# Topics in Linear Algebra: Homework 5

## April 8, 2022

#### Solution 1.5.1.

First, examine the differentiability of f.

For  $f: C \to C$ , f = u + iv is differentiable at  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$  iff

$$\left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|_{x=x_0} = \left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right|_{y=y_0}, \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|_{y=y_0} = -\left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right|_{x=x_0}$$

For f(z) = z|z|,  $u(x,y) = x\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ ,  $v(x,y) = y\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ , then

$$u_x = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}, u_y = \frac{xy}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$

$$v_x = \frac{xy}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}, v_y = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + \frac{y^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}.$$

Solving  $u_x = v_y$ ,  $v_x = -u_y$  yields

$$\begin{cases} x^2 = y^2 \\ 2xy = 0 \\ x^2 + y^2 > 0 \end{cases}$$

However, no such tuple  $(x_0, y_0)$  satisfies all three equations above, and hence f is nowhere complex differentiable.

But if it is the case  $f|_R: R \to R$ , then it is real differentiable everywhere.

$$f(x) = x|x| = x\sqrt{x^2}$$
, then  $f'(x) = \sqrt{x^2} + x \cdot \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2}} = 2|x|$ 

1.

$$A_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1+t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1+t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So 1 = -xt, x = -1/t.

$$f(A_t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/t \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & (1+t)^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/t \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t+2 \\ & (1+t)^2 \end{bmatrix}, \forall t: t \ge -1$$

So

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f(A_t) = \lim_{t \to 0} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t+2 \\ & (1+t)^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. f is real differentiable, but not complex differentiable, which is obviously not analytic, so f cannot equal to its Taylor series, hence f is not defined for matrices with complex eigenvalues. Moreover,

$$p_{A_t}(\lambda) = \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 \\ -t^2 & 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) = (1-\lambda)^2 + t^2$$

so for  $A_t$ ,  $\lambda = 1 \pm it$ .

As the limit is taking values from the punctured neighborhood of zero, the limit does not exist, so f is not defined.

## Solution 1.5.2.

1.  $\sin(z) = \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i}$ , so

$$\sin(tA) = \frac{e^{itA} - e^{-itA}}{2i}, \frac{d}{dt}\sin(tA) = \frac{iAe^{itA} - (-iA)e^{-itA}}{2i} = \frac{A(e^{itA} + e^{-itA})}{2} = A\cos(tA)$$

2. W.L.O.G., assume f is analytic at z=0 for  $f: \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}.$  Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k z^k,$$

where

$$a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\epsilon} \frac{f(z)dz}{z^{n+1}}$$

Before seeking for the solution, first prove a lemma

$$S(n): \begin{bmatrix} 2A & A \\ & 2A \end{bmatrix}^n = \begin{bmatrix} (2A)^n & n \cdot 2^{n-1}A^n \\ & (2A)^n \end{bmatrix}, \forall n : n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$$

S(1) is trivial.

Assume S(k) holds, then for S(k+1),

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2A & A \\ 2A \end{bmatrix}^{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2A & A \\ 2A \end{bmatrix}^{k} \begin{bmatrix} 2A & A \\ 2A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (2A)^{k} & k \cdot 2^{k-1}A^{k} \\ (2A)^{k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2A & A \\ 2A \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} (2A)^{k+1} & (2^{k} + k \cdot 2^{k})A^{k+1} \\ (2A)^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (2A)^{k+1} & (k+1) \cdot 2^{k}A^{k+1} \\ (2A)^{k+1} \end{bmatrix}, S(k) \Rightarrow S(k+1)$$

By first principle of induction,  $\forall n : n \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \Rightarrow S(n)$ .

$$f\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}2A & A\\ 2A\end{array}\right]\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k \left[\begin{array}{cc}2A & A\\ 2A\end{array}\right]^k = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\begin{array}{cc}a_k(2A)^k & a_k \cdot k \cdot 2^{k-1}A^k\\ a_k(2A)^k\end{array}\right]$$

Let  $X = BJB^{-1}$ , then for  $p(x) = x^n$ ,  $p'(X) = Bp'(J)B^{-1}$ ,  $p'(J) = nJ^{n-1}$ , so  $p'(X) = nX^{n-1}$ 

$$\Rightarrow f'(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k \cdot k \cdot X^{k-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow f\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} 2A & A \\ & 2A \end{array}\right]\right) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} f(2A) & f'(A)A \\ & f(2A) \end{array}\right] \Rightarrow B = f'(A) \cdot A.$$

3. It can be disproved by letting  $f(x) = x^2$ ,  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \\ & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$f'(A)B = \begin{pmatrix} f'(1) & f''(1) \\ f'(1) & J \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 6 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f'\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1+2t & 1 \\ 1+3t \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2+4t \\ 2+6t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2+4t & -2 \\ 2+6t \end{bmatrix}$$

Plugging in t = 0 yields  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Actually, the proposition holds only when [A, B] = 0. In this case, binomial theorem is suitable for matrices A and B.

$$[f(A+tB)]' = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_n C_n^k A^{n-k} (Bt)^k\right)' = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_n C_n^k A^{n-k} k B^k t^{k-1}$$

Plugging in t = 0,

$$[f(A+tB)]'|_{t=0} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n C_n^1 A^{n-1} B = f'(A) \cdot B$$

## Solution 1.5.3.

1. Let v be the common eigenvector of A and B,  $\lambda_A$  and  $\lambda_B$  are the two eigenvalues associated with v for A and B.  $V_2$  is a matrix such that the column vectors are orthonormal basis of orthogonal complement of span of v. Then for A,

$$\begin{bmatrix} v & V_2 \end{bmatrix}^H A \begin{bmatrix} v & V_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v & V_2 \end{bmatrix}^H \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_A v & AV_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_A & v^H A V_2 \\ 0 & V_2^H A V_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly, for B,

$$\begin{bmatrix} v & V_2 \end{bmatrix}^H B \begin{bmatrix} v & V_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_B & v^H B V_2 \\ 0 & V_2^H B V_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

So  $A_1 = V_2^H A V_2$ ,  $B_1 = V_2^H B V_2$ .

$$A_1B_1 = V_2^H A V_2 V_2^H B V_2 = V_2^H A B V_2$$
$$B_1A_1 = V_2^H B V_2 V_2^H A V_2 = V_2^H B A V_2$$

The above two equations are equal as A commutes with B, i.e.

$$[A,B] = 0 \Rightarrow [A_1,B_1] = 0$$

2. From (1),  $[A_1, B_1] = 0$ , so  $A_1$ ,  $B_1$  should have a common eigenvector. We may assume that it is one of the column vectors of  $V_2$ , otherwise  $V_2$  can be reconstructed in the previous step to contain that common eigenvector.

Moveover, when that common eigenvector is placed on the first column of  $V_2$  in (1), then

$$A_1 = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \lambda_{A_1} & v'^H B V_{22} \\ 0 & V_{22}^H A_1 V_{22} \end{array} \right],$$

where v' is the common eigenvector of  $A_1$  and  $B_1$ . Same for  $B_1$ .

Hence we can iteratively apply that transformation to  $(A_i)_{22}$  and  $(B_i)_{22}$  for all i.

In addition, for each iteration, the size of  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$  strictly decreases.

Given that A and B are finite dimensional, the process of iteration eventually terminates.

The iterations terminate when i is such that  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  has dimension one.

That gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} v & V_2 \end{bmatrix}^H A \begin{bmatrix} v & V_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

an upper triangular matrix. Same for B.