

Answer Key: Problem Set 3

Applied Stats/Quant Methods 1

Jeffrey Ziegler

Instructions

- *Please show your work! You may lose points by simply writing in the answer. If the problem requires you to execute commands in R, please include the code you used to get your answers. Please also include the .R file that contains your code. If you are not sure if work needs to be shown for a particular problem, please ask.*
- *Your homework should be submitted electronically on GitHub in .pdf form.*
- *This problem set is due before 23:59 on Sunday November 19, 2023. No late assignments will be accepted.*

In this problem set, you will run several regressions and create an add variable plot (see the lecture slides) in R using the `incumbents_subset.csv` dataset. Include all of your code.

Question 1

We are interested in knowing how the difference in campaign spending between incumbent and challenger affects the incumbent's vote share.

1. *Run a regression where the outcome variable is `voteshare` and the explanatory variable is `difflog`.*

```
1 # read in data
2 inc.sub <- read.csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/ASDS-TCD/StatsI_
  Fall2023/main/datasets/incumbents_subset.csv")
3
4 # (a) run regression where the outcome variable is \texttt{voteshare}
5 # and the explanatory variable is \texttt{difflog}
6 model_a <- lm(voteshare ~ difflog, data= inc.sub)
7 #texreg(list(model_a), digits=3)
```

Table 1: Outcome variable is `voteshare` and the explanatory variable is `difflog`.

	Model 1
(Intercept)	0.579*** (0.002)
difflog	0.042*** (0.001)
R ²	0.367
Adj. R ²	0.367
Num. obs.	3193
RMSE	0.079

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$

There is a positive and statistically reliable relationship between the amount of spending between the incumbent and challenger and the incumbent's vote share, such that a one unit increase in the logged difference in spending is associated with an average increase of 0.04 in the incumbent's vote share (4%)

2. *Make a scatterplot of the two variables and add the regression line.*

```

1 plot(inc.sub$difflog, inc.sub$voteshare,
2       ylab="Vote share", xlab="Difference in spending logged")
3 abline(model_a, col="red", lty=2)

```

Figure 1: Scatter plot of `difflog` and `voteshare`.

Q1_b.pdf

3. Save the residuals of the model in a separate object.

```
1 model_a$resid <- resid(model_a)
```

4. Write the prediction equation.

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times \text{difflog}$$

$$\text{voteshare} = 0.579 + 0.042 \times \text{difflog}$$

Question 2

We are interested in knowing how the difference between incumbent and challenger's spending and the vote share of the presidential candidate of the incumbent's party are related.

1. Run a regression where the outcome variable is **presvote** and the explanatory variable is **difflog**.

```
1 # (a) run regression where the outcome variable is \texttt{presvote}
2 # and the explanatory variable is \texttt{difflog}
3 model_b <- lm(presvote ~ difflog, data= inc.sub)
```

Table 2: Outcome variable is **presvote** and the explanatory variable is **difflog**.

	Model 1
(Intercept)	0.508*** (0.003)
difflog	0.024*** (0.001)
R ²	0.088
Adj. R ²	0.088
Num. obs.	3193
RMSE	0.110
*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$	

There is a positive and statistically reliable relationship between the amount of spending between the incumbent and challenger and the president vote share, such that a one unit increase in the logged difference in spending is associated with an average increase of 0.024 in the incumbent's vote share (2.4%)

2. *Make a scatterplot of the two variables and add the regression line.*

```
1 plot(inc.sub$difflog, inc.sub$presvote,
2       ylab="Pres share", xlab="Difference in spending logged")
3 abline(model_b, col="red", lty=2)
```

Figure 2: Scatter plot of difflog and pressshare.

Q2_b.pdf

3. *Save the residuals of the model in a separate object.*

```
1 model_b$resid <- resid(model_b)
```

4. *Write the prediction equation.*

$$\text{presvote} = 0.508 + 0.024 \times \text{difflog}$$

Question 3

We are interested in knowing how the vote share of the presidential candidate of the incumbent's party is associated with the incumbent's electoral success.

