Local Fields

Harry Armitage

October 30, 2020

Contents

1	Basic Theory1.1 Absolute Values	2
2	Valuation Rings	5
3	The p-adic Numbers 3.1 Brief Digression on Inverse Limits	7 8
4	Complete Valued Fields 4.1 Hensel's Lemma	10
5	Teichmüller Lifts	12
6	Extensions of Complete Valued Fields	15
7	Local Fields 7.1 More On Inverse Limits	19 20
8	Local Fields II 8.1 Witt Vectors	2 0 21
9	Archimedean Local Fields	24
	Global Fields 10.1 Dedekind Domains	26

1 Basic Theory

Suppose we have a diophantine polynomial $f(x_1, ..., x_r) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, ..., x_r]$. Then we might want to find integer solutions to the equation $f(x_1, ..., x_r) = 0$. However, it turns out this can be very difficult to do, for instance showing $x^n + y^n - z^n = 0$ has no solutions for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ took hundreds of years and a lot of advanced mathematics.

Instead, we study congruences of the form $f(x_1, ..., x_r) \equiv 0 \mod p^n$, for prime p and integer n. This then becomes a finite computation, and hence a much easier problem. Local fields will give us a way to package all this information together.

1.1 Absolute Values

Definition 1.1. *Let* K *be a field. An* **absolute value** *on* K *is a function* $|\cdot|: K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ *such that:*

- 1. $|x| = 0 \iff x = 0$
- 2. $|xy| = |x||y| \forall x, y \in K$
- 3. $|x + y| \le |x| + |y| \ \forall x, y \in K$

We say that $(K, |\cdot|)$ is a valued field.

Examples:

- 1. $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} with $|\cdot|$ the usual absolute value. We write $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ for this absolute value.
- 2. *K* is any field. The *trivial absolute value* on *K* is defined by:

$$|x| = \begin{cases} 0 & x = 0 \\ 1 & x \neq 0 \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

We will ignore this absolute value in this course.

3. $K = \mathbb{Q}$, p a prime. For $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{Q}$, we can write $x = p^n \frac{a}{b}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, (a, p) = 1, and (b, p) = 1. The *p-adic absolute value* is defined to be:

$$|x|_{p} = \begin{cases} 0 & x = 0\\ p^{-n} & x = p^{n} \frac{a}{b} \end{cases}$$

We check the axioms.

- 1. Clear from the definition.
- 2. $|xy|_p = |p^{m+n} \frac{ac}{hd}|_p = p^{-m-n} = |x|_p |y|_p$

3. Wlog,
$$m \ge n$$
. $|x + y|_p = \left| p^n \left(\frac{ad + p^{m-n}bc}{bd} \right) \right|_p \le p^{-n} = \max(|x|_p, |y|_p)$

An absolute value on K induces a metric d(x, y) = |x - y| on K, and hence induces a topology on K. As an exercise, check that +, \cdot are continuous.

Definition 1.2. Let $|\cdot|$, $|\cdot|'$ be absolute values on a field K. We say that $|\cdot|$, $|\cdot|'$ are **equivalent** if they induce the same topology on K. An equivalence class of absolute values is called a **place**.

Proposition 1.3. Let $|\cdot|$, $|\cdot|'$ be non-trivial absolute values on K. The following are equivalent:

- 1. $|\cdot|$, $|\cdot|'$ are equivalent.
- $2. |x| < 1 \iff |x|' < 1 \ \forall x \in K.$
- 3. $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ s.t. $|x|^c = |x|' \forall x \in K$

Proof.

 $1. \Longrightarrow 2.$

$$|x| < 1 \iff x^n \to 0 \text{ w.r.t. } |\cdot|$$
 (2)

$$\iff x^n \to 0 \text{ w.r.t. } |\cdot|'$$
 (3)

$$\iff |x|' < 1 \tag{4}$$

 $\underline{2. \Longrightarrow 3.}$ Let $a \in K^{\times}$ s.t. |a| < 1, which exists since $|\cdot|$ is non-trivial. We need to show that, for all $x \in K^{\times}$, we have:

$$\frac{\log|x|}{\log|a|} = \frac{\log|x|'}{\log|a|'}$$

Assume $\frac{\log |x|}{\log |a|} < \frac{\log |x|'}{\log |a|'}$. Then choose $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\frac{\log |x|}{\log |a|} < \frac{m}{n} < \frac{\log |x|'}{\log |a|'}$. Then we have:

$$n \log |x| < m \log |a|$$

 $n \log |x|' > m \log |a|'$

and hence $\left|\frac{x^n}{a^m}\right| < 1$, $\left|\frac{x^n}{a^m}\right|' > 1$, $\frac{1}{4}$.

 $\underline{3. \Longrightarrow 1.}$ This is clear, as open balls in one topology will also be open balls in the other, hence the topologies will be the same.

In this course, we will be mainly interested in the following types of absolute values:

Definition 1.4. An absolute value $|\cdot|$ on K is said to be **non-archimedean** if it satisfies the ultrametric inequality $|x + y| \le \max(|x|, |y|)$

If $|\cdot|$ is not non-archimedean, then it is archimedean. Examples:

- 1. $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ on \mathbb{R} is archimedean.
- 2. $|\cdot|_p$ is a non-archimedean absolute value on \mathbb{Q} .

Lemma 1.5 (All triangles are isosceles). *Let* $(K, |\cdot|)$ *be a non-archimedean valued field, and* $x, y \in K$. *If* |x| < |y|, *then* |x - y| = |y|.

Proof. Observe that $|1| = |1 \cdot 1| = |1| \cdot |1|$, and so |1| = 1 or 0. But $1 \neq 0$, so |1| = 1. Similarly, |-1| = 1, and so |-y| = |y| for all $y \in K$.

Then if |x| < |y|, $|x - y| \le \max(|x|, |y|) = |y|$.

At the same time $|y| \le \max(|x|, |x - y|) \implies |y| \le |x - y|$.

Hence
$$|y| = |x - y|$$
.

Proposition 1.6. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be non-archimedean, and $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in K.

If
$$|x_n - x_{n+1}| \to 0$$
, then $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy.

In particular, if K is in addition complete, then $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges.

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$, choose N such that $|x_n - x_{n+1}| < \varepsilon \ \forall n > N$.

Then for N < n < m, we have:

$$|x_n - x_m| = |(x_n - x_{n+1}) + (x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}) + \dots + (x_{m-1} - x_m)| < \varepsilon$$

And so the sequence is Cauchy.

For example, if p = 5, construct the sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that:

- 1. $x_n^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \mod 5^n$
- $2. x_n \equiv x_{n+1} \mod 5^n$

as follows:

Take $x_1 = 2$. Suppose we have constructed x_n . Let $x_n^2 + 1 = a5^n$, and set $x_{n+1} = x_n + b5^n$. Then $x_{n+1}^2 + 1 = x_n^2 + 2b5^n x_n + b^2 5^{2n} + 1 = a5^n + 2b5^n x_n + b^2 5^{2n}$.

We choose b such that $a + 2bx_n \equiv 0 \mod 5$, i.e. $b \equiv -\frac{a}{2x_n} \mod 5$, and then we have $x_{n+1}^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \mod 5^{n+1}$ as desired.

The second property implies that $|x_{n+1} - x_n|_5 < 5^{-n} \to 0$, and so the sequence is Cauchy. Now suppose that $x_n \to L \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $x_n^2 \to L^2$. But the first property then gives us that $x_n^2 \to -1 \implies L^2 = -1 \frac{1}{2}$. So $(\mathbb{Q}, |\cdot|_5)$ is not complete.

Definition 1.7. The p-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_p is the completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to $|\cdot|_p$.

We have an analogy with \mathbb{R} , in that \mathbb{R} is the completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$.

If $(K, |\cdot|)$ is a valued field, for $x \in K$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we define:

$$B(x,r) = \{ y \in K : |x - y| < r \}$$

$$\overline{B}(x,r) = \{ y \in K : |x - y| \le r \}$$

and call these the *open* and *closed balls* of radius *r* centred at *x*, respectively.

Lemma 1.8. *Let* $(K, |\cdot|)$ *be non-archimedean. Then:*

- 1. If $z \in B(x, r)$, then B(z, r) = B(x, r).
- 2. If $z \in \overline{B}(x, r)$, then $\overline{B}(z, r) = \overline{B}(x, r)$.
- 3. B(x,r) is closed.
- 4. $\overline{B}(x,r)$ is open.

Proof.

- 1. Let $y \in B(x, r)$. Then $|x y| < r \implies |z y| = |(z x) + (x y)| \le \max(|z x|, |x y|) < r$.
- 2. Same as in 1., but with \leq instead of <.

- 3. Let $y \notin B(x,r)$. We need to show there is an open neighbourhood of y not intersecting B(x,r). If $z \in B(x,r) \cap B(y,r)$, then B(x,r) = B(x,r) = B(y,r). But then $y \in B(x,r) \not\downarrow$. So B(x,r) and B(y,r) are disjoint, and so B(x,r) is closed.
- 4. If $z \in \overline{B}(x,r)$, then we need to show there is an open neighbourhood of z contained in $\overline{B}(x,r)$. But $B(z,r) \subseteq \overline{B}(z,r) = \overline{B}(x,r)$, and so $\overline{B}(x,r)$ is open.

