

Global Internet Money (MoniesTree) Security Review

Introduction

A time-boxed security review of the **Global Internet Money** protocol (MoniesTree) was conducted by **Stonewall**, with a focus on the security aspects of the smart contract implementation.

Disclaimer

A smart contract security review can never verify the complete absence of vulnerabilities. This is a time, resource and expertise bound effort where we try to find as many vulnerabilities as possible. We can not guarantee 100% security after the review or even if the review will find any problems with your smart contracts. Subsequent security reviews, bug bounty programs and on-chain monitoring are strongly recommended.

About Stonewall

Stonewall is an independent smart contract security firm delivering immovable protection for Web3 protocols. Our team brings deep expertise in DeFi security, having reviewed DEXs, yield farming protocols, gaming contracts, and complex financial systems.

About Global Internet Money (MoniesTree)

MoniesTree is a DeFi protocol on PulseChain featuring:

- **Auction System:** 35-day daily auction where users deposit PLS to acquire tokens (each "day" = 4 hours, total 140 hours)
- **Token Vesting:** Auction participants receive vested tokens with 3% daily emissions (up to 111% return over 37 days)

- **Staking & Rewards:** Users stake MT tokens to earn rewards from reserve pool (10% of reserve distributed daily)
- **Buy-Back Mechanism:** 85% of collected PLS used to buy back tokens and build reserves
- **Buy/Sell Tax:** Configurable buy tax (max 10%) and sell tax (max 15%) on LP trades

Privileged Roles & Actors

Role	Description
Admin	Full control over protocol parameters, can pause auctions, change addresses
Team	Can set taxes, pause rewards, adjust slippage, manage exclusions
Fee Recipient	Receives 15% of collected PLS from daily auctions

Observations

- Complex three-contract architecture (MoniesTree + StakeContract + ReserveContract)
- Auction mechanism with 4-hour "days" (35 total = 140 hours auction period)
- LP tokens permanently burned (sent to zero address)
- No timelock or multisig on admin functions
- Tax only applies to LP buys/sells, not regular transfers

Risk Classification

	High Impact	Medium Impact	Low Impact
High Likelihood	Critical	High	Medium
Medium Likelihood	High	Medium	Low
Low Likelihood	Medium	Low	Low

Impact

- **High:** Leads to significant loss of user funds, protocol insolvency, or complete protocol failure
- **Medium:** Leads to partial loss of funds, temporary denial of service, or governance manipulation
- **Low:** Leads to minor issues, inconvenience, or suboptimal behavior

Likelihood

- **High:** Attack is easy to perform and likely to happen
- **Medium:** Attack requires specific conditions but is feasible
- **Low:** Attack requires significant effort, resources, or unlikely conditions

Security Assessment Summary

Review Details	
Protocol Name	Global Internet Money (MoniesTree)
Repository	Private
Commit	N/A
Review Date	January 2026
Methods	Manual review, static analysis
Network	PulseChain

Scope

Contract	SLOC
<code>new.sol</code> (MoniesTree)	~650
<code>StakeContract</code> (embedded)	~290
<code>ReserveContract</code> (embedded)	~200

Findings Summary

ID	Title	Severity	Status
[C-01]	Unbounded loop in updateRewards() causes permanent DoS	Critical	Open
[H-01]	Admin can pause auctions indefinitely and trap user PLS	High	Open

[H-02]	No slippage protection on initial liquidity addition	High	Open
[M-01]	Integer division precision loss in reserve splits	Medium	Open
[M-02]	Missing zero-address checks on critical setters	Medium	Open
[M-03]	7-day stake lock may be inconsistent with 4-hour "days"	Medium	Open
[L-01]	SafeMath unnecessary in Solidity 0.8+	Low	Open
[L-02]	Missing events for critical state changes	Low	Open
[L-03]	LP tokens permanently burned without recovery option	Low	Open

Detailed Findings

[C-01] Unbounded Loop in updateRewards() Causes Permanent DoS

Severity: Critical

Location: StakeContract:467-469

Description:

The `updateRewards()` function iterates through ALL stakers in the `allStakers` array:

```
// Loop through all users and update their pending rewards
for (uint256 i = 0; i < allStakers.length; i++) {
    updateUserRewards(allStakers[i]);
}
```

As the number of stakers grows, this loop will eventually exceed the block gas limit. Since `updateRewards()` is called by `deposit()`, `withdraw()`, `claimRewards()`, and `compoundRewards()`, the entire staking system becomes permanently unusable.

