

### The complete expansion of determinant

- We have defined the determinant recursively, it can be expanded out.
- Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n)$  be a permutation of  $(1 \cdots n)$ . If it interchanges only  $i$  and  $j$ , then we call it a **transposition** (对换), denoted  $(i\ j)$ . It is clear that any permutation can be written as the composition of a sequence of transpositions

$$\sigma = (i_1\ j_1) \circ (i_2\ j_2) \circ \cdots \circ (i_r\ j_r).$$

- The expression is not unique, but the **parity** of  $r$  is the same for any such expression. We define the **sign** of the permutation  $\sigma$  as  $(-1)^r$ , it is well defined, denoted **sgn**( $\sigma$ ).

- By definition, it is clear that **sgn**( $\sigma\tau$ ) = **sgn**( $\sigma$ )**sgn**( $\tau$ ).
- The sign of a permutation can be calculated conveniently by counting the number of **reversed orders** (逆序) in the sequence  $(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n)$ . A pair  $\sigma_i, \sigma_j$  with  $i < j$  is said to be in reversed order if  $\sigma_i > \sigma_j$ . The total number of the pairs in reversed order is denoted  $r(\sigma)$ .
- **Proposition:** **sgn**( $\sigma$ ) =  $(-1)^{r(\sigma)}$ .
- The basic reason is that

$$(i\ 1 \cdots i-1\ i+1 \cdots n) = (1\ 2) \circ (2\ 3) \circ \cdots \circ (i-1\ i)$$

Is a product of  $i-1$  transposition, and the number of reversed orders is also  $i-1$ .

- **Theorem:** Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix, then

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{1\sigma_1} a_{2\sigma_2} \cdots a_{n\sigma_n},$$

where  $\sigma$  runs through all the permutations of  $(1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ n)$ .

- Example: For  $2 \times 2$  matrix,  $\det(A) = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}$ .

- Example: For  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $A$ , we have  $\det(A)$  equals

$$a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}.$$

- Proof:

Since the determinant is defined inductively, we'll prove the theorem by induction.

The assertion is clearly true for  $n=1$ , as  $\det(a) = a$ .

Suppose that the assertion holds for  $n$ . (Induction hypothesis).

Let  $A$  be a matrix of size  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ , then

$$\det(A) = a_{11} \cdot \det(A_{11}) - a_{12} \cdot \det(A_{12}) + \cdots + (-1)^{n+2} a_{1,n+1} \det(A_{1,n+1})$$

(\*)

Look at each term  $(-1)^{j+1} a_{ij} \det(A_{ij})$ . By induction hypothesis, it equals

$$(-1)^{j+1} a_{ij} \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{2\sigma_2} a_{3\sigma_3} \cdots a_{n+1, \sigma_{n+1}}. \quad (**)$$

$\uparrow$   
 permutation  
 of  $(1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ \hat{j} \ \cdots \ n+1)$   
 $\nwarrow$  deleted

Let  $\sigma' = (j \ \sigma_2 \ \sigma_3 \ \cdots \ \sigma_{n+1})$ , then  $\sigma'$  is a permutation of  $(1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ n+1)$ . Moreover, the number of reversed order

$$r(\sigma') = r(\sigma) + j - 1 \quad \xrightarrow{j > 1, 2, \dots, j-1.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{sgn}(\sigma') = (-1)^{j-1} \text{sgn}(\sigma).$$

Now we see that  $(**)$  equals

$$(-1)^{j+1} a_{ij} \det(A_{ij}) = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma') a_{1\sigma'_1} a_{2\sigma'_2} \cdots a_{n+1, \sigma'_{n+1}}.$$

$\uparrow$   
 permutation  
 of  $(1 \ \cdots \ \hat{j} \ \cdots \ n+1)$

As  $j$  runs through  $1, \dots, n+1$ ,  $\sigma'$  runs through all the permutations of  $(1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ n+1)$ , and so  $(**)$  equals

$$\sum_{\sigma'} \text{sgn}(\sigma') a_{1\sigma'_1} a_{2\sigma'_2} \cdots a_{n+1, \sigma'_{n+1}}.$$

$\uparrow$   
 permutation  
 of  $(1, \dots, n+1)$

□

- With the above complete expansion, we can prove the theorem:

The determinant of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  can be computed by a cofactor expansion across any row or down any column. The expansion across the  $i$ th row using the cofactors in (4) is

$$\det A = a_{i1}C_{i1} + a_{i2}C_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in}C_{in}$$

The cofactor expansion down the  $j$ th column is

$$\det A = a_{1j}C_{1j} + a_{2j}C_{2j} + \cdots + a_{nj}C_{nj}$$

- The complete expansion also implies the theorem

If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then  $\det A^T = \det A$ .

