Team 6 - 6. Retrospective notes

Sprint 1 retrospective notes

Retrospective date: Sep 18, 2023

Question	Answer
What worked well?	Team member Sten: I think we were very transparent with one-another
	Team member Mihhail:
	Communication is good- the extra pressure of deadlines is also a good motivator
	Team member Valeri:
	The team members were open with one-another and it was easy to work with everyone. Everyone was aware what their task was.
	Team member Richard:
	The team was willing to cover each other if someone ran into issues
What could be improved?	Team member Sten: Communication broke down at times since at times there were no notifications if someone was not able to attend a meeting.
	Team member Mihhail:
	Everyone should contribute more so the workload would not be uneven.
	Team member Valeri:
	We need to participate more in the meetings and be more transparent if we're stuck with out task.
	Team member Richard:
	could improve our technicalities and participation collectively.
What will we commit to doing in the next Sprint?	Team member Sten: • Do not be too hard on yourself- we're all here to learn.
	Team member Mihhail:
	We're going to work hard and meet the deadlines on time + bonus assignment. There may be a Malaria.
	Team member Valeri: Trute manage our workload better and most the deadlines, as usual (2)
	Try to manage our workload better and meet the deadlines, as usual Team member Richard:
	 more confidence to deliver in our tasks. as this task was new and real life commitments are coming in, you may lose focus of the project. Time management is something to focus on.

Other notes:

- Do not burn out otherwise you wont become QA engineer
- We feel like CerebrumHub mentor answers are a bit late or delayed which kind of creates confusion how the task should actually be submitted. Mainly talking about the forum and live mentorships where the mentor would get back to us after the workshop which can take up to a week ••

Sprint 2 retrospective notes

Retrospective date: Oct 11, 2023

Question	Answer			
What worked well?	Team member Sten: I think the communication was better compared to Sprint 2 and I believe the tasks were distributed more evenly this time around but still uneven at times. Although we had more tasks but we were more familiar with Postman, the work we did felt more fluent and natural.			
	Team member Mihhail:			
	Team meetings and tasks distribution worked well. Time management also.			
	Team member Valeri:			
	• I think we distributed the work well and drew up a clear plan. This helped us complete all tasks on time and also a bonus task.			
	Good communication and helping each other helped us achieve results.			
	Team member Richard:			
	Communication was great this period			
What could be improved?	Team member Sten: • Communication can always be better, especially in larger teams.			
	Team member Mihhail:			
	I think i have nothing to add here.			
	Team member Valeri:			
	 Improve communication, I mean communicate on topics not only related to work and tasks, this will have a good effect. 			
	Team member Richard:			
	More technical improvements as it remains positive even now.			
What will we commit to doing in the next Sprint?	Team member Sten: • Communicate and support each other more			
	Team member Mihhail:			
	I'll agree with other members, perform good outcome, communicate and do all the best.			
	Team member Valeri:			
	Show a high level of work.			
	Perform tasks with the same responsibility.			
	Team member Richard:			
	Communicate more in processes more.			

Other notes:

- Sten I was not really happy with my admin coordinating in Sprint 2 since my focus was more on my personal life but we came through regardless
- Valeri I really enjoyed working with you guys, I hope to see you again in other projects. I wish you all good luck and success!
- Mihhail Good luck guys!