The answers to this survey should be only based on the linear regression aspects of the papers. For the purpose of this study, please also exclude analyses which are identified as ANOVA, have accounted for clustering or random effects, non-parametric linear regression models, Bayesian or other alternative methods to linear regression Note: general linear models, ANCOVA and generalised linear models where they refer to linear regression should be included. (Q1) Please enter the full name of the first author as it appears on the paper, including first name, surname (Q2) Do you have a conflict of interest in reviewing this paper? No (go to next question) Yes (if yes, do not continue) How many linear regressions can you identify in this paper? Include as a separate regression those using a different dependent variable, different independent variable(s) or different data. For example, a paper with five univariate results plus one multivariable model should be counted as six regressions. Analyses should be counted if any result considered to be a linear regression is presented, eg., R 2, pvalué, etc. (Q3) Number of linear regressions (if the answer is 0 linear regressions do not continue with question) (3a) Any comments (Q4) Does the paper mention a strategy for assessing linear regression assumptions? O No Yes The assumptions below should only be answered considering the linear regression models in the paper. (Q5) Did the authors check the normality assumption? No (go to Q8) Yes (answer questions Q6 and Q7) (Q6) What was checked with regards to normality? (tick as many that apply) Unclear Y variable X variable Sub groups of Y Residuals Other (please specify)

(Q7)	How was normality assessed? (tick as many that apply)				
	Unclear				
	Not described				
	Descriptive statistics (skewness, kurtosis etc.)				
	Plots (including residual plots)				
	Statistical test				
Other	(please specify)				
(Q8)	Did the authors check the linearity assumption?				
0	No (go to Q10)				
0	Yes (answer Q9)				
(Q9)	How was linearity assessed? (tick as many that apply)				
	Unclear				
	Not described				
	Raw data				
	Plots (including residual plots)				
	Residuals				
	Statistical test				
Other	Other (please specify)				
(Q10	) Did the authors check the homoscedasticity?				
0	No (go to Q12)				
0	Yes (answer Q11)				

(Q11	1) How was homoscedasticity assessed? (tick as many that apply)					
	Unclear					
	Not described					
	Raw data					
П	Plots (including residual plots)					
	Residuals					
	Statistical test					
Other	Other (please specify)					
(Q12	2) Did the authors check the independence of observations?					
0	No (go to Q14)					
0	Yes (answer Q13)					
(Q13	B) How was independence of observations assessed? (tick as many that apply)					
	Unclear					
	Not described					
	Authors stated independent design					
	Raw data					
	Plots (including residual plots)					
	Residuals					
П	Statistical test					
Othor						
Other	(please specify)					
(Q14	I) Was collinearity of X variables in models evaluated?					
0	Not required					
0	No					
0	Yes					
(Q15) Have authors checked for outliers in their data?						
0	No (go to Q17)					
0	Yes (answer Q16)					

(Q1	(Q16) What did they do with respect to outliers?				
0	Unclear				
0	No action taken				
0	Outliers removed from all analyses				
0	Sensitivity analysis				
0	Data transformation				
0	Bootstrapped				
Othe	er (please specify)				
(Q1	7) Were any continuous variables transformed, not including categorisation?				
0	No				
0	Yes, but did not describe reasoning for transformation				
0	Yes, described reasoning for transformation				
	·				
(Q1	8) Were continuous variables on a very large/small scales in the model scaled appropriately?				
(Q1 O					
(Q1 O	8) Were continuous variables on a very large/small scales in the model scaled appropriately?				
(Q1 O O	8) Were continuous variables on a very large/small scales in the model scaled appropriately?  Not required				
(Q1 O O O	8) Were continuous variables on a very large/small scales in the model scaled appropriately?  Not required  Unclear				
0 0 0	8) Were continuous variables on a very large/small scales in the model scaled appropriately?  Not required  Unclear  No				
O O O Q19)	8) Were continuous variables on a very large/small scales in the model scaled appropriately?  Not required  Unclear  No  Yes				
0 0 0 0 219)	8) Were continuous variables on a very large/small scales in the model scaled appropriately?  Not required  Unclear  No  Yes  Is there any process for selecting the variables included in the final model? (only choose one)				
Q19)	8) Were continuous variables on a very large/small scales in the model scaled appropriately?  Not required  Unclear  No  Yes  Is there any process for selecting the variables included in the final model? (only choose one)  Unclear (go onto Q22)				
Q19)	8) Were continuous variables on a very large/small scales in the model scaled appropriately?  Not required  Unclear  No  Yes  Is there any process for selecting the variables included in the final model? (only choose one)  Unclear (go onto Q22)  Univariate modeling only (one X variable) (go on to Q22)				
Q19)	8) Were continuous variables on a very large/small scales in the model scaled appropriately?  Not required  Unclear  No  Yes  Is there any process for selecting the variables included in the final model? (only choose one)  Unclear (go onto Q22)  Univariate modeling only (one X variable) (go on to Q22)  Model based on reference literature or author knowledge (go to Q22)				
O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	8) Were continuous variables on a very large/small scales in the model scaled appropriately?  Not required  Unclear  No  Yes  Is there any process for selecting the variables included in the final model? (only choose one)  Unclear (go onto Q22)  Univariate modeling only (one X variable) (go on to Q22)  Model based on reference literature or author knowledge (go to Q22)  Significant variables from univariate analysis were included in a multivariable model (go to Q22)				

(Q20	(Q20) Which variable selection strategy was used? (only choose one)									
0	Forwards									
0	Backwards									
0	Stepwise									
0	Information criterion/theory (AIC/BIC, etc.)									
0	Regularisation methods (elasticnet, ridge regression, lasso etc.)									
Othe	Other (please specify)									
(Q21	1) Does the paper mention a	any statistical significance criteria for including variables?								
Ò	No									
0	Yes									
(022	2) What has been reported?	(Tick all the apply)								
	Coefficients	If coefficients not reported skip Q23, 24,and Q25								
	Confidence intervals									
	Standard error									
	R-Squared									
	·									
	F/t statistics									
	Degrees of freedom									
	Number of observations in mod	els								
(Q23	B) Has the direction of the p	arameter estimates been interpreted? (if coefficients present)								
0	No									
0	Yes									
(Q24	4) Has the size of the param	neter estimates been interpreted? (if coefficients present)								
0	No									
0	Yes									
(Q25	(Q25) Have authors discussed the scientific importance of parameters estimates? (if coefficients present)									
0	No									
0	Yes, but only in a generic way									
0	Yes, linked size of effect back to	o outcome variable								

(Q26) Have p-values been reported?									
O No									
O Most	Mostly reported categorically eg <0.05, <0.01								
O Mos	Mostly reported continuously								
(Q27) Was linear regression the main analysis in the paper?									
O No									
O Yes	O Yes								
(Q28) Was most of the detail for the assumption checks in the supporting information (appendix)?									
O No									
O Yes									
(Q29) In terms of the linear regression analyses, rate the statistical quality of the									
		Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very good			
		0	0	0	0	0			
(Q30) Do you have any other comments you would like to provide with respect to the linear regression and their quality in the paper?									

Any more comments?