

Homework 2 COM S 311

Alec Meyer

September 11, 2020

Question 1

a.

$$2^{2n} \in O(2^n)$$

Claim: $2^{2n} \notin O(2^n)$

$$f(n) \leq c \times g(n)$$

$$f(n) = 2^{2n}, g(n) = 2^n$$

plug in $f(n)$ and $g(n)$

$$2^{2n} \leq c \times 2^n$$

$$\frac{2^{2n}}{2^n} \leq c$$

$$2^n \leq c$$

Here we have a constant 'c' being greater than or equal to a function of n. This is not possible as 2^n will surpass the value of c. Therefore, since there is no constant value c to satisfy this claim we know that this statement is false.

$$\therefore 2^{2n} \notin O(2^n)$$

b.

Claim:

$$f_1(n) \in O(g_1(n)) \wedge f_2(n) \in O(g_2(n)) \Rightarrow f_1(n) \times f_2(n) \in O(g_1(n) \times g_2(n))$$

We know that:

$$\frac{f_1(n)}{g_1(n)} \leq c_1 \text{ and } \frac{f_2(n)}{g_2(n)} \leq c_2$$

$f_1(n) \times f_2(n) \in O(g_1(n) \times g_2(n))$ goes to:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(n) \times f_2(n) &\leq c_1 g_1(n) \times c_2 g_2(n) \\ &= \frac{f_1(n)}{g_1(n)} \times \frac{f_2(n)}{g_2(n)} \leq c_1 c_2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we know that that these values of c are constant which proves that this statement is true.

$$\therefore f_1(n) \in O(g_1(n)) \wedge f_2(n) \in O(g_2(n)) \Rightarrow f_1(n) \times f_2(n) \in O(g_1(n) \times g_2(n))$$

Question 2

a.

Inner:

$$\sum_{k=1}^j c_1 = c_1 j$$

Middle:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=i}^n (c_2 + c_1 j) \\ &\sum_{j=i}^n c_2 + \sum_{j=i}^n c_1 j \\ &\sum_{j=i}^n c_1 j = c_1 \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - \frac{i(i-1)}{2} \right) \\ &\sum_{j=i}^n c_2 = c_2 (n - i + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Outer:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[c_2 (n - i + 1) + c_1 \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - \frac{i(i-1)}{2} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[c_2 n - c_2 i + c_2 + \frac{c_1 n^2}{2} + \frac{c_1 n}{2} - \frac{c_1 i^2}{2} - \frac{c_1 i}{2} \right] \\ &= c_2 n^2 - \frac{c_2 n(n+1)}{2} - 1 + c_2 + \frac{c_1 n^3}{2} + \frac{c_1 n^2}{2} - \frac{n^2(n+1)}{2} - 1 - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1 \\ &\in O(n^3) \end{aligned}$$

b.

$$\sum_{i=0}^j [\sum_{x=i}^y c + \sum_{a=j}^b c]$$

The two inner loops are independent of from the outer loop so their time will be $O(n)$

The outer for loop: $\sum_{i=0}^j jc$ has a runtime of $O(n)$ as well:

Therefore, the final runtime of this algorithm is $O(n^2)$

Question 3

a.

```
{
for (int i = 0; i < A.size; i++)
    if (binarySearch(T-A[i]))
        TRUE
FALSE
}
```

Outer loop time:

$$\sum_{i=0}^n BinarySearch$$

We know that Binary Search has a runtime of $O(\log n)$ and runs n times in this algorithm, therefore the runtime of this algorithm is $O(n \log n)$

b.

```
{
sum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < A.size; i++)
    sum = 0;
    for (int j = i; j < A.size; j++)
        sum += A[j];
        newArray[i][j] += sum;
return newArray;
}
```

Inner loop:

$$\sum_{j=i}^{A.size} c_1$$

Outer loop:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{A.size} c_2$$

These two for loops run at $O(n)$, therefore the total runtime will be $O(n^2)$.

c.

```
{
left = 0;
right = A.length - 1;

//Checking edge cases
if(A[0] == 1)
    return 0;
else if(A[1] == 1)
    return 1;

while(left <= right)
    i = middle of A;

    if(A[i] - A[i - 1] == 1)
        return i;

    else if (i - 1 < 0 OR A[i - 1] == 0)
        left = i + 1;

    else if (i - 1 < 0 OR A[i - 1] == 1)
        right = i - 1;
}
```

This is an augmentation of a Binary Search that does not affect the runtime. We know that Binary Search runs at $O(\log n)$ time, therefore this algorithm has a runtime of $O(\log n)$.

d.

Our current understanding of a Binary Heap (minHeap) is:

- a complete Binary Search Tree
- every nodes parent is less than or equal to that node

I am going to add another property to this tree:

- every element added to the heap will also be added to a hashmap with its corresponding index

What I mean by this is when making a minHeap it takes $O(n)$ time to build since it iterates through an array of size n adding elements to a heap. While it is iterating it will also take the index and index value and add them to a hashmap, which is a constant time process. Once there is a corresponding hashmap to the heap we will be able to search the tree by index and index value at constant time. Using this property my implementation of a delete-Value(x) method will look something like:

```
deleteValue(x)
{
    i = hashmap.get(x) //will return the index of x in the heap
    heap.delete(i) //this will delete the index i at  $O(\log n)$ 
}
```

This implimentation will still run at $O(\log n)$ time since heap's 'delete' method has not been altered.