

Calculus A(1): Homework 4

November 12, 2021

52.

Prove that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ if and only if $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(h + c) = L$.

Solution

Let $g(x) = x + c$. Clearly, g is a bijective continuous function. Then, the original proposition can be transformed into

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow g(b)} f(x) = L \Leftrightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow b} (f \circ g)(h) = L$$

The following proves " \Leftarrow ".

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow b} (f \circ g)(h) = L &\Leftrightarrow \\ (\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall h)((0 < |h - b| < \delta) \Rightarrow (|(f \circ g)(h) - L| < \epsilon)) \end{aligned}$$

In addition, g^{-1} is also a bijective continuous function, thus

$$(\forall \epsilon_1 > 0)(\exists \delta_1 > 0)(\forall x)((0 < |x - g(b)| < \delta_1) \Rightarrow (0 < |g^{-1}(x) - b| < \epsilon_1))$$

Choose ϵ_1 such that $\epsilon_1 < \delta$, and $h = g^{-1}(x)$. Then,

$$((0 < |x - g(b)| < \delta_1) \Rightarrow (0 < |g^{-1}(x) - b| < \epsilon_1 < \delta) \Rightarrow (|(f \circ g)(g^{-1}(x)) - L| < \epsilon) \Rightarrow (|f(x) - L| < \epsilon))$$

So, by letting $b = 0$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow g(b)} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$$

. " \Rightarrow ".

Let $s = f \circ g, b = g^{-1}(c)$. Thus we are proving

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} s(g^{-1}(x)) = L \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow g^{-1}(c)} s(x) = L$$

Replace $g(b), b$ involved the proof above with $g^{-1}(c), c$ directly completes the proof. ■

54

Another wrong statement about limits Show by example that the following statement is wrong.

The number L is the limit of $f(x)$ as x approaches x_0 if, given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a value of x for which $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$.

Explain why the function in your example does not have the given value of L as a limit as $x \rightarrow x_0$.

Solution

Let $f(x) = x$.

Given any $\epsilon > 0$, exists a value of x for which $|f(x) - 0| = 0 < \epsilon$. In that case above, $x = 0$. However, when x approaches 1, i.e. $x_0 = 1$, $f(x)$ approaches 1.

5.

$$\text{Let } f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x > 0 \end{cases}.$$

- a Does $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x)$ exist? If so, what is it? If not, why not?
- b Does $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} f(x)$ exist? If so, what is it? If not, why not?
- c Does $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$ exist? If so, what is it? If not, why not?

Solution

a No.

Assume the limit exists.

Let $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $n_1 > \frac{1}{\pi\delta} - \frac{1}{2}$. Then, $0 < \frac{2}{(2n_1+1)\pi} < \delta$.

$\forall \epsilon > 0$,

$$|\sin \frac{1}{x} - L| = |\sin \frac{(2n_1+1)\pi}{2} - L| = |1 - L| < \epsilon.$$

Also let $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $n_2 > \frac{1}{\delta\pi}$, then $0 < \frac{1}{n_2\pi} < \delta$

$\forall \epsilon > 0$,

$$|\sin \frac{1}{x} - L| = |\sin n_2\pi - L| = |L| < \epsilon.$$

Hence, $2\epsilon > |1 - L| + |L| \geq |1|$, which fails for $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$

■

b Yes.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} f(x) = 0$$

c No.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} f(x) = 0, \text{ but } \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) \text{ does not exist.}$$

66.

Suppose that f is an even function of x . Does knowing that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2^-} f(x) = 7$ tell you anything about either $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2^-} f(x)$ or $\lim_{x \rightarrow -2^+} f(x)$? Give reasons for your answer.

Solution

$$(\lim_{x \rightarrow 2^-} f(x) = 7) \Rightarrow (\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall x)((2 - \delta < x < 2) \Rightarrow (|f(x) - 7| < \epsilon))$$

So we have

$$(2 - \delta < -x < 2) \Rightarrow (|f(-x) - 7| < \epsilon), \text{ or } (-2 + \delta > x > -2) \Rightarrow (|f(-x) - 7| < \epsilon)$$

Since f is even, i.e. $f(-x) = f(x)$, that implies

$$(-2 < x < -2 + \delta) \Rightarrow (|f(x) - 7| < \epsilon)$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 2^-} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -2^+} f(x) = 7$$

■

69

How many horizontal asymptotes can the graph of a give rational function have? Give reasons for your answer.

Solution

Horizontal asymptotes of a function $f(x)$ are linear equations in the form of $y = k$, where k satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = k \text{ or } \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = k$$

Since limit is unique if it exists, thus $f(x)$ can have at most 2 asymptotes.

For a rational function $f(x)$, $f(x) = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$, where $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are both polynomials. By polynomial division, $f(x) = A(x) + \frac{R(x)}{Q(x)}$, where $A(x)$ and $R(x)$ are polynomials, and $\deg R < \deg Q$. Let $n = \deg R$, $k = \deg Q$. Then,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(x)}{Q(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i}{\sum_{i=0}^{n+k} q_i x^i} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^{i-n}}{\sum_{i=0}^{n+k} q_i x^{i-n}} = 0$$

The fraction does also approach to zero when $x \rightarrow -\infty$. Hence, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} A(x)$. The limit exists if and only if $A(x)$ is constant, and has the same value as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. So, the graph of a function can have 0 or 1 asymptotes.

A1.

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and f be a function defined on an open interval I containing c , except possibly at c . Show that for $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, the following assertions are equivalent.

1. $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = \ell$.
2. For **any** sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converging to c such that $x_n \in I - \{c\}$ for all $n \geq 0$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \ell$.

Solution

(1) \Rightarrow (2).

Suppose

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = \ell \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = c$$

Then,

$$(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall x)((0 < |x - c| < \delta) \Rightarrow (|f(x) - \ell| < \epsilon))$$

Also,

$$(\forall \epsilon' > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N}^*)(\forall n)((n > N) \Rightarrow (0 < |x_n - c| < \epsilon'))$$

Hence, choose $\epsilon' = \delta$,

$$(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N}^*)(\forall n)((n > N) \Rightarrow (0 < |x_n - c| < \delta) \Rightarrow (|f(x_n) - \ell| < \epsilon))$$

So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \ell.$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1).

Assume $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$ does not exist or it is not ℓ , but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = c$. Then,

$$(\exists \epsilon_1 > 0)(\forall \delta > 0)(\exists x)((0 < |x - c| < \delta) \Rightarrow (|f(x) - \ell| \geq \epsilon_1))$$

As we have

$$(\forall \epsilon' > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N}^*)(\forall n)((n > N) \Rightarrow (0 < |x_n - c| < \epsilon'))$$

If we choose $\delta = \epsilon', \epsilon'/2, \dots, \epsilon'/n, \dots$, then there exists $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ such that

$$0 < |x_n - c| < \frac{\epsilon'}{n} \text{ and } |f(x_n) - \ell| \geq \epsilon_1$$

That contradicts the assumption that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \ell$, hence $\neg(1) \Rightarrow \neg(2)$. ■

B1.

Prove that for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \sin(x) = \sin(c)$. You are allowed to use the following limits, already proved in class: $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sin(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \cos(x) = 1$.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \sin x &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sin(x + c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (\sin(x) \cos(c) + \cos(x) \sin(c)) \\ &= \cos(c) \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sin(x) + \sin(c) \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \cos(x) = \sin(c)\end{aligned}$$

■