

Project Title: System Verification and
Validation Plan for EEGSourceLocalization
Software

Leila Mousapour

November 30, 2020

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Nov 2, 2020	1.0	First version of system VnV plan

Contents

1	Revision History	i
2	Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms	iii
2.1	Symbols	iii
2.2	Abbreviations and Acronyms	iii
3	General Information	1
3.1	Summary	1
3.2	Objectives	1
3.3	Relevant Documentation	2
4	Plan	2
4.1	Verification and Validation Team	2
4.2	SRS Verification Plan	2
4.3	Design Verification Plan	3
4.4	Implementation Verification Plan	3
4.5	Software Validation Plan	4
5	System Test Description	5
5.1	Tests for Functional Requirements	5
5.1.1	Area of Input Testing	5
5.1.2	Area of Output Testing	6
5.2	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	8
5.3	Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements	8

List of Tables

1	Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Tests and Functional and Nonfunctional System Requirements . . .	8
---	--	---

List of Figures

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

2.1 Symbols

symbol	unit	SI
m	length	metre
hz	Frequency	hertz
s	time	second
V	voltage	volt

2.2 Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
A	Assumption
DD	Data Definition
GD	General Definition
GS	Goal Statement
IM	Instance Model
LC	Likely Change
PS	Physical System Description
R	Requirement
T	Theoretical Model
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
EEGSourceLocalizer	Electroencephalogram Source Localization Software
EEG	Electroencephalogram
BCI	Brain-Computer Interface
SL	Source Localization
LCMV	Linearly Constraint Minimum Variance
DICS	Dynamic Imaging of Coherent Sources

This document provides an introductory blurb and roadmap of the Verification and Validation (VnV) plan for EEGSourceLocalization. The purpose of the VnV Plan is to identify the activities that will establish compliance with the requirements (verification) and to establish that the system will meet the design purpose (validation). The general information is introduced in section 3. Verification plans and test description are in section 4 and section 5, respectively.

3 General Information

3.1 Summary

EEGSourceLocalizationsoftware is going to be tested by this VnV plan. This software is designed estimate the activity of every voxel of the brain in time based on the electric potentials recorded from the scalp in the form of EEG signals by means of source localization (SL) algorithms. This document specifies verification methods that the system requirements have been fulfilled (whether the system was built right) as well as validation plan that the developed system effectively achieves its intended purpose (was the right system built).

3.2 Objectives

The purpose of the validation plan is to define how system validation will be performed at the end of the project—the strategy that will be used to assess whether the developed system accomplishes what it was designed to do. This essentially implies assessing whether the system meets the goals, objectives, and user needs stated at the beginning of the project which is in case of scientific computing softwares it is usually done based on real-world data.

Also, the verification plan includes test strategies, definitions of what will be tested, and a test matrix with detailed mapping connecting the testing performed to the system requirements. This verification plan ensures that all requirements specified in the System Requirements (SRS) document have been met and reviewed. Specific goals of this document are to build confidence in the software correctness and demonstrate adequate usability.

The 2 criteria that differ among SL techniques are the "accuracy" and "complexity". We generally want to improve accuracy while the complexity level of the solution does not increase significantly and this creates a trade-off problem. In this software, by controlling for the complexity factor by choosing the algorithms and considering several assumptions and the most important objective is to acquire exact activity of the brain sources. Thus, the verification and validation plan is mostly build around this idea.

3.3 Relevant Documentation

System requirement specification of the EEGSourceLocalizationsoftware are provided in this document:

- [SRS](#) for EEGSourceLocalization

4 Plan

4.1 Verification and Validation Team

- Leila Mousapour (Developer and Domain Expert)
- Dr. Spencer Smith (Domain Expert)
- Gabriela Sánchez Díaz (Domain Expert)
- Shayan Mousavi Masouleh (Secondary Reviewer)
- Naveen Ganesh Muralidharan (Secondary Reviewer)
- Siddharth Shinde (Secondary Reviewer)

4.2 SRS Verification Plan

The SRS document will be verified through static technique of document inspection. Therefore, reviewers including Dr. Smith, the "scientific software development" course instructor and other classmates from the class. Reviewers can give feedbacks and revision suggestions to the author by creating issues on GitHub. It is author's responsibility to check the submitted issues regularly and make necessary revisions. The reviewers will assess this document based on the [SRS check list](#), designed by Dr. Smith.

