

令和3年3月レポート

李笑然 (Xiaoran Li)

Shizuoka Institute of Science and Technology, Shizuoka
xiaoranli@daum.net

March.2021

Contents

1	Research plan	5
1.1	For NLP	5
1.1.1	Research Topic	5
2	Comparison of frequentist	6
2.1	Gaussian distribution	6
2.1.1	MLE of Gaussian Distribution	6
2.1.2	Biased estimate vs Unbiased estimate	8
2.1.3	High-dimensional	9
2.1.4	Limitations	10
2.1.5	Conditional and Marginal probability of Mixed Gaussian Distribution	10
2.1.6	Joint Probability of Mixed Gaussian Distribution	12
2.2	Exponential Distribution	14
2.2.1	Introduction	14
2.2.2	Proof of Gaussian Distribution to Exponential Distribution	15
2.2.3	Relationship between $\phi(x)$ and $A(\eta)$	15
2.2.4	MLE of Exponential Distribution	16
2.2.5	Uniform Distribution of Maximum Entropy	17
2.2.6	Maximum Entropy to Exponential Distribution	18
2.3	Linear regression	18
2.3.1	Two geometric interpretations of Linear Regression	18
2.3.2	MLE with Gaussian noise for Least Squares Method	20
2.3.3	L2 in frequency perspective	20
2.3.4	MAP For L2	21
2.4	Linear Classification	22
2.4.1	Linear regression to Linear classification	22
2.4.2	Classification Model Tree	22
2.4.3	Perceptron	22
2.4.4	Fisher Linear Discriminant Analysis	22
2.4.5	Logistic Regression	24

2.4.6	Gaussian Discriminant Analysis	24
2.4.7	SVMs	28
2.4.8	Kernel Method	29
2.4.9	Generative Model	30
2.5	Dimensionality Reduction	30
2.5.1	PCA	30
2.5.2	PCA vs SVD	31
2.5.3	P-PCA	31
2.5.4	EM For GMM	32
2.5.5	Spectral Clustering	34
3	Bayesian Inference	36
3.1	Representation	37
3.1.1	Introduction	37
3.1.2	Moral Graph	37
3.1.3	Factor Graph	38
3.2	Inference	38
3.2.1	Introduction	38
3.2.2	Variable Elimination	39
3.2.3	Belief Propagation(Sum-product)	40
3.2.4	Max-product	42
3.3	Variational Inference	42
3.3.1	VI based Mean field	42
3.3.2	SGVI (SGVB)	44
3.4	Sampling	46
3.4.1	Probability distribution sampling	46
3.4.2	Rejection sampling	46
3.4.3	Importance sampling	48
3.4.4	MCMC-MH	48
3.4.5	MCMC-Gibbs	49
3.5	Dynamic System (State Space Model)	50
3.5.1	HMM	50
3.5.2	Kalman filter	55
3.5.3	Particle filter - SIS	56
3.5.4	Particle filter - SIR	57
3.5.5	CRF	57
3.5.6	RBM	61
3.6	Gaussian Graph	64
3.6.1	Conditional independence	64
3.6.2	Gaussian Bayesian Network	65
3.6.3	Gaussian Markov Network	66

3.6.4	Bayesian Linear Regression	67
3.6.5	Gaussian Process Regression	69
3.7	Learning	69
3.7.1	Introduction	69
3.7.2	Proof of convergence of EM	70
3.7.3	ELBO+KL For EM	71
3.7.4	Jensen's inequality For EM	72

参考書

- a. PRML
- b. MLAPP(Encyclopedia)
- c. ESL
- d. 統計学習方法
- e. Ng:CS229
- f. Xuyida-github(filter)
- g. Linxuantian(SVM)
- h. 機械学習の概要-Zhangzhihua

Chapter 1

Research plan

1.1 For NLP

1.1.1 Research Topic




Figure 1.1: Research Topic

Chapter 2

Comparison of frequentist

2.1 Gaussian distribution

2.1.1 MLE of Gaussian Distribution

Definition 2.1.1. 一次元 *Gaussian distribution* : $p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2})$

θ_{MLE} :

$$\theta_{MLE} = \underset{\theta}{argmax} p(x|\theta) = \log \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i|\theta) \quad (2.1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\log \frac{1}{2\pi} + \log \frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \quad (2.2)$$

μ_{MLE} :

$$\mu_{MLE} = \underset{\mu}{argmax} \log p(x|\theta) = \underset{\mu}{argmax} \sum_{i=1}^N -\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \quad (2.3)$$

$$= \underset{\mu}{argmin} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2 \quad (2.4)$$

σ_{MLE}^2 :

$$\sigma_{MLE}^2 = \underset{\sigma}{argmax} \quad (2.5)$$

$$= \underset{\sigma}{argmax} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(-\log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x_i - \mu)^2 \right) \quad (2.6)$$

μ Extremum : Unbiased estimate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N 2 \cdot (x_i - \mu) \cdot (-1) = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \mu = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

$$\mu_{MLE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \quad (2.9)$$

σ Extremum : Biased estimate (Unbiased estimate : $\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2$)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(-\log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x_i - \mu)^2 \right) = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left[-\frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} (x_i - \mu)^2 \cdot (-2) \sigma^{-3} \right] = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left[-\frac{1}{\sigma} + (x_i - \mu)^2 \cdot \sigma^{-3} \right] = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left[-\sigma^2 + (x_i - \mu)^2 \right] = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2 \quad (2.14)$$

$$\sigma_{MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu_{MLE})^2 \quad (2.15)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i^2 - 2x_i \mu_{MLE} + \mu_{MLE}^2) \quad (2.16)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - 2\mu_{MLE}^2 + \mu_{MLE}^2 \quad (2.17)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \mu_{MLE}^2 \quad (2.18)$$

2.1.2 Biased estimate vs Unbiased estimate

Unbiased estimate :

$$E[\mu_{MLE}] = E\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i\right] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N E[x_i] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mu = \mu \quad (2.19)$$

Biased estimate:

$$E[\sigma_{MLE}^2] = E\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \mu_{MLE}^2\right] \quad (2.20)$$

$$= E\left[\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \mu^2\right) - \left(\mu_{MLE}^2 - \mu^2\right)\right] \quad (2.21)$$

$$= E\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \mu^2\right] - E\left[\mu_{MLE}^2 - \mu^2\right] \quad (2.22)$$

$$= E\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i^2 - \mu^2)\right] - E[\mu_{MLE}^2] - E[\mu^2] \quad (2.23)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N E[x_i^2 - \mu^2] - E[\mu_{MLE}^2] - \mu^2 \quad (2.24)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (E[x_i^2] - \mu^2) - E[\mu_{MLE}^2] - E^2[\mu_{MLE}] \quad (2.25)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Var[x_i] - Var[\mu_{MLE}] \quad (2.26)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma^2 - Var\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i\right] \quad (2.27)$$

$$= \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma^2 \quad (2.28)$$

$$= \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{N} \sigma^2 \quad (2.29)$$

$$= \frac{N-1}{N} \sigma^2 \quad (2.30)$$

2.1.3 High-dimensional

Definition 2.1.2.

$$\mathcal{X} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{dim}{2}} \cdot |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^t \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)\right) \quad (2.31)$$

Definition 2.1.3. *Mahalanobis Distance:*

$$(x - \mu)^t \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \quad (2.32)$$

Definition 2.1.4. *Euclidean distance:*

$$(x - \mu)^t I (x - \mu) \quad (2.33)$$

Define: Variance matrix \in Positive definite matrix: $U \Lambda U^t, U U^t = U^t U = I, \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_i)$

$$\Sigma = U \Lambda U^t \quad (2.34)$$

$$= (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{dim}) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{dim} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^t \\ \mu_2^t \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{dim}^t \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.35)$$

$$= (\mu_1 \lambda_1, \mu_2 \lambda_2, \dots, \mu_{dim} \lambda_{dim}) \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^t \\ \mu_2^t \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{dim}^t \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.36)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{dim} \mu_i \lambda_i \mu_i^t \quad (2.37)$$

$$\Sigma^{-1} = (U \Lambda U^t)^{-1} = (U^t)^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} U^{-1} = U \Lambda^{-1} U^t \quad (2.38)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{dim} \mu_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \mu_i^t \quad (2.39)$$

$$(x - \mu)^t \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) = (x - \mu)^t \sum_{i=1}^{dim} \mu_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \mu_i^t (x - \mu) \quad (2.40)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{dim} (x - \mu)^t \mu_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \mu_i^t (x - \mu) \quad (2.41)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{dim} \frac{((x - \mu)^t \mu_i)^2}{\lambda_i} \quad (2.42)$$




Figure 2.1: 2-dimensional Gaussian distribution

Reference: ¹

2.1.4 Limitations

The complexity: $\Sigma_{dim \times dim} = \frac{dim^2 - dim}{2} + dim = O(dim^2)$

Simplification: diagonal matrix(factor analysis) & isotropy(等方性,P-PCA)

Reference: ²

2.1.5 Conditional and Marginal probability of Mixed Gaussian Distribution

Knowing the joint probability distribution, find the marginal probability distribution and the conditional probability distribution.

Theorem 2.1.1. *If $\mathcal{X} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$; $Y = AX + B$ then $\mathcal{Y} \sim N(A\mu + B, A\Sigma A^t)$*

¹<https://community.rstudio.com/t/3d-surface-with-a-2d-projection-using-r/17790/2>

²<https://sites.northwestern.edu/msia/2016/12/08/k-means-shouldnt-be-our-only-choice/>




Figure 2.2: Limitations of Gaussian distribution

Proof.

$$E[Y] = E[AX + B] = AE[X] + B = A\mu + B \quad (2.43)$$

$$Var[Y] = Var[AX + B] = A \cdot Var[AX] \cdot A^t = A \cdot \Sigma \cdot A^t \quad (2.44)$$

□

Define: $\mathcal{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X_a \\ X_b \end{pmatrix}; X_a \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, X_b \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}; m + n = \mathcal{X}_{dim}; \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a \\ \mu_b \end{pmatrix}; \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{aa} & \Sigma_{ab} \\ \Sigma_{ba} & \Sigma_{bb} \end{pmatrix}$
 Solve for $P(X_a, P(X_b|X_a); P(X_b, P(X_a|X_b))$
 Constructive proof: \neq (PRML: Matching method proof)
 s.t. $X_a = (I_m, 0) \begin{pmatrix} X_a \\ X_b \end{pmatrix}$

Proof.

$$E[X_a] = (I_m, 0) \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a \\ \mu_b \end{pmatrix} = \mu_a \quad (2.45)$$

$$Var[X_a] = (I_m, 0) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{aa} & \Sigma_{ab} \\ \Sigma_{ba} & \Sigma_{bb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.46)$$

$$= (\Sigma_{aa}, \Sigma_{ab}) \begin{pmatrix} I_m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \Sigma_{aa} \quad (2.47)$$

□

Theorem 2.1.2. Schur complement(シュール補行列) of Σ_{aa} : $\Sigma_{bb} - \Sigma_{ba}\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}\Sigma_{ab}$

Define: $X_{b.a} = X_b - \Sigma_{ba}\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}X_a$

Proof.

$$X_{a \cdot b} = (-\Sigma_{ba}\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}, I) \begin{pmatrix} X_a \\ X_b \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.48)$$

$$E[X_{b \cdot a}] = (-\Sigma_{ba}\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}, I) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a \\ \mu_b \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.49)$$

$$= \mu_b - \Sigma_{ba}\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}\mu_a \quad (2.50)$$

$$Var[X_{b \cdot a}] = (-\Sigma_{ba}\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}, I) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{aa} & \Sigma_{ab} \\ \Sigma_{ba} & \Sigma_{bb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}\Sigma_{ba}^t \\ I \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.51)$$

$$= (0, \Sigma_{bb \cdot a} = \Sigma_{bb} - \Sigma_{ba}\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}\Sigma_{ab}) \begin{pmatrix} -\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}\Sigma_{ba}^t \\ I \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.52)$$

$$= \Sigma_{bb} - \Sigma_{ba}\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}\Sigma_{ab} \quad (2.53)$$

$$X_b = X_{b \cdot a} + \Sigma_{ba}\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}X_a \quad (2.54)$$

$$E[X_b|X_a] = \mu_{b \cdot a} + \Sigma_{ba}\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}X_a \quad (2.55)$$

$$Var[X_b|X_a] = Var[X_{b \cdot a}] = \Sigma_{bb} - \Sigma_{ba}\Sigma_{aa}^{-1}\Sigma_{ab} \quad (2.56)$$

□




Figure 2.3: Conditional probability Gaussian distribution Link

2.1.6 Joint Probability of Mixed Gaussian Distribution

Know the marginal probability distribution and the conditional probability distribution to find the joint probability distribution.

Define: $P(x) = N(X|\mu, \Lambda^{-1})$; $P(Y|X) = N(Y|AX+B, L^{-1})$; $\Lambda^{-1}, L^{-1} \in \text{precisionmatrix} = (\text{covariancematrix})^{-1}$; $Y = AX + B + \epsilon, \epsilon \sim N(0, L^{-1}), \epsilon \perp\!\!\!\perp X$

Solve for $P(Y); P(X|Y)$

Proof. $P(Y)$

$$E[Y] = E[AX + B + \epsilon] = E[AX + B] + E[\epsilon] = A\mu + B \quad (2.57)$$

$$Var[Y] = Var[AX + B + \epsilon] = Var[AX + B] + Var[\epsilon] = A \cdot \lambda \cdot A^t + L^{-1} \quad (2.58)$$

□

Proof. $P(X, Y)$

$$joint\ probability = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left[\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ A\mu + B \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1} & Cov(x, y) \\ Cov(x, y) & A \cdot \lambda \cdot A^t + L^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right] \quad (2.59)$$

$$(2.60)$$

□

Proof. $Cov(x, y)$

$$Cov(x, y) = E[(x - E[x]) \cdot (y - E[y])^t] \quad (2.61)$$

$$= E[(x - \mu)(y - A\mu - b)^t] \quad (2.62)$$

$$= E[(x - \mu)(Ax + b + \epsilon - A\mu - b)^t] \quad (2.63)$$

$$= E[(x - \mu)(Ax - A\mu + \epsilon)^t] \quad (2.64)$$

$$= E[(x - \mu)(Ax - A\mu)^t + (x - \mu)\epsilon] \quad (2.65)$$

$$= E[(x - \mu)(Ax - A\mu)^t] + E[(x - \mu)\epsilon] \quad (2.66)$$

$$= E[(x - \mu)(Ax - A\mu)^t] \quad (2.67)$$

$$= E[(x - \mu)(x - \mu)^t \cdot A^t] \quad (2.68)$$

$$= E[(x - \mu)(x - \mu)^t] \cdot A^t \quad (2.69)$$

$$= Var[x] \cdot A^t \quad (2.70)$$

$$= \Lambda^{-1} A^t \quad (2.71)$$

□

Knowing the joint probability distribution, find the conditional probability distribution.

$$joint\ probability = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left[\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ A\mu + B \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1} & \Lambda^{-1} A^t \\ \Lambda^{-1} A^t & A \cdot \lambda \cdot A^t + L^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right] \quad (2.72)$$

$$(2.73)$$

2.2 Exponential Distribution

2.2.1 Introduction

Sufficient statistics, Conjugation, maximum entropy, generalized linear model, probability graph model, variational inference.

