

Proximal Policy Optimization

II

Z.Gan

Huazhong University of Science and Technology

School of Artificial Intelligence and Automation

Email: `lebmont@hust.edu.cn`

Spring 2022

Outline

Section I

Preliminaries

Section II

Monotonic Improvement Guarantee

Section III

Trust Region Policy Optimization

Section IV

Proximal Policy Optimization

Problems with Policy Gradient

1. Poor sample efficiency as PG is on-policy learning
2. Large policy update or improper step size destroying the training
 - i. This is different from supervised learning where the learning and data are independent
 - ii. Step too far \rightarrow bad policy \rightarrow bad data collection
 - iii. May not be able to recover from a bad policy, which collapses the overall performance

Standard Definitions

Value function:

$$V_{\pi}(s_t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k}\right]$$

Action-value function:

$$Q_{\pi}(s_t, a_t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k}\right]$$

Advantage function:

$$A_{\pi}(s, a) = Q_{\pi}(s, a) - V_{\pi}(s)$$

Expected discounted reward:

$$\eta(\pi) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_k\right]$$

Outline

Section I

Preliminaries

Section II

Monotonic Improvement Guarantee

Section III

Trust Region Policy Optimization

Section IV

Proximal Policy Optimization

Rewriting and Local Approximation

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\tilde{\pi}) - \eta(\pi) &= \eta(\tilde{\pi}) - \mathbb{E}[V^\pi(s_0)] \\&= \eta(\tilde{\pi}) + \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t V^\pi(s_t) - \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t V^\pi(s_t)\right] \\&= \eta(\tilde{\pi}) + \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t (\gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1}) - V^\pi(s_t))\right] \\&= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t (r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1}) - V^\pi(s_t))\right] \\&= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t A^\pi(s_t, a_t)\right] \\&= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_s P(s_t = s | \tilde{\pi}) \sum_a \tilde{\pi}(a|s) \gamma^t A^\pi(s_t, a_t) \\&= \sum_s \rho_{\tilde{\pi}}(s) \sum_a \tilde{\pi}(a|s) A^\pi(s_t, a_t) \approx \sum_s \rho_\pi(s) \sum_s \tilde{\pi}(a|s) A_\pi(s, a)\end{aligned}$$

Minorization-Maximization Algorithm

$$L_{\pi}(\tilde{\pi}) = \eta(\tilde{\pi}) \approx \eta(\pi) + \sum_a \rho_{\pi}(s) \sum_s \tilde{\pi}(a|s) A_{\pi}(s, a)$$

Definition

Total variation divergence: $D_{TV}(p, q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |p_i - q_i|$

KL divergence: $D_{KL}(p, q) = \sum_i q_i \log(\frac{p_i}{q_i})$

A lower bound(surrogate function): $\eta(\tilde{\pi}) \geq L_{\pi}(\tilde{\pi}) - \frac{4\gamma\epsilon}{(1-\gamma)^2} \alpha^2$

where $\alpha = \max_s D_{TV}(\pi, \tilde{\pi})$, $\epsilon = \max_s |\mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[A_{\pi}(s, a)]|$ and $\pi' = \operatorname{argmax}_{\pi'} L_{\pi}(\pi')$

$$\eta(\tilde{\pi}) \geq L_{\pi}(\tilde{\pi}) - C \max_s D_{KL}(\pi, \tilde{\pi})$$

$$\text{where } C = \frac{4\gamma\epsilon}{(1-\gamma)^2} \text{ and } D_{TV}(p, q)^2 \leq D_{KL}(p, q)$$

Outline

Section I

Preliminaries

Section II

Monotonic Improvement Guarantee

Section III

Trust Region Policy Optimization

Section IV

Proximal Policy Optimization

Parameterization and Trust Region Constraint

Consider parameterized policies π_θ

$$\text{maximize}_\theta [L_{\tilde{\theta}}(\theta) - C \max_s D_{KL}(\theta, \tilde{\theta})]$$

To take larger steps

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximize}_{\tilde{\theta}} L_\theta(\tilde{\theta}) \\ &\text{subject to } \max_s D_{KL}(\theta, \tilde{\theta}) \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

Consider the average KL divergence

$$\overline{D}_{KL}^\rho(\theta, \tilde{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \rho} [D_{KL}(\pi_\theta(\cdot|s), \pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(\cdot|s))]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximize}_{\tilde{\theta}} L_\theta(\tilde{\theta}) \\ &\text{subject to } \overline{D}_{KL}^\rho(\theta, \tilde{\theta}) \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

Importance Sampling

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize}_{\tilde{\theta}} \sum_s \rho_{\theta}(s) \sum_a \pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(a|s) A_{\theta}(s, a) \\ & \text{subject to } \overline{D}_{KL}^{\rho}(\theta, \tilde{\theta}) \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

