

Dynamic Programming

Data Structures and Algorithms (094224)

Tutorial 13

Winter 2022/23

Dynamic Programming

- Focus on **optimization** problems
 - Looking for a feasible solution that minimizes/maximizes some **objective function**
 - E.g., shortest (s, t) -path, minimum spanning tree
- An (optimal) solution to the problem can be constructed from (optimal) solutions to smaller **subproblems**
- A bottom-up approach
- Each subproblem is solved **exactly once**
- Solutions are stored in a **lookup table**
 - Accessed in the process of solving larger subproblems
- Trading space for time
 - Don't solve same subproblem many times, but solution has to be stored
- Define a recursive equation although dynamic programming algorithms are not recursive!

Question 1

Given an amount of money $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and n types of coin values $v(1) = 1 < v(2) < \dots < v(n)$ (all integers), propose an algorithm that finds the minimal number of coins whose total value is equal to M .

Remark:

- Since there exists a coin with value 1 any amount of money M can be exchanged

Solution – first attempt

- Given M, n and v compute minimal number of coins by:
 - Take as much coins as possible with the largest value, i.e., $\left\lfloor \frac{M}{v(n)} \right\rfloor$
 - Calculate the remaining amount of money, i.e., $M = M - \left\lfloor \frac{M}{v(n)} \right\rfloor \cdot v(n)$
 - Take as much coins with the second largest value, i.e., $\left\lfloor \frac{M}{v(n-1)} \right\rfloor$
 - Calculate the remaining amount of money, i.e.,
$$M = M - \left\lfloor \frac{M}{v(n-1)} \right\rfloor \cdot v(n-1)$$
 - Continue until $M = 0$
- Counter example:
- $M = 11, n = 4, v(1) = 1, v(2) = 5, v(3) = 6, v(4) = 9$
- The above procedure will return 3
 - Taking 1 coin with value 9 since $\left\lfloor \frac{11}{v(4)} \right\rfloor = 1$
 - Taking two coins with value 1 since $11 - \left\lfloor \frac{11}{v(4)} \right\rfloor = 2$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{2}{1} \right\rfloor = 2$
 - coins with value greater than the remaining amount are skipped
- The minimal number of coins is 2 since we can take one coin with value 5 and one with value 6

Solution

- Let $r(i)$ be the minimal number of coins with total value of i
 - $0 \leq i \leq M$
- Key observations: $r(0) = 0$ and

$$r(i) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n, v(j) \leq i} \{1 + r(i - v(j))\}$$

- Minimize exchange with coin j plus exchange the remainder, $(i - v(j))$, optimally
- $r(M)$ is the desired value

Solution — Pseudocode

`Change_Money(M, V)`

```
1: new array  $r[0 \dots M]$ 
2:  $r[0] = 0$ 
3: for  $i = 1, \dots, M$  do
4:      $q = \infty$ 
5:     for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  do
6:         if  $v[j] \leq i$  then           ▷ if coin  $j$ 's value is at most  $i$ 
7:              $q = \min\{q, 1 + r[i - v[j]]\}$ 
8:          $r[i] = q$ 
9:     return  $r[M]$ 
```

Run time:

- $O(M)$ iterations loop in line 3
- $O(n)$ iterations loop in line 5
- $O(1)$ time for each inner-most iteration
- $O(nM)$ in total

Question 2

Given an unordered sequence of n numbers $M(1), \dots, M(n)$, find the maximal number of elements that form a strictly increasing subsequence (not necessarily contiguous).

Example:

In the sequence $\langle 3, 2, 5, 4, 2, 3, 3, 4 \rangle$ the maximal number of element that form a strictly increasing subsequence is 3. An optimal solution is $\langle 3, 2, 5, 4, 2, 3, 3, 4 \rangle$.

