

# List of symbols

Symbol	Description
$\mathbb{N}$	set of nonnegative integers $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
$\mathbb{Z}$	set of integers $\{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
$\mathbb{R}$	set of real numbers
$\mathbb{C}$	set of complex numbers
$\mathbb{Q}$	set of rational numbers
$\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$	the smallest field containing the set $\mathbb{Q}$ and algebraic number $\beta$
$\#S$	number of elements of the finite set $S$
$C^*$	complex conjugation and transposition of the complex matrix $C$
$m_\beta$	monic minimal polynomial of the algebraic number $\beta$
$\deg \beta$	degree of the algebraic number $\beta$
$(\beta, \mathcal{A})$	numeration system with the base $\beta$ and the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$
$(x)_{\beta, \mathcal{A}}$	$(\beta, \mathcal{A})$ -representation of the number $x$
$\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$	set of all complex numbers with a finite $(\beta, \mathcal{A})$ -representation
$\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$	set of all bi-infinite sequences of digits in $\mathcal{A}$
$\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$	set of values of all polynomials with integer coefficients evaluated in $\omega$
$\pi$	isomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ to $\mathbb{Z}^d$
$\mathcal{B}$	alphabet of input digits
$q_j$	weight coefficient for the $j$ -th position
$\mathcal{Q}$	weight coefficients set
$\mathcal{Q}_{[w_j, \dots, w_{j-m+1}]}$	set of possible weight coefficients for the input digits $w_j, \dots, w_{j-m+1}$
$\lfloor x \rfloor$	floor function of the number $x$
$\text{Re } x$	real part of the complex number $x$
$\text{Im } x$	imaginary part of the complex number $x$

**Lemma 0.1.** *Let  $\nu$  be a norm of the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^d$  and  $P$  be a nonsingular matrix in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . Then the mapping  $\mu : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  defined by  $\mu(x) = \nu(Px)$  is also a norm of the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^d$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  and  $y$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . We use linearity of matrix multiplication, nonsingularity of matrix  $P$  and the fact that  $\nu$  is a norm to prove the following statements:

1.  $\mu(x) = \nu(Px) \geq 0$ ,
2.  $\mu(x) = 0 \iff \nu(Px) = 0 \iff Px = 0 \iff x = 0$ ,
3.  $\mu(\alpha x) = \nu(P(\alpha x)) = \nu(\alpha Px) = |\alpha|\nu(Px) = |\alpha|\mu(x)$ ,
4.  $\mu(x + y) = \nu(P(x + y)) = \nu(Px + Py) \leq \nu(Px) + \nu(Py) = \mu(Px) + \mu(Py)$ .

This verifies that  $\mu$  is a norm. □

Lemma 0.1 enables us to define a new norm.

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be a diagonalizable matrix and  $P \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be a nonsingular matrix which diagonalizes  $M$ , i.e.,  $M = P^{-1}DP$  for some diagonal matrix  $D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Then we define a vector norm  $\|\cdot\|_M$  by

$$\|x\|_M := \|Px\|_2 \quad (1)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is Euclidean norm. A matrix norm  $\|M\|_M$  is induced by the norm  $\|\cdot\|_M$ .

**Theorem 0.2.** *Let  $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be a diagonalizable matrix. Then*

$$\rho(M) = \|M\|_M,$$

where  $\rho(M)$  is the spectral radius of the matrix  $M$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove that  $\|M\|_M \geq \rho(M)$  for every natural matrix norm induced by  $\|\cdot\|$ . For all eigenvalues  $\lambda$  in the spectrum  $\sigma(M)$  with a respective eigenvector  $u$  such that  $\|u\| = 1$ , we have

$$\|M\|_M = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Mx\| \geq \|Mu\| = \|\lambda u\| = |\lambda| \cdot \|u\| = |\lambda|.$$

Now, we construct the natural matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|_M$  such that  $\|M\|_M \leq \rho(M)$ . Since  $M$  is diagonalizable, there exist nonsingular matrix  $P \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and diagonal matrix  $C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  with the eigenvalues of  $M$  on the diagonal such that

$$PMP^{-1} = C.$$

Now, the natural matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|_M$  is induced by the vector norm  $\|\cdot\|_M$ , i.e.,

$$\|M\|_M = \max_{\|y\|_M=1} \|My\|_M.$$

Let  $y$  be a vector such that  $\|y\|_M = 1$  and set  $z = Py$ . Notice that

$$\sqrt{z^*z} = \|z\|_2 = \|Py\|_2 = \|y\|_M = 1.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\|My\|_M &= \|PM y\|_2 = \|PM y\|_2 = \|CP y\|_2 = \|Cz\|_2 = \sqrt{z^* C^* C z} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\max_{\lambda \in \sigma(M)} |\lambda|^2 z^* z} = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(M)} |\lambda| = \rho(M) .\end{aligned}$$

which implies the statement.  $\square$

**Lemma 0.3.** *Let  $\omega$  be an algebraic integer of degree  $d$  and let  $S$  be the companion matrix of its minimal polynomial. Let  $\beta = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i \omega^i$  be a nonzero element of  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Set  $S_\beta = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i S^i$ . Then*

