Bayesian data analysis – Assignment 5

General information

- The recommended tool in this course is R (with the IDE R-Studio). You can download R here and R-Studio here. There are tons of tutorials, videos and introductions to R and R-Studio online. You can find some initial hints from RStudio Education pages.
- Instead of installing R and RStudio on you own computer, see how to use R and RStudio remotely.
- When working with R, we recommend writing the report using R markdown and the provided R markdown template. The remplate includes the formatting instructions and how to include code and figures.
- Instead of R markdown, you can use other software to make the PDF report, but the the same
 instructions for formatting should be used. These instructions are available also in the PDF
 produced from the R markdown template.
- Report all results in a single, **anonymous** *.pdf -file and return it to **peergrade.io**.
- The course has its own R package aaltobda with data and functionality to simplify coding. To install the package just run the following (upgrade="never" skips question about updating other packages):

```
    install.packages("remotes")
    remotes::install_github("avehtari/BDA_course_Aalto", subdir = "rpackage", upgrade="never")
```

- Many of the exercises can be checked automatically using the R package markmyassignment. Information on how to install and use the package can be found here. There is no need to include markmyassignment results in the report.
- Recommended additional self study exercises for each chapter in BDA3 are listed in the course web page.
- Common questions and answers regarding installation and technical problems can be found in Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ).
- Deadlines for all assignments can be found on the course web page and in peergrade. You can set email alerts for trhe deadlines in peergrade settings.
- You are allowed to discuss assignments with your friends, but it is not allowed to copy solutions directly from other students or from internet. You can copy, e.g., plotting code from the course demos, but really try to solve the actual assignment problems with your own code and explanations. Do not share your answers publicly. Do not copy answers from the internet or from previous years. We compare the answers to the answers from previous years and to the answers from other students this year. All suspected plagiarism will be reported and investigated. See more about the Aalto University Code of Academic Integrity and Handling Violations Thereof.
- Do not submit empty PDFs or almost empty PDFs as these are just harming the other students as they can't do peergrading for the empty or almost empty submissions. Violations of this

rule will be reported and investigated in the same way was plagiarism.

• If you have any suggestions or improvements to the course material, please post in the course chat feedback channel, create an issue, or submit a pull request to the public repository!

Information on this assignment

This assignment is related to Chapters 10 and 11. The maximum amount of points from this assignment is 6.

Reading instructions: Chapter 10 and 11 in BDA3, see reading instructions here and here.

Grading instructions: The grading will be done in peergrade. All grading questions and evaluations for assignment 5 can be found **here**

Reporting accuracy: For posterior statistics of interest, only report digits for which the Monte Carlo standard error (MCSE) is zero. *Example:* If you estimate $E(\mu)=1.234$ with MCSE($E(\mu)$) = 0.01, you should report $E(\mu)=1.2$. See lecture video 4.1 and the chapter notes for more information.

To use markmyassignment for this assignment, run the following code in R:

```
library (markmyassignment)
assignment_path <-
   paste("https://github.com/avehtari/BDA_course_Aalto/,
        blob/master/assignments/tests/assignment5.yml", sep="")
set_assignment (assignment_path)
# To check your code/functions, just run
mark_my_assignment()</pre>
```

Generalized linear model: Bioassay with Metropolis (6 points)

Metropolis algorithm: Replicate the computations for the bioassay example of section 3.7 in BDA3 using the Metropolis algorithm. The Metropolis algorithm is described in BDA3 Chapter 11.2. More information on the bioassay data can be found in Section 3.7 in BDA3, and in **Chapter 3 notes**.

1. Implement the Metropolis algorithm as an R function for the bioassay data. Use the Gaussian prior as in Assignment 4, that is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0\right), \qquad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2^2 & 12 \\ 12 & 10^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

a) Start by implementing a function called density_ratio to compute the density ratio function, r in Eq. (11.1) in BDA3. Below is an example on how the function should work. You can test the function using markmyassignment.

Hint! Compute with log-densities. Reasons are explained on page 261 of BDA3 and lecture video 4.1. Remember that $p_1/p_0 = \exp(\log(p_1) - \log(p_0))$. For your convenience we have provided functions that will evaluate the log-likelihood for given α and β (see bicassaylp() in the aaltobda package). Notice that you still need to add the prior yourself and remember the unnormalized log posterior is simply the sum of log-likelihood and log-prior. For evaluating the log of the Gaussian prior you can use the function dmvnorm from package aaltobda.

b) Now implement a function called Metropolis_bioassay() which implements the Metropolis algorithm using the density_ratio().

Hint! Use a simple (normal) proposal distribution. Example proposals are $\alpha^* \sim N(\alpha_{t-1}, \sigma = 1)$ and $\beta^* \sim N(\beta_{t-1}, \sigma = 5)$. There is no need to try to find optimal proposal but test some different values for the jump scale (σ) . Remember to report the one you used. Efficient proposals are discussed in BDA3 p. 295–297 (not part of the course). In real-life a pre-run could be made with an automatic adaptive control to adapt the proposal distribution.

- 2. Include in the report the following:
 - a) Describe in your own words in one paragraph the basic idea of the Metropolis algorithm (see BDA3 Section 11.2, and lecture video 5.1).

- b) The proposal distribution (related to *jumping rule*) you used. Describe briefly in words how you chose the final proposal distribution you used for the reported results.
- c) The initial points of your Metropolis chains (or the explicit mechanism for generating them).
- d) Report the chain length or the number of iterations for each chain. Run the simulations long enough for approximate convergence (see BDA Section 11.4, and lecture 5.2).
- e) Report the warm-up length (see BDA Section 11.4, and lecture 5.2).
- f) The number of Metropolis chains used. It is important that multiple Metropolis chains are run for evaluating convergence (see BDA Section 11.4, and lecture 5.2)..
- g) Plot all chains for α in a single line-plot. Overlapping the chains in this way helps in visually assessing whether chains have converged or not.
- h) Do the same for β .
- 3. In complex scenarios, visual assessment is not sufficient and \widehat{R} is a more robust indicator of convergence of the Markov chains. Use \widehat{R} for convergence analysis. You can either use Eq. (11.4) in BDA3 or the more recent version described here. You should specify which \widehat{R} you used. In R the best choice is to use function Rhat from package rstan. Remember to remove the warm-up samples before computing \widehat{R} . Report the \widehat{R} values for α and β separately. Report the values for the proposal distribution you finally used.
 - a) Describe briefly in your own words the basic idea of \widehat{R} and how to to interpret the obtained \widehat{R} values.
 - b) Tell whether you obtained good \widehat{R} with first try, or whether you needed to run more iterations or how did you modify the proposal distribution.
- 4. Plot the draws for α and β (scatter plot) and include this plot in your report. You can compare the results to Figure 3.3b in BDA3 to verify that your code gives sensible results. Notice though that the results in Figure 3.3b are generated from posterior with a uniform prior, so even when if your algorithm works perfectly, the results will look slightly different (although fairly similar).