

Number Fields

January 28, 2020

1 Algebraic Numbers and Algebraic Integers; Number Fields

Here, we will use F to denote any field containing \mathbb{Q} , for instance $F = \mathbb{C}$. Recall that an element $\alpha \in F$ is **algebraic** (over \mathbb{Q}) if it is the root of some polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. If so, there is a unique monic polynomial $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of minimal degree with $m_\alpha(\alpha) = 0$, called the **minimal polynomial** of α . The **degree** of α is the degree of m_α .

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose $\alpha \in F$ is algebraic. Then m_α is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, and if $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, then $f(\alpha) = 0 \iff m_\alpha | f$.*

Proof. If $m_\alpha = fg$, then $f(\alpha)g(\alpha) = 0$, and since fields are integral domains we have $f(\alpha) = 0$ or $g(\alpha) = 0$. By minimality of degree, f or g is constant.

If $f(\alpha) = 0$, we write $f = gm_\alpha + h$, with $g, h \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, and $\deg h < \deg m_\alpha$. Then $h(\alpha) = f(\alpha) - g(\alpha)m_\alpha(\alpha) = 0$, and so by minimality $h = 0$ and $m_\alpha | f$.

I.e. $\{f : f(\alpha) = 0\}$ is a principal ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ generated by m_α \square

If $\alpha \in F$, define $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ to be the smallest subfield of F containing α . Explicitly, it can be shown that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \left\{ \frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)} : f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[x], g(\alpha) \neq 0 \right\}$.

Proposition 1.2. *If $\alpha \in F$ is algebraic of degree n , then $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Conversely, if $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is finite, say n , then α is algebraic of degree n .*

Proof. Consider the homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow F; f \mapsto f(\alpha)$. Then $\ker(\phi) = (m_\alpha)$ which is maximal, so $\text{im } \phi$ is a field, and hence equal to $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. As $\deg m_\alpha = n$, a basis for $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(m_\alpha)$ is $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$, and hence $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

For the converse part, if $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = n < \infty$, then $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^n$ are linearly dependent and so α is algebraic of some degree. By the first part, this degree is n . \square

Proposition 1.3. *$\{\alpha \in F : \alpha \text{ algebraic}\}$ is a subfield of F .*

Galois theory. It is enough to prove that it is closed under $+$, \times and inverse. For $+$ and \times see **1.6** below for a stronger statement. If $0 \neq \alpha$ is algebraic, then $\sum^n b_j \alpha^j = 0 \implies \sum^n b_{n-j} (\alpha^{-1})^j = 0$, and so α^{-1} is algebraic. \square

$\alpha \in F$ is an **algebraic integer** if there is a monic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $f(\alpha) = 0$.

Lemma 1.5.

1. Let $\alpha \in F$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) α is an algebraic integer
- (b) α is algebraic and $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$
- (c) $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module

If these hold, then $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -bases for $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, with $d = \deg \alpha$.

2. $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ is an algebraic integer $\iff \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$

Recall the notation that, if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in F$, then $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ is the smallest subring of F containing $\{\alpha_i : i \in [n]\}$, i.e. the set of all finite sums of terms of the form $A\alpha_1^{i_1} \dots \alpha_n^{i_n}$ for $A, i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof.

1. a. \implies b. Suppose $f(\alpha) = 0, f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, f monic. Then **1.1** gives that $f = gm_\alpha$ for some $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ necessarily monic. Gauss's lemma from GRM gives us that m_α, g are in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

b. \implies c. Write $m_\alpha = x^d + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} b_j x^j$, for $b_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\alpha^d = -\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} b_j \alpha^j$, from which we say that every α^n is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}$. So $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is generated by $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module. There is no linear relation between $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}$, as $d = \deg \alpha$. So $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is finitely generated and $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis.

c. \implies a. Assume $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is finitely generated by $g_1(\alpha), \dots, g_r(\alpha)$. For some $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let $k = \max\{\deg g_i\}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is certainly generated by $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^k$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module. So $\alpha^{k+1} = \sum_{j=0}^k b_j \alpha^j$ for $b_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so α is an algebraic integer.

2. $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \implies m_\alpha = x - \alpha$, and so α is an algebraic integer $\iff \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ using (a) \iff (b). □

Theorem 1.6. If $\alpha, \beta \in F$ are algebraic integers, then so are $\alpha\beta, \alpha \pm \beta$.