**EXAMPLE 1** Compute the determinant of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution: Notice that the third column has only 1 non-zero entry, we will expand along it.

$$\det(A) = \underset{\substack{! \\ 0}}{a_{13}} \cdot C_{13} + a_{23} \cdot C_{23} + \underset{\substack{! \\ 0}}{a_{33}} C_{33}$$

$$= (-1) \cdot (-1)^{2+3} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} = -2.$$

□

**EXAMPLE 3** Compute  $\det A$ , where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -7 & 8 & 9 & -6 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 & 7 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution Notice that the first column of  $A$  has only one non-zero entry, expand along it, get

$$\det(A) = 3 \cdot \det(A_{11}).$$

Expand along the first column of  $A_{11}$ , get

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A_{11}) &= 2 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\ &\quad \rightarrow \text{expand along last column} \\ &= 2 \cdot (-1) \cdot (-1)^{2+3} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \det(A) = 3 \cdot \det(A_{11}) = -12. \quad \square$$

### A linearity property

- **Theorem:** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_j, a'_j, \dots, a_n$  be  $n + 1$  vectors in  $R^n$ , then for any  $\lambda, \mu \in R$ , we have

$$\det[a_1 \cdots \lambda a_j + \mu a'_j \cdots a_n] = \lambda \det[a_1 \cdots a_j \cdots a_n] + \mu \det[a_1 \cdots a'_j \cdots a_n]$$

- Same result holds for the row operations by taking transposition.

Proof Let  $A = [\vec{a}_1 \cdots \vec{a}_n]$ ,  $A' = [\vec{a}_1 \cdots \vec{a}'_j \cdots \vec{a}_n]$ ,  
 $B = [\vec{a}_1 \cdots a_j + a'_j \cdots \vec{a}_n]$ , By the previous theorem, we can expand along the  $j$ -th column,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(B) &= (-1)^{1+j} (\lambda a_{1j} + \mu a'_{1j}) \det B_{1j} + (-1)^{2+j} (\lambda a_{2j} + \mu a'_{2j}) \det B_{2j} \\ &\quad + \cdots + (-1)^{n+j} (\lambda a_{nj} + \mu a'_{nj}) \det B_{nj} \\ &= \lambda \left[ (-1)^{1+j} a_{1j} \det B_{1j} + \cdots + (-1)^{n+j} a_{nj} \det B_{nj} \right] + \\ &\quad \mu \left[ (-1)^{1+j} a'_{1j} \det B_{1j} + \cdots + (-1)^{n+j} a'_{nj} \det B_{nj} \right] \\ &\quad \quad \quad \text{"} \quad \quad \quad \text{"} \quad \quad \quad \text{"} \quad \quad \quad \text{"} \\ &\quad \quad \quad \det A_{1j} \quad \quad \quad \det A_{nj} \quad \quad \quad \det A'_{1j} \quad \quad \quad \det A'_{nj} \\ &= \lambda \det(A) + \mu \det(A') \quad \text{expansion of } \det(A), \\ &\quad \quad \quad \det(A') \text{ along } j\text{-th column} \end{aligned}$$

□

## Properties of the determinant

- Recall that we can always row reduce a matrix to echelon form, it is then important to understand how the determinant changes under the elementary

### Row Operations

Let  $A$  be a square matrix.

- If a multiple of one row of  $A$  is added to another row to produce a matrix  $B$ , then  $\det B = \det A$ .
  - If two rows of  $A$  are interchanged to produce  $B$ , then  $\det B = -\det A$ .
  - If one row of  $A$  is multiplied by  $k$  to produce  $B$ , then  $\det B = k \cdot \det A$ .
- Take transposition, we get the same result for the elementary column operations.

- Combined with the fact that the determinant of a triangular matrix is the product of its pivots, this gives an efficient algorithm to calculate the determinant.

