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STARD - BLCM

STARD-BLCM stands for “Standards for the Reporting of Diagnostic accuracy studies that use Bayesian Latent Class Models” and is a modification of the STARD statement (which was recently updated to STARD2015). STARD-BLCM aims to facilitate improved quality of reporting for diagnostic accuracy studies that use Bayesian latent class models in the absence of a reference standard. The proposed modifications are relevant to both Bayesian and frequentist estimation methods but the focus is on the former.

More information for STARD (STARD2015) can be found at: [http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/stard](http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/stard/)

More information for STARD-BLCM can be found at: [http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/stard-blcm](http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/stard-blcm/)