Proof. The \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$ is generated by $\{\alpha^i \beta^j : 0 \leq i < \deg \alpha; 0 \leq j < \deg \beta\}$, and so is finitely generated. Hence so is the submodule $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha\beta] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$. So $\alpha\beta$ is an algebraic integer by **1.4**. The same applies for $\alpha + \beta, \alpha - \beta$. □

Now to introduce the main characters of this course:

An **algebraic number field** (or just **number field**) is a field $K \supset \mathbb{Q}$ which is a finite extension, i.e. $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. The **ring of integers of K** , written \mathfrak{o}_K , is the set of algebraic integers in K . By **1.6** it is a ring. It is useful to have the converse:

Proposition 1.7. Let $\alpha \in F$ be algebraic. Then for some $0 \neq b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b\alpha$ is an algebraic integer.

Proof. Exercise. □

Theorem 1.8 (Primitive Element). If K is a number field, then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in K$.

Proof. Done in Galois theory. □

2 Quadratic Fields

K is a **quadratic field** if $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. In this case, let $\alpha \in K \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. The minimal polynomial m_α is a quadratic, and so solving we get $\alpha = x + \sqrt{y}^1$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}, y \neq 0$. Since y is not a rational square, we can write y uniquely as $z^2 d$ for $z \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}, d \neq 0, 1$ a square-free integer. So $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 - d)$. If $d' \neq d$ also square-free, then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \not\cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d'})$.

Now we want to compute \mathfrak{o}_K . Let $\alpha = u + v\sqrt{d} \in K$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{Q}$. If $v = 0, \alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_K \iff \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise, $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and $m_\alpha = x^2 - 2ux + (u^2 - dv^2)$. So $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_K \iff 2u \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u^2 - dv^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $dv^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and since d is square-free, we must have $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise, $u = \frac{2a+1}{2}, a \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we must have $4dv^2 - (2a+1)^2 \in 4\mathbb{Z}$, which holds if and only if $v = \frac{k}{2}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $dk^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. If $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, this holds if and only if k is odd, and if d is not $1 \pmod{4}$, then this congruence cannot hold.

In conclusion,

Theorem 2.1. *If $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ is square-free, and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, then:*

1. *If $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathfrak{o}_K = \{u + v\sqrt{d} : u, v \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$.*
2. *If $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathfrak{o}_K = \{u + v\sqrt{d} : u, v \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}, u - v \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}]$*

Examples: If $d = -3$, then $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}] = \mathbb{Z}[\xi_3]$.

Note that, for a general number field K , we needn't have $\mathfrak{o}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ for $\alpha \in K$, and in fact for $\deg K > 2$ this method is unlikely to be practical for computing \mathfrak{o}_K .

3 Embeddings

Let K be a number field with $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$.

Theorem 3.1. *There are precisely n homomorphisms $\sigma_i : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. These are called the **complex embeddings** of K . More generally, if $\mathbb{Q} \subset F \subset K$ are number fields, then each of the $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ complex embeddings of F extend to exactly $[K : F]$ complex embeddings of K .*

Proof. (Galois Theory). Assume $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta) = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(m_\theta)$ by the theorem of the primitive element. Then to give $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the same as to give $\phi : \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\phi(m_\theta) = 0$. If $z = \phi(x)$, then $\phi(m_\theta) = m_\theta(z)$, giving a bijection $\{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{roots of } m_\theta \in \mathbb{C}\}$, coming from $\sigma \mapsto \sigma(\theta)$. The second part is the same as the first, but replacing \mathbb{Q} by F since θ has degree $[K : F]$ over F . \square

Remarks:

1. If $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ we can choose σ to be the inclusion.
2. For some $r \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, exactly r of the σ_i will be **real**, i.e. $\sigma_i(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The remaining embeddings will then come in complex conjugate pairs $\sigma_i, \overline{\sigma_i}$. So $n = r + 2s$, where r is the number of real embeddings, and s is the number of complex conjugate pairs of embeddings.

¹By \sqrt{y} we just mean some $\beta \in K$ with $\beta^2 = y$

Examples:

$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. We have two cases:

$d > 0$. There are 2 real embeddings: $\sigma_1 : \sqrt{d} \mapsto +\sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\sigma_2 : \sqrt{d} \mapsto -\sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{R}$. So $(r, s) = (2, 0)$.