4.3 Design Verification Plan

The design documents, including the module guide (MG) and module interface specification (MIS) will be verified through static technique of document inspection. Therefore, reviewers including Dr. Smith, the "scientific software development" course instructor and other classmates from the class. Reviewers can give feedbacks and revision suggestions to the author by creating issues on GitHub. It is author's responsibility to check the submitted issues regularly and make necessary revisions. The reviewers will assess this document based on the [MG check list](#) and the [MG check list](#), designed by Dr. Smith.

4.4 Implementation Verification Plan

EEGSourceLocalization will be verified using several types of dynamic and static testing as follow:

- Dynamic testing for system verification: for this we use approaches including:
 1. Test cases using EEG data which the expected output of SL is known: for example using simultaneous EEG/fMRI datasets which the expected sources for the EEG source localization are known based on the fMRI images.
 2. Methods of manufactured solution: this is the simplest and the first test for verification of the implementation which we synthesize EEG data from 1 or multiple dipoles using the head model (forward model) and then we feed the synthesized EEG from the known sources to the code. We know what the answer is as we manufactured it and we can confirm if the software is working.
 3. Parallel testing: "[Brainstorm](#)" software which is an open source MATLAB software can perform EEG source localization with different techniques. Thus, the result of obtained from EEGSourceLocalization can be compared again the Brainstorm pseudo-oracle.
- Static testing for system verification:
 1. Code Walkthrough: The developer would walk an audience through the code slowly and explain every part of the code so that if there

is a mistake, especially a logical error due to the steps taken for SL implementation will be discovered. The candidate audience for the code walkthrough would be the undergraduate student who is helping on this project.

- Dynamic testing for unit verification:
All modules are to be unit tested to ensure correctness, dynamic unit test will be carried out. The [MATLAB® unit testing framework](#) supports three test authoring schemes:
 - Script-based unit tests: Write each unit test as a separate section of a test script file. We can perform basic qualifications, access the diagnostics that the framework records on test results, refine the test suite by selecting the tests we want to run, and customize the test run by creating and configuring a TestRunner object.
 - Function-based unit tests: Write each unit test as a local function within a test function file. Function-based tests subscribe to the xUnit testing philosophy. In addition to supporting the functionality provided by script-based tests, function-based tests give we access to a rich set of test authoring features.
 - Class-based unit tests: Write each unit test as a Test method within a class definition file. In addition to supporting the functionality provided by script-based and function-based tests, class-based tests provide you with several advanced test authoring features and give we access to the full framework functionality.

Script-based unit testing will be used for unit verification of this software. more details of unit testing can be found in the Unit Testing Plan.

4.5 Software Validation Plan

In scientific software development, validation is referred to testing the software with a real-world experiment data and investigate if the mathematical modelling, assumptions etc. are valid for this real-world problem. In the beginning of 2020, there was a dataset published in Nature journal under the name of "Simultaneous human intracerebral stimulation and HD-EEG, ground-truth for source localization methods" that comprises EEG recorded

electrical activity originating from precisely known locations inside the brain of living humans [Mikulan et al. \(2020\)](#) . High-density EEG was recorded as single-pulse biphasic currents were delivered at intensities ranging from 0.1 to 5 mA through stereotactically implanted electrodes in diverse brain regions during pre-surgical evaluation of patients with drug-resistant epilepsy. Thus, this dataset can be use as a test for validation as well as verification for the software.

5 System Test Description

5.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

The functional requirements stated in the SRS document can be categorized in two main sections: FRs related to inputs (R2 and R3) and FRs related to outputs of the EEGSourceLocalization software. Thus, the FR test is explained in two areas below.

5.1.1 Area of Input Testing

As described in the section 4.2.6 in SRS, EEGSourceLocalization shall verify whether the inputs meet the data constraints (R2). Frequency component of the EEG data should be checked to see if the data is band-pass filtered. Also, EEGSourceLocalization should ask the user to confirm if the artifact removal has been done. Also, EEGSourceLocalization shall verify that the covariance matrix of the input data is not rank-deficient (R3). An error message shall be displayed if input data is invalid.