Theorem 2.2.1. *Exponential distribution:*

η : Parameter vector; $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $\phi(x)$: Sufficient statistics(online learning)

$$p(x|\eta) = h(x) \exp(\eta^t \phi(x) - A(\eta)) \quad (2.74)$$

Theorem 2.2.2. *Partition function: z*

$$p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{z} \hat{p}(x|\theta) \quad (2.75)$$

$$\int p(x|\theta) dx = \int \frac{1}{z} \hat{p}(x|\theta) dx \quad (2.76)$$

$$1 = \int \frac{1}{z} \hat{p}(x|\theta) dx \quad (2.77)$$

$$z = \int \hat{p}(x|\theta) dx \quad (2.78)$$

Theorem 2.2.3. *Log partition function: $A(\eta)$*

$$p(x|\eta) = h(x) \cdot \exp(\eta^t \phi(x)) \cdot \exp(-A(\eta)) \quad (2.79)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\exp(A(\eta))} h(x) \cdot \exp(\eta^t \phi(x)) \quad (2.80)$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} \hat{p}(x|\theta) \quad (2.81)$$

2.2.2 Proof of Gaussian Distribution to Exponential Distribution

Proof.

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \quad (2.82)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x^2 - 2\mu x + \mu^2) \right] \quad (2.83)$$

$$= \exp \log(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x^2 - 2\mu x) - \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \quad (2.84)$$

$$= \exp \log(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(-2\mu, 1) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \quad (2.85)$$

$$= \exp \left[\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix} - \left(\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi\sigma^2 \right) \right] \quad (2.86)$$

$$= \exp \left[(\eta_1, \eta_2) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix} - \left(-\frac{\eta_1^2}{4\eta_2} + \frac{1}{2} \log \left(-\frac{\pi}{\eta_2} \right) \right) \right] \quad (2.87)$$

□

2.2.3 Relationship between $\phi(x)$ and $A(\eta)$

$A'(\eta) = E_{p(x|\eta)}[\eta(x)]$, $A''(\eta) = \text{Var}_{p(x|\eta)}[\eta(x)]$, $A(\eta)$ is convex function.

Proof.

$$p(x|\eta) = h(x) \cdot \exp(\eta^t \phi(x)) \cdot \exp(A(\eta)) \quad (2.88)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\exp(A(\eta))} h(x) \cdot \exp(\eta^t \phi(x)) \quad (2.89)$$

$$\exp(A(\eta)) = \int h(x) \cdot \exp(\eta^t \phi(x)) dx \quad (2.90)$$

$$\exp(A(\eta)) \dot{A}'(\eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial} \left[\int h(x) \cdot \exp(\eta^t \phi(x)) dx \right] \quad (2.91)$$

$$= \int h(x) \cdot \exp(\eta^t \phi(x)) \cdot \phi(x) dx \quad (2.92)$$

$$A'(\eta) = \frac{\int h(x) \cdot \exp(\eta^t \phi(x)) \cdot \phi(x) dx}{\exp(A(\eta))} \quad (2.93)$$

$$= \int h(x) \cdot \exp(\eta^t \phi(x) - A(\eta)) \cdot \phi(x) dx \quad (2.94)$$

$$= \int p(x|\eta) \cdot \phi(x) dx \quad (2.95)$$

$$= E_{p(x|\eta)}[\eta(x)] \quad (2.96)$$

□

2.2.4 MLE of Exponential Distribution

Proof.

$$\eta_{MLE} = \underset{\eta}{argmax} \log \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i|\eta) \quad (2.97)$$

$$= \underset{\eta}{argmax} \sum_{i=1}^N \log p(x_i|\eta) \quad (2.98)$$

$$= \underset{\eta}{argmax} \sum_{i=1}^N \log [h(x_i) \cdot \exp(\eta^t \phi(x_i) - A(\eta))] \quad (2.99)$$

$$= \underset{\eta}{argmax} \sum_{i=1}^N [\log h(x_i) \cdot \eta^t \phi(x_i) - A(\eta)] \quad (2.100)$$

$$= \underset{\eta}{argmax} \sum_{i=1}^N [\eta^t \phi(x_i) - A(\eta)] \quad (2.101)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \sum_{i=1}^N [\eta^t \phi(x_i) - A(\eta)] = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} [\eta^t \phi(x_i) - A(\eta)] \quad (2.102)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^N A'(\eta) \quad (2.103)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(x_i) - N A'(\eta) \quad (2.104)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \phi(x_i) - N A'(\eta) = 0 \quad (2.105)$$

$$A'(\eta_{MLE}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(x_i) \quad (2.106)$$

□

2.2.5 Uniform Distribution of Maximum Entropy

Theorem 2.2.4.

$$H[p] = - \sum_x p(x) \log p(x) \quad (2.107)$$

Define: $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$

Proof. Uniform distribution \iff Maximum Entropy

$$\mathcal{L}(p, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \log p_i + \lambda(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k p_i) \quad (2.108)$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{p_i} = \log p_i + p_i \frac{1}{p_i} - \lambda = 0 \quad (2.109)$$

$$\log p_i + 1 - \lambda = 0 \quad (2.110)$$

$$\exp(\lambda - 1) = \hat{p}_i \quad (2.111)$$

$$\hat{p}_i = \text{constant} \quad (2.112)$$

$$\hat{p}_i = \frac{1}{k} \quad (2.113)$$

□

2.2.6 Maximum Entropy to Exponential Distribution

Define: $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1; E_p[f(x)] = E_{\hat{p}}[f(x)] = \Delta$

Proof.

$$\mathcal{L}(p, \lambda_0, \lambda_1) = \sum_{i=1}^k p(x) \log p(x) + \lambda_0(1 - \sum_x p(x)) + \lambda^t(\Delta - E_p[f(x)]) \quad (2.114)$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{p(x)} = \sum_x \left(\log p(x) + p(x) \cdot \frac{1}{p(x)} \right) - \sum_x \lambda_0 - \sum_x \lambda^t f(x) = 0 \quad (2.115)$$

$$\sum_x \left(\log p(x) + 1 - \lambda_0 - \lambda^t f(x) \right) = 0 \quad (2.116)$$

$$\log p(x) + 1 - \lambda_0 - \lambda^t f(x) = 0 \quad (2.117)$$

$$\lambda^t f(x) + \lambda_0 - 1 = \log p(x) \quad (2.118)$$

$$\exp(\lambda^t f(x) + \lambda_0 - 1) = p(x) \quad (2.119)$$

$$\exp[\lambda^t f(x) - (\lambda_0 - 1)] = p(x) \quad (2.120)$$

□

2.3 Linear regression

2.3.1 Two geometric interpretations of Linear Regression

Theorem 2.3.1. *Least squares method*

$$L(w) = \sum_{i=1}^N \|w^t x_i - y_i\|^2 \quad (2.121)$$

$$= (W^t X^t - Y^t) \quad (2.122)$$

$$= W^t X^t X W - W^t X^t Y - Y^t X W + Y^t Y \quad (2.123)$$

$$= W^t X^t X W - 2W^t X^t Y + Y^t Y \quad (2.124)$$

Linear regression has analytical solutions:

$$\frac{\partial L(w)}{\partial w} = 2X^t X W - 2X^t Y = 0 \quad (2.125)$$

$$X^t X W = X^t Y \quad (2.126)$$

$$W = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t Y \quad (2.127)$$




Figure 2.4: geometric interpretations 1th

Proof. geometric interpretations 2nd

$$f(w) = W^t X = X^t \beta \quad (2.128)$$

$$X^t(Y - X\beta) = 0_{dim \times 1} \quad (2.129)$$

$$X^t Y = X^t X \beta \quad (2.130)$$

$$\beta = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t Y \quad (2.131)$$

□




Figure 2.5: geometric interpretations 2nd

Reference: ³

³<https://www.datasciencecentral.com/profiles/blogs/linear-regression-geometry>

2.3.2 MLE with Gaussian noise for Least Squares Method

Define: $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma)$; $y = w^t x + \epsilon$; $y|x; w \sim N(w^t x, \sigma^2)$; $p(y|x; w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y-w^t x)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$

Proof. MLE: log-likelihood

$$\log P(Y|X; w) = \log \prod_{i=1}^N P(y_i|x_i; w) \quad (2.132)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} + \log \exp\left(-\frac{(y_i - w^t x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (2.133)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} - \frac{(y_i - w^t x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \quad (2.134)$$

$$\hat{w} = \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} -\frac{(y_i - w^t x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} \quad (2.135)$$

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} (y_i - w^t x_i)^2 \quad (2.136)$$

□




Figure 2.6: MLE with Gaussian noise for least squares method

Reference: ⁴

2.3.3 L2 in frequency perspective

Turn the positive semi-definite matrix into a positive definite matrix.

$L1$: *Lasso*, $P(w) = \|w\|_1$

$L2$: *Ridge (Weight decay)*, $P(w) = \|w\|_2^2 = w^t w$

Ridge regression's analytical solutions:

⁴<https://suriyadeepan.github.io/2017-01-22-mle-linear-regression/>

Proof. L2: positive semi-definite \rightarrow positive definite matrix

$$J(w) = \sum_{i=1}^N \|w^t x_i - y_i\|^2 + \lambda w^t w \quad (2.137)$$

$$= (w^t X^t - Y^t)(Xw - Y) + \lambda w^t w \quad (2.138)$$

$$= w^t X^t Xw - w^t X^t Y - Y^t Xw + Y^t Y + \lambda w^t w \quad (2.139)$$

$$= w^t X^t Xw - 2w^t X^t Y + Y^t Y + \lambda w^t w \quad (2.140)$$

$$= w^t (X^t X + \lambda I)w - 2w^t X^t Y + Y^t Y \quad (2.141)$$

$$\frac{\partial J(w)}{\partial w} = 2(X^t X + \lambda I)w - 2X^t Y = 0 \quad (2.142)$$

$$\hat{w} = (X^t X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^t Y \quad (2.143)$$

□

2.3.4 MAP For L2

Proof. Maximum A Posteriori for ridge regression

$$\text{s.t. } y|x; w \sim N(w^t x, \lambda^2) \rightarrow p(y|x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(y-w^t x)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$w \sim N(0, \lambda_0^2) \rightarrow p(w|x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0} \exp\left(-\frac{\|w\|_2^2}{2\sigma_0^2}\right)$$

$$\hat{w}_{MAP} = \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{i=1}^N p(w|y) \quad (2.144)$$

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log \prod_{i=1}^N p(y|w) \cdot p(w) \quad (2.145)$$

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^N \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0} \right) + \log \exp\left(-\frac{(y-w^t x)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{\|w\|_2^2}{2\sigma_0^2}\right) \quad (2.146)$$

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(y-w^t x)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\|w\|_2^2}{2\sigma_0^2} \quad (2.147)$$

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^N (y-w^t x)^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2} \|w\|_2^2 \quad (2.148)$$

□

2.4 Linear Classification

2.4.1 Linear regression to Linear classification

線形分類 = 線形回帰 (Linear regression) + 活性化関数 (Activation function)

線形分類の特徴: 線形、グローバル、未処理のデータ

$$\text{線形回帰} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{非線形 (Non-linear)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{固有値変換 (多項式回帰)} \\ \text{活性化関数は非線形 (sigmoid)} \\ \text{Neural Networks, Perceptron} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{非グローバル (Non-global): Linear spline, regression Decisiontree} \\ \text{処理したデータ (Processed data): PCA, 多様体学習 (Manifold learning)} \end{array} \right.$$

2.4.2 Classification Model Tree

$$\text{線形分類} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Hard output} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Perceptron, SVM} \\ \text{Fisherlineardiscriminant} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Soft output} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Discrimination model: Logistic regression} \\ \text{Generative model} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Gaussian Discriminant Analysis} \\ \text{Naive Bayes} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

2.4.3 Perceptron

1957 から提出したの分類モデル、Deep Learning の深層 Neural Network は多層 Perceptron です、誤分類されたポイントの数を最小限に抑える (Pocket algorithm: 誤分類を許す)。Optimizer: SGD。

Theorem 2.4.1. Define: $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Misclassified sample}$

$$\text{Loss}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^N I(y_i w^T x_i < 0) \quad (2.149)$$

$$= \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{X}} -y_i w^T x_i \quad (2.150)$$

$$\nabla_w \text{Loss} = -y_i x_i \quad (2.151)$$

2.4.4 Fisher Linear Discriminant Analysis

次元削減方法 (PCA の様に) と見なすことができます。分類のために、多次元データの次元を 1 次元に減らします。




Figure 2.7: Basic Perceptron Neural Network

射影分散 (Covariance) を最大化する, クラスター内の間隔は小さく (high coupling)、クラスター間の間隔は大きくなります (Low aggregation)。

Theorem 2.4.2. Define: $x_{c1} \in (x_i|y_i = +1); x_{c2} \in (x_i|y_i = -1)$

$$|x_1| = N_1; |x_{c2}| = N_2$$

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} w^t x_i; \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} (w^t x_i - \mu_1)(w^t x_i - \mu_1)^t$$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} w^t x_i; \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} (w^t x_i - \mu_2)(w^t x_i - \mu_2)^t$$

Objective function:

$$\underset{w}{argmax} = \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \quad (2.152)$$

Proof.