$d < 0$. There is now one pair of complex embeddings, given by $\sigma_1 : \sqrt{d} \mapsto i\sqrt{|d|}$; $\sigma_2 : \sqrt{d} \mapsto -i\sqrt{|d|}$. So $(r, s) = (0, 1)$.

$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$. We have 1 real embedding $\sqrt[3]{2} \mapsto \sqrt[3]{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, and the two complex embeddings $\sqrt[3]{2} \mapsto \omega^{\pm 1} \sqrt[3]{2} \in \mathbb{C}$, so $(r, s) = (1, 1)$.

Proposition 3.2. *If $\alpha \in K$, then the complex numbers $\sigma_i(\alpha)$ are the complex roots of m_α , each taken $n/\deg(\alpha)$ times.*

Proof. Apply the 2nd part of **3.1** with $F = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. □

4 Norm and Trace

Given K a number field, $\alpha \in K$, define a map $u_\alpha : K \rightarrow K$ by $u_\alpha(x) = \alpha x$. K is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, and u_α is a \mathbb{Q} -linear map. Define:

- f_α to be the **characteristic polynomial** of u_α , so $f_\alpha = \det(x - u_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, monic
- $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \det(u_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$, the **norm** of α
- $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \text{tr}(u_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$, the **trace** of α

More explicitly, let β_1, \dots, β_n be a \mathbb{Q} -basis for K . Then $\alpha\beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ji}\beta_j$ for some $A \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{Q})$. Then $f_\alpha = \det(x \cdot I_n - A)$, $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \det(A)$, $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} = \text{tr}(A)$. As an exercise, work these out for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.

Proposition 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha\beta) &= N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \\ \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha + \beta) &= \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) + \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the definition, $u_{\alpha\beta} = u_\alpha u_\beta$, and $u_{\alpha+\beta} = u_\alpha + u_\beta$, so the result follows from linear algebra. □

Theorem 4.2.

1. The minimal polynomial of u_α is m_α , and $f_\alpha \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \sigma_i(\alpha)) = m_\alpha^{n/d}$, where $\deg(\alpha) = d$.
2. $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha)$, $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha)$.

We call the $\sigma_i(\alpha)$ the **conjugates** of α .

Proof. Note that 1. \implies 2., because $\det u_\alpha = (-1)^n f_\alpha(0)$, the product of the eigenvalues, and $\text{tr } u_\alpha = -(\text{coeff. of } x^{n-1} \text{ in } f_\alpha)$.

For 1., we first do the case $\deg \alpha = n$, i.e. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Then $f_\alpha, m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ are monic of degree n , and if $\beta \in K$ then $f_\alpha(\alpha)\beta = f_\alpha(u_\alpha)\beta = 0$ by Cayley-Hamilton. So $f_\alpha(\alpha) = 0 \implies m_\alpha = f_\alpha$.

In general, if $[K : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] = \frac{n}{d}$, then $K \cong \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)^{\oplus(n/d)}$, and then $f_\alpha = (\text{char. poly. of } u_\alpha \text{ on } \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)^{n/d} = m_\alpha^{n/d} = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \sigma_i(\alpha)))$. \square

Corollary 4.3.

1. Let $\alpha \in K$. Then $\alpha = 0 \iff N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = 0$.
2. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_K$. Then $f_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, and $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha), \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \{\pm 1\}$ if and only if $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_K^*$ is a **unit**, i.e. $\alpha^{-1} \in \mathfrak{o}_K$.

Proof.

1. $\alpha = 0 \iff \sigma_i(\alpha) = 0$ for all i .
2. $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, so $f_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, and hence $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha), \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$, since they are coefficients of f_α up to a choice of sign.

If α is a unit, then $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha^{-1}) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha \alpha^{-1}) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1) = 1$, and so $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$ is a unit and an integer, so in $\{\pm 1\}$.

