# Commutative Algebra

## October 12, 2020

## 0 Introduction

Commutative Algebra is the study of commutative rings and the spaces on which those rings act, namely modules. It was developed from two key sources: algebraic geometry, and algebraic number theory.

In algebraic geometry we are focused on polynomial rings over a field k, whilst in number theory we are focused on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the ring of rational integers. Much of this work was done by Grothedieck, but the subject goes back much further, at least to Hilbert who wrote a series of papers on polynomial invariant theory in the late nineteenth century.

As an example, take  $\Sigma_n$ , the symmetric group on the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ .  $\Sigma_n$  acts on  $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$  by permuting the variables, so that  $(\sigma f)(x_1, ..., x_n) = f(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ .  $\sigma_n$  acts here via ring automorphisms, and it is then natural to consider the **ring of invariants**, given by  $\{f \in k[\mathbf{x}] : \sigma f = f \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n] := S$ . S is a ring, **the ring of symmetric polynomials**. We can consider the elementary symmetric functions, which are:

$$e_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + \dots + x_n$$

$$e_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j$$

$$\vdots$$

$$e_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \dots x_n$$

In fact, S is generated as a ring by these  $e_i$ , and there are canonical maps  $k[y_1, \ldots, y_n] \to S$  such that  $Y_i \mapsto e_i$ , which is a ring isomorphism.

Hilbert showed that S is finitely generated, and moreover for many other groups, not just symmetric groups.

Along the way, he proved four very deep theorems:

- Basis theorem
- Nullstellensatz
- The polynomial nature of the Hilbert function (leading to the beginnings of dimension theory)
- The syzygy theorem (leading to the beginnings of homological theory of polynomial rings)

In 1921 Emmy Noether extracted the key property that made the basis theorem, namely that a commutative ring is **noetherian** if every ideal is finitely generated (there are several equivalent definitions).

**Theorem 0.1** (Hilbert's Basis Theorem). If R is a commutative noetherian ring, then R[x] is also noetherian.

Corollary 0.2. If k is a field, then  $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$  is noetherian.

Noether developed a theory of ideals for noetherian rings, for example the existence of primary decomposition, which generalises factorisation into primes in noetherian rings.

## Link between Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry

The starting point for this link is the **fundamental theorem of algebra**, which says that  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  is determined up to scalar multiples by its zeros up to multiplicity. Given  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , there is a polynomial function  $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  given by  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \mapsto f(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ .

Different polynomials will yield different functions, and so  $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  can be viewed as a ring of polynomial functions on complex affine n-space.

More specifically, given  $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , we can define the **set of common zeros**,  $Z(I) = \{(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0 \ \forall f \in I\}$ , called an **(affine) algebraic set**.

### Remarks:

- One can replace I by the ideal generated by I, and you get the same algebraic set. Similarly, replacing an ideal by a generating set of the ideal leaves the algebraic set. The basis theorem asserts that any algebraic set is the set of common zeros of some finite set of polynomials.
- $\bigcap_j Z(I_j) = Z(\bigcup_j I_j), \bigcup_{j=1}^n Z(i_j) = Z(\prod_{j=1}^n I_j)$ , for ideal  $I_j$ . If we define a topology on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by calling these algebraic sets the closed sets, we get the **Zariski toplogy**, which is a rather coarser topology on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  than the usual topology.
- For  $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ , we can define  $I(S) = \{ f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x^n] : f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \ \forall (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S \}$ . This is an *ideal* of  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and it is *radical*, i.e.  $f^r \in I(S) \implies f \in I(S)$ . The Nullstellensatz is a family of results asserting that the correspondence

$$I \mapsto Z(I)$$
 
$$I(S) \leftarrow S$$

gives a bijection between the radical ideals in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  and the algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In particular, the maximal ideals of  $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  correspond to points in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ 

### Dimension

A large portion of the course deals with the dimension of rings. We can define it in three main ways:

- The maximal length of a chain of prime ideals.
- In a geometric context in terms of growth rates.
- The transcendence degree of a field of fractions.