Solution

- Let $s(i)$ be the maximal length of a strictly increasing subsequence that can be formed from elements $M(1), \dots, M(i)$ ending at position i
 - $1 \leq i \leq n$
- Key observations: $s(1) = 1$ and

$$s(i) = \begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq j < i, M[j] < M[i]} \{1 + s(j)\}, & \exists j, 1 \leq j < i \text{ s.t } M[j] < M[i] \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $r = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{s(i)\}$ is the desired value
 - The longest strictly increasing subsequence can end at any position

Solution — Pseudocode

`Find_Max_Subsequence(M)`

```
1: new array  $s[1 \dots n]$ 
2:  $s[1] = 1$ 
3: for  $i = 2, \dots, n$  do
4:      $q = 1$ 
5:     for  $j = 1, \dots, i - 1$  do
6:         if  $M[j] < M[i]$  then
7:              $q = \max\{q, s[j] + 1\}$ 
8:          $s[i] = q$ 
9:      $max\_val = 0$ 
10:    for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  do
11:        if  $max\_val < s[i]$  then
12:             $max\_val = s[i]$ 
13:    return  $max\_val$ 
```

Solution — cont.

Run time analysis

- $O(n)$ iterations of loop in line 3
- $O(n)$ iterations of loop in line 5
- $O(n)$ iterations of loop in line 10
- $O(1)$ time for each inner-most iteration
- $O(n^2 + n) = O(n^2)$ in total

Question 3 — Moed B Winter15-16

We are given n types of rectangular boxes. The dimensions width, length and height of box b denoted by $w(b)$, $\ell(b)$ and $h(b)$ respectively (real positive numbers). We would like to stack the boxes by placing box on top of a box. In order to maintain the stability of the stack a box b can be stacked on top of box b' only if $w(b) < w(b')$ and $\ell(b) < \ell(b')$, i.e., the base of each box in the stack must be strictly smaller (except the first box in the stack) than the box beneath her in the stack. The n types of boxes are characterized by n triples $\langle w_i, \ell_i, h_i \rangle$ such that for every box b of type $1 \leq i \leq n$ it holds $w(b) = w_i$, $\ell(b) = \ell_i$ and $h(b) = h_i$. Assume:

- There is an unlimited amount of each box type
- The n triples are sorted in a non-increasing width value, i.e.,
 $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n$

Question 3 — Moed B Winter15-16 — cont.

- ① Design an $O(n^2)$ -time algorithm that calculates the height of the tallest stack possible with the restriction that the boxes cannot be rotated.
- ② Design an $O(n^2)$ -time algorithm that calculates the height of the tallest stack. Rotation of boxes is allowed.

Solution — 3.1 — Example

Consider the following boxes:

w_i	ℓ_i	h_i	box type
10	20	4	1
8	15	3	2
7	17	5	3
6	11	4	4
5	5	5	5

Solution — 3.1 — Example — cont.

- Can we stack box of type 1 on box of type 3?
 - NO! $w_1 \geq w_3$
- Is stacking $1 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow 4 \leftarrow 5$ feasible?
 - Yes! $w_1 > w_2 > w_4 > w_5$ and $\ell_1 > \ell_2 > \ell_4 > \ell_5$
 - What is the height of stacking $1 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow 4 \leftarrow 5$?
 - $h_1 + h_2 + h_4 + h_5 = 4 + 3 + 4 + 5 = 16$
- In an optimal solution must we use all types of boxes?
 - Optimal stacking $1 \leftarrow 3 \leftarrow 4 \leftarrow 5$ with height 18
- In an optimal solution at most one box of each type is used?
 - Yes! since no rotation is allowed once a box of type i is placed all boxes of type j on top must have dimensions $w_j < w_i$ and $\ell_j < \ell_i$

Solution — cont.