$$\hat{w} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} w^t x_i - \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} w^t x_i \right)^2}{\frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left(w^t x_i - \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} w^t x_j \right) \left(w^t x_i - \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} w^t x_j \right)^t + \sigma_2} \quad (2.153)$$

$$= \frac{\left[w^t \left(\frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} x_i - \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} w^t \right) \right]^2}{\left[\frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} w^t (x_i - \bar{x}_{c1})(x_i - \bar{x}_{c1})^t w \right] + \sigma_2} \quad (2.154)$$

$$= \frac{(w^t(\bar{x}_{c1} - \bar{x}_{c2}))^2}{w^t \left[\frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} (x_i - \bar{x}_{c1})(x_i - \bar{x}_{c1})^t \right] w + \sigma_2} \quad (2.155)$$

$$= \frac{w^t(\bar{x}_{c1} - \bar{x}_{c2})(\bar{x}_{c1} - \bar{x}_{c2})^t w}{w^t \sigma_1 w + w^t \sigma_2 w} \quad (2.156)$$

$$= \frac{w^t(\bar{x}_{c1} - \bar{x}_{c2})(\bar{x}_{c1} - \bar{x}_{c2})^t w}{w^t(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)w} \quad (2.157)$$

□




Figure 2.8: High coupling and Low aggregation

2.4.5 Logistic Regression

ただ通常の線形回帰モデルプラスシグモイド (sigmoid) 活性化関数 (activation function) です

$$P(y|x) = P_1^y P_0^{1-y} \quad (2.158)$$

$$(2.159)$$

$$\begin{cases} P_1 = P(y = 1|x) = \sigma(w^t x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-w^t x}}, y = 1 \\ P_0 = P(y = 0|x) = 1 - \sigma(w^t x) = \frac{e^{-w^t x}}{1+e^{-w^t x}}, y = 0 \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.4.3. *MLE: - Cross entropy Loss*

$$\hat{w} = \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log P(y|x) \quad (2.160)$$

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log \prod_{i=1}^N P(y_i|x_i) \quad (2.161)$$

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^N \log P(y_i|x_i) \quad (2.162)$$

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(y_i \log \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-w^t x)} + (1 - y_i) \log \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-w^t x)} \right) \quad (2.163)$$

2.4.6 Gaussian Discriminant Analysis

ただ確率的生成モデル (Generative model) の条件付き確率は、ガウス分布 (μ 違う, Σ 同じ) として計算されます。




Figure 2.9: Sigmoid function

$$\hat{y} = \underset{y \in \{0,1\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(y|x) = \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(y) \cdot P(x|y) \quad (2.164)$$

Log likelihood :

$$\underset{\mu_1, \mu_2, \Sigma, \phi}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log \prod_{i=1}^N P(x_i, y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log N(\mu_1, \Sigma)^{y_i} + \log N(\mu_2, \Sigma)^{1-y_i} + \log \phi^{y_i} (1 - \phi)^{1-y_i} \quad (2.165)$$

$p(y)$ is distributed according to a Bernoulli distribution:

$$y \sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}(\phi) \iff \phi^y (1 - \phi)^{1-y} \quad (2.166)$$

$p(x|y)$ is distributed according to a multivariate normal distribution:

$$x|y=1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \Sigma) \iff p(x|y=1) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_1)^t \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu_1) \right) \quad (2.167)$$

$$x|y=0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \Sigma) \iff p(x|y=0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_2)^t \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu_2) \right) \quad (2.168)$$

Maximizing the log-likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \mu_1, \mu_2, \Sigma) = \log \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i, y_i; \phi, \mu_1, \mu_2, \Sigma) \quad (2.169)$$

$$= \log \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i|y_i; \phi, \mu_1, \mu_2, \Sigma) p(y_i; \phi) \quad (2.170)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N [\log N(\mu_1, \Sigma)^{y_i} + N(\mu_2, \Sigma)^{1-y_i} \log \phi^{y_i} (1 - \phi)^{1-y_i}] \quad (2.171)$$

Proof. ϕ

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\phi, \mu_1, \mu_2, \Sigma)}{\phi} = \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \frac{1}{\phi} + (1 - y_i) \frac{1}{1 - \phi} (-1) \quad (2.172)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \frac{1}{\phi} - (1 - y_i) \frac{1}{1 - \phi} \quad (2.173)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N y_i (1 - \phi) - (1 - y_i) \phi = 0 \quad (2.174)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N y_i - y_i \phi - \phi + y_i \phi = 0 \quad (2.175)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \phi) = 0 \quad (2.176)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N y_i - N\phi = 0 \quad (2.177)$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i = \frac{N_1}{N} = \hat{\phi} \quad (2.178)$$

□

Proof. μ_1, μ_2

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\phi, \mu_1, \mu_2, \Sigma)}{\mu_1} = \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \left(-\frac{1}{2} (x_i - \mu_i)^t \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu_i) \right) \quad (2.179)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i (x_i^t \Sigma^{-1} - \mu_i^t \Sigma^{-1}) (x_i - \mu_1) \quad (2.180)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i (x_i^t \Sigma^{-1} x_i - 2\mu_1^t \Sigma^{-1} x_i + \mu_1^t \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1) \quad (2.181)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i (-2\Sigma^{-1} x_i + 2\Sigma^{-1} \mu_1) \quad (2.182)$$

$$(2.183)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N y_i (\Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 - \Sigma^{-1} x_i) = 0 \quad (2.184)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N y_i (\mu_1 - x_i) = 0 \quad (2.185)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \mu_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N y_i x_i \quad (2.186)$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i x_i}{N_1} = \hat{\mu}_1 \quad (2.187)$$

□

Proof. Σ Define: $S = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^t$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \log \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log \frac{1}{2\pi}^{\frac{n}{2}} + \log |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2} (x_i - \mu)^t \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu) \quad (2.188)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N C - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} (x_i - \mu)^t \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu) \quad (2.189)$$

$$= C - \frac{1}{2} N \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^t \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu) \quad (2.190)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} N \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} N \cdot \text{tr}(S \cdot \Sigma^{-1}) + C \quad (2.191)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \log \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \Sigma) + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \log \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \Sigma) = -\frac{1}{2} N \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} N_1 \text{tr}(S_1 \Sigma^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2} N_2 \text{tr}(S_2 \Sigma^{-1}) + C \quad (2.192)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (N \log |\Sigma| + N_1 \text{tr}(S_1 \Sigma^{-1}) + N_2 \text{tr}(S_2 \Sigma^{-1})) + C \quad (2.193)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\phi, \mu_1, \mu_2, \Sigma)}{\partial \Sigma} = -\frac{1}{2} (N \Sigma^{-1} - N_1 S_1 \Sigma^{-2} - N_2 S_2 \Sigma^{-2}) \quad (2.194)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} (N \Sigma^{-1} - N_1 S_1 \Sigma^{-2} - N_2 S_2 \Sigma^{-2}) = 0 \quad (2.195)$$

$$N \Sigma - N_1 S_1 - N_2 S_2 = 0 \quad (2.196)$$

$$\frac{1}{N} (N_1 S_1 + N_2 S_2) = \hat{\Sigma} \quad (2.197)$$

□




Figure 2.10: Sigmoid function

Reference: ⁵

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{先験的確率 (Prior probability)} : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Two - categories : } y \sim \text{Bernoulli} \rightarrow \text{Binomial} \\ \text{Multi - category : } y \sim \text{Categorical} \rightarrow \text{Multinomial} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{尤度関数 (Likelihood function)} : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{\text{Discrete variable}} : x_i \sim \text{Categorical} \\ x_{\text{Continuous variable}} : x_i \sim \text{Gaussian} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{事後確率 (Posterior probability)} \end{array} \right.$$

2.4.7 SVMs

間隔を最大化する分類器。ジオメトリ (geometric) 意義は凸最適化問題 (Convex optimization) に変換されます。元の問題はラグランジュ乗数法 (Lagrange multiplier) によって制約のない問題に変換されます。単純なデータの場合は二次計画法 (Quadratic programming) の問題であり、複雑な問題の場合は二元性 (Duality), カーネル (Kernel) の考え方を使用します。

ハードマージンSVM(hard margin svm) :

$$s.t. \left\{ (x_i, y_i) \right\}_{i=1}^N, x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p, y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max_{w,b} \min_{x_i: x_n} \frac{1}{\|w\|} y_i (w^t x_i + b) \\ \text{Define : } y_i (w^t x_i + b) > 0, \text{ for } \forall i = 1, \dots, N \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} w^t w \\ s.t. y_i (w^t x_i + b) \geq 1, \text{ for } \forall i = 1, \dots, N \end{array} \right. \quad (2.198)$$

⁵<https://tariq-hasan.github.io/concepts/machine-learning-gaussian-discriminant-analysis/>

ソフトマージンSVM(soft margin svm) :

$$\begin{cases} \min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2}w^tw + C \sum_{i=1}^N \max\{0, 1 - y_i(w^tx_i + b)\} \\ \text{s.t. } y_i(w^tx_i + b) \geq 1 - [1 - y_i(w^tx_i + b)]; 1 - y_i(w^tx_i + b) \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.199)$$

二元性 (Duality):

弱い双対性 (Weak duality) は $\min \max \geq \max \min$ です；強い双対性 (Strong duality) は $\min \max = \max \min$ です。元の問題の目的関数は2次であり、その制約は線形であるため、強い双対性です。

$$\begin{cases} \min_{w,b} \max_{\lambda} \frac{1}{2}w^tw + \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i[1 - y_i(w^tx_i + b)] \\ \text{s.t. } \lambda_i \geq 0 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \max_{\lambda} \min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2}w^tw + \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i[1 - y_i(w^tx_i + b)] \\ \text{s.t. } \lambda_i \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.200)$$

2.4.8 Kernel Method

低次元の非線形分離可能データを高次元の線形分離可能データに変換する場合、低次元ベクトルを計算して高次元ベクトルにマッピングし、内積 (SVM) を見つけることは非常に複雑です。この点で、高次元ベクトルの内積はカーネル法によって直接取得できます。

Theorem 2.4.4. *positive definite kernel:*

$K : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R};$

$\forall x, x' \in \mathcal{X}, \exists K(x, x');$

If: $\exists \phi : \mathcal{X}, \phi \in \mathcal{H};$

Then: $\text{Kernel}(x, x') = \langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle$

Proof. $\text{Kernel}(x, x') = \langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle \iff \text{Gram matrix (Positive semi-definite matrix)}$

□

2.4.9 Generative Model

Model the sample data itself. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Generative_model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textit{Naive Bayes} \\ \textit{Mixture Model : GMM} \\ \textit{Time - series Model : HMM, Kalman Filter, Particle Fitter} \\ \textit{Non - parameter Bayesian Model : GP, DP} \\ \textit{Mixed Memership : LDA} \\ \textit{Factorial Model : FA, P - PCA, ICA} \\ \textit{Energy - based Model : Boltzmann Machine} \\ \textit{VAE} \\ \textit{GAN} \\ \textit{Autoregressive Model} \\ \textit{Flow - based Model} \end{array} \right.$$

2.5 Dimensionality Reduction

2.5.1 PCA

PCA(Principal components analysis) は元の特徴空間の再構築 (2つの線形関連変数を2つの線形独立変数に変換します)。射影分散 (Covariance) を最大化する, 再構成距離を最小化する (元のデータを再構築するためのコスト), $u_{\text{mapping vector}}$ はPCAのPC(Principal components)です。

射影分散 (Covariance) 最大化:

$$s.t. \ u_{\text{mapping vector}}^t \cdot u_{\text{mapping vector}} = 1$$

$$\operatorname{argmax} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N ((x_i - \hat{x})^t u_{\text{mapvec}})^2 = u_{\text{mapvec}}^t \cdot S \cdot u_{\text{mapvec}} \quad (2.201)$$

$$(2.202)$$

Optimizationfunction: ラグランジュ乗数 (Lagrange Multiplier)

$$\mathfrak{L}(u, \lambda) = u_{\text{mapvec}}^t \cdot S \cdot u_{\text{mapvec}} + \lambda(u_{\text{mapvec}}^t \cdot u_{\text{mapvec}} - 1) \quad (2.203)$$

再構成距離を最小化:

$$s.t. u_k^t \cdot u_k = 1$$

$$\operatorname{argmax} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \sum_{k=1}^p (x_i^t u_k) u_k - \sum_{k=1}^q (x_i^t u_k) u_k \right\| = \sum_{k=q+1}^p u_k^t \cdot S \cdot u_k \quad (2.204)$$

$$= \sum_{k=q+1}^p \lambda_i \quad (2.205)$$

参照:

$$Data : X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$$

$$Sample \text{ Mean} : \hat{x}_{p \times 1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i = \frac{1}{N} X^t I_N \quad (2.206)$$

$$Sample \text{ Covariance} : S_{p \times p} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \hat{x})(x_i - \hat{x})^t = \frac{1}{N} X^t H_{centering \text{ matrix}} X \quad (2.207)$$

2.5.2 PCA vs SVD

実はデータセンタリング (Data centralization) 後の SVD 分解と共分散行列 (Covariance matrix) で固有値分解の意味は同じです。だからデータセンタリング後の SVD 分解の方法が共分散行列 (Covariance matrix) の計算しなくでもいい、早いです。

$$S = \frac{1}{N} X^t H X = X^t H^t H X = V \Sigma U^t \cdot U \Sigma V^t = V \Sigma^2 V^t \quad (2.208)$$

2.5.3 P-PCA

P-PCA (Probabilistic PCA) は線形ガウスモデル (Linear Gaussian model) です、ターゲットを隠れ変数に変換し、最尤法 (MLE) でモデルを作成し、EM 使用して取得されます。隠れた変数は特定の分布に従います。

$$s.t. x(\text{observed data}) \in \mathbb{R}^p, z(\text{latent variable}) \in \mathbb{R}^q, q < p$$

$$\begin{cases} z \sim N(0_q, I_q) \\ x = wz + \mu + \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_p) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} Inference : P(z|x) \\ Learning(EM) : w, \mu, \sigma^2 \end{cases}$$

2.5.4 EM For GMM

EM:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \underset{\theta}{argmax} \int_z \log P(x, z|\theta) \cdot P(z|x, \theta^{(t)}) dz \quad (2.209)$$

E-step: $p(z|x, \theta^t) \rightarrow E_{z|x, \theta^t}[\log p(x, z|\theta)]$;

M-step: $\theta^{t+1} = \underset{\theta}{argmax} E_{z|x, \theta^t}[\log p(x, z|\theta)]$

EM For GMM: Define:

X: observed variable;

Z: latent variable(clusterer)

$X|Z = C_k \sim N(X|\mu_k \Sigma_k)$

$\theta = (p_1, \dots, p_k, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_k)$