2 Valuation Rings

Definition 2.1. Let K be a field. A valuation on K is a function $v: K^{\times} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that:

- 1. v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)
- 2. $v(x + y) \ge \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$

Fix $0 < \alpha < 1$. If v is a valuation on K, then $|x| = \begin{cases} \alpha^{v(x)} & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$ determines a non-archimedean

absolute value. Conversely, a non-archimedean absolute value determines a valuation $v(x) = \log_{\alpha}|x|$.

We will ignore the trivial valuation $v(x) \equiv 0$, which corresponds to the trivial absolute value.

We say v_1, v_2 are *equivalent* if $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $v_1(x) = cv_2(x) \ \forall x \in K^{\times}$.

Examples:

- $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $v_p(x) = -\log_p |x|_p$ is the *p*-adic valuation.
- k any field, $K = k(t) = \operatorname{Frac}(k[t])$, the rational function field. $v\left(t^n\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}\right) = n$ where $f,g \in k[t], f(0), g(0) \neq 0$. This is the t-adic valuation.
- K = k(t) = Frac(k[[t]]), the field of *formal Laurent series over k*. Then we have $v\left(\sum_i a_i t^i\right) = \min\{i : a_i \neq 0\}$ is the *t*-adic valuation on *K*.

Definition 2.2. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a non-archimedean valued field. The **valuation ring** of K is defined to be:

$$O_K = \{x \in K : |x| \le 1\} \quad (= \overline{B}(0, 1))$$

= $\{x \in K^{\times} : v(x) \ge 0\} \cup \{0\}$

Proposition 2.3.

- 1. O_K is an open subring of K.
- 2. The subsets $\{x \in K : |x| \le r\}$ and $\{x \in K : |x| < r\}$ for $r \le 1$ are open ideals in O_K .
- 3. $O_K^{\times} = \{x \in K : |x| = 1\}.$

Proof.

1. |1| = 1, |0| = 0, so $1, 0 \in O_K$. |-x| = |x|, so $x \in O_K \implies -x \in O_K$. If $x, y \in O_K$, then $|x + y| \le \max(|x|, |y|) \le 1$, and so $x + y \in O_K$, and $|xy| = |x||y| \le 1$, so $xy \in O_K$. Since $O_K = \overline{B}(0, 1)$, it is open.

- 2. The proof of this is the same as 1.
- 3. Note that $|x||x^{-1}| = |xx^{-1}| = 1$. So $|x| = 1 \iff |x^{-1}| = 1$. This can happen if and only if $x, x^{-1} \in O_K$, i.e. $x \in O_K^{\times}$.

As a point of notation, we will define $m := \{x \in O_K : |x| < 1\}$, a maximal ideal of O_K , and $k := O_K/m$ to be the *residue field*.

We say a ring R is *local* if it has a unique maximal ideal. As an exercise, prove that R is local if and only if $R \setminus R^{\times}$ is an ideal of R. We can use this to prove the following:

Corollary 2.4. O_K is a local ring with a unique maximal ideal m.

Proof. Suppose $x \in O_K \setminus m$. Then |x| = 1, so $x^{-1} \in O_K$, and so any ideal containing x contains $x^{-1}x = 1$, i.e. is all of O_K , and hence m is the unique maximal ideal in O_K .

Examples:

- K = k((t)), $O_K = k[[t]]$, m = (t), and the residue field is k.
- $K = \mathbb{Q}$ with $|\cdot|_p$. $O_K = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, $m = p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, $k = \mathbb{F}_p$.

Definition 2.5. Let $v: K^{\times} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a valuation. If $v(K^{\times}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we say v is a **discrete valuation**, and K is said to be a **discretely valued field**. An element $\pi \in O_K$ is a **uniformizer** if $v(\pi) = 0$ and $v(\pi)$ generates $v(K^{\times})$.

<u>Remark:</u> If v is a discrete valuation, we can replace it with an equivalent one such that $v(K^{\times}) = \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Such v are called *normalized valuations*, and have $v(\pi) = 1$ for π a uniformizer.

Lemma 2.6. Let v be a valuation on K. Then the following are all equivalent:

- 1. v is discrete.
- 2. O_K is a PID.
- 3. O_K is noetherian.
- 4. m is principal.

Proof.

<u>1.</u> \Longrightarrow <u>2.</u> Let $I \subseteq O_K$ be a non-zero ideal. Let $x \in I$ such that $v(x) = \min\{v(a) : a \in I\}$, which exists since v is discrete. Then $xO_K = \{a \in O_K : v(a) \ge v(x)\} \subseteq I$, and hence $xO_K = I$ by definition of x - if $y \in I \setminus (x)$, then $v(y) < v(x) \notin$.

 $\underline{2} \Longrightarrow \underline{3}$. Every PID is noetherian, as all ideals are finitely generated (by a single element).

 $\underline{3. \Longrightarrow 4.}$ Write $m = x_1 O_K + \ldots + x_n O_K$. Wlog, $v(x_1) \le v(x_2) \le \ldots \le v(x_n)$. Then $m = x_1 O_K$.

 $\underline{4. \Longrightarrow 1.}$ Let $m = \pi O_K$ for some $\pi \in O_K$, and let $c = v(\pi)$. Then if v(x) > 0, $x \in m$ and hence $v(x) \ge c$. Thus $v(K^{\times}) \cap (0, c) = \emptyset$. Since $v(K^{\times})$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$, we have $v(K^{\times}) = c\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.7. Let v be a discrete valuation on K, and $\pi \in O_K$ a uniformizer. Then for any $x \in K^\times$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in O_K^\times$ such that $x = \pi^n u$. In particular, $K = O_K \left[\frac{1}{x}\right]$ for any $x \in m$ and hence $K = \operatorname{Frac} O_K$.

Proof. For any $x \in K^{\times}$, let n be such that $v(x) = v(\pi^n) = nv(\pi)$, then $v(x\pi^{-n}) = 0 \implies u = x\pi^{-n} \in O_K^{\times}$.

Definition 2.8. A ring R is called a **discrete valuation ring (DVR)** if it is a PID with exactly one non-zero prime ideal.

Lemma 2.9.

- 1. Let v be a discrete valuation on K. Then O_K is a DVR.
- 2. Let R be a DVR. Then there is a valuation v on K := Frac(R) such that $R = O_K$.

Proof.

- 1. O_K is a PID by **2.6**. Let $0 \neq I \subseteq O_K$ be an ideal, then I = (x) for some x. If $x = \pi^n u$ for π a uniformizer, then (x) is prime if and only if n = 1, and $I = (\pi) = m$.
- 2. Let R be a DVR with maximal ideal m. Then $m = (\pi)$ for some $\pi \in R$. Since PIDs are UFDs, we may write $x \in R \setminus \{0\}$ uniquely as $\pi^n u$, $n \ge 0$, $u \in R^\times$. Then any $y \in K \setminus \{0\}$ can be written uniquely as $\pi^m u$, $u \in R^\times$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then define $v(\pi^m u) = m$, and it is easy to check v is a valuation and $O_K = R$.

Examples:

- $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is a DVR, the valuation ring of $|\cdot|_p$ on \mathbb{Q} .
- k[[t]] is a DVR, the valuation ring of the t-adic valuation on k((t)).
- $K = k(t), K' = K\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}, t^{\frac{1}{4}}, t^{\frac{1}{8}}, \ldots\right)$. The t-adic valuation extends to K', but we must have $v(t^{\frac{1}{2^n}}) = \frac{1}{2^n}$, which is not discrete.

3 The p-adic Numbers

Recall that \mathbb{Q}_p is defined to be the completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to the metric induced by $|\cdot|_p$. On example sheet 1, we prove that \mathbb{Q}_p is a field. $|\cdot|_p$ extends from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Q}_p , and the associated valuation is discrete, so \mathbb{Q}_p is a discretely valued field.

Definition 3.1. *The* **ring of p-adic integers**, \mathbb{Z}_p , *is the valuation ring* $\{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p \le 1\}$.

 \mathbb{Z}_p is a discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal $p\mathbb{Z}_p$, and all non-zero ideals in \mathbb{Z}_p are of the form $p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.2. \mathbb{Z}_p is the closure of \mathbb{Z} inside \mathbb{Q}_p . In particular, \mathbb{Z}_p is the completion of \mathbb{Z} with respect to $|\cdot|_p$.

Proof. We need to show that \mathbb{Z} is dense in \mathbb{Z}_p . We know that \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p . Since $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ is

a closed ball and hence open, $\mathbb{Z}_p \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is dense in \mathbb{Z}_p .

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \cap \mathbb{Q} = \{ x \in \mathbb{Q} : |x|_p \le 1 \}$$
$$= \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} : p \nmid b \right\}$$
$$= \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$$

Thus it suffices to show that \mathbb{Z} is dense in $\mathbb{Z}_{(v)}$.

Let $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, so that $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p \nmid b$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $y_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $by_n \equiv a \mod p^n$. Then $y_n \to \frac{a}{b}$ as $n \to \infty$.

In particular, \mathbb{Z} is dense in \mathbb{Z}_p which is complete.

3.1 Brief Digression on Inverse Limits

Let $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets/groups/rings together with homomorphisms $\varphi_n : A_{n+1} \to A_n$, called transition maps. The *inverse limit* of $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the set of sequences of elements given by:

$$\lim_{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\leftarrow}_n} A_n = \left\{ (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n : \varphi_n(a_{n+1}) = a_n \right\}$$

so that $a_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} a_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} a_{n-1}$. If the A_n are groups/rings, then $\lim_{\leftarrow n} A_n$ is a group/ring respectively.