Impact:

- Complete denial of service for all staking operations
- Users unable to withdraw their staked tokens
- Users unable to claim accumulated rewards
- Protocol becomes unusable after ~500-1000 users

Recommendation:

Implement a pull-based reward system where users update only their own rewards:

```
function updateRewards() public {
    uint256 currentDay = _auction.readCurrentDay();
    if (!rewardsActive || lastRewardDay >= currentDay || rewardsPaused) return;

    // Update global accRewardPerStake only
    for (uint256 day = lastRewardDay; day < currentDay; day++) {
        // ... existing reward calculation per day
    }
    lastRewardDay = currentDay;
}

// Users call updateUserRewards(msg.sender) themselves before actions
```

[H-01] Admin Can Pause Auctions Indefinitely and Trap User PLS

Severity: High

Location: `MoniesTree:1268-1287`

Description:

The admin can pause auctions via `pauseUnpauseAuctions()`. While paused:

- Users cannot enter new auctions
- Users who deposited PLS for future days cannot claim tokens
- The `buybackAndBuild()` function cannot execute
- PLS remains trapped in the contract

Although `permanentlyDisableAuctionPause()` exists, there's no mechanism to force the admin to call it, and no emergency withdrawal for users.

Impact:

- Users' PLS deposits can be held hostage
- No recourse for users if admin becomes malicious or loses keys

Recommendation:

1. Add maximum pause duration with automatic unpause

2. Implement emergency withdrawal mechanism for users
 3. Add timelock for pause functionality
-

[H-02] No Slippage Protection on Initial Liquidity Addition

Severity: High

Location: [MoniesTree:1310-1319](#)

Description:

The `addFirstDaysLiq()` function adds initial liquidity with zero slippage protection:

```
(, , uint256 amtLiquidity) = _router.addLiquidityETH{  
    value: collectedETHtoLiq  
}(  
    contrAddr,  
    amountAuctionTokenToAdd,  
    0, // amountTokenMin = 0  
    0, // amountETHMin = 0  
    contrAddr,  
    block.timestamp + 100  
);
```

Impact:

- Sandwich attack can steal significant value during initial liquidity provision
- MEV bots can front-run the transaction and extract value
- First-day auction participants receive less value than expected

Recommendation:

Calculate minimum amounts based on expected price:

```
uint256 amountTokenMin = amountAuctionTokenToAdd * 95 / 100;  
uint256 amountETHMin = collectedETHtoLiq * 95 / 100;
```

[M-01] Integer Division Precision Loss in Reserve Splits

Severity: Medium

Location: Multiple locations

Description:

Several places divide amounts by 2, losing precision for odd amounts:

```
// MoniesTree:1108-1109  
taxBuyBackReserveContract.updateReserveAuction(true, tokenAmount / 2);  
taxBuyBackReserveContract.updateReserveStake(true, tokenAmount / 2);  
  
// MoniesTree:1172-1173  
taxBuyBackReserveContract.updateReserveAuction(true, taxAmount / 2);  
taxBuyBackReserveContract.updateReserveStake(true, taxAmount / 2);
```

For odd amounts, 1 wei is lost per split. Over many transactions, this accumulates.

Impact:

- Slow token leak over time
- Reserve balances don't match expected values

Recommendation:

Track remainder and distribute it:

```
uint256 half = tokenAmount / 2;  
uint256 remainder = tokenAmount - (half * 2);  
taxBuyBackReserveContract.updateReserveAuction(true, half + remainder);  
taxBuyBackReserveContract.updateReserveStake(true, half);
```

[M-02] Missing Zero-Address Checks on Critical Setters

Severity: Medium

Location: [MoniesTree:1075-1081](#)

Description:

The `setAdminAddresses()` function allows setting admin and fee recipient to any address:

```
function setAdminAddresses(  
    address payable admin,
```

```
    address payable feeRecipient
) external onlyAdmin {
    _admin = admin;
    _feeRecipient = feeRecipient;
}
```