Proof c) Expand along the row in question,

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ k a_{i1} & k a_{i2} & \cdots & k a_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = k a_{i1} C_{i1} + k a_{i2} C_{i2} + \cdots + k a_{in} C_{in} \\
 = k (a_{i1} C_{i1} + \cdots + a_{in} C_{in}) = k \det(A).$$

b) proof by induction. For  $n=2$ ,

$$\begin{vmatrix} a & d \\ b & c \end{vmatrix} = bc - ad = - \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix}.$$

Suppose that the assertion is proven for  $n$ . Let  $A$  be a matrix of size  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ , let  $A'$  be the interchange of the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th row of  $A$ . Expand  $\det(A')$  along  $k$ -th row,  $k \neq i, j$ , get

$$\det(A') = (-1)^{k+1} a_{k1} \det(A'_{k1}) + (-1)^{k+2} a_{k2} \det(A'_{k2}) + \dots + (-1)^{k+n+1} a_{kn} \det(A'_{kn}) \quad (*)$$

Notice that  $A'_{kj}$  is obtained from  $A_{kj}$  by interchange two rows, by induction hypothesis,

$$\det(A'_{kj}) = -\det(A_{kj}), \quad \forall j=1, \dots, n+1,$$

plug into  $(*)$ , get  $\det(A') = -\det(A)$ .

a) By the linear property of  $\det(A)$ , we have

$$\det[\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_i + \lambda \vec{a}_j \dots \vec{a}_n] = \det[\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_i \dots \vec{a}_n] + \lambda \det[\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_j \dots \vec{a}_j \dots \vec{a}_n]$$

claim  $\det[\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_j \dots \vec{a}_j \dots \vec{a}_n] = 0$ .

interchange two columns will change the sign, hence can only be 0.

$$\Rightarrow \det[\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_i + \lambda \vec{a}_j \dots \vec{a}_n] = \det[\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_i \dots \vec{a}_n]$$

□



- **Corollary:** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & A_{rr} \end{bmatrix}$  be a matrix in block with  $A_{ii}$  being a matrix of size  $n_i \times n_i$ , then

$$\det(A) = \det(A_{11})\det(A_{22})\cdots\det(A_{rr}).$$

Proof The elementary row operation have the same effects to both  $A$  and the submatrices  $A_{11}, \dots, A_{rr}$ , hence it is enough to consider the triangular case, for which the assertion is clear as determinant is product of diagonal entries.  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 2** Compute  $\det A$ , where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -8 & 6 & 8 \\ 3 & -9 & 5 & 10 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -4 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Solution

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -4 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & -9 & 5 & 10 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -4 & 0 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -4 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & -4 & -2 \\ 0 & -12 & 10 & 10 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -4 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & -4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -4 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & -4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot (-3) \cdot 1 \\ &= -36. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

- Theorem

A square matrix  $A$  is invertible if and only if  $\det A \neq 0$ .

Proof The elementary row operations doesn't change the  $\text{rk}$  of  $A$ , hence it is enough to consider the triangular case, for which  
 $A \text{ invertible} \Leftrightarrow \text{rk}(A) = n$

$$\det(A) = a_{11} \cdot a_{22} \cdots a_{nn},$$

hence  $A$  is invertible iff  $\det(A) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

- Theorem

### Multiplicative Property

If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $n \times n$  matrices, then  $\det AB = (\det A)(\det B)$ .

Proof We begin by examining the case when  $A$  is an elementary matrix:

1)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \lambda & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $AB$  is obtained from  $B$  by adding the  $\lambda$ -multiple of  $j$ -th row of  $A$  to  $i$ -th row of  $A$

$$\Rightarrow \det(AB) = \det(B) = \det(A) \cdot \det(B)$$

$\uparrow$  previous theorem       $\uparrow$  1

2)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & 0 & & 1 \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $AB$  is obtained from  $B$  by interchanging the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th row.

$$\Rightarrow \det(AB) = -\det(B) = \det(A) \cdot \det(B)$$

"  
as  $A$  is obtained from  $I_n$  by interchanging  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th row.

3)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \lambda & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $AB$  is obtained from  $B$  by multiplying the  $i$ -th row by  $\lambda$

$$\Rightarrow \det(AB) = \lambda \det(B) = \det(A) \cdot \det(B).$$

Back to the general case. If either  $A$  or  $B$  is not invertible, then  $AB$  can not be invertible (why?) The assertion is clearly true as both sides equals 0.

Suppose that  $A$  is invertible, then  $A$  can be written as products of elementary matrices

$$A = E_1 \cdots E_p \quad \Rightarrow \quad \det(A) = \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_p).$$

as it is row equivalent to  $I_n$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \det(AB) &= \det(E_1 \cdots E_p B) = \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_p) \cdot \det(B) \\ &= \det(A) \det(B). \end{aligned}$$

□