Let $\theta_m : \lim_{\longrightarrow} A_n \to A_m$ denote the natural projection map.

The inverse limit satisfies the following universal property:

Proposition 3.3. Let $((A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, (\varphi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty})$ as above. Then for any set/group/ring B together with homo-

morphisms
$$\psi_n: B \to A_n$$
 such that the diagram $B \xrightarrow{\psi_{n+1}} A_{n+1}$ ϕ_n commutes for all n , there is a unique

homomorphism $\psi: B \to \lim_{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\leftarrow}_n} A_n$ such that $\theta_n \circ \psi = \psi_n$.

Proof. Define
$$\psi: B \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$
 by $b \mapsto \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\psi_n(b)\}.$

Then
$$\psi_n = \varphi_n \circ \psi_{n+1} \implies \psi(b) \in \lim_{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\longrightarrow}} A_n$$
.

This map is clearly unique, as it is determined by $\psi_n = \varphi_n \circ \psi_{n+1}$, and is a homomorphism of rings.

Definition 3.4. Let R be a ring and $I \subseteq R$ an ideal. The **I-adic completion of R** is the ring $\widehat{R} := \lim_{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\longrightarrow}} R/I^n$, where $\varphi_n : R/I^{n+1} \to R/I^n$ is the natural projection.

Note that there is a natural map $i: R \to \widehat{R}$ by the universal property. We say that R is I-adically complete if i is an isomorphism.

As a fact,
$$\ker(i: R \to \widehat{R}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n$$
.

Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a non-archimedean valued field, and $\pi \in O_K$ such that $|\pi| < 1$.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that K is complete. Then:*

- 1. $O_K \cong \varprojlim_n O_K/\pi^n O_K$, i.e. O_K is π -adically complete.
- 2. If in addition K is discretely valued and π is a uniformizer, then every element $x \in O_K$ can be written uniquely as $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \pi^i$ for $a_i \in A$ where A is a set of coset representatives for $k := O_K/\pi O_K$.

 Moreover, any series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \pi^i$ converges in O_K .

Proof.

1. There is a natural map $i: O_K \to \varprojlim_n O_K/\pi O_K$. Since $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \pi^n O_K = \{0\}$, i is injective. Now let $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \varprojlim_n O_K/\pi^n O_K$, and for each n choose $y_n \in O_K$ a lift of $x_n \in O_K/\pi^n O_K$.

Let v be the valuation on K normalised such that $v(\pi) = 1$, then $v(y_n - y_{n+1}) \ge n$, as $y_n - y_{n+1} \in \pi^n O_K$.

So $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in O_K , but O_K is complete as $O_K \subseteq K$ is closed, and we assumed K complete.

So $y_n \to y$ and $i(y) = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, so i is surjective, and hence an isomorphism.

2. Let $x \in O_K$. Choose a_i inductively as follows:

Choose $a_0 \in A$ such that $a_0 \equiv x \mod \pi O_K$. Suppose we have chosen a_0, \ldots, a_k such that $\sum_{i=0}^k a_i \pi^i \equiv x \mod \pi^{k+1}$, Then $a_i \pi^i - x = c \pi^{k+1}$ for some $c \in O_K$. Then choose $a_{k+1} \equiv c \mod \pi O_K$.

Then
$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_i \equiv x \mod \pi^{k+2} O_K$$
, and so $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i = x$.

For uniqueness, assume that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \pi^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i \pi^i \in O_K$. Let n be minimal such that $a_n \neq b_n$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \not\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i \pi^i \mod \pi^{n+1} \not\sqsubseteq$.

For the moreover part, any series of this form defines a Cauchy sequence, which as in 1 converges in O_K .

Warning: if $(K, |\cdot|)$ is not discretely valued, then O_K is not necessarily m-adically complete.

Corollary 3.6. If K is as in 2 of **3.5**, then every $x \in K$ can be written uniquely as a series of the form $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i \pi^i$, $a_i \in A$. Conversely, any such expression defines an element of K.

Proof. Use the fact that
$$K = O_K \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \right]$$
.

Corollary 3.7.

1.
$$\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$$
.

2. Every element of \mathbb{Q}_p can be written uniquely as $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i p^i$ where $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$.

Proof.

1. By **3.5** it is sufficient to show that $\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. Note that there is a natural map $f_n: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$, since $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$.

We have that $\ker f_n = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : |x|_p \le p^{-n}\} = p^n \mathbb{Z}$.

Hence, $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$ is injective.

For surjectivity, let $\bar{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$, and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ a lift. Since \mathbb{Z} is dense in \mathbb{Z}_p , we can choose $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x \in c + p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$. This is a closed ball and hence open, so $f_n(x) = \bar{c}$, and the map is surjective.

2. Follows from **3.6**, noting that $\mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ by 1.

Examples:

• $\frac{1}{1-p} = 1 + p + p^2 + p^3 + \ldots \in \mathbb{Q}_p$.

• Let K = k((t)) with the t-adic valuation. Then $O_K = k[[t]] = \lim_{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{t}} k[[t]]/(t^n)$. Moreover, O_K is the t-adic completion of k[t].

4 Complete Valued Fields

4.1 Hensel's Lemma

For complete valued fields, there is a nice way to produce solutions in O_K to certain equations from the solutions mod m.

Given $f \in R[x]$ for some ring R, we will denote by f' the *formal derivative* of f, which is the image of f under the linear map taking $x^n \mapsto nx^{n-1}$.

Theorem 4.1 (Hensel's Lemma, version 1). Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field. Let $f(x) \in O_K[x]$, and assume there exists $a \in O_K$ such that $|f(a)| < |f'(a)|^2$.

Then there exists a unique $x \in O_K$ such that f(x) = 0 and |x - a| < |f'(a)|.

Proof. Let $\pi \in O_K$ be a uniformizer, and let r = v(f'(a)). We construct a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in O_K such that:

- (i) $f(x_n) \equiv 0 \mod \pi^{n+2r}$
- (ii) $x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \mod \pi^{n+r}$

Take $x_1 = a$; then $f(x_1) \equiv 0 \mod \pi^{1+2r}$.

Suppose we've constructed x_1, \ldots, x_n satisfying (i) and (ii). Define $x_{n+1} := x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$. Since $x_n \equiv x_1 \mod \pi^{r+1}$, $v(f'(x_n)) = r$, and hence $\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \equiv 0 \mod \pi^{n+r}$ by (i).

It follows that $x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \mod \pi^{n+r}$, so (ii) holds.

Note that for x, y indeterminates, $f(x + y) = f_0(x) + f_1(x)y + f_2(x)y^2 + \dots$, where $f_i(x) \in O_K[x]$, and $f_0(x) = f(x)$, $f_1(x) = f'(x)$.

Thus $f(x_{n+1}) = f(x_n) + f'(x_n)c + f_2(x_n)c^2 + \dots$, where $c = -\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \equiv 0 \mod \pi^{n+r}$. Then since $v(f_i(x_n)) \ge 0$, we have $f(x_{n+1}) \equiv f(x_n) + f'(x_n)c \equiv 0 \mod \pi^{n+2r+1}$, and so (i) holds.

This gives a construction of $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Property (ii) implies our sequence is Cauchy, so by completeness it converges to $x \in O_K$. Then $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = 0$, which is zero by (*i*).

Moreover, (ii) implies:

$$a = x_1 \equiv x_n \mod \pi^{r+1} \ \forall n$$

$$\implies a \equiv x \mod \pi^{r+1}$$

$$\implies |x - a| < |f'(a)|$$

This proves existence.

For uniqueness, suppose x' also satisfies f(x') = 0, |x' - a| < |f'(a)|. Let $\delta = |x' - x| \ge 0$.

Then |x' - a| < |f'(a)|, |x - a| < |f'(a)|, and the ultrametric inequality implies:

$$|\delta| = |x - x'| < |f'(a)| = |f'(x)|$$

But $0 = f(x') = f(x + \delta) = f(x) + f'(x) + \delta + \dots$, where absolute value of the higher order terms is $\leq |\delta|^2$.

Hence
$$|f'(x)\delta| \le |\delta|^2 \implies |f'(x)| \le |\delta| \xi$$
.

The following corollary is a slightly weaker result, but will often turn out to be more useful for what we want to do.

Corollary 4.2. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field. Let $f(x) \in O_K[x]$, and $\bar{c} \in k := O_K/m$ a simple root of $\bar{f}(x) := f(x) \mod m \in k[x]$ (i.e. not a root of $\bar{f}'(x)$).

Then there is a unique $x \in O_K$ such that f(x) = 0 and $x \equiv \overline{c} \mod m$.

Proof. Apply **4.1** to a lift $c \in O_K$ of \bar{c} . Then $|f(c)| < |f'(c)|^2 = 1$, since \bar{c} is a simple root.

Example: $f(x) = x^2 - 2$ has a simple root mod 7. Thus $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_7$.

Corollary 4.3.

$$\mathbb{Q}_p^\times/(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2 \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 & p > 2 \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 & p = 2 \end{cases}$$

Proof.

 $\underline{p > 2}$: Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$. By **4.2** applied to $f(x) = x^2 - b$, we have $b \in (\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times})^2$ if and only if $b \in (\mathbb{F}_p^{\times})^2$.

Thus
$$\mathbb{Z}_v^{\times}/(\mathbb{Z}_v^{\times})^2 \cong \mathbb{F}_v^{\times}/(\mathbb{F}_v^{\times})^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$
, since $\mathbb{F}_v^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$.

