

Assignment No. 3 Rubric
EECS 468 - Programming Paradigms
Due: 11:59 PM, Monday, September 15, 2025

Student: Nischay Rawal

Student ID: 3152207

Point Breakdown

<i>Graded Value</i>	<i>Points Possible*</i>	<i>Criteria</i>
	3	Name of the zip file: FirstnameLastname_Assignment3 (with your first and last name). Files in other formats (e.g., .tar will not be graded).
	3	Name of the Assignment folder within the zip file: FirstnameLastname_Assignment3
	3	Copy of Rubric 3.docx with your name and ID filled out
	3	HTML file named: Assignment3.html
	5	2a is displayed correctly
	5	2b is displayed correctly
	5	2c is displayed correctly
	5	2d is displayed correctly
	5	2e is displayed correctly
	5	2f is displayed correctly
	5	2g is displayed correctly
	5	2h is displayed correctly
	5	2i is displayed correctly
	2	2a is labelled correctly
	2	2b is labelled correctly
	2	2c is labelled correctly
	2	2d is labelled correctly
	2	2e is labelled correctly
	2	2f is labelled correctly
	2	2g is labelled correctly

	2	2h is labelled correctly
	2	2i is labelled correctly
	25	Your code is adequately commented.
	100 pts	

*20 points will be deducted for each use of the built-in Set data structure in your code.

Rubric for Program Comments		
Exceeds Expectations (90-100%)	Meets Expectations (80-89%)	Unsatisfactory (0-79%)
Software is adequately commented with prologue comments, comments summarizing major blocks of code, and comments on every line.	Prologue comments are present but missing some items or some major blocks of code are not commented or there are inadequate comments on each line.	Prologue comments are missing all together or there are no comments on major blocks of code or there are very few comments on each line.

Adequate Prologue Comments:

- Name of program contained in the file (e.g., EECS 468 Assignment 1)
- Brief description of the program, e.g.,
 - Hello World! examples using JavaScript and HTML
- Inputs (e.g., none, for a function, it would be the parameters passed to it)
- Output, e.g.,
 - Browser window with 2 test buttons
- All collaborators
- Other sources for the code ChatGPT, stackOverflow, etc.
- Author's full name
- Creation date: The date you first create the file, i.e., the date you write this comment

Adequate comments summarizing major blocks of code and comments on every line:

- Provide comments that explain what each line of code is doing.
- You may comment each line of code (e.g., using `//`) and/or provide a multi-line comment (e.g., using `/*` and `*/`) that explains what a group of lines does.
- Multi-line comments should be detailed enough that it is clear what each line of code is doing.
- Each block of code must indicate whether you authored the code, you obtained it from one of the sources listed in the prolog, or one of your collaborators authored the code, or if it was a combination of all of these.

Collaboration and other sources for code:

- When you collaborate with other students or use other sources for the code (e.g., ChatGPT, stackOverflow):
 - Your comments must be significantly different from your collaborators.
 - More scrutiny will be applied to grading your comments in particular explaining the code "in your own words", not the source's comments (e.g., ChatGPT's comments).
- Failure to identify collaborators or other sources of code will not only result in a 0 on the assignment but will be considered an act of Academic Misconduct.
- Students who violate conduct policies will be subject to severe penalties, up through and including dismissal from the School of Engineering.

Comments