As we know that NAND is the universal operator we are going to show that some combination of inhplication (-) und (-) Megation gives us-like value same value as the MAND spiratore.

let X and Y be two binary varicebles,

(realing the truth table for x and Y:

X 1 4 10	$(x \rightarrow y)$	~(x)	$(x \Rightarrow y) \rightarrow (r \times)$	XTY
		6	0	0
0 1			1	- \
1 0	0	0		
0 0	1			. 1

As we can see from the truth table that (x > y) -> (-x) can produce same onliene as X 17 so me can conclude that eu comination of implication (-) and negation (r) can be considered as universal operator.

Q.N 6.2) 50 /n 4 (A, B) = (-AV-B) A (-AVB) A (AV-B) a) = (TAV-B) ^ (-AVB)) ^ (AV-B) = (¬AV (¬BAB)) ^ (AV ¬B) [Distributionity] = (-AVO) ^ (AV-B) - JAN(AV-B) [identity] = (JANA) V (JAVJB) [Pistributivity] = · OV (7AV7B) = (-AV-B [Identity] = 7 (AAB) (de Morgans laws) Y(A,B,C) = (AN-B) V(AN-BAC) = ((A N-B) V (A M-B)) ~ ((A N-B) V () (Distributionly) = (ANOB) ^ ((A A-B) V C

Q.N 6.2) b) P(A,BC) = (AA-B) V(AA-BAC) = ((AN-B) VA) N (CAN-B) V (-BNC)) Coostsibulivity) A ~ ((A ~ B) V (- B ^ C)) [Absorption laws] = A \ (((A A - B) V - B) \ ((A A - B) V ()) [Distributinity] = AA(¬BA((AA¬B)V()) [Absorption Kan] = AA((GBVA(AA-B)) A(JBVC)) [Distributionly) = AN (ABN(ABVC)) Absorption lew ! (1/2 A ()) · [pishiputionly) AN(B) [Absorption law]

R.N 6.2 (6/1) 4(A,B,(,D)= (AV- (BNA)) A((VOVC)) = (AV (¬BV¬A)) ^ ((V(DV()) (De Morganislaw) = (AV(¬AV¬B))^(CV(DV()) [Associationing]
Commutalisting] = ((AV-A)V-B) ~ ((V(DV()) [Associativity] = (1 V - B) A (CV (DVC)) = 1 ^ ((v(Dvc)) Colomination) = CV(DVC) (Identity) = CV(CVD) [Commitalivity] = (CVC) VD [Associativity] - CVD [Edempterry] Q.N 6.20 (2) 4(A,B,C)= (-(ANB)V-()) (-AVBY-C) = ((¬AVA¬B)V¬C) A (¬AVBV¬C) [de Maganis law] = (7AV(7BV7C)) A (7AV(BV7C))

[Associativity]

= (-AV(-(V-B)) A (-AV(-EVB))

(-AV-C) V-B) A (-AV-iC) V B)

[Apociativity]

Scanned with Cambo

= (¬AV¬C) V (¬BAC) [bistin buthinty]

= (¬AV¬C) V O

= ¬AV¬C (Jelentity)

= ¬(AAC) [cle Monganis lawse]

= ¬(AAC) [cle Mong

Q.N639) Sol Creating en trufu table for P(P,Q,R,S) = (IPVQ) A(IQVR) A(IRVS) A(ISVP).

	-	-		-		C	C	-	-	_	-	Γ
	_	_		>)	>	>	,	_	_		_
	-	0			C	0	0	_				
			<u></u>	_) 			0		_	_	
C	٠	_	. (C	C		_	_		
	_	. * .	<u> </u>	<i>-</i>)			0		_	
0	-	-	O	_	- 	0	0	_	0			
, (-			- ,								
	_	_			0	_	0		_	_	0	
	_			O.					_			
7	_	0			G	_	_		0		0	_
0		-		0					_	0		
		_	-	C	0	_	<u> </u>				>	_
0	_	_	- , ,	· (0		9	0	_ 0	@
				>	- (. c			_	_	_	0
7 (C	_					_				_	
<i>→</i>	, –	0		-		0			0			0
0	-	_	C						_	0	· _	0
	0		,		>		_					
	_	~	0	*Williams	_		0		0.	0	_	0
	· <	~	_		0	0			_		0	0
7 (, -	0	_	-		0			0	_		0
7 <	- (_	_		0			-	_	0	0	0
	~		_	_	, –		_	_	0	0	_	0
(-PUB)~(-RUB)~(-KU) C	JSVP	SAJE	200	- PVQ	S	700	7	70	5	\nearrow	Ø	7
100000000000000000000000000000000000000												
					÷							

Scanned with CamSo

As me can see that only two value interpretations of P and & satisfy the condition.

i-e. P= R=S

Q.N 6.3 b. 501

from the frush table me did in question 6.39 ne can write the following DNF form.

(PARARAS) V (TPATRATS)