sı Project work
Q1 · Yes / No Can you open the pdf/html-file and it's no essentially empty? If the submission is empty or no-effort nonsense contact the course staff. Response
No
140
Yes
Q2 · Scale Is there an introduction?
Response
There is no clear introduction
The introduction touches on the main topic
The introduction states the main topic and provides an overview of the report
The introduction is inviting, presents an overview of the report. Information is relevant and presented in a logical order

Q3 · Text What are your suggestions on how to improve the introduction?
Response
Q4 · Yes / No Is there a description of the data and the analysis problem? Response
No, it's practically non-existing, or it's nonsense
Yes
Q5 · Text Did you get a sense of what is the data and the analysis problem when they were first introduced? Where and how might the author make the model description more clear? Response
Q6 · Scale Are there descriptions of at least two models?
Response
No, practically non-existing, or nonsense
Only one model described
At least two models described

Q7 · Text Did you get a sense of what the models are? Where and how might the author(s) make the model description more clear?
Response
Q8 · Scale Are there descriptions and justifications of the prior choices?
Response
No priors, non-existing description, or nonsense
O Priors listed but not justified
O Priors are listed and justified
Q9 · Text Did you get a sense of what the priors are? Where and how might the author(s) make the prior description and justification more clear? Response
Q10 · Scale Is Stan code included? The complete Stan code can be in the appendix if it's mentioned in the main text.
Response
No code or nonsense code
Stan code included, but it's really messy
Stan code included with easy to read layout (even if it would be complex to understand)

Q11 · Yes / No Is there code to show Stan model is run so that it's easy to see what options were used? Response
No, it's practically non-existing, or it's nonsense
Yes
Q12 · Scale Is Rhat convergence diagnostics and interpretation included? Response
○ No
Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the shown Rhat values
Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the shown Rhat values
Q13 · Scale Are HMC specific convergence diagnostics (divergences, tree depth) with interpretation of the results included?
Response
○ No
 Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the shown values
O Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the shown values

Q14 · Scale Are effective sample size diagnostic (usually denoted with n_eff or ESS) and an interpretation of the results included?
Response
○ No
Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the shown values Vec with discussion what can be concluded from the shown values.
 Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the shown values
Q15 · Scale Is there posterior predictive checking and interpretation of the results? Response No
O Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the shown checks
O Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the shown checks
Q16 · Scale Is there model comparison and interpretation of the results? Response No
Is there model comparison and interpretation of the results? Response No
Is there model comparison and interpretation of the results? Response
Is there model comparison and interpretation of the results? Response No Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the comparison
Response No Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the comparison Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the comparison Q17 · Yes / No Is there predictive performance assessment if applicable (e.g. classification accuracy) and
Response No Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the comparison Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the comparison Q17 · Yes / No Is there predictive performance assessment if applicable (e.g. classification accuracy) and evaluation of practical usefulness of the accuracy?

Q18 · Scale Is there prior sensitivity analysis? That is, is there any alternative prior tested and reported and whether estimates of quantities of interest changed?
Response
○ No
Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the sensitivity analysis
Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the sensitivity analysis
Q19 · Scale Is there a discussion of problems and potential improvements? The analysis does not need to be perfect. It is ok to have bad models, bad convergence etc, as long as they are acknowledged and discussed.
Response
No, practically non-existing, or nonsense
○ Some
Very clear
Q20 · Scale Is there a conclusion describing what was learned from the data analysis?
Response
No, practically non-existing, or nonsense
A conclusion is included
The conclusion is clear

Q21 · Text
Describe in your own words what is the main conclusion of the data analysis in this report?
Response
Q22 · Yes / No Is there a section of self-reflection about what the group learned while making the project?
Response
There is no self-reflection section or it's practically non-existing
There is a self-reflection section
Q23 · Scale Accuracy of use of statistical terms
Accuracy of use of statistical terms
Accuracy of use of statistical terms Response
Accuracy of use of statistical terms Response There are numerous errors in use statistical terms
Accuracy of use of statistical terms Response There are numerous errors in use statistical terms There are some errors or confusing use of statistical terms
Accuracy of use of statistical terms Response There are numerous errors in use statistical terms There are some errors or confusing use of statistical terms
Accuracy of use of statistical terms Response There are numerous errors in use statistical terms There are some errors or confusing use of statistical terms
Accuracy of use of statistical terms Response There are numerous errors in use statistical terms There are some errors or confusing use of statistical terms
Accuracy of use of statistical terms Response There are numerous errors in use statistical terms There are some errors or confusing use of statistical terms
Accuracy of use of statistical terms Response There are numerous errors in use statistical terms There are some errors or confusing use of statistical terms
Accuracy of use of statistical terms Response There are numerous errors in use statistical terms There are some errors or confusing use of statistical terms

Q24 · Scale The structure and organization of the report
Response The report lacks a clear data analysis story
The report attempts to tell a coherent data analysis story but lacks some focus and clarity
The report presents a clear cohesive data analysis story
The report presents a clear cohesive data analysis story, which is enjoyable to read
Overall, what did you think of the structure and organization of the report? Name at least one way your peer could improve structure and organization. Response
Q26 · Text Choose something you like about the report and explain why you like it. Response

Q27 · Text If you were to go back and redo your own report after reading this submission, what would you change?
Response
Q28 · Text If the student(s) were to complete this project work again, what could they change, to make it overall better?
Response