Staged Compilation with Two-Level Type Theory

2023-08-11

Staged Compilation

Staged compilation separates code compilation in at two stages.

- Compile time (aka meta-level, indexed 1) stage and runtime (aka object-level, indexed 0) stage.
- Each stage can have their own language.

A staging algorithm converts/stages a metaprogram to a program with only runtime language.

► A metaprogram is a term with runtime type but uses type/terms from the compile-time language through staging annotations.

Examples where staged compilation is useful:

- Metaprogramming: evaluate the code-generation annotations (e.g. macros, inlining) to runtime language, i.e. without annotations.
- ▶ Domain specific languages: e.g. LINQ (C#)

Two-Level Type Theory

A staging algorithm needs to be sound:

- Any well-typed metaprogram should be staged into a well-typed runtime code.
- ► The resulting code of staging does not use any types/terms from the compile-time language.

To justify the the soundness of staging

- Two-level type theory can be applied.
- Treat the two stages as separate type systems.
- Restrict the interaction between stages with explicit annotations.

Unlike previous works like MetaML [TS97], staged compilation with 2LTT supports dependent types.

Π -Types

To adhere to the notations from the original paper on staged compilation [Kov22], we introduce new notations in comparison with Pie.

Dependent function types (Π-types)

$$f:(a:A) \to (b:B) \to C$$
(claim f ([([a A] [b B]) C))

► Functions (lambdas)

$$f := \lambda \, a \, b. \, \mathsf{body}$$
 (define f (λ (a b) body))

Moving Between Stages

We have two universes of types, one for each stage:

- ▶ U₀ for the universe of stage 0 (recall 0 is index for runtime/object stage)
- ▶ U₁ for the universe of stage 1 (recall 1 is index for compile-time/meta stage)

For interaction between stages, we define three staging annotations on the compile-time level.

Lifting: Compute Runtime Expressions at Compile-Time

Given $A: U_0$, we have $\uparrow A: U_1$

▶ The lifted type $\uparrow A$: U_1 is the type of metaprograms that compute runtime expression of type A: U_0 .

Quoting: Metaprograms from Runtime Terms

Given t : A and $A : U_0$, we have $\langle t \rangle : \uparrow A$ and $\uparrow A : U_1$

▶ The quoted term $\langle t \rangle$: $\uparrow A$ is a metaprogram that immediately yields the term t : A.

Splicing: Executing Metaprograms During Staging

Given $t: \uparrow A$ and $\uparrow A: U_1$, we have $\sim t: A$ and $A: U_0$

▶ The spliced metaprogram $\sim t$ will be executed during staging, and substituted by result expression.

Moving Between Stages

With the three staging annotations for moving between stages:

- ▶ *Lifting*: Given $A : U_0$, we have $\uparrow A : U_1$
- ▶ *Quoting*: Given t : A and $A : U_0$, we have $\langle t \rangle : \uparrow A$
- ▶ *Splicing*: Given $t: \uparrow A$ and $\uparrow A: U_1$, we have $\sim t: A$

We have two equalities:

$$\sim \langle t \rangle = t$$

 $\langle \sim s \rangle = s$

The Natural Eliminator

We introduce natural numbers for each stage $i \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\mathsf{Nat}_i : U_i$$
 $\mathsf{zero}_i : \mathsf{Nat}_i$
 $\mathsf{suc}_i : \mathsf{Nat}_i o \mathsf{Nat}_i$
 $\mathsf{NatElim}_i : (P : \mathsf{Nat}_i o \mathsf{U}_{i,j})$
 $o P \, \mathsf{zero}_i$
 $o ((n : \mathsf{Nat}_i) o P \, n o P \, (\mathsf{suc}_i \, n))$
 $o (t : \mathsf{Nat}_i)$
 $o P \, t$

For simplicity, let us define iter; to represent iter-Nat from Pie

$$\mathsf{iter}_i: (X:U_i) \to \mathsf{Nat}_i \to X \to (X \to X) \to X$$
$$\mathsf{iter}_i:= \lambda \, X \, t \, z \, s. \, \mathsf{NatElim}_i \, (\lambda \, n. \, X) \, z \, (\lambda \, n \, \mathsf{acc.} \, s \, \mathsf{acc}) \, t$$

Addition and Multiplication

$$\mathsf{iter}_i: (X:U_i) \to \mathsf{Nat}_i \to X \to (X \to X) \to X$$

 $\mathsf{iter}_i:=\lambda \, X \, t \, z \, s. \, \mathsf{NatElim}_i \, (\lambda \, n. \, X) \, z \, (\lambda \, n \, \mathsf{acc.} \, s \, \mathsf{acc}) \, t$

Similar to the definition of + and * in Pie, we can implement them in 2LTT as well.