- i) *The matrix  $S_\beta$  is diagonalizable.*
- ii) *The characteristic polynomial of  $S_\beta$  is  $m_\beta^k$  with  $k = d / \deg \beta$ .*
- iii)  *$|\det S_\beta| = |m_\beta(0)|^k$ .*
- iv)  *$\|x\|_{S_\beta} = \|x\|_{S_\beta^{-1}}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^d$  and  $\|X\|_{S_\beta} = \|X\|_{S_\beta^{-1}}$  for all  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ .*
- v)  *$\|S_\beta\|_{S_\beta} = \max\{|\beta'| : \beta' \text{ is conjugate of } \beta\}$  and  $\|S_\beta^{-1}\|_{S_\beta} = \max\{\frac{1}{|\beta'|} : \beta' \text{ is conjugate of } \beta\}$ .*

*Proof.* The characteristic polynomial of the companion matrix  $S$  is the same as minimal polynomial of  $\omega$  which has no multiple roots. Hence,  $S$  is diagonalizable, i.e.,  $S = P^{-1}DP$  where  $D$  is diagonal matrix with the conjugates of  $\omega$  on the diagonal and  $P$  is a nonsingular complex matrix. The matrix  $S_\beta$  is also diagonalized by  $P$ :

$$S_\beta = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i S^i = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i (P^{-1}DP)^i = P^{-1} \underbrace{\left( \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i D^i \right)}_{D_\beta} P .$$

By Theorem CONJUGATES SE ZOBRAZUJI NA CONJUGATES, the diagonal elements of the diagonal matrix  $D_\beta$  are conjugates of  $\beta$ . Since  $S_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{d \times d}$ , its characteristic polynomial has integer coefficients. Thus it is  $k$ -th power of the minimal polynomial  $m_\beta$ . The value  $k$  follows from the equality  $d = \deg(m_\beta^k) = k \deg m_\beta$ .

The modulus of the determinant of  $S_\beta$  equals the modulus of the absolute coefficient of the characteristic polynomial which is  $|m_\beta(0)|^k$ .

The matrix  $S_\beta^{-1}$  is also diagonalized by  $P$  since  $S_\beta^{-1} = (P^{-1}D_\beta P)^{-1} = P^{-1}D_\beta^{-1}P$ . Thus, the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{S_\beta}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{S_\beta^{-1}}$  are same and so the induced matrix norms  $\|\cdot\|_{S_\beta}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{S_\beta^{-1}}$  are.

The matrix  $S_\beta$  is diagonalizable and its eigenvalues are the conjugates of  $\beta$ . Theorem 0.2 implies that

$$\|S_\beta\|_{S_\beta} = \rho(S_\beta) = \max\{|\beta'| : \beta' \text{ is conjugate of } \beta\} .$$

For the second part of the last statement, we use the part iv), Theorem 0.2 and the fact that the eigenvalues of  $S_\beta^{-1}$  are reciprocal for the conjugates of  $\beta$ .  $\square$

**Definition 0.2.** Using the notation of the previous lemma, we define a *MRIZKOVA, NEBO TREBA  $\beta$ -NORM* ???  $\|\cdot\|_\beta : \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  by

$$\|x\|_\beta = \|\pi(x)\|_{S_\beta}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ .

ASI TO CHCE NEJAKOU POZNAMKU, ZE TO JE NORMA

**Theorem 0.4.** *Let  $\omega$  be a complex number and  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  be such that  $|\beta| > 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  be an alphabet. If  $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathcal{A}[\beta]$ , number  $\beta$  is expanding.*

*Proof.* For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we may write

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i \beta^i,$$

where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $a_N \neq 0$ .

Set  $m := \max\{|a| : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . We take  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > m$ . Since  $|a_0| \leq m < n$ , we have  $N \geq 1$  and there is  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  such that  $a_{i_0} \neq 0$ . Thus,  $\omega$  is an algebraic number as  $a_i \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  and  $\beta$  can be expressed as an integer combination of powers of  $\omega$ . Therefore,  $\beta$  is also an algebraic number.

