

Two-Component Model

$$H_{rms} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum u_i^2}$$

$$H_{max} = \sqrt{2} H_{rms} = \boxed{1.414 H_{rms} = H_{max}}$$

$$H_{y10} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{10}\right) \sqrt{2} H_{rms} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{10}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \cdot 10\right) \sqrt{2} H_{rms} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{10}\right)$$

$$= 20/\pi \sqrt{2} H_{rms} \sin(\pi/20)$$

$$\boxed{H_{y10} = 1.408 H_{rms}}$$

$$H_{y3} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \cdot 3\right) \sqrt{2} H_{rms} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}\right)$$

$$= 6/\pi \sqrt{2} H_{rms} \sin(\pi/6)$$

$$\boxed{H_{y3} = 1.350 H_{rms}}$$

$$H_1 = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \cdot 1\right) \sqrt{2} H_{rms} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot 1\right)$$

$$= 2/\pi \sqrt{2} H_{rms} \sin(\pi/2)$$

$$\boxed{H_1 = 0.9 H_{rms}}$$

Rayleigh Distribution Method

$$\hat{H} = H_{rms} \sqrt{\ln \hat{N}/N}, \quad H_p = H_{rms} \sqrt{\ln(1/p)}$$

$$H_{y10} = H_{rms} \sqrt{\ln(1/10)}$$

$$= H_{rms} \sqrt{\ln 10}$$

$$\boxed{H_{y10} = 1.517 H_{rms}}$$

$$H_{y3} = H_{rms} \sqrt{\ln(1/3)}$$

$$= H_{rms} \sqrt{\ln 3}$$

$$\boxed{H_{y3} = 1.048 H_{rms}}$$

$$H_1 = H_{rms} \sqrt{\ln 1}$$

$$= H_{rms} \sqrt{\ln 1}$$

$$\boxed{H_1 = 0 H_{rms}}$$

$$H_{max} = \sqrt{2} H_{rms} \Rightarrow \boxed{1.414 H_{rms} = H_{max}}$$

The two methods will yield different results for each important wave height approximation. This is because they both use different assumptions and analytical techniques. The two-component method analyzes two out-of-phase sinusoidal waves for its approximations. Rayleigh distribution is based of a much different probability model.