For commutative rings, all three give the same answer. There is in fact a fourth method, using homological algebra, which in the case of "nice" noetherian rings also gives the same answer.

Most of this theory dates back to 1920-1950. Rings of dimension 0 are called *artinian* rings, and in dimension 1 there are special properties which are important in number theory, particularly in the study of algebraic curves.

## 1 Noetherian Rings: Definitions and Examples

Throughout this section, R is a commutative ring with a 1.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let M be a (left) R-module. The following are equivalent:

- 1. All submodules of M (including M itself) are finitely generated.
- 2. The ascending chain condition (ACC) holds: there are no strictly increasing infinite chains of submodules.
- 3. The maximum condition of submodules holds: any nonempty set S of submodules of M has a maximal element L, i.e.  $L \subseteq L', L' \in S \implies L = L'$ .

### Proof.

 $\underline{1. \Longrightarrow 2.}$  Suppose there is a strictly increasing chain  $N_1 \subsetneq N_2 \subsetneq \ldots$ , and let  $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$ . By 1 N is finitely generated, say by  $m_1, \ldots, m_r$ . Each  $m_i$  lies in some  $N_{n_i}$ . Then let  $n = \max_i n_i$ , so that  $m_i \in N_n$ . Then  $N_n = M$ , contradicting strict ascent.

 $\underline{2. \Longrightarrow 3.}$  Assume ACC. Pick  $M_1 \in S$ . If it is the maximal member then we're done. If not, there is  $M_2 \supseteq M_1$ . If  $M_2$  is maximal, then we're done, otherwise there is some  $M_3 \supseteq M_2$ , and so on. By ACC this process terminates, and we get a maximal element.

 $\underline{3. \Longrightarrow 1.}$  Let  $N \triangleleft M$ , and let S be the collection of all finitely generated submodules of N. Then  $S \neq \emptyset$  since it contains the 0 submodule. So S contains a maximal member, say L. We then claim N = L. If  $x \in N$  then  $L + Rx \in S$ , and by maximality of L,  $x \in L$ .

**Definition 1.2.** An R-module satisfying 1, 2, 3 is noetherian.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $N \triangleleft M$ . Then M is noetherian if and only if N and M/N are noetherian.

### Proof.

 $\implies$  Let M be noetherian, so that all its submodules are finitely generated. This property is inherited by N. Also, the submodules of M/N are all of the form Q/N with  $Q \triangleleft M$  containing N. If M is noetherian, then Q is finitely generated, say by  $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ . Then  $x_1 + N, \ldots, x_r + N$  generates Q/N.

 $\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\longleftarrow}$  Let N, M/N be noetherian, and let  $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset L_3 \subset ...$  be a strictly increasing chain of submodules of M. Set  $Q_i/N = (L_i + N)/N$ , and  $N_i = L_i \cap N$ . These give ascending chains of submodules of M/N and N respectively. By ACC there are r, s with  $Q_i/N = Q_r/N$  for  $i \geq r$ ,  $N_i = N_s$  for  $i \geq s$ . Let  $k = \max\{r, s\}$ . Then we claim  $L_i = L_k$  for  $i \geq k$ . Pick  $\ell \in L_i$ ,  $i \geq k$ . Then  $\ell + N \in Q_k/N$ , and so there is some  $\ell' \in L_k$  such that  $\ell - \ell' \in N \cap L_i = N \cap L_k$ . So  $\ell \in L_k$ , and the claim is proved. Hence our original ascending chain was not strictly increasing,  $\ell$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** 1. If M, N are R-modules, then  $M \oplus N$  is noetherian iff M and N are noetherian.

- 2. If  $M_1, \ldots, M_n$  are R-modules then  $M_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_n$  is noetherian iff each  $M_i$  is noetherian.
- 3. If M is noetherian then every homomorphic image of M is noetherian.
- 4. Suppose M can be expressed as a sum of finitely many submodules (not necessarily as a direct sum)  $M = M_1 + \ldots + M_n$ . Then M is noetherian iff each  $M_i$  is.

