Project 4 Group 7

Machine Learning Fairness

Kechen Lu Fei Li Siyu Li

Model & Algorithms

Baseline Models: Logistic Regression (Without Constraints)

Algorithm 1: Information Theoretic Measures for Fairness-aware Feature selection

Algorithm 2: Learning Fair Representations

Baseline Model: Logistic Regression (Without Constraints)

- shows the model's accuracy and calibration for each of the data sets (training, validation, and test).
- used as a reference point for model performance without any fairness constraints applied.

Algorithm 1: Information Theoretic Measures for Fairness-aware Feature Selection(FFS)

- identify and select features that contribute to the accuracy of predictions while minimizing discriminatory impact, for example, race.
- employs Shapley value analysis from cooperative game theory to quantify the marginal impact of each feature, assessing both accuracy and discrimination contributions.
- Shapley value functions to calculate marginal accuracy and marginal discrimination

Evaluation

- Quantify the accuracy and discrimination impact of subsets of features based on information-theoretic measures.
- Calculate a fairness-utility score for each feature based on its contribution to both accurate predictions and nondiscriminatory outcomes.
- Using a hyperparameter, alpha, trades off between accuracy and discrimination
- Utilizes Shapley analysis to determine the marginal impact of each feature.

Result:

| | Feature | Accuracy | Discrimination |
|---|--------------|----------|----------------|
| 0 | Age | 0.939913 | 1.898180e+07 |
| 1 | Sex | 0.673040 | 2.797407e+06 |
| 2 | Decile Score | 0.926981 | 1.247128e+07 |
| 3 | Priors Count | 0.765247 | 1.403305e+07 |

- 'Age' and 'Decile Score' have the greatest impact on model accuracy,
- 'Age' has the strongest impact on discrimination.
- Dropping 'Age' seems to be a good choice.

Result:

| | Set | Accuracy | Calibration |
|---|------------|----------|-------------|
| 0 | Train | 0.670462 | 0.036115 |
| 1 | Validation | 0.678043 | 0.022429 |
| 2 | Test | 0.636364 | 0.069751 |

- **Feature Impact**: Features such as 'Age' were identified to have a significant impact on both accuracy and discrimination. The Shapley values indicated 'Age' contributed greatly to unfair bias.
- Model Adjustments: Based on the fairness-utility scores, 'Age' was removed from the features set to reduce bias.
- Model Performance After Adjustment: the logistic regression model showed a marginal decline in accuracy, the calibration scores improved, reflecting a reduction in bias and an increase in fairness across racial groups.

Algorithm 2: Learning Fair Representations

The LFR algorithm is designed to learn fair representations of data that are useful for making predictions while minimizing discrimination or bias related to a sensitive attribute (like race or gender). The algorithm transforms the input features into a new space that makes the sensitive attributes less predictive of the outcome, thereby aiming to ensure fairness in the predictions

Evaluation Process

- **Data Splitting**: The dataset is divided into training, validation, and test sets.
- Model Training: The LFR model is trained on the training data.
- Model Tuning: Hyperparameters are optimized to achieve the best balance between prediction accuracy and fairness.
- **Prediction and Evaluation:** The trained model is used to predict outcomes on both validation and test datasets. Metrics such as accuracy and calibration are calculated.

Result:

Validation Accuracy: 0.5518

Validation Calibration: 0.0673

Test Accuracy: 0.5170

Test Calibration: 0.1752

Accuracy: while the LFR model sacrifices some accuracy for fairness, it might still need tuning as the decrease in accuracy is notable.

Fairness: the LFR model does not show better calibration compared to the baseline

| | Set | Accuracy | Calibration |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| 0 | Train | 0.680938 | 0.012042 |
| 1 | Validation | 0.682594 | 0.005245 |
| 2 | Test | 0.650000 | 0.047773 |
| | | | |
| | Set | Accuracy | Calibration |
| 0 | Set Train | Accuracy 0.670462 | O.036115 |
| 0 | | | |

Validation Accuracy: 0.5518
Validation Calibration: 0.0673

Test Accuracy: 0.5170
Test Calibration: 0.1752

Performance Comparison:

Accuracy: The baseline model is the most accurate across all three data splits (train, validation, test), with Algorithm 1 (FFS) following closely behind. Algorithm 2 (LFR) lags in accuracy on both validation and test sets.

Calibration: The calibration values show that the baseline model is less fair compared to Algorithm 1 (FFS), and Algorithm 2 (LFR) has the highest calibration value, which might suggest it's the least fair among the three according to this specific fairness metric.

Summary

- The baseline model provides the best accuracy.
- Algorithm 1 (FFS) offers a balance between maintaining high accuracy and incorporating fairness, though with a slight decrease in both compared to the baseline.
- Algorithm 2 (LFR) shows a more significant drop in accuracy and fairness based on calibration.

Thank you!