Let  $\beta'$  be an algebraic conjugate of  $\beta$ . Since  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \subset \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ , there is an algebraic conjugate  $\omega'$  of  $\omega$  and an isomorphism  $\sigma : \mathbb{Q}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\omega')$  such that  $\sigma(\beta) = \beta'$ . Now

$$n = \sigma(n) = \sum_{i=0}^N \sigma(a_i) (\beta')^i.$$

Set  $\tilde{m} := \max\{|\sigma(a)| : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$n = |n| \leq \sum_{i=0}^N |\sigma(a_i)| \cdot |\beta'|^i \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\sigma(a_i)| \cdot |\beta'|^i \leq \tilde{m} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\beta'|^i.$$

Hence, the sum on the right side diverges which implies that  $|\beta'| \geq 1$ . Thus, all conjugates of  $\beta$  are at least one in modulus.

If the degree of  $\beta$  is one, the statement is obvious. Therefore, we may assume that  $\deg \beta \geq 2$ .

Suppose for contradiction that  $|\beta'| = 1$  for an algebraic conjugate  $\beta'$  of  $\beta$ . The complex conjugate  $\overline{\beta'}$  is also an algebraic conjugate of  $\beta$ . Take any algebraic conjugate  $\gamma$  of  $\beta$  and the isomorphism  $\sigma' : \mathbb{Q}(\beta') \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$  given by  $\sigma'(\beta') = \gamma$ . Now

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sigma'(\beta')} = \sigma' \left( \frac{1}{\beta'} \right) = \sigma' \left( \frac{\overline{\beta'}}{\beta' \overline{\beta'}} \right) = \sigma' \left( \frac{\overline{\beta'}}{|\beta'|^2} \right) = \sigma'(\overline{\beta'}).$$

Hence,  $\frac{1}{\gamma}$  is also an algebraic conjugate of  $\beta$ . From the previous,  $\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \right| \geq 1$  and  $|\gamma| \geq 1$  which implies that  $|\gamma| = 1$ . We may set  $\gamma = \beta$  which contradicts  $|\beta| > 1$ . Thus all conjugates of  $\beta$  are greater than one in modulus, i.e.,  $\beta$  is an expanding algebraic number.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$  be an alphabet such that  $1 \in \mathcal{A}[\beta]$ . If the extending window method with the rewriting rule  $x - \beta$  converges for the numeration system  $(\beta, \mathcal{A})$ , then the base  $\beta$  is expanding and the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  contains at least one representative of each congruence class modulo  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ .*

*Proof.* The existence of an algorithm for addition which is computable in parallel implies that the set  $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$  is closed under addition. Moreover, the set  $\mathcal{A}[\beta]$  is closed under addition since there is no carry to the right when the rewriting rule  $x - \beta$  is used. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sum  $1 + 1 + \dots + 1 = n$  is in  $\mathcal{A}[\beta]$  by the assumption  $1 \in \mathcal{A}[\beta]$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathcal{A}[\beta]$  and thus the base  $\beta$  is expanding by Theorem 0.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.6.** *Let  $\beta$  be an algebraic integer such that  $|\beta| > 1$ . Let  $0 \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$  be an alphabet such that  $1 \in \mathcal{A}[\beta]$ . If the extending window method with the rewriting rule  $x - \beta$  converges for the numeration system  $(\beta, \mathcal{A})$ , the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  contains at least one representative of each congruence class modulo  $\beta$  and  $\beta - 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ .*

*Proof.* The existence of an algorithm for addition with the rewriting rule  $x - \beta$  implies that the set  $\mathcal{A}[\beta]$  is closed under addition. By the assumption  $1 \in \mathcal{A}[\beta]$ , the set  $\mathbb{N}$  is subset of  $\mathcal{A}[\beta]$ . Since  $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\beta \cdot \mathcal{A}[\beta] \subset \mathcal{A}[\beta]$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{N}[\beta] \subset \mathcal{A}[\beta]$ .

For any element  $x = \sum_{i=0}^N x_i \beta^i \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$  there is an element  $x' = \sum_{i=0}^N x'_i \beta^i \in \mathbb{N}[\beta]$  such that  $x \equiv_{\beta} x'$  since  $m_{\beta}(0) \equiv_{\beta} 0$  and  $\beta^i \equiv_{\beta} 0$ . As  $x' \in \mathbb{N}[\beta] \subset \mathcal{A}[\beta]$ , we have

$$x \equiv_{\beta} x' = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \beta^i \equiv_{\beta} a_0,$$

where  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence, for any element  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , there is a letter  $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $x \equiv_{\beta} a_0$ .

In order to prove that there is at least one representative of each congruence class modulo  $\beta - 1$  in the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$ , we consider again an element  $x = \sum_{i=0}^N x_i \beta^i \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ . Similarly, there is an element  $x' = \sum_{i=0}^N x'_i \beta^i \in \mathbb{N}[\beta]$  such that  $x \equiv_{\beta-1} x'$  since  $m_{\beta-1}(0) \equiv_{\beta-1} 0$  and  $(\beta - 1)^i \equiv_{\beta-1} 0$ .