If $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \{\pm 1\}$, $f_\alpha = x^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i x^i \pm 1$, so $f_\alpha(\alpha) = 0 \implies \alpha \cdot (\alpha^{n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i \alpha^{i-1}) = \mp 1$, so $\alpha^{-1} \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ and we have an explicit representation of α^{-1} . \square

Note that we can also define, if $\mathbb{Q} \subset F \subset K$ the relative trace $\text{Tr}_{K/F}(\alpha), N_{K/F}(\alpha)$ as the trace/determinant of u_α viewed as an F -linear map from $K \simeq F^{[K:F]}$ to itself, and we have that:

$$\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \text{Tr}_{K/F} \quad N_{K/\mathbb{Q}} = N_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \cdot N_{K/F}$$

5 Some Modules from GRM

Proposition 5.1. *G is a finitely generated abelian group written additively with no torsion, i.e. no elements of finite order, and a finite set of generators x_1, \dots, x_n . Let $H \subset G$ be the subgroup generated by $y_1, \dots, y_n \in G$, where $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ji} x_j$ for some $A \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then if $\det(A) \neq 0$, H has finite index in G , with $(G : H) = |\det A|$.*

Proof. Using Smith normal form, $A = PDQ$ for P, Q, D integer $n \times n$ matrices where $\det P, \det Q \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ for $d_i \geq 0, d_i | d_{i+1}$. Then $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}/d_1\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/d_n\mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}/0\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$.

Hence if $|\det A| = \prod_i d_i \neq 0$, then G/H contains no \mathbb{Z} terms and has dimension $\prod_i d_i = |\det A|$. \square

Let V be a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, and $\dim(V) = n < \infty$. Let $H \subset V$ be a subgroup, viewed as a sub- \mathbb{Z} -module. Then define:

$$\text{rank}(H) = \dim(\text{span}(H)) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$$

Proposition 5.2. *If H is finitely generated as an abelian group then $H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}v_i$ where $r = \text{rank}(H)$ and $x_1, \dots, x_r \in V$ are linearly independent.*

Proof. H has no torsion as V is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, so by classification H is an abelian group freely generated by some x_1, \dots, x_r . If $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\sum a_i x_i = 0$ in V , then clearing denominators we have $\sum b_i x_i = 0$ with $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we must have $b_i = 0$ for all i , so $a_i = 0$ and the x_i are linearly independent, and $r = \text{rank}(H)$ by the definition of rank. \square

6 Discriminants and Integral Bases

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$. Define the *discriminant*

$$\text{Disc}(\alpha_1) = \text{Disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det(\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)) \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Theorem 6.1.

1. $\text{Disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det(\sigma_i(\alpha_j))^2$.
2. $\text{Disc}(\alpha_i) \neq 0 \iff \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis for K .
3. If $\beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ji} \alpha_j$ for $A \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}(\mathbb{Q})$, then $\text{Disc}(\beta_i) = (\det A)^2 \text{Disc}(\alpha_i)$
4. Suppose (α_i) is a \mathbb{Q} -basis. Then $\text{Disc}(\alpha_i)$ depends only on the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_n \in K$.

Proof.

1. Let $\Delta = (\sigma_i(\alpha_j))_{ij} \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $(\Delta^\top \Delta)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(\alpha_i) \sigma_k(\alpha_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(\alpha_i \alpha_j) = \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)$

So $(\det \Delta)^2 = \det(\Delta^\top \Delta) = \det \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)$.

2. If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is not a \mathbb{Q} -basis, then there are some $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{Q}$, not all 0, with $\sum b_j \alpha_j = 0$. Then for all i , $0 = \sigma_i(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j \alpha_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \sigma_i(\alpha_j)$, so $\det \Delta = 0$, hence $\text{disc}(\alpha_i) = 0$.

For the other direction, suppose (α_i) is a \mathbb{Q} -basis for K , and let $T = (\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{ij}$. It is enough to prove that, for $b \in \mathbb{Q}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $Tb \neq 0$, or equivalently that there is $c \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ such that $c^\top T b \neq 0$. But if $\beta = \sum_j j b_j \alpha_j$, $\gamma = \sum_j c_j \alpha_j$, then $c^\top T b = \sum_{i,j} c_i \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j) b_j = \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\sum_{i,j} c_i b_j \alpha_i \alpha_j) = \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta \gamma)$, so taking $\gamma = \frac{1}{\beta}$, we get $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1) = n \neq 0$.

3. $\Delta = (\sigma_i(\alpha_j))$, $\Delta' = (\sigma_i(\beta_j))$, so $\Delta'_{ij} = \sum_k \sigma_i(A_{kj} \alpha_k) = \sum_k A_{kj} \sigma_i(\alpha_k) = (\delta A)_{ij}$. Hence $\det \Delta' = \det \Delta \det A$, and result follows by part 1.
4. If $(\alpha_i), (\beta_i)$, generate the same subgroup, then $\beta_i = \sum A_{ji} \alpha_j$, where $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\det A \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then by part 3, $\text{Disc}(\beta_i) = (\det A)^2 \text{Disc}(\alpha_i) = \text{Disc}(\alpha_i)$.