- Let $s(i)$ be the tallest stack of boxes with box i on top that can be formed with boxes of types $1, \dots, i$
 - $1 \leq i \leq n$
- Denote by j an integer such that $1 \leq j < i$
- Key observations: $s(1) = h_1$ and

$$s(i) = \begin{cases} \max_{j, (w_j > w_i) \wedge (\ell_j > \ell_i)} \{s(j)\} + h_i, & \exists j, s.t (w_j > w_i) \wedge (\ell_j > \ell_i) \\ h_i, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $r = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{s(i)\}$ is the desired value
 - The tallest stack can end with any type of box
- Assume the input is given in an array A such that $A[i].w = w_i$, $A[i].\ell = \ell_i$ and $A[i].h = h_i$
- Notice, $\max_{j, (w_j > w_i) \wedge (\ell_j > \ell_i)} \{s(j)\} + h_i = \max_{j, (w_j > w_i) \wedge (\ell_j > \ell_i)} \{s(j) + h_i\}$

Solution — Pseudocode

Tallest_Stack(A)

```
1: new array  $s[1 \dots n]$ 
2:  $s[1] = A[1].h$ 
3: for  $i = 2, \dots, n$  do
4:      $q = A[i].h$ 
5:     for  $j = 1, \dots, i - 1$  do
6:         if  $A[j].w > A[i].w$  AND  $A[j].l > A[i].l$  then
7:              $q = \max\{q, s[j] + A[i].h\}$ 
8:      $s[i] = q$ 
9:  $\max\_val = s[1]$ 
10: for  $i = 2, \dots, n$  do
11:     if  $\max\_val < s[i]$  then
12:          $\max\_val = s[i]$ 
13: return  $\max\_val$ 
```

Solution — cont.

Run time analysis:

- $O(n)$ iterations of loop in line 3
- $O(n)$ iterations of loop in line 5
- $O(n)$ iterations of loop in line 10
- $O(1)$ time for each inner-most iteration
- $O(n^2 + n) = O(n^2)$ in total

Solution — 3.2 — Example

- We will solve using **reduction** to 3.1
- A box can be rotated in $3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 6$ ways
 - Choose the width (3 options), choose the length (2 options) set the remaining value as height
- Consider the following box: $\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$
- The 6 orientations are $\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$, $\langle 1, 3, 2 \rangle$, $\langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle$, $\langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 3, 1, 2 \rangle$, $\langle 3, 2, 1 \rangle$
- Once a box has been placed in the stack it is not possible to place the same type of box in the same orientation
 - Once a box i with dimensions $\langle w_i, \ell_i, h_i \rangle$ is placed all boxes on top must have dimensions $\langle w, \ell, h \rangle$ such that $w < w_i$ and $\ell < \ell_i$;
- Since each orientation may be used at most once we can duplicate each type of box 6 times such that all orientations may be considered
- Since no rotation is needed we may use the solution of question 3.1

Solution — cont.

Algorithm:

Input: An array A of n types of boxes

output: The tallest stack possible

- ① Create array B with all 6 orientations of all boxes
- ② Sort (using $O(n \log n)$ sort) array B with respect to width
- ③ Run `Tallest_Stack(B)` and return its output

Run time analysis:

- Line 1 – $O(n)$
- Line 2 – $O(n \log n)$
- Line 3 – $O(n^2)$
- In total – $O(n^2)$

Question 4

We are given an array S of n symbols 'True', 'False', and an array O of $n - 1$ binary operators 'and', 'or', and 'xor'. An expression from arrays S and O is $S[1]O[1]S[2]O[2]\dots S[n - 1]O[n - 1]S[n]$.

Count the number of ways to place parentheses in the expression such that its value will evaluate to True.

Solution

AND	True	False
True	True	False
False	False	False

OR	True	False
True	True	True
False	True	False

XOR	True	False
True	False	True
False	True	False

Solution — cont.

- Example: $S = (\text{True}, \text{True}, \text{False})$, $O = (\text{xor}, \text{and})$. The expression is $\text{True xor True and False}$.

Only one way to place parentheses such that the expression evaluates to True: $(\text{True xor}(\text{True and False}))$

- Recall the Matrix chain multiplication from lecture
- Let $T(i, j)$ be the number of ways to parenthesize the subexpression $S[i]O[i] \cdots O[j - 1]S[j]$ such that its value is *True*
 - $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$
 - In the above example $T(1, 3) = 1$, $T(1, 2) = T(2, 3) = 0$
- $T(1, n)$ is the desired value
- For all $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$T(i, i) = \begin{cases} 1, & S[i] = \text{True} \\ 0, & S[i] = \text{False} \end{cases}$$

Solution — cont.