We have an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \times \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$, given by $(u, n) \mapsto u\pi^n$.

Thus $\mathbb{Q}_{v}^{\times}/(\mathbb{Q}_{v}^{\times})^{2} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2}$.

p=2: Let $b\in\mathbb{Z}_2^{\times}$. Consider $f(x)=x^2-b$. Then $f'(x)=2x\equiv 0\mod 2$, so we can't use **4.1**.

Let $b \equiv 1 \mod 8$. Then $|f(1)|_2 \le 2^{-3} < |f'(1)|_2^2 = 2^{-2}$. So by Hensel's lemma, f(x) has a root in \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Hence $b \in (\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times})^2 \iff b \equiv 1 \mod 8$. So $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\times}/(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\times})^2 \equiv (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \equiv (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. Again, using $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{\times} \times \mathbb{Z}$, we find that $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times}/(\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times})^2 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$.

The proof of Hensel's lemma uses the iteration $x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$, which is the same iteration as used in the Newton-Raphson method for functions on the real numbers. In this case however we can do one better, as Hensel's lemma lets us know when the iteration will converge.

For later applications, we will need the following version of Hensel's lemma:

Theorem 4.4 (Hensel's Lemma, version 2). Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field, and $f(x) \in O_K[x]$, and suppose that $\bar{f}(x) \coloneqq f(x) \mod m \in k[x]$ factorises as:

$$\bar{f}(x) = \bar{g}(x)\bar{h}(x)$$

with $\bar{g}(x)$, $\bar{h}(x)$ coprime.

Then there is a factorisation f(x) = g(x)h(x) in $O_K[x]$, with $g(x) \equiv \bar{g}(x) \mod m$, $\bar{h}(x) \equiv h(x) \mod m$, and $\deg \bar{g} = \deg g$.

Proof. Example sheet 1. □

Corollary 4.5. Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + \ldots + a_0 \in K[x]$ with $a_0, a_n \neq 0$. If f(x) is irreducible, then $|a_i| \leq \max\{|a_0|, |a_n|\}$ for all i.

Proof. Upon scaling, we may assume $f(x) \in O_K[x]$ with $\max_i \{|a_i|\} = 1$. Thus we need to show that $\max\{|a_0|, |a_1|\} = 1$. If not, let r be minimal such that $|a_r| = 1$, then 0 < r < n. Thus we have $\bar{f}(x) = x^r(a_r + \dots a_n x^{n-r}) \mod m$.

Then **4.5** tells us this factorisation lifts to a factorisation in $O_K[x]$, which is a contradiction.

5 Teichmüller Lifts

Recall that every element of \mathbb{Q}_p can be written as $x = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i p^i$, where $a_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\} =: A$.

We chose this set A since we found that we needed coset representatives for $\mathbb{F}_p \leq \mathbb{Z}_p$. However, this choice of A doesn't respect any of the algebraic structure on \mathbb{Z}_p .

It turns out there is a natural choice of coset representatives in many cases which does respect some algebraic structure.

Definition 5.1. A ring R of characteristic p is **perfect** if the Frobenius map Frob : $x \mapsto x^p$ is an automorphism of R. A field of characteristic p is perfect if it is perfect as a ring.

Note that since char R = p, $(x + y)^p = x^p + y^p$, so the Frobenius map is a ring homomorphism, so all that is needed is that it is bijective.

Examples:

- 1. \mathbb{F}_p and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ are perfect fields.
- 2. $\mathbb{F}_p[t]$ is not perfect $t \notin \text{im}(\text{Frob})$.
- 3. $\mathbb{F}_p\left(t^{1/p^\infty}\right) := \mathbb{F}_p(t,t^{1/p},t^{1/p^2},\ldots)$ is a perfect field. This is the smallest perfect field containing $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$, so we call it the *perfection* of $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$. The *t*-adic absolute value extends to $\mathbb{F}_p(t^{1/p^\infty})$, and the completion of $\mathbb{F}_p(t^{1/p^\infty})$ is called a *perfectoid field*. These were the subject of Peter Scholze's PhD thesis.

Fact: a field *k* is perfect if and only if any finite extension of *k* is separable.

Theorem 5.2. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field such that $k := O_K/m$ is a perfect field of characteristic p. Then there is a unique map

$$[\cdot]: k \to O_K$$

such that:

- 1. $a \equiv [a] \mod m$ for all $a \in k$.
- 2. $[ab] \equiv [a][b]$ for all $a, b \in k$.

Moreover, if char $O_K = p$, then $[\cdot]$ is a ring homomorphism.

Definition 5.3. *The element* $[a] \in O_K$ *constructed in* **5.2** *is called the* **Teichmüller lift** *of* a.

The idea of the proof of this theorem is that, if $\alpha \in O_K$ be a lift of $a \in k$. α is well defined then up to the ideal πO_K (where π is a uniformizer).

Then let $\beta \in O_K$ be a lift of $a^{1/p}$; we claim that β is a "better" lift:

Let $\beta' \in O_k$ be another lift of $a^{1/p}$. Then $\beta = \beta' + \pi u$, $u \in O_K$, and so $\beta^p = \beta'^p + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} {p \choose i} \beta'^i (\pi u)^{p-i}$. Since $p \in \pi$, this sum term lies in $\pi^2 O_K$, and so β is well defined up to $\pi^2 O_K$.

The idea is then to repeat this process, getting a sequence of better and better lifts each time, which will converge to a "canonical" lift. To do this rigorously we'll need the following lemma:

Lemma 5.4. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be as in 5.3, and fix $\pi \in O_K$ a uniformizer. Let $x, y \in O_K$ such that $x \equiv y \mod \pi^k$, for $k \ge 1$. Then $x^p \equiv y^p \mod \pi^{k+1}$.

Proof. Let $x = y + u\pi^k$. Then:

$$x^{p} = \sum_{i=0}^{p} {p \choose i} y^{i} (u\pi^{k})^{p-i}$$

$$= y^{p} + pu\pi^{k} y^{p-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{p} {p \choose i} y^{i} (u\pi^{k})^{p-i} \quad \text{for } p > 2$$

Since $O_K/\pi O_K$ is of characteristic p, we have $p \in (\pi)$. Thus $pu\pi^k y^{p-1} \in \pi^{k+1}O_K$. Additionally, for $i \ge 2$, $(u\pi^k)^i \in \pi^{k+1}O_K$.

Hence $x^p \equiv y^p \mod \pi^{k+1}$.

Proof of Theorem **5.2**. Let $a \in k$. For each $i \ge 0$, we choose a lift $y_i \in O_K$ of a^{1/p^i} , and we define:

$$x_i \coloneqq y_i^{p^i}$$

Then $x_i \equiv y_i^{p^i} \equiv \left(a_i^{1/p^i}\right)^{p^i} \equiv a \mod \pi$.

We then claim that $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and that its limit $x_i \to x$ is independent of the choice of y_i .

By construction, $y_i \equiv y_{i+1}^p \mod \pi$. By **5.4** and using induction on k, we have $y_i^{p^k} \equiv y_{i+1}^{p^{k+1}} \mod \pi^{k+1}$, and hence $x_i \equiv x_{i+1} \mod \pi^{i+1}$, and so the sequence is Cauchy, so converges in O_K to some x.

Suppose we had chosen different y_i s, getting a different sequence $(x_i')_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Then $x_i' \to x' \in O_K$.

Then let $(x_i'')_{i=1}^{\infty} = \begin{cases} x_i & i \text{ even} \\ x_i' & i \text{ odd} \end{cases}$. Then (x_i'') is also Cauchy, and has convergent subesquences to x and x', so x = x', and our choice of y_i didn't matter.

We then define [a] = x.

 $x \equiv a \mod \pi$, so the first condition is satisfied.

For the second condition, let $b \in k$, and we choose $u_i \in O_K$ a lift of b^{1/p^i} ; let $z_i := u_i^{p^i}$. Then $\lim_{i \to \infty} z_i = [b]$.

Now $u_i y_i$ is a lift of $(ab)^{1/p^i}$, hence $[ab] = \lim_{i \to \infty} x_i z_i = \lim_{i \to \infty} x_i \lim_{i \to \infty} z_i = [a][b]$.

If char $O_K = p$, then $y_i + u_i$ is a lift of $a^{1/p^i} + b^{1/p^i} = (a + b)^{1/p^i}$ (raise both sides to p^i and use perfectness \implies bijectivity of Frob). Then we have:

$$[a+b] = \lim_{i \to \infty} (y_i + u_i)^{p^i}$$

$$= \lim_{i \to \infty} y_i^{p^i} + u_i^{p^i}$$

$$= \lim_{i \to \infty} x_i + z_i$$

$$= [a] + [b]$$

It is easy to check that [0] = 0, [1] = 1, and so $[\cdot]$ is a ring homomorphism.

For uniqueness, let $\phi: k \to O_K$ be another such map. Then for $a \in k$, $\phi(a^{1/p^i})$ is a lift a^{1/p^i} . It follows that:

$$[a] = \lim_{i \to \infty} \phi(a^{1/p^i})^{p^i} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \phi(a) = \phi(a)$$

Example: $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, then $[\cdot] : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$. For $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$, $[a]^{p-1} = [a^{p-1}] = [1] = 1$, and so [a] is a $(p-1)^{\text{th}}$ root of unity. More generally:

Lemma 5.6. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field. If $k := O_K/m \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$, then $[a] \in O_K^{\times}$ is a root of unity.