These 2 requirements will be tested by test case provided below.

Input validation check

1. test-input

Control: Automatic

Input: Any raw EEG signal which was sampled at 512 Hz. Output: The expected output for this data is an error message as the Welch power spectrum would be calculated and the power would be checked

to see if it contains frequencies more than 100Hz and lower than 0.05 Hz

Test Case Derivation: The frequency spectrum of the raw data depends on the sampling frequency and when it is sampled at 512 Hz, it contains over-range frequencies when it is not band-pass filtered yet. Thus it contains frequencies more than 100Hz and lower than 0.05 Hz.

How test will be performed: Write a script to automatically feed the raw EEG data in the code and test it.

2. test-rank

Control: Automatic

Input: Matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -3 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

would be fed as EEG signal

Output: The expected output for this data is an error message

Test Case Derivation: The matrix above has the rank 2 while the full rank is 3 so it is rank deficient and can be used as test case.

How test will be performed: Write a script to automatically feed the rank deficient raw EEG data in the code and test it.

5.1.2 Area of Output Testing

As described in the section 4.2.6 in SRS, EEGSourceLocalization shall perform the correct calculation and plot the result (R4 and R5). In other words, this software should calculate the correct sources. These 2 requirements will be tested by test case provided below.

1. test-SimulatedEEG

Control: Mixed manual and automatic

Input: EEG data simulated from one and three dipoles in known locations (we set coordination of the source in the head model) with known activity and known added noise.

Output: The expected output is the EEGSourceLocalization generates a matrix of source time series and plot of the brain activity map in the same locations as the locations we set for the simulating the EEG data.

Test Case Derivation:

How test will be performed: We will manually obtain the source time series from the Brainstorm software and then, a test script would compare the norm of the difference between this software's output and the Brainstorm software output. Finally, a relative error would be calculated and reported.

Also, we will plot the source activity maps in Brainstorm and also we plot the output of the software for the BCI iv competition EEG data and the correctness can be manually judged by eyes.

2. test-Brainstorm-PseudoOracle

Control: Automatic

Input: EEG data for BCI IV competition dataset [dat](#) to software and to the Brainstorm software as pseudo-oracle.

Output: The expected output is the EEGSourceLocalization generates a matrix of source time series and a plot of the brain activity map in the same locations as the Brainstorm software would.

Test Case Derivation: The matrix above has the rank 2 while the full rank is 3 so it is rank deficient and can be used as test case.

How test will be performed: A test script would simulate the EEG data for the location and amplitude of the current dipoles (sources) and then it will feed this data to the code and obtain the coordination of the most active sources based on the averaged power over time. Finally, the norm of the difference between the known coordination and software output will be calculated and reported. It will be judged against the resolution of the source map (the brain voxel size).

Also, this script will plot the dipoles from which we simulate the EEG data and also we plot the output of the software for the simulated EEG data and it can be manually judged by eyes.

5.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

The EEGSourceLocalization software has a nonfunctional requirements regarding accuracy (NFR1). The accuracy for the computed solution should meet the level of accuracy achieved by other commercial softwares which here we only compare it with Brainstorm. The test would be done just same as section 5.1.2, test-Brainstorm-PseudoOracle.

5.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	NR1
Test-input	X					
test-rank		X				
test-SimulatedEEG			X	X		
test-BrainstormPseudoOracle				X	X	X
test-GroundTruthData				X	X	X

Table 1: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Tests and Functional and Nonfunctional System Requirements

References

URL http://www.bbc.de/competition/iv/desc_1.html.

Ezequiel Mikulan, Simone Russo, Sara Parmigiani, Simone Sarasso, Flavia Maria Zauli, Annalisa Rubino, Pietro Avanzini, Anna Cattani, Alberto Sorrentino, Steve Gibbs, Francesco Cardinale, Ivana Sartori, Lino Nobili, Marcello Massimini, and Andrea Pigorini. Simultaneous human intracerebral stimulation and HD-EEG, ground-truth for source localization methods. *Scientific Data*, 7(1):127, 2020. ISSN 2052-4463. doi: 10.1038/s41597-020-0467-x. URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0467-x>.