*Proof.* 1.  $M \cong N/N$ , so this follows by **1.3**.

- 2. Apply 1 and induction on n.
- 3. If  $\theta: M \to N$  then im  $\theta \cong M/\ker \theta$ , so apply 1.3.
- 4. The forwards direction follows as  $M_i \triangleleft M$ . For the reverse, there is a map from  $M_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_n \to M$ ,  $(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \mapsto m_1 + \ldots + m_n$ , and then apply 2 and 3.

**Definition 1.5.** A ring R is **noetherian** if it is noetherian as a (left) R-module

Remark: Submodules of R as an R-module are the same as ideals of R as a ring, and so the ACC for modules gives us the ACC for ideals.

**Lemma 1.6.** Let R be a noetherian ring. Then any finitely generated R-module M is noetherian.

*Proof.* Suppose  $M = Rm_1 + \ldots + Rm_n$ . There exist R-module epimorphisms:

$$R \to Rm_i$$
  
 $r \mapsto rm_i$ 

R is noetherian, so  $Rm_i$  is as the homomorphic image of R. Then, by 1.4 (4), so is M.

**Theorem 1.7** (Hilbert Basis Theorem). Let R be a noetherian ring. Then the polynomial ring R[x] is noetherian.

*Proof.* We show that every ideal of R[x] is finitely generated. Let I be an ideal. We define  $I(n) = \{f \in I : \deg f \le n\}$ . Then  $I(n) \ne \emptyset$  as  $0 \in I(n)$ , and  $I(0) \subseteq I(1) \subseteq I(2) \subseteq \ldots$ 

Let  $R(n) = \{\text{Coefficient of } x^n \text{ in } f : f \in I(n)\} \subseteq R.$  We claim  $R(n) \triangleleft R$ , and  $R(n) \subseteq R(n+1)$ .

To see this, suppose  $a, b \in R(n)$ . Then there are polynomials  $f(x) = ax^n + \dots, g(x) = bx^n + \dots$  in I, where  $\dots$  indicates lower order terms. Since  $I \triangleleft R$ ,  $f \pm g \in I$ ,  $rf \in I$  for all  $r \in R$ , and  $xf \in I$ .

Hence  $a \pm b \in R(n)$ ,  $ra \in R(n)$ , and  $a \in R(n+1)$ , and the claim is proved.

So then we have a chain  $R(0) \subseteq R(1) \subseteq R(2) \subseteq \ldots$  terminates, so we may say  $R(n) = R(N) \ \forall n \ge N$ . Each of  $R(0), \ldots, R(N)$  is a finitely generated ideal of R, say  $R(j) = (a_{j,i}, \ldots, a_{j,k_j})$ .

Then by definition of R(j), we may take polynomials  $f_{j,1}, \ldots, f_{j,k_j}$  in I(j) which have the  $a_{j,i}$  as their leading coefficients.

Clearly  $I \supseteq (f_{j,k} : 0 \le j \le N, 1 \le k \le k_j) =: J$  - it remains to show that equality holds, then we will have found a finite generating set of I. So pick  $f \in I$ , then we claim  $f \in J$ , and prove this by induction on the degree of f.

If deg f = 0, then f(x) = a, say. But then  $a \in R(0)$ , and so  $a = \sum_i r_i a_{0,i}$  for some  $r_i \in R$ . Since  $f_{0,i}$  has  $a_{0,i}$  as its leading coefficient and has degree zero,  $f_{0,i}(x) = a_{0,i}$ , and  $f = \sum_i r_i f_{0,i} \in J$ .

If instead deg f=n, with  $0 < n \le N$ , and the claim holds for all g with deg g < n, then write  $f(x)=ax^n+\ldots$   $a \in R(n)$  then by definition, so  $a=\sum_i r_{n,i}a_{n,i}$  for some  $r_{n,i} \in R$ . Then define  $g(x)=f(x)-\sum_i r_{n,i}f_{n,i}(x)$ . g(x) has degree  $\le n$ , and the coefficient of  $x^n$  is a-a=0, hence deg g < n. Since  $f_{n,i} \in I$ , we have  $g \in I$ , and hence by induction  $g \in J$ . But  $f_{n,i} \in J$  as well, so  $f \in J$ .