Proof. $a \in k \implies a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ for some n, so $[a]^{p^n-1} = [1] = 1$.

Theorem 5.7. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field with char K = p > 0. Then $K \cong k((t))$.

Proof. Since $K = \operatorname{Frac}(O_K)$, it suffices to show that $O_K \cong k[[t]]$. Fix $\pi \in O_K$ a uniformizer, and let $[\cdot]: k \to O_K$ be the Teichmüller map, and define:

$$\varphi: k[[t]] \to O_K$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [a_i] \pi^i$$

Then φ is a ring homomorphism since $[\cdot]$ is, and it is a bijection by **3.5**.

6 Extensions of Complete Valued Fields

Theorem 6.1. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete non-archimedean discretely valued field, and L/K a finite extension of degree n. Then:

1. $|\cdot|$ extends uniquely to an absolute value $|\cdot|_L$ on L, defined by

$$|y|_L = |N_{L/K}(y)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \ \forall y \in L$$

2. *L* is complete with respect to $|\cdot|_L$.

Recall that if L/K is finite then $N_{L/K}: L \to K$ is defined by $N_{L/K} = \text{Det}_K(\text{mult}_y)$, where mult_y is the K-linear map induced by multiplication by y.

We have also that:

- $\bullet \ N_{L/K}(xy) = N_{L/K}(x)N_{L/K}(y)$
- If $x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + ... + a_0 \in K[x]$ is the minimal polynomial of $y \in L$, then $N_{L/K}(y) = a_0^m$ for some $m \ge 1$.

Note that the n^{th} root is not necessary for $|\cdot|_L$ to be an absolute value, but is necessary for it to extend $|\cdot|$, as for $x \in K$, $N_{L/K}(x) = \text{Det diag}(x, x, ..., x) = x^n$.

We will spend this section proving **6.1**.

Definition 6.2. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a non-archimedean valued field, and V a vector space over K. A norm on V is a function $\|\cdot\|: V \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying:

- 1. $||x|| = 0 \iff x = 0$
- 2. $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\| \ \forall \lambda \in K, x \in V$
- 3. $||x + y|| \le \max(||x||, ||y||)$

For example, if V is finite dimensional and e_1, \ldots, e_n is a basis of V. The sup norm on V is defined by

$$||x||_{\sup} = \max_{i} |x_i|$$

where $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i$. As an exercise, show $\|\cdot\|_{\sup}$ is a norm.

Definition 6.3. Two norms $\|\cdot\|_1$, $\|\cdot\|_2$ are equivalent if there are C, D > 0 such that

$$C||x||_1 \le ||x||_2 \le D||x||_1 \ \forall x \in V$$

A norm defines a metric on V, and hence a topology, and equivalent norms induce the same topology.

Proposition 6.4. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be complete and non-archimedean, and V be a finite dimensional vector space over K. Then V is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\sup}$.

Proof. Let $(v_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in V, and let e_1, \ldots, e_n be a basis for V. Write $v_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^i e_j$; then $(x_i^i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in K.

Let
$$x_j^i \to x_j \in K$$
, then $v_i \to v = \sum_{i=1}^n x_j e_j$.

Theorem 6.5. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be complete and non-archimedean, and V a finite dimensional vector space over K. Then any two norms on V are equivalent. In particular, V is complete with respect to any norm.

Proof. Since equivalence defines an equivalence relation on a set of norms, it suffices to show that any norm is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{\sup}$.

Let e_1, \ldots, e_n be a basis for V, and set $D := \max_i ||e_i||$.

Then for $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i$, we have

$$||x|| \le \max_{i} ||x_{i}e_{i}||$$

$$= \max_{i} ||x_{i}|||e_{i}||$$

$$\le D \max_{i} ||x_{i}||$$

$$= D||x||_{\sup}$$

To find *C* such that $C||\cdot||_{\sup} \le ||\cdot||$, we induct on $n = \dim V$.

If
$$n = 1$$
, then $||x|| = ||x_1e_1|| = |x_1|||e_1||$, so take $C = ||e_1||$.

Then for n > 1, for each i, define $V_i := \operatorname{Span}\langle e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n \rangle$.

By induction, V_i is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ and hence closed. Then $e_i + V_i$ is also closed for all i, and hence $S := \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} e_i + V_i$ is a closed subset not containing 0.

Thus there is C > 0 such that $B(0, C) \cap S = \emptyset$.

Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i$, and suppose $|x_j| = \max_i |x_i|$. Then $||x||_{\sup} = |x_j|$, and moreover, $\frac{1}{x_j}x \in S$.

So
$$\|\frac{1}{x_i}x\| \ge C$$
, $so\|x\| \ge C|x_j| = C\|x\|_{\sup}$.

The completeness of *V* follows since *V* is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\sup}$.

Definition 6.6. Let $R \subseteq S$ be rings. We say $s \in S$ is **integral** over R if there exists a monic polynomial $f(x) \in R[x]$ such that f(s) = 0. The **integral closure** $R^{\text{int}(S)}$ of R inside S is defined to be

$$R^{\text{int}(S)} = \{ s \in S : s \text{ integral over } R \}$$

We say R is integrally closed in S if $R^{int(S)} = R$.

Proposition 6.7. $R^{\text{int}(S)}$ is a subring of S. Moreover, $R^{\text{int}(S)}$ is integrally closed in S.

Proof. Example sheet 2.

Lemma 6.8. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a non-archimedean valued field. Then O_K is integrally closed in K.

Proof. Let $x \in K$ be integral over O_K , and without loss of generality $x \neq 0$.

Then let $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + ... + a_0 \in O_K[x]$ such that f(x) = 0. Then:

$$1 = -\frac{1}{x}a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}\frac{1}{x^2} - \dots - a_0\frac{1}{x^n}$$

If |x| > 1, we have $1 = |1| = \left| -\frac{1}{r} a_{n-1} - \dots - a_0 \frac{1}{r^n} \right| < 1$ \(\frac{1}{r} \).

But then $|x| \le 1$, so $x \in O_K$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We show $|\cdot|_L = |N_{L/K}(\cdot)|$ satisfies the three axioms in the definition of absolute values.

1.

$$|y|_L = 0 \iff |N_{L/K}(y)| = 0$$

 $\iff N_{L/K}(y) = 0$
 $\iff y = 0$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} |y_1y_2|_L &= |N_{L/K}(y_1y_2)|^{1/n} \\ &= |N_{L/K}(y_1y_2)|^{1/n} \\ &= |N_{L/K}(y_1)N_{L/K}(y_2)|^{1/n} \\ &= |N_{L/K}(y_1)|^{1/n}|N_{L/K}(y_2)|^{1/n} \\ &= |y_1|_L|y_2|_L \end{aligned}$$

3. Set $O_L = \{y \in L : ||y||_L \le 1\}$. We then claim that O_L is the integral closure of O_K inside L.

To see this let $0 \neq y \in O_L$, we want to show that y is integral over O_K . Let $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \ldots + a_0 \in K[x]$ be the minimal polynomial of y. Then there is $m \geq 1$ with $N_{L/K}(y) = a_0^m$.

By **4.5**, since f is irreducible, the coefficient with the largest absolute value is either the first or the last in f. I.e.:

$$|a_i| \le \max(|N_{L/K}(y)^{1/m}|, 1) =$$

Now, since $|N_{L/K}(y)| \le 1$, we have $|a_i| \le 1$, i.e. $a_i \in O_K$.

Hence $f \in O_K[x]$, and y is integral over O_K .

Conversely, let $y \in L$ be integral over O_K . Then $N_{L/K}(y) = \left(\prod_{\sigma: L \to \bar{K}} \sigma(y)\right)^d$ for some $d \ge 1$, where \bar{K} is an algebraic closure of K and σ runs over all K-algebra homomorphisms.

For all such $\sigma: L \to \bar{K}$, $\sigma(y)$ satisfies the same monic polynomials as y, so is also integral over O_K . Thus $N_{L/K}(y) \in K$ is integral over O_K , and hence $N_{L/K}(y) \in O_K$.

But then $|N_{L/K}(y)| \le 1$, and so $y \in O_L$, so $O_K^{\text{int}(L)} = O_L$, and the claim is proved.

Now let $x, y \in L$. Without loss of generality, assume that $|x|_L \le |y|_L$. Then $|\frac{x}{y}|_L \le 1$, and so $\frac{x}{y} \in O_L$.

Since $1 \in O_L$ and $O_K^{\text{int}(L)}$, we have $1 + \frac{x}{y} \in O_L$, and hence $|1 + \frac{x}{y}| \le 1$, i.e., $|x + y| \le |y| = \max(|y|_L, |x|_L)$ as required.

For uniqueness, suppose $|\cdot|_L'$ is another absolute value on L extending $|\cdot|$, then note that $|\cdot|_L$, $|\cdot|_L'$ are norms on L, hence induce the same topology on L, hence are equivalent, hence $|\cdot|_L' = |\cdot|_L^c$ for some c > 0. Since they agree on K, c = 1.

For the completeness part, since $|\cdot|_L$ defines a norm on K, **6.5** implies that L is complete with respect to $|\cdot|_L$.

Corollary 6.9. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete non-archimedean discretely valued field, and L?K a finite extension. Then

- 1. L is discretely valued with respect to $|\cdot|_L$.
- 2. O_L is the integral closure of O_K in L.

Proof.