Impact:

- Setting admin to zero address permanently locks all admin functions
- Setting fee recipient to zero address burns 15% of all PLS collected
- Irreversible loss of protocol control

Recommendation:

```
require(admin != address(0), "Invalid admin");
require(feeRecipient != address(0), "Invalid fee recipient");
```

[M-03] 7-Day Stake Lock May Be Inconsistent with 4-Hour "Days"

Severity: Medium

Location: StakeContract:335

Description:

The stake lock time is defined as `7 days` in real time:

```
uint256 public stakeLockTime = 7 days; // TODO change to 7 days
```

However, the protocol uses 4-hour "days" for auctions (`oneDay = 4 hours`). Users may expect the lock to be 7 auction "days" (28 hours) but get locked for 7 real days (168 hours).

Impact:

- Users locked longer than expected
- Confusion between auction days and real days
- Potential for user funds to be inaccessible during volatile periods

Recommendation:

Align lock period with protocol's day definition or clearly document the difference:

```
uint256 public stakeLockTime = 7 * 4 hours; // 7 protocol "days" = 28 hours
```

[L-01] SafeMath Unnecessary in Solidity 0.8+

Severity: Low

Location: Throughout all contracts

Description:

The contracts use SafeMath library despite being Solidity 0.8.0+, which has built-in overflow/underflow protection. This adds unnecessary gas costs.

Recommendation:

Remove SafeMath and use native arithmetic operators.

[L-02] Missing Events for Critical State Changes

Severity: Low

Location: Multiple functions

Description:

Several important state changes don't emit events:

- `setBuyAndSellTax()` - tax rate changes
- `setAdminAddresses()` - admin/fee recipient changes
- `setExcludedFromTax()` - tax exclusion changes
- `permanentlyDisableAuctionPause()` - permanent state change
- `setStakeRewardFactor()` / `setAuctionTokenFactor()` - reserve factor changes

Impact:

- Reduced transparency for users monitoring the protocol
- Difficult to track historical changes
- Limits off-chain monitoring capabilities

Recommendation:

Add appropriate events for all state-changing functions.

[L-03] LP Tokens Permanently Burned

Severity: Low

Location: [MoniesTree:1326](#)

Description:

Initial LP tokens are transferred to the zero address:

```
IERC20(liquidityPoolAddress).transfer(address(0), amtLiquidity);
```

Impact:

- LP tokens permanently lost
- Cannot remove liquidity in emergency
- No upgrade path if issues are discovered

Recommendation:

Consider sending to a dead address with known properties or implementing governance over LP tokens.

Centralization Risks

Risk	Description	Severity
Admin Key Compromise	Single admin controls all protocol parameters	High
Tax Manipulation	Team can set buy tax up to 10%, sell tax up to 15%	Medium
Pause Abuse	Admin can pause auctions trapping user funds	High
No Timelock	All admin changes take effect immediately	Medium
Reserve Factor Control	Team can set reward/auction factors from 1-100%	Medium

Recommendations

1. **Implement Pull-Based Rewards:** Replace the unbounded loop with a system where users update only their own rewards
 2. **Add Timelock:** Implement a timelock contract for all admin functions with at least 24-48 hour delay
 3. **Emergency Withdrawal:** Add mechanism for users to withdraw auction deposits if paused beyond threshold
 4. **Multisig Admin:** Replace single admin key with multisig wallet
 5. **Slippage Protection:** Add minimum output amounts to liquidity operations
 6. **Zero-Address Validation:** Add checks to prevent setting critical addresses to zero
 7. **Event Logging:** Add events for all state-changing admin functions
 8. **Clarify Lock Period:** Document or align stake lock with protocol's 4-hour day definition
-

Conclusion

Global Internet Money (MoniesTree) implements an auction and vesting mechanism on PulseChain, but contains a critical issue that should be addressed before production use. The unbounded loop in the staking contract will cause permanent protocol failure at scale. The centralization risks and lack of timelock also present significant trust assumptions for users.

We recommend addressing the Critical severity finding before deployment, and implementing the recommended mitigations for High and Medium severity issues.