Attempt 1:

- Solution to some subexpression, $T(i, j)$, consist of splitting the subexpression at all $i \leq k < j$ and summing
- Is $T(i, j) = \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} T(i, k)T(k+1, j)$?
 - Consider the above example: is $T(1, 3) = T(1, 1)T(2, 3) + T(1, 2)T(3, 3)$?
 - No, since $T(2, 3) = 0$ and $T(1, 2) = 0$ it follows $T(1, 3) = 0$ but the parenthesized expression: (*True xor (True and False)*), evaluates to *True*
 - We must also count the number of ways to parenthesize subexpressions such that their value is *False*

Correct solution:

- Let $F(i, j)$ be the number of ways to parenthesize subexpression $S[i]O[i] \cdots O[j-1]S[j]$ such that its value is *False*
- For all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $F(i, i) = 1 - T(i, i)$

Solution — cont.

$$T(i, j) = \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \begin{cases} T(i, k)T(k+1, j), & O[k] = \text{and} \\ T(i, k)T(k+1, j) + T(i, k)F(k+1, j) + F(i, k)T(k+1, j), & O[k] = \text{or} \\ T(i, k)F(k+1, j) + F(i, k)T(k+1, j), & O[k] = \text{xor} \end{cases}$$

$$F(i, j) = \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \begin{cases} F(i, k)T(k+1, j) + F(i, k)F(k+1, j) + T(i, k)F(k+1, j), & O[k] = \text{and} \\ F(i, k)F(k+1, j), & O[k] = \text{or} \\ T(i, k)T(k+1, j) + F(i, k)F(k+1, j), & O[k] = \text{xor} \end{cases}$$

Solution – Pseudocode

Count_Par_True_Init(S)

```
1: new table  $T[1 \dots n, 1 \dots n]$ 
2: new table  $F[1 \dots n, 1 \dots n]$ 
3: for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  do
4:     for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  do
5:         if  $i == j$  then
6:             if  $S[i] == \text{True}$  then
7:                  $T[i, i] = 1$ 
8:                  $F[i, i] = 0$ 
9:             else
10:                 $F[i, i] = 1$ 
11:                 $T[i, i] = 0$ 
12:         else
13:              $T[i, j] = 0$ 
14:              $F[i, j] = 0$ 
15: return ( $T, F$ )
```

Solution — Pseudocode

Count_Par_True(S, 0)

```
1:  $(T, F) = \text{Count\_Par\_True\_Init}(S)$ 
2: for  $l = 2, \dots, n$  do
3:     for  $i = 1, \dots, n - l + 1$  do
4:          $j = i + l - 1$ 
5:         for  $k = i, \dots, j - 1$  do
6:             if  $O[k] == \text{and}$  then
7:                  $T[i, j] = T[i, j] + T[i, k]T[k + 1, j]$ 
8:                  $F[i, j] = F[i, j] + F[i, k]T[k + 1, j] + F[i, k]F[k + 1, j] + T[i, k]F[k + 1, j]$ 
9:             else
10:                if  $O[k] == \text{or}$  then
11:                     $T[i, j] = T[i, j] + T[i, k]T[k + 1, j] + T[i, k]F[k + 1, j] + F[i, k]T[k + 1, j]$ 
12:                     $F[i, j] = F[i, j] + F[i, k]F[k + 1, j]$ 
13:                else
14:                     $T[i, j] = T[i, j] + T[i, k]F[k + 1, j] + F[i, k]T[k + 1, j]$ 
15:                     $F[i, j] = F[i, j] + T[i, k]T[k + 1, j] + F[i, k]F[k + 1, j]$ 
16: return  $T[1, n]$ 
```

Solution — cont.

Run time analysis:

- Initialization takes $O(n^2)$ time
- 3 nested loops, each with $O(n)$ iterations
- $O(1)$ time for each inner-most iteration
- $O(n^3)$ time in total