$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K p_k \cdot N(X|\mu_k \Sigma_k)$

$p(x, z) = p(z) \cdot p(x|z) = p(z) \cdot N(X|\mu_k \Sigma_k)$

$p(z|x) = \frac{p(x, z)}{p(x)} = \frac{p(z) \cdot N(X|\mu_k \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K p_k \cdot N(X|\mu_k \Sigma_k)}$

Proof. E-step:

$$Q(\theta, \theta^t) = \int_z \log p(x, z|\theta) \cdot p(z|x, \theta^t) dz \quad (2.210)$$

$$= \sum_z \log \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i, z_i|\theta) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N p(z_i|x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.211)$$

$$= \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_n} \sum_{i=1}^N \log p(x_i, z_i|\theta) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N p(z_i|x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.212)$$

$$= \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_n} [\log p(x_1, z_1|\theta) + \dots + \log p(x_n, z_n|\theta)] \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N p(z_i|x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.213)$$

$$\therefore \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_n} \log p(x_1, z_1 | \theta) \prod_{i=1}^N p(z_i | x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.214)$$

$$= \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_n} \log p(x_1, z_1 | \theta) \cdot p(z_1 | x_1, \theta^t) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^N p(z_i | x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.215)$$

$$= \sum_{z_1} \log p(x_1, z_1 | \theta) \cdot p(z_1 | x_1, \theta^t) \cdot \sum_{z_2, \dots, z_n} \prod_{i=2}^N p(z_i | x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.216)$$

$$= \sum_{z_1} \log p(x_1, z_1 | \theta) \cdot p(z_1 | x_1, \theta^t) \cdot \sum_{z_2} \prod_{i=2}^N p(z_i | x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.217)$$

$$= \sum_{z_1} \log p(x_1, z_1 | \theta) \cdot p(z_1 | x_1, \theta^t) \quad (2.218)$$

$$\therefore Q(\theta, \theta^t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{z_i} \log p(x_i, z_i | \theta) \cdot p(z_i | x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.219)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{z_i} \log p_{z_i} \cdot N(x_i | \mu_{z_i}, \Sigma_{z_i}) \cdot \frac{p_{z_i} \cdot N(x_i | \mu_{z_i}^t, \Sigma_{z_i}^t)}{\sum_{k=1}^K p_k^t \cdot N(x_i | \mu_{z_i}^t, \Sigma_{z_i}^t)} \quad (2.220)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{z_i} \log [p_{z_i} \cdot N(x_i | \mu_{z_i}, \Sigma_{z_i})] \cdot p(z_i | x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.221)$$

$$= \sum_{z_i} \sum_{i=1}^N \log [p_{z_i} \cdot N(x_i | \mu_{z_i}, \Sigma_{z_i})] \cdot p(z_i | x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.222)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^N \log [p_k \cdot N(x_i | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)] \cdot p(z_i | x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.223)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^N [\log p_k + \log N(x_i | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)] \cdot p(z_i | x_i, \theta^t) \quad (2.224)$$

$$(2.225)$$

□

Proof. M-step: p^{t+1}

$$\theta^{t+1} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(\theta, \theta^t)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(p, \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^N \log p_k \cdot p(z_i = c_k | x_i, \theta^t) + \lambda \left(\sum_k p_k - 1 \right) \quad (2.226)$$

$$= \dots \quad (2.227)$$

□

2.5.5 Spectral Clustering

Compactness: K-means, GMM

Connectivity: Spectral clustering Model Introduction: Based on weighted undirected graph

Reference: ⁶




Figure 2.11: K-means vs Spectral clustering

Define: $G = \{V(\text{Vertexset}), E(\text{Edgeset})\}$;

$V = \{1, 2, \dots, N\} = \mathcal{X}$;

$W(\text{Similaritymatrix or Affinitymatrix}) = [w_{ij}], 1 \leq i, j \leq N$;

If: $w_{ij} \in E$; then: $w_{ij} = K(x_i, (x_j)) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$ else: $w_{ij} = 0$

$\text{cut}(v) = \text{cut}(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_K) = \sum_{k=1}^K w(C_k, \bar{C}_k) = \sum_{k=1}^K w(C_k, V) - w(C_k, C_k)$;

$V = \cup_{k=1}^K C_k; C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$

Degree: Normalized cut(V): $d_i = \sum_{j=1}^N w_{ij}$

Indicator vector: $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N^t)_{N \times K}$

$$Y^t Y = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \begin{pmatrix} y_1^t \\ \vdots \\ y_N^t \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^N y_i y_i^t = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & N_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i \in C_1} 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \sum_{i \in C_k} 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & d_N \end{pmatrix} = \text{diag}(w \cdot 1_N)$$

⁶<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s41109-019-0248-7?shared-article-renderer>

$$Y^t W Y = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & w_{NN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1^t \\ \vdots \\ y_N^t \end{pmatrix} = (\sum_{i=1}^N y_i w_{i1}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^N y_i w_{iN}) \begin{pmatrix} y_1^t \\ \vdots \\ y_N^t \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N y_i y_j w_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i \in C_1} \sum_{j \in C_1} w_{ij} & \dots & \sum_{i \in C_1} \sum_{j \in C_k} w_{ij} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i \in C_k} \sum_{j \in C_1} w_{ij} & \dots & \sum_{i \in C_k} \sum_{j \in C_k} w_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{Y} = \underset{Y}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{w(C_k, V) - w(C_k, C_k)}{\sum_{i \in C_k} d_i} \quad (2.228)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{w(C_k, V) - w(C_k, C_k)}{\sum_{i \in C_1} d_i} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \frac{w(C_k, V) - w(C_k, C_k)}{\sum_{i \in C_k} d_i} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i \in C_1} d_i & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \sum_{i \in C_k} d_i \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \quad (2.229)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr} \begin{pmatrix} w(C_k, V) - w(C_k, C_k) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & w(C_k, V) - w(C_k, C_k) \end{pmatrix} \cdot (Y^t D Y)^{-1} \quad (2.230)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i \in C_1} d_i & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \sum_{i \in C_k} d_i \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} w(C_1, C_1) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & w(C_k, C_k) \end{pmatrix} \right] \cdot (Y^t D Y)^{-1} \quad (2.231)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i \in C_1} d_i & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \sum_{i \in C_k} d_i \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i \in C_1} \sum_{j \in C_1} w_{ij} & \dots & \sum_{i \in C_1} \sum_{j \in C_k} w_{ij} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i \in C_k} \sum_{j \in C_1} w_{ij} & \dots & \sum_{i \in C_k} \sum_{j \in C_k} w_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \right] \cdot (Y^t D Y)^{-1} \quad (2.232)$$

$$= \underset{Y}{\operatorname{argmin}} \operatorname{tr} (Y^t (D - W) Y (Y^t D Y)^{-1}) \quad (2.233)$$

$D - W$: Laplacian matrix

Chapter 3

Bayesian Inference

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Representation :} \\ \text{Inference :} \\ \text{Learning :} \end{array} \right. \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \text{Directed graph :} \\ \text{Bayesian network} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Single : Naive bayes : } P(x|y) = \prod_{i=1}^{\dim} P(x_i|y = 1) \\ \text{Mix : GMM} \\ \text{Time :} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Markov chain} \\ \text{Gaussian process(Infinite dimensionsGD)} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Dynamic model :} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{HMM : (Discrete)} \\ \text{LDS(Gaussian, linear, kalman filter)} \\ \text{Particle filters(nonGaussian, nonLinear)} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Undirected graph : Markov network} \\ \text{Gaussian graph(Continuous variable) :} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Gaussian bayesian network} \\ \text{Gaussian markov network} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Accurate :} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Variable elimination} \\ \text{Belief propagation(Sum – product algorithm)(Tree structure)} \\ \text{Junction Tree algorithm(Normal graph)} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Approximate :} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Variation method(determine)} \\ \text{Loop belief propagation(Ring graph)} \\ \text{Monte Carlo : Importance sampling, MCMC(stochastic)} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Structure learning} \\ \text{Parameter learning :} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Complete data} \\ \text{Hidden variable : EM} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

3.1 Representation

3.1.1 Introduction

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Directed graph :} \\ \text{Bayesian network} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Single : Naive bayes : } P(x|y) = \prod_{i=1}^{\dim} P(x_i|y = 1) \\ \text{Mix : GMM} \\ \text{Time : } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Markov chain} \\ \text{Gaussian process(Infinite dimensionsGD)} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Dynamic model : } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{HMM : (Discrete)} \\ \text{LDS(Gaussian, linear, kalman filter)} \\ \text{Particle filters(nonGaussian, nonLinear)} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Undirected graph : Markov network} \\ \text{Gaussian graph(Continuous variable) : } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Gaussian bayesian network} \\ \text{Gaussian markov network} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

3.1.2 Moral Graph

- Directed graph: $p(x) = \prod_x p(x_i|x_{\text{parents}})$
- Undirected graph: $p(x) = \frac{1}{z} \prod_{i=1}^k \phi_{ci}(x_{ci} = \text{Largest group set})$

Moral graph : graph(Directed tree) \rightarrow Undirected graph(Undirected ring)

$$P_{\text{directed}}(x) = \prod_x P(x_i|x_{\text{parents}}) \quad (3.1)$$

$$P_{\text{undirected}}(x) = \frac{1}{z} \prod_{i=1}^k \phi_{\text{clique}_i}(x_{\text{clique}_i}) \quad (3.2)$$




Figure 3.1: Directed graph to Undirected

3.1.3 Factor Graph

リング構造からツリー構造への変換。 *Moral graph* \rightarrow *factor graph* (*Undirected tree*)
 head2head(V structure):*parents*(x_i) を接続して。これは、因数分解のさらなる分解と見なすことができます。

$$P(x) = \prod_{s \in \text{graph node}} f_s(x_s) \quad (3.3)$$




Figure 3.2: Factor graph

$$P_{\text{figure3.1}}(x) = f_1(A, B, C) \cdot f_2(B, D) \cdot f_3(B, E) \cdot f_4(C, F, G) \cdot f_5(D) \quad (3.4)$$

3.2 Inference

3.2.1 Introduction

Frequency: optimization problem

Bayesian: integral problem

The task is to find the probability $p(x) = p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

z: latent variable + paramment

- Marginal probability: $p(x_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1}} \sum_{x_{i+2}} \dots \sum_{x_n}$
- Conditional Probability: $p(\hat{x}|x) = \int_{\theta} p(\hat{x}, \theta|x) d\theta = \int_{\theta} p(\hat{x}|\theta) \cdot p(\theta|x) d\theta = E_{\theta|x}[p(\hat{x}|\theta)]$
- MAP Inference: $\hat{z} = \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(z|x) \propto p(z, x)$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Accurate : } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Variable elimination} \\ \text{Belief propagation (Sum-product algorithm) (Tree structure)} \\ \text{Junction Tree algorithm (Normal graph)} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Approximate : } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Variation method (Deterministic inference)} \\ \text{Loop belief propagation (Ring graph)} \\ \text{Monte Carlo : Importance sampling, MCMC (stochastic)} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

3.2.2 Variable Elimination

Multiplicative Distribution Law : The disadvantage

- Repeated calculation (no stored procedure)
- Ordering is NP-hard

Define: $a, b, c, d \in \{0, 1\}; a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d$

$$p(d) = \sum_{a,b,c} p(a, b, c, d) \quad (3.5)$$

$$= \sum_{a,b,c} p(a) \cdot p(b|a) \cdot p(c|b)p(d|c) \quad (3.6)$$

$$= \sum_{a,b,c} p(a=0) \cdot p(b=0|a=0) \cdot p(c=0|b=0)p(d|c=0) \quad (3.7)$$

$$= \sum_{a,b,c} p(a=1) \cdot p(b=0|a=1) \cdot p(c=0|b=0)p(d|c=0) \quad (3.8)$$

$$= \sum_{a,b,c} p(a=0) \cdot p(b=1|a=0) \cdot p(c=0|b=1)p(d|c=0) \quad (3.9)$$

$$= \sum_{a,b,c} p(a=0) \cdot p(b=0|a=0) \cdot p(c=1|b=0)p(d|c=1) \quad (3.10)$$

$$= \sum_{a,b,c} p(a=1) \cdot p(b=1|a=1) \cdot p(c=0|b=1)p(d|c=0) \quad (3.11)$$

$$= \sum_{a,b,c} p(a=1) \cdot p(b=0|a=1) \cdot p(c=1|b=0)p(d|c=1) \quad (3.12)$$

$$= \sum_{a,b,c} p(a=0) \cdot p(b=1|a=0) \cdot p(c=1|b=1)p(d|c=1) \quad (3.13)$$

$$= \sum_{a,b,c} p(a=1) \cdot p(b=1|a=1) \cdot p(c=1|b=1)p(d|c=1) \quad (3.14)$$

$$= \sum_{b,c} p(c|b) \cdot p(d|c) \sum_a p(a) \cdot p(b|a) \quad (3.15)$$

$$= \sum_b p(c|b) \cdot \sum_c p(d|c) \cdot m_{ab}(b) \quad (3.16)$$

$$= \sum_c p(d|c) \cdot m_{bc}(c) \quad (3.17)$$

$$= m_{cd}(d) \quad (3.18)$$

3.2.3 Belief Propagation(Sum-product)

実は VE + Caching です、木の構造に適しています。Repeated calculation 必要はなく、必要な情報量 $m_{i \rightarrow j}$ だけでいいです。

$$m_{j \rightarrow i}(x_i) = \sum_{x_j} \varphi_j(x_j) \cdot \varphi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \prod_{k \in \text{Neighbor}(j) - i} m_{k \rightarrow j}(x_j) \quad (3.19)$$

$$P(x_i) = \varphi_i(x_i) \cdot \prod_{k \in \text{Neighbor}(i)} m_{k \rightarrow i}(x_i) \quad (3.20)$$

Algorithm 1 Sequential Implementationg

Require: Get root ,Assume a is root

for x_i *in* $\text{Neighbor}(\text{Root})$ **do**

Collect $m_{ij}(x_i)$

end for

for x_j *in* $\text{Neighbor}(\text{Root})$ **do**

Distribute $m_{ij}(x_j)$

end for

Algorithm 2 Parellel Implementation

for x_i *in* All_nodes **do**

$x_i = \text{Collect } \text{Neighbor}(x_i) \cdot x_i$

Distribute $\text{Neighbor}(x_i)$

end for

Reference: ¹




Figure 3.3: Belief propagation

¹Understanding Belief Propagation and its Generalizations Jonathan S. Yedidia MERL 201 Broadway Cambridge, MA 02139

3.2.4 Max-product

- Belief propagation の改善
- Viterbi の拡張。

$$m_{j \rightarrow i} = \max_{x_j} \varphi_j \cdot \varphi_{ij} \prod_{k \in \text{Neighbor}(j) - i} m_{k \rightarrow j} \quad (3.21)$$

3.3 Variational Inference

3.3.1 VI based Mean field

平均場理論 (Mean field theory) に基づいて、複素確率構造は多くの小さな構造に分割されます。座標降下法 (Coordinate descent method) を使用して、最大事後推定 (Posterior probability) を解きます。

s.t.