1. Let v be the valuation on K, v_L the valuation on L such that v_L extends v, and let n = [L : K]. Then for $y \in L^{\times}$, $|y|_L = |N_{L/K}(y)|^{1/n}$.

Hence $v_L(y) = \frac{1}{n}v(N_{L/K}(y))$, and so $v_L(L^{\times}) \subseteq \frac{1}{n}v(K^{\times})$, hence v_L is discrete.

2. Proved in the previous section.

Corollary 6.10. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete non-archimedean discretely valued field, and \bar{K}/K an algebraic closure of K. Then $|\cdot|$ extends to a unique absolute value $|\cdot|_{\bar{K}}$ on \bar{K} .

Proof. Let $x \in \overline{K}$. Then x is algebraic over K, so $x \in L$ for some L/K finite. Define $|x|_{\overline{K}} = |x|_L$. This is well defined, i.e. is independent of L by the uniqueness proven in **6.1**. The axioms for $|\cdot|_{\overline{K}}$ to be an absolute value can be checked over finite extensions, as can uniqueness.

N.B.: $|\cdot|_{\bar{K}}$ is *never* discrete. Take $x \in K$, |x| = 1 (e.g. $p \in \mathbb{Q}_p$). Then for all $n \ge 0$, $v_{\bar{K}}(\sqrt[q]{x}) = \frac{1}{n}$, which can get arbitrarily close to zero as x has all its roots in \bar{K} .

7 Local Fields

Definition 7.1. *Let* $(K, |\cdot|)$ *be a valued field. Then we say K is a* **local field** *if it is complete and locally compact.*

For example, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} are local fields.

Proposition 7.2. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a non-archimedean complete valued field. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. K is locally compact.
- 2. O_K is compact.
- 3. v is discrete and $k := O_K/m$ is finite.

Proof.

- 1. ⇒ 2. Let $U \ni 0$ be a compact neighbourhood of 0. Then $\exists x \in O_K$ such that $xO_K \subseteq U$. Since xO_K is closed, xO_K is compact, and hence O_K is compact, as there is a homeomorphism $xO_K \xrightarrow{x^{-1}} O_K$.
- 2. \implies 1. O_K is compact, so $a + O_K$ is compact for all $a \in K$, and hence K is locally compact as every $a \in K$ has compact neighbourhood $a + O_K$.
- 2. \Longrightarrow 3. Let *x* ∈ *m*, and $A_x \subseteq O_K$ be a set of coset representatives for O_K/xO_K .

Then $O_K = \bigcup_{y \in A_x} y + x O_K$, which is a disjoint union of open subsets, and hence an irreducible open cover. So by compactness, A_x is finite. So O_K/m , which is a quotient of $O_K/x O_K$, is finite.

Now suppose that v is not discrete. Let $x = x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$ be a sequence such that

$$v(x_1) > v(x_2) > v(x_3) > \ldots > 0$$

Then we have

$$xO_K \subseteq x_2O_K \subseteq x_3O_K \subseteq \ldots \subseteq O_K$$

But O_K/xO_K is finite, so can only have finitely many subgroups as an additive group $\frac{1}{2}$.

Hence v must be discrete.

3. \Longrightarrow 2. Since O_K is a metric space, it suffices to show O_K is sequentially compact. Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in O_K and fix $\pi \in O_K$ a uniformizer.

Then since $\pi^i O_K / \pi^{i+1} O_K \cong k$, $O_K / \pi^i O_K$ is finite, as $O_K \supseteq \pi O_k \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \pi^i O_K$, and each quotient is finite, hence the total quotient is finite.

Since $O_K/\pi O_K$ is finite, there is some $a \in O_K/\pi O_K$ and a subsequence $(x_{1,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $x_{1,n} \equiv a \mod \pi$.

Define $y_1 = x_{1,1}$.

Since $O_K/\pi^2 O_K$ is finite, there is some $a_2 \in O_K/\pi^2 O_K$ and a subsequence $(x_{2,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $x_{2,n} \equiv a_2 \mod \pi^2 O_K$.

Define $y_2 = x_{2,2}$.

Continuing in this fashion, we get the sequences $(x_{i,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for i=1,2,..., such that $(x_{i+1,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a subsequence of $(x_{i,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and, for any i, there is some $a_i \in O_K/\pi^i O_K$ with $x_{i,n} \equiv a_i \mod \pi^i$ for all n.

Then necessarily $a_i \equiv a_{i+1} \mod \pi^i$ for all i. With $y_i = x_{i,i}$, we have $y_i \equiv y_{i+1} \mod \pi^i$, and so y_i is Cauchy, and hence converges by completeness, and hence O_K is sequentially compact.

Examples:

- 1. \mathbb{Q}_{v} is a local field.
- 2. $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ is a local field.

7.1 More On Inverse Limits

Let $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets/groups/rings and $\varphi_n: A_{n+1} \to A_n$ be homomorphisms.

Definition 7.3. Assume A_n is finite for all n. Then the **profinite topology** on $A := \lim_{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{n}} A_n$ is the weakest topology on A such that $A \to A_n$ is continuous for all n, where A_n are equipped with the discrete topology.

A with the profinite topology is then compact, totally disconnected, and Hausdorff.

Proposition 7.4. *Let* $(K, |\cdot|)$ *be a local field. Under the isomorphism*

$$O_K \cong \underset{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\underset{n}}}{\lim} O_K/\pi^n O_K$$

where π is a uniformizer, the topology on O_K induced via $|\cdot|$ coincides with the profinite topology.

Proof. Just need to check that, if

$$\mathcal{B} := \{a + \pi^n O_K : n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, a \in A_{\pi^n}\}$$

where A_{π^n} is a set of coset representatives for $O_K/\pi^n O_K$, then \mathcal{B} is a basis of open sets in both topologies.

For $|\cdot|$, this is immediate.

For the profinite topology, $O_K \to O_K/\pi^n O_K$ is continuous if and only if $a + \pi^n O_K$ is open for all $a \in A_{\pi^n}$. Then \mathcal{B} is a basis for the profinite topology.

This gives another proof that O_K is compact.

8 Local Fields II

Lemma 8.1. *Let K be a non-archimedean local field and L/K a finite extension. Then L is a local field.*

Proof. **6.1** tells us that *L* is complete and discretely valued, so it suffices to show that $k_L := O_L/m_L$ is finite.

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be a basis for L as a K-vector space. Then the supremum norm is equivalent to $|\cdot|_L$, and so there is some r > 0 such that

$$O_L \subseteq \{x \in L : ||x||_{\sup} \le r\}$$

Take $a \in K$ such that $|a| \ge r$, then:

$$O_L \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n a \alpha_i O_K$$

and so O_L is finitely generated as an O_K -module, hence the residue field k_L is finitely generated over k. Since it is a finite extension of a finite field, k_L is finite, and so L is local.

Theorem 8.2 (Classification of Local Fields). *Let K be a local field. Then either:*

- 1. $K \cong \mathbb{R}$ or $K \cong \mathbb{C}$
- 2. *K* is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p
- 3. $K \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^n}((t))$ for p prime, $n \geq 1$.

We will aim to prove this over the following few pages.

Definition 8.3. We say a discretely valued field $(K, |\cdot|)$ has **equal characteristic** if char(K) = char(k). Otherwise we say it has **mixed characteristic**.

For example, char $\mathbb{Q}_p = 0$, char $\mathbb{F}_p = p$, so \mathbb{Q}_p has mixed characteristic. Note that, if K is a local field, char k = p > 0, and hence K has equal characteristic (respectively mixed) if char K = p (respectively char K = 0).

Theorem 8.4. Let K be a local field of equal characteristic p > 0. Then

$$K \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^n}((t))$$

for some char K > 0.

Proof. K is complete and discretely valued, with positive characteristic. Moreover, $k \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is finite, hence perfect. By **5.7**, $K \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^n}((t))$.

8.1 Witt Vectors

This section is non-examinable.

Consider the ring \mathbb{Z}_p . Let $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [x_i]_{p^i}$, $y = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [y_i] p^i$ where $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Then, if $x + y = s = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [s_i] p^i$, we might ask if we can write s_i in terms of the x_j, y_j .

Reducing mod p, we obtain that $x_0 + y_0 = s_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p$, so s_0 is determined by x_0, y_0 . What about s_1 ?

Reducing mod p^2 , $[x_0] + [y_0] + p[x_1] + p[y_1] \equiv [s_0] + p[s_1] \mod p^2$.

Hence $p[s_1] \equiv ([x_0] + [y_0] - [s_0]) + p[x_1] + p[y_1] \mod p^2$.

So we need to compute $[x_0] + [y_0] - [s_0] \mod p^2$. Note that $[x_0^{1/p}] + [y_0^{1/p}] \equiv [s_0^{1/p}] \mod p$. By lemma 5.4:

$$[s_0] \equiv ([x_0^{1/p}] + [y_0^{1/p}])^p \mod p^2$$

$$\equiv [x_0] + [y_0] + \sum_{d=1}^{p-1} {p \choose d} [x_0^{d/p}] [y_0^{(p-d)/p}] \mod p^2$$

Hence s_1 is determined by x_0 , y_0 , x_1 , y_1 . This can be continued in a similar pattern for s_2 , s_3 , Witt noticed the general pattern:

Definition 8.5. The n^{th} **Witt polynomial** w_n *is defined by:*

$$w_n(x_0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n p^i x^{p^{n-i}} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

Define $S_n \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, y_0, \dots, x_n, y_n]$ inductively by

$$w_n(S_0, \ldots, S_n) = w_n(x_0, \ldots, x_n) + w_n(y_0, \ldots, y_n)$$

Witt showed that $S_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, y_0, \dots, x_n, y_n]$. E.g.