Use $q = \pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(a|s)$ to denote the sampling distribution

$$\sum_a \pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(a|s_n) A_{\theta}(s, a_n) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim q} \left[\frac{\pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(a|s_n)}{q(a|s_n)} A_{\theta}(s_n, a) \right]$$

Replace advantage value by state-action value and using expectations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize}_{\tilde{\theta}} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim p_{\theta}, a \sim q} \left[\frac{\pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(a|s)}{q(a|s)} Q_{\theta}(s, a) \right] \\ & \text{subject to } \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \rho} [D_{KL}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s), \pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(\cdot|s))] \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

Linear and Quadratic Approximation

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize}_{\tilde{\theta}} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim p_{\theta}, a \sim q} \left[\frac{\pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(a|s)}{q(a|s)} Q_{\theta}(s, a) \right] \\ & \text{subject to } \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \rho} [D_{KL}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s), \pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(\cdot|s))] \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

An analytic estimator has computational benefits in the large-scale setting to approximately solve this constrained optimization problem

$$J_{\theta_t}(\theta) \approx \nabla_{\theta} J_{\theta_t}(\theta)|_{\theta_k} (\theta - \theta_t) = g^T (\theta - \theta_k)$$

$$\overline{D}_{KL}(\theta, \theta_k) \approx \frac{1}{2} (\theta - \theta_k)^T \nabla_{\theta}^2 \overline{D}_{KL}(\theta, \theta_k)|_{\theta_k} (\theta - \theta_k) = \frac{1}{2} (\theta - \theta_k)^T H (\theta - \theta_k)$$

$$\theta_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} g^T (\theta - \theta_t) \text{ s.t. } \frac{1}{2} (\theta - \theta_t)^T H (\theta - \theta_t) \leq \delta$$

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \sqrt{\frac{2\delta}{g^T H^{-1} g}} H^{-1} g$$

Algorithm 1 Trust Region Policy Optimization Algorithm

- 1: Initialize policy parameters θ_0 randomly
 - 2: **for** $k=0,1,2,\dots$ **do**
 - 3: Collect set of trajectories on policy π_k
 - 4: Estimate advantages A_t
 - 5: Compute PG g_k and KL-divergence Hessian vector product H_k
 - 6: Use Conjugate Gradient Algorithm to obtain $x_k \approx H_k^{-1} g_k$
 - 7: $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha \sqrt{\frac{2\delta}{x_k^T H_k x_k}} x_k$
 - 8: **end for**
 - 9: **return**
-

Outline

Section I

Preliminaries

Section II

Monotonic Improvement Guarantee

Section III

Trust Region Policy Optimization

Section IV

Proximal Policy Optimization

Clipped Surrogate Objective

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize}_{\tilde{\theta}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(a_t | s_t)}{\pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t)} A_t \right] \\ & \text{subject to } \mathbb{E}_t [D_{KL}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot | s_t), \pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(\cdot | s_t))] \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

Definition

$$L^{CPI}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(a_t | s_t)}{\pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t)} A_t \right] = \mathbb{E}_t [r_t(\theta) A_t]$$

Consider how to modify the objective to penalize changes to the policy

$$L^{CLIP}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_t [\min(r_t(\theta) A_t, \text{clip}(r_t(\theta), 1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon) A_t)]$$

Adaptive KL Penalty Coefficient

$$L^{CLIP}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_t[\min(r_t(\theta)A_t, \text{clip}(r_t(\theta), 1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)A_t)]$$

Use a penalty on KL divergence to adapt the penalty coefficient(as an alternative to the clipped surrogate objective)

$$L^{KL PEN} = \mathbb{E}_t\left[\frac{\pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(a_t|s_t)}{\pi_{\theta}(a_t|s_t)}A_t - \beta D_{KL}[\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s_t), \pi_{\tilde{\theta}}(\cdot|s_t)]\right]$$

Algorithm 2 Proximal Policy Optimization(Actor-Critic Style)

```
1: for i=1,2,... do
2:   for actor=0,1,2,... do
3:     Run policy  $\pi_\theta$  for T timesteps
4:     Compute advantage estimates  $A_t$ 
5:   end for
6:   Optimize surrogate  $L(\theta)$  with K epochs and minibatch size  $M \leq NT$ 
7:   Update  $\theta$ 
8: end for
9: return
```

Reference

- [1] Schulman, John , et al. "Trust Region Policy Optimization." ICML 2015.
- [2] Schulman, J. , et al. "Proximal Policy Optimization Algorithms." (2017).

Thank you!