 \blacktriangleright We put add $_0$ to stage 0:

$$\mathsf{add}_0 : \mathsf{Nat}_0 \to \mathsf{Nat}_0 \to \mathsf{Nat}_0$$

 $\mathsf{add}_0 := \lambda \ a \ b. \ \mathsf{iter}_0 \ \mathsf{Nat}_0 \ a \ b \ (\lambda \ n. \mathsf{suc}_0 \ n)$

► For multiplication, it takes a compile-time number x: Nat₁ and produces a metaprogram that computes the product with x at runtime.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{muI}_1 : \mathsf{Nat}_1 &\to \mathsf{\Uparrow} \mathsf{Nat}_0 \to \mathsf{\Uparrow} \mathsf{Nat}_0 \\ \mathsf{muI}_1 &= \lambda \, x \, t. \, \mathsf{iter}_1 \left(\mathsf{\Uparrow} \mathsf{Nat}_0 \right) x \left\langle \mathsf{zero}_0 \right\rangle \left\langle \mathsf{add}_0 \, {\sim} t \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

The Staging Process

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{iter}_i: (X:U_i) \to \mathsf{Nat}_i \to X \to (X \to X) \to X \\ &\mathsf{iter}_i:= \lambda \, X \, t \, z \, s. \, \mathsf{NatElim}_i \, (\lambda \, n. \, X) \, z \, (\lambda \, n \, \mathsf{acc.} \, s \, \mathsf{acc}) \, t \\ &\mathsf{add}_0: \mathsf{Nat}_0 \to \mathsf{Nat}_0 \to \mathsf{Nat}_0 \\ &\mathsf{add}_0:= \lambda \, a \, b. \, \mathsf{iter}_0 \, \mathsf{Nat}_0 \, a \, b \, (\lambda \, n. \mathsf{suc}_0 \, n) \\ &\mathsf{mul}_1: \mathsf{Nat}_1 \to \Uparrow \mathsf{Nat}_0 \to \Uparrow \mathsf{Nat}_0 \\ &\mathsf{mul}_1 = \lambda \, x \, t. \, \mathsf{iter}_1 \, (\Uparrow \mathsf{Nat}_0) \, x \, \langle \mathsf{zero}_0 \rangle \, \langle \mathsf{add}_0 \, \sim t \rangle \end{split}$$

With add_0 being a function on stage 0 and mul_1 on stage 1, a metaprogram, for instance

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{double} : \mathsf{Nat}_0 \to \mathsf{Nat}_0 \\ \mathsf{double} := \lambda \, \varkappa. \, \mathord{\sim} (\mathsf{mul}_1 \, 2 \, \langle \varkappa \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

will get staged to

$$\mathsf{double} := \lambda \, x. \, \mathsf{add}_0 \, x \, (\mathsf{add}_0 \, x \, \mathsf{zero}_0)$$

Formal Inference Rules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & & \text{QUOTE} & & \text{SPLICE} \\ \Gamma \vdash_{0,j} A & & \Gamma \vdash_{0,j} t : A & & \Gamma \vdash_{1,j} t : \uparrow A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash_{1,j} \uparrow A & & \Gamma \vdash_{1,j} \langle t \rangle : \uparrow A & & \Gamma \vdash_{0,j} \sim t : A \end{array}$$

QUOTE-SPLICE
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{1,j} t : \Uparrow A}{\Gamma \vdash_{1,j} \langle \sim t \rangle = t : \Uparrow A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{0,j} t : A}{\Gamma \vdash_{0,j} \sim \langle t \rangle = t : A}$$

Limitation of Staging

The original purpose of 2LTT is to express meta-theoretical statements about homotopy type theory (HoTT)

- "From a type in HoTT, we can extract a statement that can be phrased in the meta-theory. From a meta-theoretical statement about HoTT, it is not always possible to construct a type. Thus, we can convert inner types into outer one, but not always vice versa." [Ann+19]
- Therefore cannot splice arbitrary stage 1 term.
- ► Stage 0 don't always have ways to represent types in stage 1.
- ightharpoonup ~zero₁ would be invalid.

