

# Solutions to Recitation 2

L. F. JAW

March 1, 2021

This is my own solution to the Recitation 2 of [18.014](#), which includes Theorem I.9–10 and Exercise 4 from Apostol's *Calculus* (1: 18–19).

The cancellation law (Theorem I.7) implies the uniqueness of the inverse if it exists and thus we use the notation  $a^{-1}$  introduced in the Theorem I.8 freely without any ambiguity. Furthermore, if  $a \neq 0$ , then  $a^{-1} \neq 0$ . If otherwise,  $a \cdot a^{-1} = a \cdot 0 = 0 \neq 1$ .

1. If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $b/a = b \cdot a^{-1}$ .

We have

$a \cdot (b/a) = b$	by definition of division,
$= 1 \cdot b$	by the identity axiom,
$= (aa^{-1}) \cdot b$	by the inverse axiom,
$= a \cdot (a^{-1}b)$	by associativity,
$= a \cdot (ba^{-1})$	by commutativity.

We apply the cancellation law (Theorem I.7) to the above and obtain  $b/a = b \cdot a^{-1}$ . □

2. If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$ .

Since  $a \neq 0$ ,  $a^{-1} \neq 0$ . And we have

$a^{-1} \cdot (a^{-1})^{-1} = 1$	by definition of reciprocal,
$= a \cdot a^{-1}$	by the inverse axiom,
$= a^{-1} \cdot a$	by commutativity.

By the cancellation law, we obtain  $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$ . □

3. Zero has no reciprocal.

Suppose zero has a reciprocal and let us denote it as  $0^{-1}$ . Then

$0 = 0 \cdot 0^{-1}$	by Theorem I.6,
$= 1$	by definition of reciprocal.

But by the identity axiom,  $0 \neq 1$ . This is contradictory. □