X: observed data

Z: latent variable + paramment

(X,Z): complete data

$$\log p(X) = \log p(X, Z) - \log p(Z|X) \quad (3.22)$$

$$= \log \frac{p(X, Z)}{q(Z)} - \log \frac{p(Z|X)}{q(Z)} \quad (3.23)$$

$$\int_Z \log p(X) q(Z) dz = \int_Z q(Z) \cdot \log \frac{p(X, Z)}{q(Z)} dz - \int_Z q(Z) \cdot \log \frac{p(Z|X)}{q(Z)} dz \quad (3.24)$$

$$\log p(X) = ELBO + KL(q||p) \quad (3.25)$$

Define: $ELBO = \mathcal{L}(q)$

$$\hat{q}(Z) = \underset{q(Z)}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathcal{L}(q) \Rightarrow \hat{q}(Z) \approx p(Z|X)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(q) = \int_Z q(Z) \cdot \log p(X, Z) dz - \int_Z q(Z) \cdot \log q(Z) dz \quad (3.26)$$

s.t. Mean field theory: $q(Z) = \prod_{i=1}^M q_i(Z_i)$

$$\int_Z q(Z) \cdot \log p(X, Z) dz = \int_Z \prod_{i=1}^M q_i(Z_i) \cdot \log p(X, Z) dz_1, \dots, dz_M \quad (3.27)$$

$$= \int_{Z_j} q_j(Z_j) \left(\int_{Z_{\neq j}} \prod_{i \neq j}^M q_i(Z_i) \cdot \log p(X, Z) dz_i \right) dz_j \quad (3.28)$$

$$= \int_{Z_j} q_j(Z_j) \left(\int_{Z_{\neq j}} \log p(X, Z) \cdot \prod_{i \neq j}^M q_i(Z_i) dz_i \right) dz_j \quad (3.29)$$

$$= \int_{Z_j} q_j(Z_j) \cdot E_{\prod_{i \neq j}^M q_i(Z_i)} [\log p(X, Z)] dz_j \quad (3.30)$$

$$= \int_{Z_j} q_j(Z_j) \cdot [\log \hat{p}(X, Z_j)] dz_j \quad (3.31)$$

$$\int_Z q(Z) \cdot \log q(Z) dz = \int_Z \prod_i^M q_i(Z_i) \cdot \sum_i^M \log q_i(Z_i) dz \quad (3.32)$$

$$= \int_Z \prod_i^M q_i(Z_i) \cdot [\log q_1(Z_1) + \dots + \log q_M(Z_M)] dz \quad (3.33)$$

$$\therefore \int_Z \prod_i^M q_i \cdot \log q_1 dz = \int_{Z_1, \dots, M} q_1 \cdots q_M \cdot \log q_1 dz_1, \dots, M \quad (3.34)$$

$$= \int_{Z_1} q_1 \log q_1 dz_1 \cdot \int_{Z_2} q_2 dz_2 \cdots \int_{Z_M} q_M dz_M \quad (3.35)$$

$$= \int_{Z_1} q_1 \log q_1 dz_1 \quad (3.36)$$

$$\therefore \int_Z q(Z) \cdot \log q(Z) dz = \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{Z_i} q_i(Z_i) \cdot \log q_i(Z_i) dz_i \quad (3.37)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{Z_j} q_j(Z_j) \cdot \log q_j(Z_j) dz_j + C \quad (3.38)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(q) = \int_Z q(Z) \cdot \log p(X, Z) dz - \int_Z q(Z) \cdot \log q(Z) dz \quad (3.39)$$

$$= \int_{Z_j} q_j(Z_j) \cdot \log \frac{\hat{p}(X, Z_j)}{q_j(Z_j)} dz_j \quad (3.40)$$

$$= -KL(q_j | \hat{p}(X, Z_j)) \quad (3.41)$$

Object function:

$$\hat{q} = \underset{q}{\operatorname{argmin}} KL(q || p) = \underset{q}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{L}(q) \quad (3.42)$$

Coordinate Ascend:

$$\hat{q}_1(Z_1) = \int_{q_2} \cdots \int_{q_M} q_2 \cdots q_M [\log p_\theta(x_i, Z)] dq_2 \cdots dq_M \quad (3.43)$$

$$\hat{q}_2(Z_2) = \int_{\hat{q}_1} \int_{q_3} \cdots \int_{q_M} \hat{q}_1 q_3 \cdots q_M [\log p_\theta(x_i, Z)] d\hat{q}_1 q_3 \cdots dq_M \quad (3.44)$$

$$\vdots \quad (3.45)$$

$$\hat{q}_M(Z_M) = \int_{\hat{q}_1} \cdots \int_{\hat{q}_{M-1}} \hat{q}_1 \cdots \hat{q}_{M-1} [\log p_\theta(x_i, Z)] d\hat{q}_1 \cdots d\hat{q}_{M-1} \quad (3.46)$$

$$(3.47)$$

3.3.2 SGVI (SGVB)

$$ELBO = E_{q_\phi(Z)} \left[\log \frac{p_\theta(x_i, Z)}{q_\phi(Z)} \right] \quad (3.48)$$

$$= E_{q_\phi(Z)} [\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi(Z)] \quad (3.49)$$

$$= \mathcal{L}(q) \quad (3.50)$$

$$\hat{\phi} = \underset{\phi}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{L}(q) \quad (3.51)$$

$$\nabla_\phi \mathcal{L}(q) = \nabla_\phi E_{q_\phi(Z)} [\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi] \quad (3.52)$$

$$= \nabla_\phi \int_Z q_\phi \cdot [\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi] dz \quad (3.53)$$

$$= \int_Z \nabla_\phi q_\phi \cdot (\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi) dz + \int_Z q_\phi \nabla_\phi [\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi] dz \quad (3.54)$$

$$\because \int_Z q_\phi \nabla_\phi [\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi] dz = - \int_Z q_\phi \nabla_\phi \log q_\phi dz \quad (3.55)$$

$$= - \int_Z q_\phi \cdot \frac{1}{q_\theta} \cdot \nabla_\phi q_\theta dz \quad (3.56)$$

$$= - \int_Z \nabla_\phi q_\theta dz \quad (3.57)$$

$$= - \nabla_\phi \int_Z q_\theta dz \quad (3.58)$$

$$= - \nabla_\phi \quad (3.59)$$

$$= 0 \quad (3.60)$$

$$\therefore \hat{\phi} = \int_Z \nabla_\phi q_\phi \cdot (\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi) dz + 0 \quad (3.61)$$

$$= \int_Z q_\phi \cdot \nabla_\phi \log q_\phi \cdot (\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi) dz \quad (3.62)$$

$$= E_{q_\phi} \left[\nabla_\phi \log q_\phi \cdot (\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi) \right] \quad (3.63)$$

$$s.t. Z^L \sim q_\phi(Z), l = 1, 2, \dots, L \quad (3.64)$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L \nabla_\phi \log q_\phi(Z^l) (\log p_\phi(x^i, z^i) - \log q_\phi(Z^l)) \quad (3.65)$$

Reparametrization Trick s.t.

$$Z \sim q_\phi(Z|x_i) = \epsilon \sim p(\epsilon)$$

$$|q_\phi(Z|x_i) \cdot dz| = |p(\epsilon) \cdot d\epsilon|$$

$$\hat{\phi} = E_{q_\phi} \left[\nabla_\phi \log q_\phi \cdot (\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi) \right] \quad (3.66)$$

$$= E_{p(\epsilon)} \left[\nabla_\phi \log q_\phi \cdot (\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi(Z|x_i)) \right] \quad (3.67)$$

$$\epsilon \sim p(\epsilon) \quad (3.68)$$

$$= E_{p(\epsilon)} \left[\nabla_Z \log q_\phi \cdot (\log p_\theta(x_i, Z) - \log q_\phi(Z|x_i)) \right] \cdot \nabla_\phi g_\phi(\epsilon^l, x^i) \quad (3.69)$$

SGVI:

$$\phi^{t+1} \leftarrow \phi^t + \lambda \cdot \nabla_\phi \mathcal{L}(\phi) \quad (3.70)$$

3.4 Sampling

3.4.1 Probability distribution sampling

確率 PDF を CDF に変換して、 $y^{(i)} \sim U(0, 1)$ 一様分布 (uniform distribution) に関連付けます。(PDF は複雑なので PDF から CDF まで難しい)

$$x^{(i)} = cdf^{-1}(y^{(i)}) \quad (3.71)$$

Reference: ²




Figure 3.4: PDF を CDF に変換

3.4.2 Rejection sampling

必要な分布 $m q(z)$ は非常に複雑であり、直接サンプリングできないため、単純な分布 $q(z)$ (proposed distribution) 提案分布を作成します ($\forall z^{(i)}, m q(z^{(i)}) \geq p(z^{(i)})$)。ランダムサンプリングが 2 つの分布の間にある場合は拒否され、真の分布内にある場合は受け入れられます。Acceptance rate が高いほど、サンプリング効率が高くなります。

$$Acceptance\ rate = \frac{p(z^{(i)})}{m q(z^{(i)})} \quad (3.72)$$

Reference: ³

²<https://www.chebfun.org/examples/stats/ResamplingRandomVariables.html>

³<https://towardsdatascience.com/monte-carlo-integration-and-sampling-methods-25d5af53e1>

Algorithm 3 Rejection sampling

Require: $z^{(i)} \sim q(z)$; $u^{(i)} \sim U(0, 1)$ **Ensure:** $\forall z^{(i)}, mq(z^{(i)}) \geq p(z^{(i)})$ **if** $u \leq \text{Acceptance rate}$ **then** $\text{acceptance} : z^{(i)}$ **else** $\text{rejection} : z^{(i)}$ **end if**




Figure 3.5: Concept of Rejection Sampling

3.4.3 Importance sampling

重要度サンプリングは、確率分布を直接サンプリングするのではなく、確率分布の期待値を直接サンプリングします。

$$E_{p(z)}[f(x)] = \int p(z) \cdot f(z) dz = \int f(z) \cdot \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} \cdot q(z) dz \quad (3.73)$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(z^{(i)}) \cdot \frac{p(z^{(i)})}{q(z^{(i)})} \quad (3.74)$$

3.4.4 MCMC-MH

Markov chain Monte Carlo はサンプリングに基づくランダム近似法。(別に数値積分)
Markov Chain: Time and state are discrete.

State space: $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ ⁴




Figure 3.6: Markov Chain

Transition matrix (stochastic matrix): $Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & \cdots & Q_{1m} \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} & \cdots & Q_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_{m1} & Q_{m2} & \cdots & Q_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\therefore p^{(t+1)} = (p_{(x_1)}^{(t+1)} p_{(x_2)}^{(t+1)} \cdots p_{(x_m)}^{(t+1)}) \quad (3.75)$$

$$\therefore p_{(x_j)}^{(t+1)} = \sum_i^K p_{(x=i)}^{(t)} \cdot Q_{i1} \quad (3.76)$$

$$\therefore p^{(t+1)} = \left(\sum_i^K p_{(x=i)}^{(t)} \cdot Q_{i1}, \sum_i^K p_{(x=i)}^{(t)} \cdot Q_{i2}, \cdots, \sum_i^K p_{(x=i)}^{(t)} \cdot Q_{im} \right)_{1 \times m} \quad (3.77)$$

$$= p_{1 \times m}^{(t)} \cdot Q \quad (3.78)$$

Detailed Balance: $p(x) \cdot P(x \rightarrow x^*) = p(x^*) \cdot P(x^* \rightarrow x)$

⁴<https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2021/02/markov-chain-mathematical-formulation-intuitive-explanation-ap>

s.t. $\alpha(x, x^*)$: Acceptance rate

$$p(x) \cdot P(x \rightarrow x^*) = p(x^*) \cdot P(x^* \rightarrow x) \quad (3.79)$$

$$p(x) \cdot Q(x \rightarrow x^*) \cdot \alpha(x, x^*) = p(x^*) \cdot Q(x^* \rightarrow x) \cdot \alpha(x^*, x) \quad (3.80)$$

$$= p(x) \cdot Q(x \rightarrow x^*) \cdot \min\left(1, \frac{p(x^*) \cdot Q(x^* \rightarrow x)}{p(x) \cdot Q(x \rightarrow x^*)}\right) \quad (3.81)$$

$$= \min(p(x) \cdot Q(x \rightarrow x^*), p(x^*) \cdot Q(x^* \rightarrow x)) \quad (3.82)$$

$$= p(x^*) \cdot Q(x^* \rightarrow x) \cdot \min\left(1, \frac{p(x) \cdot Q(x \rightarrow x^*)}{p(x^*) \cdot Q(x^* \rightarrow x)}\right) \quad (3.83)$$

$$= p(x^*) \cdot Q(x^* \rightarrow x) \cdot \alpha(x^*, x) \quad (3.84)$$

Algorithm 4 Metropolis Hasting

Require: $u \sim U(0, 1)$; $x^* \sim Q(x|x^{i-1})$

Ensure: $\alpha = \min\left(1, \frac{p(x^*) \cdot Q(x \rightarrow x^*)}{p(x) \cdot Q(x^* \rightarrow x)}\right)$

if $u \leq \alpha$ **then**

$x^{(i)} = x^*$

else

$x^{(i)} = x^{(i-1)}$

end if

Stationary Distribution: ⁵




Figure 3.7: Define (positive) transition probabilities between states A through F as shown in the above image.