Since  $x' \in \mathbb{N} \subset \mathcal{A}[\beta]$ ,

$$x' = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \beta^i,$$

where  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ . We prove by induction with respect to  $n$  that  $x' \equiv_{\beta-1} a$  for some  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $n = 0$ ,  $x' = a_0$ . Now we use the fact, that if there is an parallel addition algorithm, for each letter  $b \in \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$ , there is  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $b \equiv_{\beta-1} a$ . For  $n + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} a_i \beta^i = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i \beta^i \\ &= a_0 + \beta \sum_{i=0}^n a_{i+1} \beta^i - \sum_{i=0}^n a_{i+1} \beta^i + \sum_{i=0}^n a_{i+1} \beta^i \\ &\equiv_{\beta-1} a_0 + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=0}^n a_{i+1} \beta^i + a \equiv_{\beta-1} a_0 + a \equiv_{\beta-1} a' \in \mathcal{A}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the induction assumption

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_{i+1} \beta^i \equiv_{\beta-1} a.$$

□

**Lemma 0.7.** *Let  $\omega$  be an algebraic integer,  $\deg \omega = d$ , and  $\beta$  be an expanding algebraic integer in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be finite subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  contains at least one representative of each congruence class modulo  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Then there exists a finite set  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  such that  $\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{A} + \beta\mathcal{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* We use the isomorphism  $\pi : \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $\beta$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_\beta$  to bound the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the smallest conjugate of  $\beta$  in modulus. Denote  $C := \max\{\|b - a\|_\beta : a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . Consequently, set  $R := \frac{C}{|\gamma|-1}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} := \{q \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega] : \|q\|_\beta \leq R\}$ . By Lemma 0.3, we have

$$\left\| S_\beta^{-1} \right\|_{S_\beta} = \max\left\{ \frac{1}{|\beta'|} : \beta' \text{ is conjugate of } \beta \right\} = \frac{1}{|\gamma|}.$$

Also,  $|\gamma| > 1$  as  $\beta$  is an expanding integer. Since  $C > 0$ , the set  $\mathcal{Q}$  is nonempty. Any element  $x = b + q \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  with  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$  can be written as  $x = a + \beta q'$  for some  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $q' \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  due to existence of a representative of each congruence class in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Using the isomorphism  $\pi$ , we may write  $\pi(q') = S_\beta^{-1} \cdot \pi(b - a + q)$ . We prove that  $q'$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|q'\|_\beta &= \|\pi(q')\|_{S_\beta} = \left\| S_\beta^{-1} \cdot \pi(b - a + q) \right\|_{S_\beta} \leq \left\| S_\beta^{-1} \right\|_{S_\beta} \|b - a + q\|_\beta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|} (\|b - a\|_\beta + \|q\|_\beta) = \frac{1}{|\gamma|} (C + R) = \frac{C}{|\gamma|} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\gamma| - 1}\right) = R. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $q' \in \mathcal{Q}$  and thus  $x = b + q \in \mathcal{A} + \beta\mathcal{Q}$ .

Since there are only finitely many elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  bounded by the constant  $R$ , the set  $\mathcal{Q}$  is finite.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.8.** *Let  $\omega$  be an algebraic integer and  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Let the alphabet  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  be such that  $\mathcal{A}$  contains at least one representative of each congruence class modulo  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  be the input alphabet.*

*If  $\beta$  is expanding, Phase 1 of the extending window method converges.*

*Proof.* We have the constant  $R$  and finite set  $\mathcal{Q}$  from Lemma 0.7 for the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  and input alphabet  $\mathcal{B}$ . We prove by induction that all intermediate weight coefficient sets  $\mathcal{Q}_k$  in Algorithm ?? are subsets of the finite set  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

We start with  $\mathcal{Q}_0 = \{0\}$  which is bounded by any positive constant. Suppose that the intermediate weight coefficients set  $\mathcal{Q}_k$  has elements bounded by the constant  $R$ . We see from the previous proof that the candidates obtained by Algorithm ?? for the set  $\mathcal{Q}_k$  are also bounded by  $R$ . Thus, the next intermediate weight coefficients set  $\mathcal{Q}_{k+1}$  has elements bounded by the constant  $R$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{Q}_{k+1} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ .

Since  $\#\mathcal{Q}$  is finite and  $\mathcal{Q}_0 \subset \mathcal{Q}_1 \subset \mathcal{Q}_2 \subset \dots$ , Phase 1 successfully ends.  $\square$