1. Run a regression where the outcome variable is *voteshare* and the explanatory variable is *presvote*.

```
1 # (a) run regression where the outcome variable is \texttt{voteshare}
2 # and the explanatory variable is \texttt{presvote}
3 model_c <- lm(voteshare ~ presvote, data= inc.sub)
```

Table 3: Outcome variable is *voteshare* and the explanatory variable is *presvote*.

	Model 1
(Intercept)	0.441*** (0.008)
presvote	0.388*** (0.013)
R ²	0.206
Adj. R ²	0.206
Num. obs.	3193
RMSE	0.088

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$

There is a positive and statistically reliable relationship between president vote share and *voteshare*, such that a one unit increase in the president's vote share is associated with an average increase of 0.388 in the incumbent's vote share (38.8%)

2. Make a scatterplot of the two variables and add the regression line.

```
1 plot(inc.sub$presvote, inc.sub$voteshare,
2      ylab="Vote share", xlab="Pres share")
3 abline(model_c, col="red", lty=2)
```

3. Write the prediction equation.

$$\text{voteshare} = 0.441 + 0.388 \times \text{presvote}$$

Figure 3: Scatter plot of `pressshare` and `voteshare`.

Q3_b.pdf

Question 4

The residuals from part (a) tell us how much of the variation in `voteshare` is not explained by the difference in spending between incumbent and challenger. The residuals in part (b) tell us how much of the variation in `presvote` is not explained by the difference in spending between incumbent and challenger in the district.

1. Run a regression where the outcome variable is the residuals from Question 1 and the explanatory variable is the residuals from Question 2.

```
1 # (a) run regression where the outcome variable is \texttt{model_a.resid}
2 # and the explanatory variable is \texttt{model_b.resid}
3 model_d <- lm(model_a.resid ~ model_b.resid)
```

There is a positive and statistically reliable relationship between `model_a.resid` and `model_b.resid`, such that a one unit increase in the residual error from model A is associated with an average increase of 0.257 in residual error from model B (25.7%).

Table 4: Outcome variable is `model_a.resid` and the explanatory variable is `model_b.resid`.

	Model 1
(Intercept)	−0.000 (0.001)
model_b.resid	0.257*** (0.012)
R ²	0.130
Adj. R ²	0.130
Num. obs.	3193
RMSE	0.073

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$

2. *Make a scatterplot of the two residuals and add the regression line.*

```

1 plot(model_b.resid, model_a.resid,
2      ylab="Residuals from model_a", xlab="Residuals from model_b")
3 abline(model_d, col="red", lty=2)

```

Figure 4: Scatter plot of `model_a.resid` and `model_b.resid`.

Q4_b.pdf

3. Write the prediction equation.

$$\text{model_a.resid} = 0 + 0.257 \times \text{model_b.resid}$$

Question 5

What if the incumbent's vote share is affected by both the president's popularity and the difference in spending between incumbent and challenger?

1. Run a regression where the outcome variable is the incumbent's **voteshare** and the explanatory variables are **difflog** and **presvote**.

```
1 # (a) run regression where the outcome variable is \texttt{voteshare}
2 # and the explanatory variable is \texttt{difflog} and presvote
3 model_e <- lm(voteshare ~ difflog + presvote, data= inc.sub)
```

Table 5: Outcome variable is **voteshare** and the explanatory variables are **difflog** and **presvote**.

	Model 1
(Intercept)	0.449*** (0.006)
difflog	0.036*** (0.001)
presvote	0.257*** (0.012)
R ²	0.450
Adj. R ²	0.449
Num. obs.	3193
RMSE	0.073

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$

2. Write the prediction equation.

$$\text{voteshare} = 0.449 + 0.036 \times \text{difflog} + 0.257 \times \text{presvote}$$

3. What is it in this output that is identical to the output in Question 4? Why do you think this is the case?

The coefficient for **presvote** in **model_e** is the same as the coefficient for **model_b.resid** in **model_d** because it represents the partial effect of **presvote** (the amount of co-variation between **presvote** and **voteshare** that is unexplained by **difflog**).