Finally if deg f = n, with n > N, and the claim holds for all g with deg g < n, again write  $f(x) = ax^n + \ldots$  Then  $a \in R(n) = R(N)$ , so  $a = \sum r_{N,j} a_{N,j}$  for  $r_{N,j} \in R$ . We may then define  $g(x) = f(x) - \sum_i x^{n-N} r_{N,j} f_{N,j}(x)$ , and use the same argument as in the previous paragraph to deduce that  $f \in J$ .

Hence  $I \subseteq J$ , and so I = J and I is finitely generated. But I was an arbitrary ideal of R[x], so R[x] is noetherian.

In practice, one uses  $Gr\ddot{o}bner\ bases$  for ideals - these are generating sets with extra properties that make algorithms more efficient.

### Examples:

- Fields are noetherian.
- Principle Ideal Domains (PIDs) are noetherian.
- $\{q \in Q : q = \frac{m}{n}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, p \nmid n \text{ for some fixed prime } p\}$ , an example of a *localisation* of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . All localisations of noetherian rings are noetherian we will see this later.
- $k[x_1, x_2, \ldots]$  is not noetherian:  $(x_1) \subsetneq (x_1, x_2) \subsetneq$  is an infinite strictly increasing chain.
- $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  is noetherian this follows by induction using the Hilbert basis theorem.
- $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$  is noetherian, so any finitely generated commutative ring is noetherian: if R is generated by  $r_1, ..., r_n$ , then there is an epimorphism  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, ..., x_n] \to R$  given by  $x_i \mapsto r_i$ , and R is the homomorphic image of a noetherian ring.
- If A is a free abelian group, write  $\mathbb{Z}A$  for its group algebra, which is the set of formal linear combinations of elements of A, i.e. terms of the form  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \lambda_{\alpha} \alpha$  where  $\lambda_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and only finitely many of the  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  are nonzero.

If A is generated as a group by  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ , then its group algebra is generated as a ring by  $g_1, g_1^{-1}, \ldots, g_n, g_n^{-1}$ .

• k[[x]], the ring of formal power series with coefficients in k, is noetherian.

There are also some non-commutative examples that are both left and right noetherian:

- Enveloping algebras of a finite dimensional Lie algebra.
- $\bullet$  Iwasawa algebras of compact *p*-adic groups.

**Theorem 1.8.** If R is noetherian, then R[[x]] is noetherian.

*Proof 1.* As in **1.7**, consider R(n) = the set of trailing coefficients  $a_n$ , for elements  $a_n x^n +$  higher order terms, and mimic the proof. This is on example sheet 1.

We will give a second proof, which uses

**Theorem 1.9** (Cohen's Theorem). If every prime ideal in a ring R is finitely generated, then R is noetherian.

*Proof.* If R is not noetherian, then there is a family of non-finitely generated ideals. Call it  $\mathscr{S}$ . By assumption,  $\mathscr{S} \neq \emptyset$ . Partially order  $\mathscr{S}$  by inclusion.

Suppose  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq ...$  is a chain of non-finitely generated ideals. Then we claim  $\bigcup_i I_i$  is also non-finitely generated.

If it were, say by  $(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ , then  $a_i \in I_{n(i)}$  for some finite integer n(i), and so, if  $N = \max\{n(i): 1 \le i \le k\}$ , N is also finite and  $a_i \in I_N$  for all i. But then  $I_N = I_n$  for all  $n \ge N$ , and in particular  $I_N$  is finitely generated  $\frac{i}{2}$ .

So  $\mathscr S$  has upper bounds to its chains, and so we may apply Zorn's lemma to get a maximal element of  $\mathscr S$ , say I, so that I is not finitely generated but any ideal containing I is finitely generated.

