The main argument that the author makes is diversity and passive tolerance are not bad things.

To summarize: diversity, through no matter the means, makes us more passive tolerant, to be able to accept people for who they are and not where they come from.

The opposing viewpoints that have been made against the author’s argument are: a increase in diversity causes people to become less driven to share and makes you hunker down.

The author is biased toward immigration. She may show a lot of facts about both sides of diversity but, through verb usage, you can tell that she is for immigration and diversity of it.

The most compelling evidence that the writer presents is passive tolerance, through constant direct contact, increases trust.

The audience that the article is intended for is persons who are for immigration and passive tolerance.