Solutions to Problem Set 2

L. F. Jaw

March 23, 2021

This is my own solution to Problem Set 2 of 18.014. The first four problems are from Apostol's *Calculus* (1: 57, 60, 70).

1. (a) Let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k x^k$ be either a zero polynomial or a polynomial of degree at most n. If f(x) = 0 for n + 1 distinct real values of x, then f is a zero polynomial.

Proof. Let x_k denote the zeros for f. The statement clearly holds for n=0. If f is of degree 0, then $f(x_0)=c_0=0$, which contradicts the assumption $c_0\neq 0$. Thus, f must be a zero polynomial. Suppose the statement holds for some $n\geqslant 0$. We are going to show that the statement also holds for n+1. Assume that f is either a zero polynomial or a polynomial of degree at most n+1 and have n+2 distinct zeros. The polynomial f can be factored into $(x-x_0)h(x)$, where f is either a zero polynomial or a polynomial of degree at most f. Our induction basis implies the degree of f cannot be 0. If the degree of f is at least one, then this is so by the result we proved in Recitation 5. If f is a zero polynomial, then $f(x)=0=(x-x_0)\cdot 0$. In both cases, the stated decomposition holds. Other than f0, f1 still has f2 distinct zeros. By Theorem I.11, f3 must have f4 distinct zeros. By our induction hypothesis, f4 is a zero polynomial. This means f6 is also a zero polynomial.

An alternative view, which is less wordy, to look at the problem is as follows.

Proof. Suppose the degree of the polynomial *f* is well-defined. Then let *m* denote the degree of *f*. By the result from Recitation 5, we have

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= h_0(x) \\ &= (x - x_0) \, h_1(x) \\ &= (x - x_0)(x - x_1) \, h_2(x) \\ &= \left\{ \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (x - x_k) \right\} h_m(x), \end{split}$$

where each h_i is a polynomial of degree m-i. Since $0 \le m \le n$ and f has n+1 distinct zeros, there is at least one x_m different from x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} such that

$$f(x_m) = \left\{ \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (x_m - x_k) \right\} h_m(x_m) = 0.$$

The product in the braces is not zero, then by Theorem I.11 $h_m(x_m) = 0$. This is impossible since h_m is of degree 0. Thus, f must be a zero polynomial.

(b) Let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k x^k$ and $g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_k x^k$ be polynomials of degree n and m respectively and $m \ge n$. Prove that if g(x) = f(x) for m + 1 distinct real values of x, then m = n, $b_k = c_k$ for each k, and g(x) = f(x) for all real x.

Proof. Let h(x) = g(x) - f(x). Then it is easy to see that h is either a zero polynomial or a polynomial of degree at most m. The polynomial h has m+1 distinct zeros since g(x) = f(x) for

m + 1 distinct real values of x. By the result from the previous part, h is thus a zero polynomial. We have

$$\begin{split} h(x) &= g(x) - f(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_k x^k - \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k x^k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} b_k x^k - \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} b_k x^k + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (b_k x^k - c_k x^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} b_k x^k + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (b_k - c_k) x^k \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

If m > n, then the above won't hold since $b_m \neq 0$. Thus, m = n and $b_k - c_k = 0$ for each k. \square

2. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, and let \mathcal{M} denote the class of all subsets of A. (There are 32 altogether, counting A itself and the empty set \emptyset .) For each set S in \mathcal{M} , let n(S) denote the number of distinct elements in S. If $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $T = \{3, 4, 5\}$, compute $n(S \cup T)$, $n(S \cap T)$, n(S - T), and n(T - S). Prove that the set function n satisfies the first three axioms for area.

We have
$$S \cup T = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$$
, $S \cap T = \{3, 4\}$, $S - T = \{1, 2\}$, and $T - S = \{5\}$. Thus, $n(S \cup T) = 5$, $n(S \cap T) = 2$, $n(S - T) = 2$ and $n(T - S) = 1$.

Proof. The empty set \emptyset has the least number of distinct elements, namely 0. Any nonempty set S has at least one element and hence n(S) > 0. Thus, $n(S) \ge 0$ for each set $S \in \mathcal{M}$. This proves the nonnegative property.

Now suppose S and T are both in M. For any $x \in S \cup T$, either $x \in S$ or $x \in T$. If $x \in S$, then $x \in A$ since $S \subseteq A$. Similarly, if $x \in T$, then $x \in A$ since $T \subseteq A$. Thus, $x \in A$. This means $S \cup T$ is a subset of A and thus is in M. In the same fashion, we can show that $S \cap T$ is also in M. It is easy to see that the sets $S \cap T$, $S \cap T$

$$S = (S - T) \cup (S \cap T),$$

$$T = (T - S) \cup (S \cap T),$$

$$S \cup T = (S - T) \cup (S \cap T) \cup (T - S).$$

Thus, we have

$$n(S) = n(S - T) + n(S \cap T), \tag{1}$$

$$n(T) = n(T - S) + n(S \cap T), \tag{2}$$

П

$$n(S \cup T) = n(S - T) + n(S \cap T) + n(T - S).$$
 (3)

Substitute (1) and (2) into (3) and we obtain

$$n(S \cup T) = n(S) + n(T) - n(S \cap T).$$

This proves the additive property.

With additional condition $S \subseteq T$, we have $S \cup T = T$, $S \cap T = S$, and $S - T = \emptyset$. Substitute into (3) and we get

$$n(T) = n(\emptyset) + n(S) + n(T - S)$$
, or $n(T - S) = n(T) - n(S)$.

This proves the difference property.

3. (a) Compute $\int_0^9 [\sqrt{t}] dt$.