3.4.5 MCMC-Gibbs

MH の $\alpha = 1$ Define: $z_i \sim p(z_i | z_{1,2,\dots,i-1,i+1,\dots,n})$

⁵<https://jp.mathworks.com/help/symbolic/markov-chain-analysis-and-stationary-distribution.html?lang=en>

$$z_1^{t+1} \sim p(z_1|z_2^t, \dots, z_n^t) \quad (3.85)$$

$$z_2^{t+1} \sim p(z_2|z_1^t, z_3^t, \dots, z_n^t) \quad (3.86)$$

$$\vdots \quad (3.87)$$

$$z_i^{t+1} \sim p(z_i|z_1^t, \dots, z_{i-1}^t, z_{i+1}^t, \dots, z_n^t) \quad (3.88)$$

Proof.

$$\frac{p(z^*) \cdot Q(z^* \rightarrow z)}{p(z) \cdot Q(z \rightarrow z^*)} = \frac{p(z_i^*|p(z_{-i}^*)) \cdot p(z_{-i}^*) \cdot p(z_i|z_{-i}^*)}{p(z_i|z_{-i}^*) \cdot p(z_{-i}) \cdot p(z_i^*|z_{-i}^*)} \quad (3.89)$$

$$= \frac{p(z_i^*|p(z_{-i}^*)) \cdot p(z_{-i}^*) \cdot p(z_i^*|z_{-i}^*)}{p(z_i^*|z_{-i}^*) \cdot p(z_{-i}^*) \cdot p(z_i^*|z_{-i}^*)} \quad (3.90)$$

$$= 1 \quad (3.91)$$

□

3.5 Dynamic System (State Space Model)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Learning : } \lambda_{MLE} = \underset{\lambda}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(x|\lambda) : \text{Baum Welch}(EM) \\ \text{Inference : } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Decoding : } z = \underset{Z}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(Z|X) : \text{Viterbi algorithm} \\ \text{Prob of evidence : } P(X|\theta) : \text{Forward - Backward algorithm} \\ \text{Filtering : } P(z_t|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) : \text{Forward algorithm} \\ \text{Smoothing : } P(z_t|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T) : \text{Forward - Backward algorithm} \\ \text{Prediction : } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{t+1}|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) : \text{Forward algorithm} \\ P(x_{t+1}|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) : \text{Forward algorithm} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

3.5.1 HMM

Define:

State sequence: $I = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_T$

Observation sequence: $O = O_1, O_2, \dots, O_T$

State value collection: $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N\}$

Collection of observations: $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N\}$

One model:

- $\lambda = (\pi, A, B)$
- π = Initial probability distribution $\rightarrow \pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_N), \sum_{i=1}^N \pi_i = 1$




Figure 3.8: PGMs

- A = State transition matrix $\rightarrow a_{ij} = P(i_{t+1} = q_j | i_t = q_i)$
- B = Emission matrix $\rightarrow b_j(k) = P(o_t = v_k | i_t = q_j)$

Two hypotheses:

- Homogeneous Markov hypothesis $\rightarrow P(i_{t+1} | i_1, \dots, i_t, o_1, \dots, o_t) = P(i_{t+1} | i_t)$
- Observational independence hypothesis $\rightarrow P(o_t | i_1, \dots, i_t, o_1, \dots, o_t) = P(o_t | i_t)$

Three questions

- Evaluation: $P(O|\lambda)$: Forward-Backward
- Learning: $\lambda_{MLE} = \underset{\lambda}{argmax} P(O|\lambda)$: Baum Welch(EM)
- Decoding: $\hat{I} = \underset{I}{argmax} P(I|O, \lambda)$: Viterbi

Evaluation:

$$P(O|\lambda) = \sum_I P(I, O|\lambda) \quad (3.92)$$

$$= \sum_I P(O|I, \lambda) \cdot P(I|\lambda) \quad (3.93)$$

$$(3.94)$$

$$\therefore P(O|I, \lambda) = \prod_{t=1}^T b_{it}(o_t) \quad (3.95)$$

$$\therefore P(I|\lambda) = P(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_T|\lambda) \quad (3.96)$$

$$= P(i_T|i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{T-1}\lambda) \cdot P(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{T-1}\lambda) \quad (3.97)$$

$$= \pi(a_{i1}) \cdot \prod_{t=2}^T a_{i_{t-1}, i_t} \quad (3.98)$$

$$\therefore P(O|\lambda) = \prod_{t=1}^T b_{it}(o_t) \cdot \pi(a_{i1}) \cdot \prod_{t=2}^T a_{i_{t-1}, i_t} \quad (3.99)$$

$$= \sum_{i_1} \cdots \sum_{i_T} \pi(a_{i1}) \cdot \prod_{t=2}^T a_{i_{t-1}, i_t} \cdot \prod_{t=1}^T b_{it}(o_t) \quad (3.100)$$

Forward Algorithm:

Define: $\alpha_t(i) = P(o_1, \dots, o_t, i_t = q_i|\lambda)$

Then $P(O|\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^N P(O, i_t = q_i|\lambda) = \sum_i \alpha_T(i)$

$$\alpha_{t+1}(j) = \sum_i^N P(o_1, \dots, o_t, o_{t+1}, i_{t+1} = q_j, i_t = q_i|\lambda) \quad (3.101)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N P(o_{t+1}|o_1, \dots, o_t, i_{t+1} = q_j, i_t = q_i, \lambda) \cdot P(o_1, \dots, o_t, i_{t+1} = q_j, i_t = q_i|\lambda) \quad (3.102)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N P(o_{t+1}|i_{t+1} = q_j) \cdot P(o_1, \dots, o_t, i_{t+1} = q_j, i_t = q_i|\lambda) \quad (3.103)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N P(o_{t+1}|i_{t+1} = q_j) \cdot P(i_{t+1} = q_j|o_1, \dots, o_t, i_t = q_i, \lambda) \cdot P(o_1, \dots, o_t, i_t = q_i|\lambda) \quad (3.104)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N b_j(o_{t+1}) \cdot a_{ij} \cdot \lambda_t(i) \quad (3.105)$$

Backward Algorithm:

s.t. $\beta_t(i) = P(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T | i_t = q_i, \lambda), \dots, \beta_1(i) = P(o_2, \dots, o_T | i_1 = q_i, \lambda)$

$$P(O|\lambda) = P(o_1, \dots, o_T | \lambda) \quad (3.106)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N P(o_1, \dots, o_T, i_1 = q_i) \quad (3.107)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N P(o_1, \dots, o_T | i_1 = q_i) \cdot P(i_1 = q_i) \quad (3.108)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N P(o_1 | o_2, \dots, o_T, i_1 = q_i) \cdot P(o_1, \dots, o_T | i_1 = q_i) \cdot \pi_i \quad (3.109)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N P(o_1 | i_1 = q_i) \beta_1(i) \cdot \pi_i \quad (3.110)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N b_i(o_1) \pi_i \beta_1(i) \quad (3.111)$$

$$\beta_t(i) = P(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T | i_t = q_i) \quad (3.112)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^N P(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T, i_{t+1} = q_j | i_t = q_i) \quad (3.113)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^N P(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T | i_{t+1} = q_j, i_t = q_i) \cdot P(i_{t+1} = q_j | i_t = q_i) \quad (3.114)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^N P(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T | i_{t+1} = q_j) \cdot a_{ij} \quad (3.115)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^N P(o_{t+1} | o_{t+2}, \dots, o_T, i_{t+1} = q_j) \cdot P(o_{t+2}, \dots, o_T | i_{t+1} = q_j) \cdot a_{ij} \quad (3.116)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^N b_j(o_{t+1}) \cdot a_{ij} \cdot \beta_{t+1}(j) \quad (3.117)$$

Learning: Baum-Welch

EM: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \underset{\theta}{argmax} \int_Z \log P(X, Z | \theta) \cdot P(Z | X, \theta^{(t)}) dz$

$\lambda = (\pi, A, B)$:

$$\lambda^{t+1} = \underset{\theta}{argmax} \sum_I \log P(O, I|\theta) \cdot P(I|O, \theta^{(t)}) \quad (3.118)$$

$$= \sum_I \left[\left(\log \pi_{i_1} + \sum_{t=2}^T \log a_{i_{t-1}, i_t} + \sum_{t=1}^T \log b_{i_t}(0_t) \right) \cdot P(O, I|\lambda^t) \right] \quad (3.119)$$

π :

$$\pi^{(t+1)} = \underset{\pi}{argmax} \sum_I \left[\log \pi_{i_1} \cdot P(O, I|\lambda^t) \right] \quad (3.120)$$

$$= \underset{\pi}{argmax} \sum_{i_1} \cdots \sum_{i_T} \left[\log \pi_{i_1} \cdot P(O, i_1, \dots, i_T|\lambda^t) \right] \quad (3.121)$$

$$= \underset{\pi}{argmax} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\log \pi_i \cdot P(O, i_1 = q_i|\lambda^t) \right] \quad (3.122)$$

$$s.t. \sum_{i=1}^N \pi_i = 1 \quad (3.123)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\pi, \eta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log \pi_i P(O, i_1 = q_i|\lambda^{(t)}) + \eta \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \pi_i - 1 \right) \quad (3.124)$$

$$(3.125)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \pi_i} = \frac{1}{\pi_i} P(O, i_1 = q_i|\lambda^{(t)}) + \eta = 0 \quad (3.126)$$

$$\sum_i^N \left[P(O, i_1 = q_i|\lambda^{(t)}) + \pi_i \eta \right] = 0 \quad (3.127)$$

$$P(O|\lambda^t) + \eta = 0 \quad (3.128)$$

$$\eta = -P(O|\lambda^{(t)}) \quad (3.129)$$

$$\pi_i = \frac{P(O, i_1 = q_i|\lambda^{(t)})}{P(O|\lambda^{(t)})} \quad (3.130)$$

Decoding:

$$\delta_t(i) = \max_{i_1, \dots, i_{t-1}} P(o_1, \dots, o_t, i_1, \dots, i_{t-1}, i_t = q_i) \quad (3.131)$$

$$\delta_{t+1}(i) = \max_{i_1, \dots, i_t} P(o_1, \dots, o_{t+1}, i_1, \dots, i_t, i_{t+1} = q_j) \quad (3.132)$$

$$= \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \delta_t(i) \cdot a_{ij} \cdot b_j(o_{t+1}) \quad (3.133)$$

$$\varphi_{t+1}(j) = \underset{1 \leq i \leq N}{\operatorname{argmax}} \delta_t(i) \cdot a_{ij} \quad (3.134)$$

3.5.2 Kalman filter

HMM focus on decoding, Linear Dynamic System and Non-linear Non-Gauss focus on filter

Define:

$$P(Z_t|Z_{t-1}) = N(A \cdot Z_{t-1} + B, Q)$$

$$P(X_t|Z_t) = N(C \cdot Z_t + D, R)$$

$$P(Z_1) = N(\mu_1, \sigma_1)$$

$$Z_t = A \cdot Z_{t-1} + B + \epsilon, \epsilon \sim N(0, Q)$$

$$X_t = C \cdot Z_t + D + \delta, \delta \sim N(0, R)$$

Filter Prob: $P(Z_t|X_1, \dots, X_t)$

Step1: Prediction \rightarrow prior

$$P(Z_t|X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}) = \int_{Z_{t-1}} P(Z_{t-1}|X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}) dz_{t-1} \quad \text{Step2: Update} \rightarrow \text{posterior}$$

$$P(Z_t|X_1, \dots, X_t) \approx P(X_t|Z_t) \cdot P(Z_t|X_1, \dots, X_{t-1})$$

Proof. Step1: Prediction

$$P(Z_t|X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}) = \int_{Z_{t-1}} P(Z_{t-1}, Z_t|X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}) dz_{t-1} \quad (3.135)$$

$$= \int_{Z_{t-1}} P(Z_t|Z_{t-1}, X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}) \cdot P(Z_{t-1}|X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}) dz_{t-1} \quad (3.136)$$

$$(3.137)$$

□

Proof. Step2: Update

$$P(Z_t|X_1, \dots, X_t) = \frac{P(x_1, \dots, X_t, Z_t)}{P(X_1, \dots, X_t)} \quad (3.138)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C} \cdot P(x_1, \dots, X_t, Z_t) \quad (3.139)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C} \cdot P(X_t|X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}, Z_t) \cdot P(X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}, Z_t) \quad (3.140)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C} \cdot P(X_t|Z_t) \cdot P(Z_t|X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}) \cdot P(X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}) \quad (3.141)$$

$$= \frac{D}{C} \cdot P(X_t|Z_t) \cdot P(Z_t|X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}) \quad (3.142)$$

□

3.5.3 Particle filter - SIS

Non-linear Non-Gauss Dynamic System

Monte Carlo Method: $P(Z|X) \rightarrow E_{Z|X}[f(Z)] = \int f(Z) \cdot p(Z) dz$

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(Z^{(i)})$$

Importance Sampling: $E[f(Z)] = \int f(Z) \cdot p(Z) \cdot dz = \int f(Z) \cdot \frac{p(Z)}{q(Z)} \cdot q(Z) dz$; $q(Z)$: proposal dist.