- $S_0 = x_0 + y_0$
- $S_1 = x_1 + y_1 + \sum_{d=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} {p \choose d} x_0^d y_0^{p-d}$

Theorem 8.6. Suppose that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [x_i] p^i + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [y_i] p^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [s_i] p^i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

Then we have $s_n = S_n(x_0^{1/p^n}, y_0^{1/p^n}, x_1^{1/p^{n-1}}, y_1^{1/p^{n-1}}, \dots, x_n, y_n).$

Proof. Example sheet 2. Hint: Use lemma 5.4.

Similarly, define $Z_n \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, y_0, \dots, x_n, y_n]$ by

$$w_n(Z_0,...,Z_n) = w_n(x_0,...,x_n)w_n(y_0,...,y_n)$$

Then again Witt showed $Z_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$, and that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [x_i] p^i \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [y_i] p^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [z_i] p^i$$

where $z_n = Z_n(x_0^{1/p^n}, y_0^{1/p^n}, \dots, x_n, y_n)$.

Conclusion: the ring structure on \mathbb{Z}_p can be reconstructed from the arithmetic of \mathbb{F}_p .

Definition 8.7. A ring A is a strict p-ring if it is p-adically complete, p is not a zero divisor in A, and A/pA is a perfect ring of characteristic p.

Theorem 8.8 (Existence of Witt vectors). *Let R be a perfect ring of characteristic p.*

- 1. There exists a strict p-ring W(R) called the **Witt vectors** of R such that $W(R)/pW(R) \cong R$, which is unique up to isomorphism.
- 2. If R' is another perfect ring and $f: R \to R'$ is a ring homomorphism, then there is a unique homomorphism $F: W(R) \to W(R')$ such that following diagram commutes

$$W(R) \xrightarrow{F} W(R')$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$R \xrightarrow{f} R'$$

W(R) is sort of a mixed-characteristic analogue of R[[t]], where p plays the role of t. (E.g. note that $R[[t]]/(t) \cong R$.)

Sketch proof. For a detailed proof, see Rabinoff: The Theory of Witt Vectors.

1. Define $W(R) = \{(a_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} : a_i \in R\}$, and define addition and multiplication by:

$$(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} + (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} = (s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$$
$$(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} = (z_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

where $s_n = S_n(a_0, \dots, b_n)$, $z_n = Z_n(a_0, \dots, b_n)$ are as above.

Check that this defines a ring structure. For $a = (a_0, a_1, ...) \in W(R)$, then $pa = (0, a_0^p, a_1^p, ...)$, and so p is not a zero divisor.

Moreover, $W(R)/p^iW(R) = \{(a_n)_{n=0}^i : a_n \in R\}$, the sequences of length i. We then compute explicitly $W(R) \cong \varprojlim_i W(R)/p^iW(R)$.

2. For $f: R \to R'$, define $F: W(R) \to W(R')$ by $F[a_0, a_1, \ldots] = (f(a_0), f(a_1), \ldots) \in W(R')$, and check this works.

If $R = \mathbb{F}_p$, then $W(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, and the isomorphism is given by

$$(a_0, a_1, \ldots) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[a_i^{1/p^i} \right] p^i$$

Proposition 8.9. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field such that $p \in O_K$ is a uniformizer and $k := O_K/m$ is perfect. Then $O_K \cong W(k)$.

Proof. By uniqueness of W(k), it suffices to prove that O_K is a strict p-ring. This is clear from properties of O_K .

If k is a perfect field, $K = \operatorname{Frac}(W(k))$, then K is a complete discretely valued field with $O_K \cong W(K)$ and $p \in O_K$ is a uniformizer, so in fact the converse of the above proposition holds.

Proposition 8.10. *Let* $(K, |\cdot|)$ *be a complete discretely valued field with* $k := O_K/m$ *perfect, then* O_K *is finite over* W(k).

Proof. Consider the subset $R \subseteq O_K$ defined by $R = \{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [a_i] p^i : a_i \in k\}$. Calculating as in the example of \mathbb{Z}_p shows that $R \cong W(k)$. Let π be a uniformizer in O_K and let $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $ev(\pi) = v(p)$.

Let $M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \pi^i R \subseteq O_K$, an R-submodule.

Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [x_n] \pi^n \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{e-1} [x_n] \pi^n \mod pO_K$, and so M generates O_K modulo pO_K as an R-module

Hence $O_K = M + pO_K$.

Iterating, $O_K = M + pM + p^2M + ... + p^mO_K = M + p^mO_K$, and so $M \to O_K/p^mO_K$ is surjective for all m.

Using the fact that $M \cong \lim_{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{n}} M/p^n M$, we can show that $M \to O_K$ is surjective, and so $M = O_K$. \square

Theorem 8.11. *Let* K *be a non-archimedean local field of mixed characteristic. Then* K *is a finite extension of* \mathbb{Q}_p .

Proof. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ for some primes p. Then by **8.10**, K is a finite extension of $\operatorname{Frac}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}))$. It suffices to show that $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ is finite over \mathbb{Z}_p .

Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ be a basis of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} as an \mathbb{F}_p vector space, and we write

$$M \coloneqq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n W(\mathbb{F}_p)[e_i] \subseteq W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$$

which is a $W(\mathbb{F}_n)$ submodule.

For $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [x_i] p^i \in W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$, let $x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i e_i$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

Then $x - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\lambda_i][e_i] \in pW(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$, and so $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = M + pW(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$.

Arguing as in the previous proposition shows that $M = W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$.

End of non-examinable content.

9 Archimedean Local Fields

Lemma 9.1. An absolute value $|\cdot|$ on a field is non-archimedean if and only if |n| is bounded for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. For the forwards direction, since |-1| = 1, |-n| = |n|, it suffices to show that |n| is bounded for $n \ge 1$. By the ultrametric inequality, $|n| = |1 + 1 + \ldots + 1| \le 1$.

For the other direction, suppose $|n| \le B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The let $x, y \in K$ with $|x| \le |y|$. Then $|x + y|^m = |\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} x^i y^{m-i}| \le \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} x^i y^{m-i}| \le B(m+1)|y|^m$.

Taking
$$m^{\text{th}}$$
 roots, $|x + y| \le |y|[B(m+1)]^{1/m} \to |y| = \max(|x|, |y|)$ as $m \to \infty$.

Corollary 9.2. *If* $(K, |\cdot|)$ *is a valued field of positive characteristic, then K is non-archimedean.*

Proof. Given the homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \to K$; $1 \mapsto 1$, we have $\phi(\operatorname{char} K) = 0$, and hence $\{\phi(n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is finite, so |n| bounded for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then apply **9.1**.

Theorem 9.3 (Ostrowski's Theorem). *Any non-trivial absolute value on* \mathbb{Q} *is equivalent to either* $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ *or* $|\cdot|_p$ *for some prime p.*

Proof. We split the proof into the archimedean and non-archimedean cases.

• Archimedean

We fix b > 1 an integer such that |b| > 1, which exists by **9.1**. Let a > 1 be an integer and write b^n in base a:

$$b^n = c_m a^m + c_{m-1} a^{m-1} + \ldots + c_0$$

where $0 \le c_i < a$. Now let $B = \max_{0 \le c < a}(|c|)$, then we have

$$|b^{n}| \le (m+1)B \max(|a|^{m}, 1)$$

$$|b| \le [(n(\log_{a} b) + 1)B]^{1/n} \max(|a|^{\log_{a} b}, 1)$$

$$|b| \le \max(|a|^{\log_{a} b}, 1)$$

Then |a| > 1, and $|b| \le |a|^{\log_a b}$. Switching the roles of a and b, we get $|a| \le |b|^{\log_b a}$.

Hence $\frac{\log|a|}{a} = \frac{\log|b|}{b} = \lambda > 0$ say, and $|a| = a^{\lambda}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. But then $|x| = |x|_{\infty}^{\lambda}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, and so $|\cdot|$ is equivalent to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$.

• Non-archimedean

As in 9.3, we have $|n| \le 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $|\cdot|$ is non-trivial, there is some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ such that |n| < 1.

Write $n = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$ as a decomposition into prime factors. Then |p| < 1 for some $p \in \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$.

Suppose that |q| < 1 for some prime $q \neq p$.

Then q = rp + sq for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $1 = |1| = |rp + sq| \le \max |rp|, |sq| < 1$. So p is the only prime with absolute value less than 1, and has absolute value $\alpha < 1$. But then using multiplicativity and unique prime factorisation, $|\cdot|$ is equivalent to $|\cdot|_p$.

Theorem 9.4. Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be an archimedean local field. Then $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and $|\cdot|$ is equivalent to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$.

Proof. If char K > 0, then K is non-archimedean by **9.2**, so we only need to deal with characteristic 0. So $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$.

Since $|\cdot|$ is archimedean, the restriction of $|\cdot|$ to \mathbb{Q} must be equivalent to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ by Ostrowski. Since K is complete, $\mathbb{R} \subseteq K$.

We first consider the case when $\mathbb{C} \subseteq K$. By uniqueness of extensions of absolute values, $|\cdot|$ when restricted to \mathbb{C} is equivalent to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$.

Suppose that $\alpha \in K \setminus \mathbb{C}$. Then $f(x) = |x - \alpha|$ is a continuous function on \mathbb{C} and hence attains a lower bound at $b \in \mathbb{C}$.