We can find a partition $\{0, 1, 4, 9\}$ for the step function $[\sqrt{t}]$ and then

$$\int_{0}^{9} [\sqrt{t}] dt = 0 \times 1 + 1 \times (4 - 1) + 2 \times (9 - 4) = 13.$$

(b) If *n* is a positive integer, prove that $\int_0^{n^2} [\sqrt{t}] dt = n(n-1)(4n+1)/6$.

Proof. We can find a partition $\{0,1,4,\ldots,n^2\}$ for the step function $[\sqrt{t}]$ and then

$$\int_0^{n^2} [\sqrt{t}] dt = \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1) \{k^2 - (k-1)^2\}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1) (2k-1)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n (2k^2 - 3k + 1)$$

$$= 2\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 - 3\sum_{k=1}^n k + \sum_{k=1}^n 1$$

$$= \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{3} - \frac{3n(n+1)}{2} + n$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)(4n+1)}{6}.$$

4. If, instead of defining integrals of step functions by using formula (1.3), we used the definition

$$\int_{a}^{b} s(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{k}^{3} \cdot (x_{k} - x_{k-1}),$$

a new and different theory of integration would result. Which of the following properties would remain valid in this new theory?

(a)
$$\int_{a}^{b} s + \int_{b}^{c} s = \int_{a}^{c} s.$$
This is valid

Proof. Let $P_1 = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a partition for s on [a,b] and $P_2 = \{x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_m\}$ be a partition for s on [b,c]. Then $s(x) = s_k$ on every open interval (x_{k-1}, x_k) and $s(x) = s'_j$ on every open interval (x'_{j-1}, x'_j) , where $0 \le k \le n$, $0 \le j \le m$, and s_k and s'_j are all constants. Notice that $x_n = x'_0 = b$. We now can form a new partition $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_m\}$. We set $x_k = x'_{k-n}$ and $s_k = s'_{k-n}$ when k > n. Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} s + \int_{b}^{c} s = \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{k}^{3}(x_{k} - x_{k-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{'3}(x_{j}' - x_{j-1}')$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{k}^{3}(x_{k} - x_{k-1}) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} s_{k}^{3}(x_{k} - x_{k-1})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} s_{k}^{3}(x_{k} - x_{k-1})$$

$$= \int_{a}^{c} s.$$

(b)
$$\int_a^b c \cdot s = c \int_a^b s.$$

This is invalid. Let $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a partition for s on [a, b] and $s(x) = s_k$ on every open interval (x_k, x_{k-1}) . Then

$$\int_a^b c \cdot s = \sum_{k=1}^n (cs_k)^3 (x_k - x_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n c^3 s_k^3 (x_k - x_{k-1}) \neq c \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^3 (x_k - x_{k-1}) = c \int_a^b s.$$

However, we do have

$$\int_a^b c \cdot s = c^3 \int_a^b s.$$

5. Prove, using properties of the integral, that for a, b > 0

$$\int_1^a \frac{dx}{x} + \int_1^b \frac{dx}{x} = \int_1^{ab} \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Define a function $f(w) = \int_1^w \frac{1}{x} dx$, for $w \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Rewrite the equation above in terms of the function f. Give an example of a function that has the same property as the one displayed here by f.

Proof. We have

$$\int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{1}{a} \int_{a}^{ab} \frac{dx}{x/a}$$
 by Theorem 1.19,
$$= \int_{a}^{ab} \frac{dx}{x}$$
 by homogeneity and cancellation,
$$= \int_{a}^{1} \frac{dx}{x} + \int_{1}^{ab} \frac{dx}{x}$$
 by Theorem 1.17,
$$= -\int_{1}^{a} \frac{dx}{x} + \int_{1}^{ab} \frac{dx}{x}$$
 by our convention.

Move the first term of the RHS to the LHS and we obtain the equation.

We can rewrite the equation in terms of the function *f* as

$$f(a) + f(b) = f(ab).$$

The logarithm functions observe the stated property

$$\log a + \log b = \log ab.$$

6. Suppose we define $\int_a^b s(x) dx = \sum s_k (x_{k-1} - x_k)^2$ for a step function s(x) with partition $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Is this integral well-defined? That is, will the value of the integral be independent of the choice of partition? (If well-defined, prove it. If not well-defined, provide a counterexample.) Clearly, this integral is not well-defined since the factor $(x_{k-1} - x_k)^2$ does not observe telescoping property under refinement. A counterexample will be as follows. Let us define s(x) = 1 on [0,2]. Then both $P_1 = \{0,2\}$ and $P_2 = \{0,1,2\}$ are partitions for s, with s0 being a refinement of s1. However, we have

$$\int_0^2 s = (2 - 0)^2 = 4 \neq 2 = (1 - 0)^2 + (2 - 1)^2 = \int_0^2 s.$$

7.* Define the function (where n is in the positive integers)

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x = \frac{1}{n^2}, \\ 0, & x \neq \frac{1}{n^2}. \end{cases}$$

Prove that *f* is integrable on [0,1] and that $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$.

Proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$, by the Archimedean property of real numbers we can always find a positive integer n_0 such that $1/n_0^2 < \epsilon$. Then we can define two step functions s and t on [0,1] as follows. Let

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{ and } x > \frac{1}{n_0^2}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad t(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{ and } x > \frac{1}{n_0^2}, \\ \frac{1}{n_0^2}, & 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{n_0^2}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\int_0^1 s = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 t = \frac{1}{n_0^4}.$$

4

Therefore, we have

$$\int_0^1 t - \int_0^1 s = \frac{1}{n_0^4} \leqslant \frac{1}{n_0^2} < \epsilon$$

and

$$\int_0^1 s \leqslant 0 \leqslant \int_0^1 t.$$

By Theorem 2 from Course Note C, the function f is integrable on [0,1] and $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$.