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(Z^{(i)}) \cdot \frac{p(Z^{(i)})}{q(Z^{(i)})}; \frac{p(Z^{(i)})}{q(Z^{(i)})} \text{ is weight } w^{(i)}$$

Sequential Importance Sampling:

$$P(Z_t|X_{1:t}) \rightarrow P(Z_{1:t}|X_{1:t})$$

$$\text{So: } w^{(i)} \approx \frac{P(Z_{1:t}, X_{1:t})}{q(Z_{1:t}|X_{1:t})}$$

Proof. $w_t^{(i)} \rightarrow w_{t-1}^i$

$$P(Z_{1:t}|X_{1:t}) = \frac{P(Z_{1:t}, X_{1:t})}{P(X_{1:t})} \quad (3.143)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C} \cdot P(Z_{1:t}, X_{1:t}) \quad (3.144)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C} \cdot P(X_t|Z_{1:t}, X_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(Z_{1:t}, X_{1:t-1}) \quad (3.145)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C} \cdot P(X_t|Z_t) \cdot P(Z_{1:t}, X_{1:t-1}) \quad (3.146)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C} \cdot P(X_t|Z_t) \cdot P(Z_t|Z_{1:t-1}, X_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(Z_{1:t-1}, X_{1:t-1}) \quad (3.147)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C} \cdot P(X_t|Z_t) \cdot P(Z_t|Z_{t-1}) \cdot P(Z_{1:t-1}, X_{1:t-1}) \quad (3.148)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C} \cdot P(X_t|Z_t) \cdot P(Z_t|Z_{t-1}) \cdot P(Z_{1:t-1}|X_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(X_{1:t-1}) \quad (3.149)$$

$$= \frac{D}{C} \cdot P(X_t|Z_t) \cdot P(Z_t|Z_{t-1}) \cdot P(Z_{1:t-1}|X_{1:t-1}) \quad (3.150)$$

s.t.

$$q(Z_{1:t}|X_{1:t}) = q(Z_t|Z_{1:t-1}, X_{1:t}) \cdot q(Z_{1:t-1}|X_{1:t-1})$$

$$w_t^{(i)} \approx \frac{P(Z_{1:t}, X_{1:t})}{q(Z_{1:t}|X_{1:t})} \quad (3.151)$$

$$\approx \frac{P(X_t|Z_t) \cdot P(Z_t|Z_{t-1}) \cdot P(Z_{1:t-1}|X_{1:t-1})}{q(Z_t|Z_{1:t-1}, X_{1:t}) \cdot q(Z_{1:t-1}|X_{1:t-1})} \quad (3.152)$$

$$\approx \frac{P(X_t|Z_t) \cdot P(Z_t|Z_{t-1})}{q(Z_t|Z_{1:t-1}, X_{1:t})} \cdot w_{t-1}^i \quad (3.153)$$

□

Algorithm 5 Sequential Importance Sampling

Require: $t - 1 \rightarrow w_{t-1}^{(i)}$ is end
for $i = 1, \dots, N$ in \mathbf{t} **do**
 $Z_t^{(i)} \sim q(Z_t | Z_{t-1}, X_{1:t})$
 $w_t^{(i)} = w_{t-1}^{(i)}$
end for
 Normalized: $w_t^{(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^N w_t^i = 1$; (Prob: Weight Degradation)

3.5.4 Particle filter - SIRProb: Weight Degradation \rightarrow ResamplingResampling: $q(Z_t | Z_{1:t-1}, X_{1:t}) = P(Z_t | Z_{t-1})$ (Generate and Test)

$$w_t^{(i)} \approx \frac{P(Z_{1:t}, X_{1:t})}{q(Z_{1:t} | X_{1:t})} \approx \frac{P(X_t | Z_t) \cdot P(Z_t | Z_{t-1})}{P(Z_t | Z_{t-1})} \cdot w_{(t-1)}^i \quad (3.154)$$

Algorithm 6 Sampling Importance Resampling

Require: $t - 1 \rightarrow w_{t-1}^{(i)}$ is end
for $i = 1, \dots, N$ in \mathbf{t} **do**
 $Z_t^{(i)} \sim P(Z_t | Z_{t-1})$
 $w_t^{(i)} = \frac{P(X_t | Z_t^{(i)}) \cdot P(Z_t^{(i)} | Z_{t-1}^{(i)})}{P(Z_t^{(i)} | Z_{t-1}^{(i)})} \cdot w_{(t-1)}^i = P(X_t | Z_t^{(i)}) \cdot w_{(t-1)}^i$
end for
 Normalized: $w_t^{(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^N w_t^i = 1$
 Resampling: $w_t^{(i)} = \frac{1}{N}$

3.5.5 CRF⁶ HMM:

$$P(X, Y | \lambda) = \prod_{t=1}^T P(x_t, y_t | \lambda) \quad (3.155)$$

$$= \prod_{t=1}^T P(y_t | y_{t-1}, \lambda) \cdot P(x_t | y_t, \lambda) \quad (3.156)$$

⁶<https://zhuanlan.zhihu.com/p/34736498>

Graphical comparison among HMMs, MEMMs and CRFs




Figure 2. Graphical structures of simple HMMs (left), MEMMs (center), and the chain-structured case of CRFs (right) for sequences. An open circle indicates that the variable is not generated by the model.

Figure 3.9: HMM \rightarrow MEMM \rightarrow CRF

MEMM: (Label Bias Problem - mass score)

$$P(Y|X, \lambda) = \prod_{t=1}^T P(y_t | y_{t-1}, x_{1:T}, \lambda) \quad (3.157)$$

無向グラフモデル（MRF）の因数分解の定義：

$$P(X) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^K \psi_i(X_{c_i}) \quad (3.158)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^K \exp[-E_i(X_{c_i})] \quad (3.159)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \exp \sum_{i=1}^K F_i(X_{c_i}) \quad (3.160)$$

Then Linear CRF(PDF) :

$$P(Y|X) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \sum_{t=1}^T F_t(y_{t-1}, y_t, x_{1:T}) \quad (3.161)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \exp \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\Delta_{y_t, x_{1:T}} + \Delta_{y_{t-1}, y_t, x_{1:T}} \right) \quad (3.162)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \exp \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k I_k(y_{t-1}, y_t, x_{1:T}) + \sum_{l=1}^L \eta_l I'_l(y_t, x_{1:T}) \right) \quad (3.163)$$

$$(3.164)$$

Define:

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_T \end{pmatrix}; \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_T \end{pmatrix}; \quad \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_K \end{pmatrix}; \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \eta_L \end{pmatrix}; \quad I = \begin{pmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ \vdots \\ I_K \end{pmatrix} = I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x);$$

$$I' = \begin{pmatrix} I'_1 \\ I'_2 \\ \vdots \\ I'_L \end{pmatrix} = I'(y_t, x)$$

$$P(Y = y|X = x) = \frac{1}{Z(x, \lambda, \eta)} \exp \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\lambda^T \cdot I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x_{1:T}) + \eta^T I'(y_t, x_{1:T}) \right) \quad (3.165)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z(x, \lambda, \eta)} \exp \left(\lambda^T \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x_{1:T}) + \eta^T \sum_{t=1}^T I'(y_t, x_{1:T}) \right) \quad (3.166)$$

Define:

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}_{K+L}; \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{t=1}^T I \\ \sum_{t=1}^T I' \end{pmatrix}_{K+L}$$

Then:

$$P(Y = y|X = x) = \frac{1}{Z(x, \theta)} \exp \theta^T \cdot H(y_t, y_{t-1}, x) \quad (3.167)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z(x, \theta)} \exp \langle \theta, H \rangle \quad (3.168)$$

LEARNING AND INFERENCE:

Learning: parameter estimation

Given training data: $\{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\}_{i=1}^N$ then $\hat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmax} \prod_{i=1}^N P(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)})$

Inference - marginal problem: $P(y_t|x)$

MAP Inference - decoding: $\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmax}_{y=y_{1:T}} P(y|x)$

Proof. Inference - marginal problem(sum product): Given $P(Y = y|X = x) \rightarrow P(y_t = i|x)$

$$P(y|x) = \sum_{y_{1:t-1}} \sum_{y_{t+1:T}} \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{t=1}^T \psi_t(y_{t-1}, y_t, x) \quad (3.169)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{y_{1:t-1}} \psi_1(y_0, y_1, x) \cdot \psi_2(y_1, y_2, x) \cdots \psi_t(y_{t-1}, y_t, x) \cdot \sum_{y_{t+1:T}} \psi_1(y_t, y_{t+1}, x) \cdots \psi_T(y_{T-1}, y_T, x) \quad (3.170)$$

□

Proof. Learning: parameter estimation

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmax} \prod_{i=1}^N P(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)}) \quad (3.171)$$

$$\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\eta} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda, \eta} \prod_{i=1}^N P(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)}) \quad (3.172)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda, \eta} \frac{1}{Z(x, \lambda, \eta)} \exp \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\lambda^T \cdot I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x_{1:T}) + \eta^T I'(y_t, x) \right) \quad (3.173)$$

$$L(\lambda, \eta, x^{(i)}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda, \eta} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\log Z(x^{(i)}, \lambda, \eta) + \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\lambda^T \cdot I(y_{t-1}^{(i)}, y_t^{(i)}, x^{(i)}) + \eta^T I'(y_t^{(i)}, x^{(i)}) \right) \right] \quad (3.174)$$

Gradient ascent or \dots :

$$\nabla_{\lambda} L = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{t=1}^T I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) - \nabla_{\lambda} \log Z(x^{(i)}, \lambda, \eta) \right) \quad (3.175)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{t=1}^T I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) - E \left[\sum_{t=1}^T I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) \right] \right) \rightarrow \log - \text{partition function} \quad (3.176)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{t=1}^T I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) - \sum_y P(y|x^{(i)}) \cdot I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) \right) \quad (3.177)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{t=1}^T I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) - \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\sum_y P(y|x^{(i)}) \cdot I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) \right) \right) \quad (3.178)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{t=1}^T I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) - \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\sum_{y_{1:t-2}} \sum_{y_{t-1:t}} \sum_{y_{t+1:T}} P(y|x^{(i)}) \cdot I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) \right) \right) \quad (3.179)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{t=1}^T I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) - \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{y_{t-1:t}} \left(\sum_{y_{1:t-2}} \sum_{y_{t+1:T}} P(y|x^{(i)}) \cdot I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) \right) \right) \quad (3.180)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{t=1}^T I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) - \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{y_{t-1:t}} \left(P(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) \cdot I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) \right) \right) \quad (3.181)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{t=1}^T I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) - \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{y_{t-1:t}} \left(\text{marginal problem} \cdot I(y_{t-1}, y_t, x^{(i)}) \right) \right) \quad (3.182)$$

□

3.5.6 RBM

Restricted Boltzmann Machine

Define:

$$X \in R^{p \times 1} = (h, v)^T$$

$$h \in R^{m \times 1}; v \in R^{n \times 1}; p = m + n$$

Boltzmann Distribution(Gibbs Distribution):

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \{ - E(x) \} \quad (3.183)$$

$$P(h, v) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \{ - E(h, v) \} \quad (3.184)$$

$$(3.185)$$

$$E(h, v) = -(h^T w v + \alpha^T v + \beta^T h) \quad (3.186)$$

$$= - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n h_i w_{ij} v_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i h_i \right) \quad (3.187)$$

Inference:

Posterior : $P(h|v), P(v|h)$ Define: $h_l \in \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \text{Binary RBF}$

$$P(h|v) = \prod_{l=1}^m P(h_l|v) \quad (3.188)$$

$$P(h = 1|v) = \frac{P(h_l = 1, h_{-l}, v)}{P(h_{-l}, v)} \quad (3.189)$$

$$= \frac{P(h_l = 1, h_{-l}, v)}{P(h_l = 1, h_{-l}, v) + P(h_l = 0, h_{-l}, v)} \quad (3.190)$$

$$(3.191)$$

Because:

$$E(h, v) = - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n h_i w_{ij} v_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i h_i \right) \quad (3.192)$$

$$= - \left(\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^m \sum_{j=1}^n h_i w_{ij} v_j + h_l \sum_{j=1}^n w_{lj} v_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j + \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^m \beta_i h_i + \beta_l h_l \right) \quad (3.193)$$

$$(3.194)$$

Define:

$$h_l \sum_{j=1}^n w_{lj} v_j + \beta_l h_l = h_l \left(\sum_{j=1}^n w_{lj} v_j + \beta_l \right) = h_l \cdot H_l(v)$$

Therefore:

$$E(h, v) = h_l \cdot H_l(v) + \bar{H}_l(h_{-l}, v)$$

Then:

$$P(h = 1|v) = \frac{\frac{1}{Z} \exp \{H_l(v) + \bar{H}_l(h_{-l}, v)\}}{\frac{1}{Z} \exp \{H_l(v) + \bar{H}_l(h_{-l}, v)\} + \frac{1}{Z} \exp \{\bar{H}_l(h_{-l}, v)\}} \quad (3.195)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp \{-H_l(v)\}} \quad (3.196)$$

$$= \sigma(H_l(v)) \quad (3.197)$$

$$= \sigma\left(\sum_{j=1}^n w_{lj}v_j + \beta_l\right) \quad (3.198)$$

Inference:

Marginal: $P(v)$

Define: $W = [w_{ij}]_{m \times n}$: Row vector

$$P(v) = \sum_h P(h, v) \quad (3.199)$$

$$= \sum_h \frac{1}{Z} \exp \{ - E(h, v) \} \quad (3.200)$$

$$= \sum_h \frac{1}{Z} \exp \{ (h^T w v + \alpha^T v + \beta^T h) \} \quad (3.201)$$

$$= \sum_{h_1} \cdots \sum_{h_m} \exp \{ h^T w v + \alpha^T v + \beta^T h \} \quad (3.202)$$

$$= \exp(\alpha^T v) \cdot \sum_{h_1} \cdots \sum_{h_m} \exp \{ h^T w v + \beta^T h \} \quad (3.203)$$

$$= \exp(\alpha^T v) \cdot \sum_{h_1} \cdots \sum_{h_m} \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m (h_i w_i v + \beta_i h_i) \right\} \quad (3.204)$$

$$= \exp(\alpha^T v) \cdot \sum_{h_1} \cdots \sum_{h_m} \exp \{ h_i w_i v + \beta_i h_i \} \quad (3.205)$$

$$= \exp(\alpha^T v) \cdot \sum_{h_1} \exp \{ h_1 w_1 v + \beta_1 h_1 \} \cdots \sum_{h_m} \exp \{ h_m w_m v + \beta_m h_m \} \quad (3.206)$$

$$= \exp(\alpha^T v) \cdot (1 + \exp \{ w_1 v + \beta_1 \}) \cdots (1 + \exp \{ w_m v + \beta_m \}) \quad (3.207)$$

$$= \exp(\alpha^T v) \cdot \exp \{ \log(1 + \exp \{ w_1 v + \beta_1 \}) \} \cdots \exp \{ \log(1 + \exp \{ w_m v + \beta_m \}) \} \quad (3.208)$$

$$= \exp \left(\alpha^T v + \sum_{i=1}^m \log(1 + \exp \{ w_i v + \beta_i \}) \right) \quad (3.209)$$

$$= \exp \left(\alpha^T v + \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Softplus}(w_i v + \beta_i) \right) \quad (3.210)$$

7

3.6 Gaussian Graph

3.6.1 Conditional independence

High-dimensional Gaussian distribution pdf:

Define:

$$X_i \sim N(\mu_i, \Sigma_i)$$

⁷<https://medium.datadriveninvestor.com/an-intuitive-introduction-of-restricted-boltzmann-machine-rbm-14f4382>