Set $\beta = \alpha - b \neq 0$, and we let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |c| < |\beta|$.

Then $|\beta - a| \ge |\beta|$ for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\frac{|\beta - c|}{|\beta|} \le \frac{|\beta - c|}{|\beta|} \prod_{\substack{\zeta^n = 1 \\ \zeta \ne 1}} \frac{|\beta - \zeta c|}{|\beta|}$$

$$= \frac{|\beta^n - c^n|}{|\beta|^n}$$

$$= |1 - \left(\frac{c}{\beta}\right)^n|$$

$$\to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

So $|\beta - c| \le |\beta|$, and hence $|\beta - c| = |\beta|$.

Replace β by $\beta - c$ and iterating, we obtain $|\beta - mc| = |\beta|$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

But then $|m||c| = |mc| \le |\beta - mc| + |beta| = 2|\beta|$, and so $|\cdot|$ must be non-archimedean by 9.1 $\frac{1}{4}$, and hence $K = \mathbb{C}$.

Now suppose that $\mathbb{C} \nsubseteq K$. Define L = K(i) where $i^2 = -1$. We can extend $|\cdot|$ to an absolute value $|\cdot|_L$ on L given by $|a + ib|_L = \sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$ for $a, b \in K$.

Applying the above argument gives $K(i) = L = \mathbb{C}$, and hence $K = \mathbb{R}$.

We are now ready to finish the classification of local fields.

Proof of Theorem 8.2.

If $|\cdot|$ is archimedean, use **9.4**.

If $|\cdot|$ is non-archimedean with characteristic 0, use **8.11**.

If $|\cdot|$ is non-archimedean with positive characteristic, use 8.4.

10 Global Fields

Definition 10.1. A **global field** *is a field which is either:*

- An algebraic number field a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} .
- A global function field the rational function field of an algebraic curve over a finite field. Equivalently, they are finite extensions of $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$.

In this course, we will mainly focus on the number field case.

We will show that local fields are completions of global fields.

Lemma 10.2. *Let* $(K, |\cdot|)$ *be a complete discretely valued field,* L/K *a Galois extension, and* $|\cdot|_L$ *the unique extension of* $|\cdot|$ *to* L.

Then for $x \in L$, $\sigma \in Gal(L/K)$, we have $|\sigma(x)|_L = |x|_L$.

Proof. Since $x \mapsto |\sigma(x)|_L$ is another absolute value on L extending $|\cdot|$ on K. Hence by uniqueness, $|x|_L = |\sigma(x)|_L$.

Lemma 10.3 (Krasner's Lemma). Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a separable irreducible polynomial with roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ in \bar{K} , a separable algebraic closure of K.

Suppose $\beta \in \bar{K}$ with

$$|\beta - \alpha_1| < |\beta - \alpha_i|$$
 for $i = 2, ..., n$

Then $\alpha_1 \in K(\beta)$.

Proof. Let $L = K(\beta)$, $L' = L(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$. Since L' is the splitting field of a separable polynomial, L'/L is Galois. Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L'/L)$. Then, since $\sigma(\beta) = \beta$, $|\beta - \sigma(\alpha_1)| = |\sigma(\beta - \alpha_1)| = |\beta - \alpha_1|$.

So
$$\sigma(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1$$
 for all $\sigma \in Gal(L'/L)$. But then $\alpha \in L = K(\beta)$.

Proposition 10.4 (Nearby polynomials define the same extension). Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field, and $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i \in O_K[x]$ be a separable irreducible monic polynomial.

Let $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ be a root of f. Then there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for any $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i x^i \in O_K[x]$ monic, with $|a_i - b_i| < \varepsilon$, there exists a root β of g(x) such that $K(\alpha) = K(\beta)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \overline{K}$ be the roots of f which are necessarily distinct. Then $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Choose ε sufficiently small so that

$$|g(\alpha_1)| < |f'(\alpha_1)|^2$$

$$|f'(\alpha_1) - g'(\alpha_1)| < |f'(\alpha_1)|$$

Then we have $|g(\alpha_1)| < |f'(\alpha_1)|^2 = |g'(\alpha_1)|^2$.

By Hensel's lemma applied to the field $K(\alpha_1)$, there is some $\beta \in K(\alpha_1)$ with $g(\beta) = 0$ and $|\beta - \alpha_1| < |g'(\alpha)|$.

Since $|g'(\alpha)| = |f'(\alpha)| = \prod_{i=2}^{n} |\alpha_1 - \alpha_i| \le |\alpha_1 - \alpha_i|$ for i = 2, ..., n, since $|\alpha_1 - \alpha_i| \le 1$, as all roots lie in $O_{\bar{K}}$.

Since $|\beta - \alpha_1| < |g'(\alpha_1)| = |f'(\alpha_1)| \le |\alpha_1 - \alpha_i| = |\beta - \alpha_i|$, and by Krasner's lemma we have $K(\alpha) = K(\beta)$.

Theorem 10.5. Let K be a local field. Then K is the completion of a global field.

Proof. We split into cases:

1. | is archimedean

Then \mathbb{R} is the completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$, and \mathbb{C} is the completion of $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ with respect to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$.

2. *K* non-archimedean and has equal characteristic

Then $K \cong \mathbb{F}_q((t))$, and K is the completion of $F_q(t)$ with respect to the t-adic absolute value.

3. K non-archimedean and has mixed characteristic

Then $K \cong \mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$ for α a root of monic irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Z}_p .

Since \mathbb{Z} is dense in \mathbb{Z}_p , we can choose $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ as in **10.4**. Then $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\beta)$ where β is a root of g. Since $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have $\mathbb{Q}(\beta) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(\beta) = K$. So K is the completion of $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$.

10.1 Dedekind Domains

The global analogue of a discrete valuation ring is a Dedekind domain.

Definition 10.6. A **Dedekind domain** *is a ring R such that:*

- 1. R is a Noetherian integral domain.
- 2. R is integrally closed in Frac(R).
- 3. Every non-zero prime ideal is maximal.

Examples:

- The ring of integers in a number field is a Dedekind domain.
- Any PID (hence DVR) is a Dedekind domain.

Theorem 10.7. A ring R is a DVR if and only if R is a Dedekind domain with exactly one non-zero prime ideal.

Lemma 10.8. Let R be a Noetherian ring and $I \subseteq R$ a non-zero ideal. Then there exists non-zero prime ideals $p_1, \ldots, p_r \subseteq R$ such that $p_1 p_2 \ldots p_r \subseteq I$.

Proof. Suppose not. Since R is Noetherian, we can choose I maximal with this property. Then I is not prime, so there exists $x, y \in R \setminus I$ such that $xy \in I$.

Let $I_1 = I + (x)$, $I_2 = I + (y)$. Then by maximality of I, there are $p_1, \ldots, p_r, q_1, \ldots, q_s$ prime ideals such that $p_1 \ldots p_r \subseteq I_1; q_1 \ldots q_s \subseteq I_2$.

But then
$$p_1 \dots p_r q_1 \dots q_s \subseteq I_1 I_2 = I_{\frac{r}{2}}$$
.

Lemma 10.9. Let R be an integral domain which is integrally closed in $K = \operatorname{Frac}(R)$. Let $I \subseteq R$ be a non-zero finitely generated ideal and $x \in K$. Then if $xI \subseteq I$, we have $x \in R$.

Proof. Let $I = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$. We write $xc_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}c_i$ for some $a_{ij} \in R$. Let A be the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$, and $B = x \operatorname{Id}_n - A \in M_{n \times n}(K)$.

Let Adj(B) be the adjugate matrix for B.

Then
$$B\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
 in K^n . Multiplying both sides by $Adj(B)$, we get:

$$(\det B)\operatorname{Id}_n\begin{pmatrix}c_1\\\vdots\\c_n\end{pmatrix}=0$$

and so det B = 0. But det B is a monic polynomial in x with coefficients in B. So x is integral over B, and hence $x \in B$.

Proof of **10.7**. The forwards direction is immediate.

For the reverse direction, we need to show that R is a PID. The assumption implies that R is a local ring with unique maximal ideal m.

Step 1: *m* is principal.

Let $0 \neq x \in m$. By **10.7**, $(x) \supseteq m^n$ for some $n \ge 1$. Let n be minimal such, then we may choose $y \in m^{n-1} \setminus (x)$.

Set $\pi := \frac{x}{y}$. Then $ym \subseteq m^n \subseteq (x)$, and so $\pi^{-1}m \subseteq R$.

If $\pi^{-1}m \subseteq m$, then $\pi^{-1} \in R$ by **10.8**, and so $y \in (x) \notin L$

Hence $\pi^{-1}m = R$, since m is the unique maximal ideal and so any elements not in m are units.

So $m = \pi R$ is principal.

Step 2: *R* is a PID.

Let $I \subseteq R$ be a non-zero ideal. Consider the sequence of ideals

$$I \subseteq \pi^{-1}I \subseteq \pi^{-2}I \subseteq \dots$$
 in K

Then $\pi^{-k}I \neq \pi^{-(k+1)}I$ for all k, by **10.9**, and so the inclusions are strict. Since R is Noetherian, we may choose a maximal n such that $\pi^{-n}I \subseteq R$.

If $\pi^{-n}I \subseteq m = (\pi)$, then $\pi^{-(n+1)}I \subseteq R$.

Thus $\pi^{-n}I = R$, and hence $I = (\pi^n)$.