We now claim I must be prime. Suppose aI, bI, but  $ab \in I$ . Then  $I + (a) \supseteq I$ , so I + (a) is finitely generated, say by  $i_1 + r_1 a, \ldots, i_n + r_n a$ . Define  $J = \{s \in R : sa \in I\} \supseteq I + (b) \supseteq I$ . Again, J is finitely generated.

Take  $t \in I \subset I + (a)$ , so  $t = u_1(i_1 + r_1a) + \ldots + u_n(i_n + r_na)$  for some  $u_i \in R$ . So  $t = u_1i_1 + \ldots + u_ni_n + (u_1r_1 + \ldots + u_nr_n)a \in (i_1) + (i_2) + \ldots + (i_n) + Ja$ .

Hence  $I \subseteq (i_1) + \ldots + (i_n) + Ja$ , so  $I = (i_1) + \ldots + (i_n) + Ja$ , so I is finitely generated  $\xi$ .

So I must be prime, but then by our hypothesis I is still finitely generated  $\xi$ . So R must be noetherian.

We will also use the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.10.** Let P be a prime ideal of R[[x]] and  $\theta : R[[x]] \to R$ ,  $x \mapsto 0$ . Then P is finitely generated if and only if  $\theta(P)$  is a finitely generated ideal of R.

*Proof.* Clearly if P is finitely generated then  $\theta(P)$  is.

Conversely, suppose  $\theta(P) = Ra_1 + \ldots + Ra_n$ .

If  $x \in P$ , then  $P = (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x)$ .

This is immediate - if  $g \in P$ , g = a + higher order terms. Now  $a \in (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ , so  $g = \sum_i r_i a_i + xg'$  as required.

If xP, then let  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  be power series with constant terms  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  respectively. Then  $P = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ .

Take  $g \in p$ , say g = b + higher terms, with b the constant term. Then  $b = \sum b_i a_i$ , so  $g - \sum b_i f_i = g_1 x$  for some  $g_1$ . Note that  $g_1 x \in P$ , P is prime, and xP, so  $g_1 \in P$ . Similarly,  $g_1 = \sum c_i f_i + g_2 x$ , and  $g_2 \in P$ . Continuing, we get  $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in R[[x]]$ , where  $h_i = b_i + c_i x + \ldots$  with  $g = h_1 f_1 + \ldots + h_n f_n$ .

We are now ready to give the second proof the R noetherian implies R[[x]] noetherian:

*Proof* 2. Suppose P is a prime ideal of R[[x]]. Then P is finitely generated iff  $\theta(P)$  is. But R is noetherian, so  $\theta(P)$  is finitely generated, so P was finitely generated. Then we apply Cohen's theorem to get R[[x]] noetherian.

### 1.1 Ideal Structure

Here, we assume R is a commutative ring with a 1, not necessarily noetherian.

**Lemma 1.11.** The set N(R) of all nilpotent<sup>1</sup> elements of R is an ideal, and R/N(R) has no nonzero nilpotent elements.

*Proof.* If  $x \in N(R)$ , then  $x^m = 0$  for some m. Hence  $(rx)^m = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ , and so  $rx \in N(R)$ .

If  $x, y \in N(R)$ , then  $x^n = 0, y^m = 0$  for some n, m. Then  $(x + y)^{n+m-1}$  expands to give terms  $\lambda x^s y^t$  where s + t = m + n - 1. So either  $s \ge n$  or  $y \ge m$ , so all the terms are zero, and  $x + y \in N(R)$ .

So  $N(R) \triangleleft R$ .

Finally, if  $s \in R/N(R)$  then s = x + N(R). Note that  $s^n = x^n + N(R)$  for all n. If x + N(R) is nilpotent then  $(x + N(R))^m = N(R)$  for some m, and hence  $x^m \in N(R)$ . So  $x^m$  is nilpotent, and  $(x^m)^n = x^{mn} = 0$  for some n. But then x is nilpotent, so x + N(R) = 0 + N(R).

**Definition 1.12.** N(R) is called the **nilradical** of R.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>An element x of a ring is called nilpotent if there is some integer m such that  $x^m = 0$ .