Figure 3.10: Restricted Boltzmann Machine

$$X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)^T$$

$$P(X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \right\}$$

Local Marginal independent:

$$\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1p} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \sigma_{p1} & \sigma_{p2} & \cdots & \sigma_{pp} \end{pmatrix}_{p \times p} \rightarrow x_i \perp\!\!\!\perp x_j \Leftrightarrow \sigma_{ij} = 0 \quad (3.211)$$

$$(3.212)$$

Local Precision matrix (Information matrix):

$$\Lambda = \Sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} & \cdots & \lambda_{1p} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \lambda_{p1} & \lambda_{p2} & \cdots & \lambda_{pp} \end{pmatrix}_{p \times p} \rightarrow x_i \perp\!\!\!\perp x_j \big|_{-\{x_i, x_j\}} \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{ij} = 0 \quad (3.213)$$

$$x = (x_i, -\{x_i\})^T = (x_a, x_b)^T \rightarrow$$

Woodbury Formula and Schur Complementary: then

$$\forall x_i, x_i \big|_{-\{x_i\}} \sim N(\Sigma_{i \neq j} \frac{\lambda_{ij}}{\lambda_{ii}} x_j, \lambda_{ii}^{-1}) \quad (3.214)$$

3.6.2 Gaussian Bayesian Network

GBN(global) is based on linear Gaussian model(local, Kalman Filter):

Linear Gaussian model: $P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^p P(x_i | x_{i-1})$

$$P(x) = N(x|\mu_x, \Sigma_x) \quad (3.215)$$

$$P(y|x) = N(y|Ax + b, \Sigma_y) \quad (3.216)$$

$$\text{GBN: } P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^p P(x_i|\vec{x}_{pa(i)})$$

Define:

$$\mu \in R^{p \times 1};$$

$$\epsilon \in R^{p \times 1};$$

$$S = \text{diag}(\sigma_i)$$

$$P(x) = N(x|\mu_x, \Sigma_x) \quad (3.217)$$

$$P(\vec{x}_i|x_{pa(i)}) = N(X_i|\vec{\mu}_i + w_i^T \vec{x}_{pa(i)}, \sigma_i^2) \quad (3.218)$$

$$x_i = \mu_i + \sum_{j \in x_{pa(i)}} w_{ij} \cdot (x_j - \mu_j) + \sigma_i \cdot \epsilon_i \quad (3.219)$$

Then:

$$x_i - \mu_i = \sum_{j \in x_{pa(i)}} w_{ij} \cdot (x_j - \mu_j) + \sigma_i \cdot \epsilon_i \quad (3.220)$$

$$x - \mu = w \cdot (x - \mu) + \epsilon \cdot S \quad (3.221)$$

$$(I - w) \cdot (x - \mu) = \epsilon \cdot S \quad (3.222)$$

$$X - \mu = (I - w)^{-1} \epsilon \cdot S \quad (3.223)$$

$$\Sigma = \text{cov}(x) = \text{cov}(x - \mu) = \text{cov}((I - w)^{-1} \epsilon \cdot S) \quad (3.224)$$

3.6.3 Gaussian Markov Network

High-dimensional Gaussian distribution pdf:

$$P(X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \right\}$$

Factorization of undirected graph model :

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^p \psi_i(x_i) \cdot \prod_{i,j \in X} \psi(x_i, x_j) \quad (3.225)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^p \text{node potential} \cdot \prod_{i,j \in X} \text{edge potential} \quad (3.226)$$

$$(3.227)$$

Gaussian Markov Network pdf:

Define:

$$x \in R^{P \times 1}; \Lambda \in R^{p \times p}$$

Λ : precision matrix

$\Lambda\mu$: potential matrix $\rightarrow h \in R^{p \times 1}$

$$P(x) \approx \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu) \right\} \quad (3.228)$$

$$= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(x^T \Lambda - \mu^T \Lambda)(x - \mu) \right\} \quad (3.229)$$

$$= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(x^T \Lambda x - x^T \Lambda \mu - \mu^T \Lambda x + \mu^T \Lambda \mu) \right\} \quad (3.230)$$

$$= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(x^T \Lambda x - 2\mu^T \Lambda x + \mu^T \Lambda \mu) \right\} \quad (3.231)$$

$$\approx \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x + (\Lambda \mu)^T x \right\} \quad (3.232)$$

Then:

$$x_i = -\frac{1}{2}x_i^2 \cdot \lambda_{ii} + h_i x_i \rightarrow \text{node potential} \quad (3.233)$$

$$x_i, x_j = -\frac{1}{2}x_i^2 (\lambda_{ij} x_i x_j + \lambda_{ji} x_j x_i) = -\lambda_{ij} x_i x_j \rightarrow \text{edge potential} \quad (3.234)$$

Then: If $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ then $x_i \perp\!\!\!\perp x_j$

3.6.4 Bayesian Linear Regression

Define:

$$X \sim R^{N \times p}, Y \sim R^{N \times 1}$$

Model:

$$f(x) = w^T x = x^T w; y = f(x) + \epsilon; \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

Inference:

$$P(w|Data) = P(w|X, Y) \quad (3.235)$$

$$= \frac{w, Y|X}{P(Y|X)} \quad (3.236)$$

$$= \frac{P(Y|w, X) \cdot P(w)}{\int P(Y|w, X) \cdot P(w) dw} \quad (3.237)$$

Because:

$$P(Y|w, X) = \prod_{i=1}^N P(y_i|w, x_i) \quad (3.238)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^N N(y_i|w^T x_i, \sigma^2) \rightarrow \text{likelihood} \quad (3.239)$$

$$P(w) = N(0, \Sigma_p) \rightarrow \text{prior} \quad (3.240)$$

Therefore:

$$P(w|Data) \approx \prod_{i=1}^N N(y_i|w^T x_i, \sigma^2) \cdot N(0, \Sigma_p) \quad (3.241)$$

$$\text{Gaussian} \approx \text{Gaussian} \cdot \text{Gaussian} \quad (3.242)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 \right\} \cdot N(0, \Sigma_p) \quad (3.243)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \cdot \sigma^N} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 \right\} \cdot N(0, \Sigma_p) \quad (3.244)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \cdot \sigma^N} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (Y - Xw)^T \sigma^{-2} \cdot I(Y - Xw) \right\} \cdot N(0, \Sigma_p) \quad (3.245)$$

$$\approx N(Xw, \sigma^{-2}I) \cdot N(0, \Sigma_p) \quad (3.246)$$

$$\approx \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (Y - Xw)^T \sigma^{-2} \cdot I(Y - Xw) \right\} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} w^T \cdot \Sigma_p^{-1} w \right\} \quad (3.247)$$

$$= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Y^T - w^T X^T)(Y - Xw) - \frac{1}{2} w^T \Sigma_p^{-1} w \right\} \quad (3.248)$$

$$= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Y^T Y - 2Y^T Xw + w^T X^T Xw) - \frac{1}{2} w^T \Sigma_p^{-1} w \right\} \quad (3.249)$$

$$(3.250)$$

Because:

$$\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \right) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (x^T \Sigma^{-1} - \mu^T \Sigma^{-1}) (x - \mu) \right) \quad (3.251)$$

$$= \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (x^T \Sigma^{-1} x - 2\mu^T \Sigma^{-1} x) + \Delta \right) \quad (3.252)$$

Then:

$$\text{Quadratic term} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \cdot (w^T X^T X w) - \frac{1}{2} w^T \Sigma_p^{-1} w \quad (3.253)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left(w^T (\sigma^{-2} x^T x + \Sigma_p^{-1}) w \right) \quad (3.254)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left(w^T \Sigma_w^{-1} w \right) \quad (3.255)$$

$$\Sigma_w = \sigma^{-2} x^T x + \Sigma_p^{-1} \quad (3.256)$$

$$\text{One time term} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \cdot (-2) Y^T X w \quad (3.257)$$

$$= \sigma^{-2} Y^T X w \quad (3.258)$$

$$= \mu_w^T \Sigma_w^{-1} w \quad (3.259)$$

$$\Sigma_w^{-1} \mu_w = \sigma^{-2} X^T Y \quad (3.260)$$

$$\mu_w = \sigma^{-2} \Sigma_w X^T Y \quad (3.261)$$

Prediction:

Given: $x^* \rightarrow y^*$

$$P(y^* | \text{Data}, x^*) = \int_w P(y^* | w, \text{Data}, x^*) \cdot P(w | \text{Data}, x^*) dw \quad (3.262)$$

Because:

$$w \sim N(\mu_w, \Sigma_w) \rightarrow x^{*T} w \sim N(x^{*T} \mu_w, x^{*T} \Sigma_w x^*) \quad (3.263)$$

Then:

$$P(y^* | \text{Data}, x^*) = N(x^{*T} \mu_w, x^{*T} \Sigma_w x^* + \sigma^2) \quad (3.264)$$

3.6.5 Gaussian Process Regression

3.7 Learning

3.7.1 Introduction

$$\begin{cases} \text{Structure learning} \\ \text{Parameter learning} : \begin{cases} \text{Complete data} \\ \text{Hidden variable : EM} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

3.7.2 Proof of convergence of EM

$$\theta_{MLE} = \underset{\theta}{argmax} \log p(x|\theta) \quad (3.265)$$

$$init(z) \downarrow \quad (3.266)$$

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \underset{\theta}{argmax} \int_z \log P(x, z|\theta) \cdot P(z|x, \theta^{(t)}) dz \quad (3.267)$$

$$= \underset{\theta}{argmax} E_{z|x\theta^{(t)}} [\log P(x, z|\theta)] \quad (3.268)$$

Proof. $\log p(x|\theta^t) \leq \log p(x|\theta^{t+1})$

$$\log p(x|\theta) = \log p(x, z|\theta) - \log p(z|x, \theta) \quad (3.269)$$

$$\int_z p(z|x, \theta^t) \cdot \log p(x|\theta) dz = \int_z p(z|x, \theta^t) \log p(x, z|\theta) dz - \int_z p(z|x, \theta^t) \log p(z|x, \theta) dz \quad (3.270)$$

$$= Q(\theta, \theta^t) - H(\theta, \theta^t) \quad (3.271)$$

$$\therefore \theta^{(t+1)} = \underset{\theta}{argmax} E_{z|x\theta^{(t)}} [\log P(x, z|\theta)] \quad (3.272)$$

$$\therefore Q(\theta^{t+1}, \theta^t) \geq Q(\theta^t, \theta^t) \quad (3.273)$$

$$(3.274)$$

$$\therefore H(\theta^{t+1}, \theta^t) - H(\theta^t, \theta^t) = \int_z p(z|x, \theta^t) \log p(x, z|\theta^{t+1}) dz - \int_z p(z|x, \theta^t) \log p(z|x, \theta^t) dz \quad (3.275)$$

$$= \int_z p(z|x, \theta^t) \cdot \log \frac{p(z|x, \theta^{t+1})}{p(z|x, \theta^t)} \quad (3.276)$$

$$= -KL(p(z|x, \theta^t) || p(z|x, \theta^{t+1})) \quad (3.277)$$

$$\leq 0 \text{ (or Jensen's inequality)} \quad (3.278)$$

$$\therefore H(\theta^{t+1}, \theta^t) \geq H(\theta^t, \theta^t) \quad (3.279)$$

□

Reference: ⁸

⁸<https://people.duke.edu/~ccc14/sta-663/EMAlgorithm.html>




Figure 3.11: Jensen's inequality

3.7.3 ELBO+KL For EM

EM(Expectation maximization) Algorithm は座標昇順法 (Coordinate descent) のような反復更新。実は ELBO(Evidence lower bound) を最大化します。

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \int_z \log P(x, z|\theta) \cdot P(z|x, \theta^{(t)}) dz \quad (3.280)$$

E-step: $p(z|x, \theta^t) \rightarrow E_{z|x, \theta^t}[\log p(x, z|\theta)]$;

M-step: $\theta^{t+1} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} E_{z|x, \theta^t}[\log p(x, z|\theta)]$

Proof. $\log p(x|\theta) = ELBO + KL(q||p)$

$$\log p(x|\theta) = \log p(x, z|\theta) - \log p(z|x, \theta) \quad (3.281)$$

$$= \log \frac{p(x, z|\theta)}{q(z)} - \log \frac{p(z|x, \theta)}{q(z)} \quad (3.282)$$

$$\int_z q(z) \cdot \log p(x|\theta) dz = \int_z q(z) \cdot \log \frac{p(x, z|\theta)}{q(z)} dz - \int_z q(z) \cdot \log \frac{p(z|x, \theta)}{q(z)} dz \quad (3.283)$$

$$(3.284)$$

maximize evidence lower bound:

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \int q(z) \cdot \log \frac{p(x, z|\theta)}{q(z)} dz \quad (3.285)$$

$$= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \int q(z|x, \theta^t) \cdot \log \frac{p(x, z|\theta)}{q(z|x, \theta^t)} dz \quad (3.286)$$

$$= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \int q(z|x, \theta^t) \cdot \log p(x, z|\theta) dz \quad (3.287)$$

□

Reference: ⁹

⁹<https://people.duke.edu/~ccc14/sta-663/EMAlgorithm.html>




Figure 3.12: Expectation maximization

3.7.4 Jensen's inequality For EM

CS229-Andrew Ng

Proof.

$$\log p(x|\theta) = \log \int_z p(x, z|\theta) dz \quad (3.288)$$

$$= \log \int_z \frac{p(x, z|\theta)}{q(z)} \cdot q(z) dz \quad (3.289)$$

$$= \log E_{q(z)} \left[\frac{p(x, z|\theta)}{q(z)} \right] \quad (3.290)$$

$$\geq E_{q(z)} \left[\log \frac{p(x, z|\theta)}{q(z)} \right] \quad (3.291)$$

If $\frac{p(x, z|\theta)}{q(z)} = C$ then "=";

$$\therefore q(z) = \frac{1}{c} p(x, z|\theta) \quad (3.292)$$

$$1 = \int_z q(z) dz = \int_z \frac{1}{c} p(x, z|\theta) dz \quad (3.293)$$

$$= \frac{1}{c} \int_z p(x, z|\theta) dz \quad (3.294)$$

$$1 = \frac{1}{c} p(x|\theta) \quad (3.295)$$

$$c = p(x|\theta) \quad (3.296)$$

$$\therefore q(z) = \frac{1}{p(x|\theta)} p(x, z|\theta) = p(z|x, \theta) \quad (3.297)$$

$$(3.298)$$

$$\therefore \log p(x|\theta) = ELBO + p(z|x, \theta) \quad (3.299)$$

$$= E_{q(z)} \left[\log \frac{p(x, z|\theta)}{q(z)} \right] + p(z|x, \theta) \quad (3.300)$$

□


Reference: ¹⁰

Figure 3.13: Jensen's inequality

¹⁰<http://willwolf.io/2018/11/11/em-for-lda/>