\square

If $H \subset K$ is a finitely generated subgroup of rank n , and $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for H , then above implies that $\text{Disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a non-zero rational, depending only on H , which we call $\text{Disc}(H)$.

Lemma 6.2. If $H \subset H' \subset K$ are finitely generated subgroups of rank n , then

$$\text{Disc}(H) = (H' : H)^2 \text{Disc}(H')$$

Proof. Pick \mathbb{Z} -bases $(\alpha_i), (\alpha'_i)$ for H, H' . Then $\alpha_i = \sum_j B_{ji} \alpha'_j$, for $B \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then by **6.1**(3.), together with **5.1**, give that:

$$(H' : H)^2 = (\det B)^2 = \text{Disc}(H) / \text{Disc}(H')$$

□

Theorem 6.3. *There exist $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ such that $\mathfrak{o}_K = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_n$ (i.e. that \mathfrak{o}_K is finitely generated as a \mathbb{Z} -module). We say that (ω_i) is an **integral basis** for K .*

Proof. Certainly, there is $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ which form a \mathbb{Q} -basis for K - take any \mathbb{Q} -basis of K and multiply by a suitable non-zero integer. Then for such a basis, $\text{Disc}(H) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ where $H = \sum_i \mathbb{Z}\omega_i \subset K$.

Choose such a basis with $|\text{Disc}(H)|$ minimal. Then let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_K$, and let $H' = \mathbb{Z}\alpha + H \subset K$. Then $H' \subset H$ are finitely generated of rank n , and so by **6.2**, $\text{Disc}(H) = (H' : H)^2 \text{Disc}(H')$, and by minimality of $\text{Disc}(H)$, $H' = H$, so $\alpha \in H$. □

The **discriminant of K** $d_K = \text{Disc}(\mathfrak{o}_K) = \text{Disc}(\omega_i)$ for any integral basis (ω_i) .

Example: Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ for d a square free integer not 0 or 1.

$d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$: An integral basis is $\{1, \sqrt{d}\}$ and so we have $\Delta = (\sigma_i(\alpha_k)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta \\ 1 & -\delta \end{pmatrix}$, where $\sigma_1(\sqrt{d}) = \delta, \sigma_2(\sqrt{d}) = -\delta, \delta^2 = d$, and so $d_K = (\det \Delta)^2 = 4d$.

$d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$: An integral basis is $\{1, \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\}$. Then $d_K = (\det \Delta)^2 = \left| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (1+\delta)/2 \\ 1 & (1-\delta)/2 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 = d$.

We will now have a few useful results to help with computation of discriminants:

Proposition 6.4. *Suppose $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, and $f = m_\theta$ is the minimal polynomial of θ . Then:*

$$\text{Disc}(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}) = \prod_{i < j} (\sigma_i(\theta) - \sigma_j(\theta))^2 = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(f'(\theta))$$

Proof. Recall the **Vandermonde determinant**:

$$\text{VDM}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left| \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{n-1} & x_2^{n-1} & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right| = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$$

Then $\text{Disc}(1, \dots, \theta^{n-1}) = \text{VDM}(\sigma_1(\theta), \dots, \sigma_n(\theta))^2$, giving the first equality. For the second, see example sheet 1 q.7. □

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ with $\text{Disc}(\omega_i)$ squarefree. Then (ω_i) is an integral basis.²*

Proof. Let $H = \sum \mathbb{Z}\omega_j \subset \mathfrak{o}_K$. Then **6.2** implies that $\text{Disc}(\omega_i) = (\mathfrak{o}_k : H)^2 \text{Disc}(\mathfrak{o}_k)$. Since $\text{Disc}(\omega_i)$ is squarefree, then $(\mathfrak{o}_K : H) = 1$ and $\mathfrak{o}_K = H$. □

²The converse is false, e.g. for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ gives $d_K = 4d$, which is not squarefree.

7 Ideals I

Example: $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) = K$, $\mathfrak{o}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$. Then $6 = 2 \cdot 2 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$, and so \mathfrak{o}_K is not a UFD. But it turns out that we can restore unique factorisation by replacing elements of \mathfrak{o}_K by ideals.