational to Y if and only if $K(X) \cong K(Y)$.*

A **quasi-projective variety** is a Zariski open subset of a projective variety, i.e. $\mathbb{V}(I) \setminus \mathbb{V}(J)$ for two ideals I, J . Note that, since \emptyset is a projective variety, every projective variety is also a quasi-projective variety.

5 Products

If X and Y are quasi-projective varieties, then $X \times Y$ is also a quasi-projective variety. If X and Y are projective (or irreducible), then $X \times Y$ is also projective (or irreducible), respectively.

This fact relies on the fact that, given \mathbb{P}_K^n and \mathbb{P}_K^m , the set $\mathbb{P}_K^n \times \mathbb{P}_K^m$ is naturally a projective variety, and the rest follows from basic topological arguments.

We can approach this from the point of view of creating a Zariski topology on $\mathbb{P}_K^n \times \mathbb{P}_K^m$ using what we already know - a point $P \in \mathbb{P}_K^n \times \mathbb{P}_K^m$ is given by $([a_0 : \dots : a_n], [b_0 : \dots : b_m])$ where we can scale the first and second parts *independently*. We then can consider some appropriate notion of a **bihomogeneous** polynomial in $(n+1) + (m+1)$ variables, obtaining an algebraic structure by using their vanishing loci.

Here however, we will use a slightly different approach:

5.1 Segre Embedding

The *Segre embedding* is the map:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n,m} : \mathbb{P}_K^n \times \mathbb{P}_K^m &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^{(n+1)(m+1)-1} \\ ([x_0 : \dots : x_n], [y_0 : \dots : y_m]) &\mapsto [\dots : x_i y_j : \dots] \end{aligned}$$

Claim 5.1. $\sigma_{n,m}$ is injective.

Proof. Let $c = [\dots : C_{ij} : \dots] \in \mathfrak{Im}(\sigma_{n,m})$ and $(a, b) \in \sigma_{n,m}^{-1}(\{c\})$, say $a = [A_0 : \dots : A_n], b = [B_0 : \dots : B_m]$.

We may assume, up to a change of coordinates, that $C_{0,0} = 1$, so $A_0 = B_0 = 1$. This then forces that $B_i = C_{0,i}$ and $A_j = C_{j,0}$, so a, b are unique, so $\sigma_{n,m}$ is injective. \square

Claim 5.2. The image of $\sigma_{n,m}$ is closed.

Proof. The equations are $\{Z_{ij}Z_{k\ell} - Z_{i\ell}Z_{kj} = 0\}$ for all i, j, k, ℓ . Checking that $\mathfrak{Im}(\sigma_{m,n})$ is equal to the vanishing locus of these equation is a locally affine calculation which is left as an exercise. \square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{P}_K^n \times \mathbb{P}_K^m & \\ \pi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_2 \\ \mathbb{P}_K^n & & \mathbb{P}_K^m \end{array}$$

Note that the projection maps \mathbb{P}_K^n \mathbb{P}_K^m are both morphisms.

5.2 The Blow-up

The *blowup* of \mathbb{A}_K^2 at the origin is given as follows:

$$\mathrm{Bl}_{(0,0)} \mathbb{A}^2 = \{((x, y), [z : w]) \in \mathbb{A}_K^2 \times \mathbb{P}_K^1 \mid xz - yw = 0\}$$

Since $\mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is a morphism, there is an induced morphism:


$$\mathrm{Bl}_{(0,0)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{A}_K^2$$

If $P \in \mathbb{A}_K^2, P \neq (0, 0)$ then $\pi^{-1}(P)$ is exactly one point. In fact, $\mathrm{Bl}_{(0,0)} \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \pi^{-1}(0, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is then invertible, and so π is birational map. If instead $P = (0, 0)$ then $\pi^{-1}(P) = \mathbb{P}_K^1$.


We can think of \mathbb{P}^1 as separating lines through the origin based on incoming slope. The notion of blowups can be generalised to $\mathrm{Bl}_Z X$ for $Z \subseteq X$ some closed subvariety.

6 Smoothness, Tangent Spaces, and Dimension


Given $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ a variety, we want to know when X viewed in Euclidean space is a manifold.




(a) \mathbb{A}^2



(b) $\text{Bl}_{(0,0)} \mathbb{A}^2$



(a) $\mathbb{V}(f)$ for $f = y - x^3 + 3x$



(b) $\mathbb{V}(f)$ for $f = y^2 - x^3$

The curve on the left is smooth, and forms a manifold, whilst the curve on the right has a cusp, so does not form a manifold. We will end up calling it singular.

6.1 Hypersurfaces

Let $X = \mathbb{V}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^n$. A line in \mathbb{A}_K^n through a point $P = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in X$ is given by

$$L = \{(a_1 + b_1 t, \dots, a_n + b_n t) | t \in K\}$$

where $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in K^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

We say L is **tangent to X at P** if $g(t) = f(a_1 + b_1 t, \dots, a_n + b_n t)$ vanishes to order 2 at $t = 0$. More precisely, let

$$f^{lin} := \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(P)(z_i - a_i)$$

be the **linearisation** of f near P . Then we can define the affine tangent space to X at P by:

$$T_{X,P}^{aff} := \mathbb{V}(f^{lin}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^n$$

As a sanity check, make sure that this gives the usual tangent line for $y = f(x)$.

For example, in the case $X = \mathbb{V}(y^2 - x^3)$, we calculate f^{lin} at $(0,0)$ to be 0, and so $T_{X,(0,0)}^{aff}$ is \mathbb{A}_K^2 .

A point P on $X = \mathbb{V}(f)$ is called singular if $T_{x,P}$ has dimension n . A non-singular point is also called a smooth point.

Proposition 6.1. *Given $X = \mathbb{V}(f)$, the set of singular points is a closed proper subset. In other words, the set of smooth points is dense.*