Isomorphism Between Types

$$\uparrow ((a:A) \rightarrow B \ a) \simeq (a:\uparrow A) \rightarrow \uparrow (B \sim a)$$

$$\uparrow ((a:A) \times B a) \simeq ((a:\uparrow A) \times \uparrow (B \sim a))$$

Isomorphism Example

```
\begin{split} \operatorname{pres}_{\to} : & \Uparrow((x:A) \to B\,x) \to ((x:\Uparrow\!A) \to \Uparrow\,(B\,\sim\!x)) \\ \operatorname{pres}_{\to} f := \lambda\,x. \; & \langle \sim\!f \sim\!x \rangle \\ \operatorname{pres}_{\to} := \lambda\,f\,x. \; & \langle \sim\!f \sim\!x \rangle \\ \\ \operatorname{pres}_{\to}^{-1} : & ((x:\Uparrow\!A) \to \Uparrow\,(B\,\sim\!x)) \to \Uparrow((x:A) \to B\,x) \\ \operatorname{pres}_{\to}^{-1} f := & \langle \lambda\,x. \; \sim\!(f\,\langle x \rangle) \rangle \\ \operatorname{pres}_{\to}^{-1} := & \lambda\,f. \; & \langle \lambda\,x. \; \sim\!(f\,\langle x \rangle) \rangle \end{split}
```

Isomorphism Example

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{pres}_{\to}(\mathsf{pres}_{\to}^{-1}f) &= \ (\lambda\,f\,x.\,\,\langle\sim f\sim x\rangle)\,\,((\lambda\,f.\,\,\langle\lambda\,x.\,\,\sim(f\,\langle x\rangle)\rangle)\,\,f) \\ &=_{\beta} \ (\lambda\,f\,x.\,\,\langle\sim f\sim x\rangle)\,\,\langle\lambda\,x.\,\,\sim(f\,\langle x\rangle)\rangle \\ &=_{\beta} \ \lambda\,x.\,\,\,\langle\sim(\lambda\,x.\,\,\sim(f\,\langle x\rangle))\sim x\rangle \\ &= \ \lambda\,x.\,\,\,\langle((\lambda\,x.\,\,\sim(f\,\langle x\rangle))\sim x\rangle \\ &=_{\beta} \ \lambda\,x.\,\,\,\langle\sim(f\,\langle\sim x\rangle)\rangle \\ &= \ \lambda\,x.\,\,\,\langle\sim(f\,x)\rangle \\ &= \ \lambda\,x.\,\,\,\langle\sim(f\,x)\rangle \\ &= \ \lambda\,x.\,\,\,(f\,x) \\ &=_{\eta}\,f \end{aligned}$$

Result of 2LTT

- ▶ Staging: Given a program t:A, where $A:U_0$. Staging computes metaprograms and replace all splices in t and A with resulting runtime expression.
- 2LTT guarantees resulting computation does not contain more splices.
- Regardless of the body, if you have a runtime type program, it can be turned into a program using strictly stage 0 terms and constructors.

Bibliography

[TS97] Walid Taha and Tim Sheard. "MetaML and Multi-Stage Programming with Explicit Annotations". In: SIGPLAN Not. 32.12 (Dec. 1997), pp. 203–217. ISSN: 0362-1340. DOI: 10.1145/258994.259019. URL: https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/258994.259019.

- [Ann+19] Danil Annenkov et al. "Two-Level Type Theory and Applications". In: ArXiv e-prints (May 2019). URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.03307.
- [Kov22] András Kovács. "Staged Compilation with Two-Level Type Theory". In: *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 6.ICFP (Aug. 2022). DOI: 10.1145/3547641. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/3547641.

End