

Non-singleton Elimination

Soudant Léo

fourth year student at ENS Paris Saclay

2025

Supervisor **Pierre-Merie Pédrot**, chargé de recherche at Inria Rennes-Bretagne-Atlantique,

Abstract

Contents

1	Introduction 1.1 The outline	2
2	Sheaves 2.1 Topoï and sheaves in topoï 2.2 Sheaves in type theory	2
3	Models	3
4	System T and MLTT 4.1 MLTT 4.2 System T	3
	Martin Baillon's ShTT	=
5	Waltin Ballon's Shi I	٠
	Logical relations 6.1 System T extension	ن 15 التي
6	Logical relations	E5 E5
6	Logical relations 6.1 System T extension	E5 E5

1 Introduction

1.1 The outline

2 Sheaves

2.1 Topoï and sheaves in topoï

Proofs, results and details for this section can often be found in *Sheaves in geometry and logic: A first introduction to topos theory* by Saunders Maclane and Ieke Moerdijk [1].

Definition 2.1 (Subobject). In a category, a subobject of X is an equivalence class of monomorphism $m: A \rightarrow X$, where the equivalence comes from the preorder where $m: A \rightarrow X$ is smaller than $m': A' \rightarrow X$ when there is a map $f: A \rightarrow A'$ with $m' \circ f = m$.

We deduce a presheaf **Sub** where **Sub**(X) is the set of subobjects of X, and **Sub**(f) : **Sub**(Y) \rightarrow **Sub**(X) sends $m: A \rightarrow Y$ to its pullback by $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

Definition 2.2 (Topos). A topos is a cartesian closed category with all finite limits and a suboject classifier Ω and an isomorphism $\mathbf{Sub}(X) \cong \mathbf{Hom}(X,\Omega)$ natural in X.

We note that topoï also have finite colimits.

A topos serves to give models of intuitionistic logic in classical mathematical language. It has an internal logic which is higher order.

For example, **Set** is a topos, and given a topos \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{E}/X , the category of maps with codomain X and commuting triangles, as well as $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{C}^{op}}$, the category of contravariant functors from a small category \mathbf{C} and natural transformation, are all topoï. In particular categories of presheaves are topoï, and correspond to Kripke models.

The subobject classifier Ω is equipped with an internal meet-semilattice structure inherited from the meet-semilattice structure on each $\mathbf{Sub}(X)$, which is natural in X.

Definition 2.3 (Lawvere-Tierney topology). A Lawvere-Tierney topology is a left exact idempotent monad j on the internal meet-semilattice on Ω .

- $id_{\Omega} \leq j$,
- $j \circ j \leq j$
- $j \circ \land = \land \circ j \times j$

From a topology j we extract a closure operator J_X of $\mathbf{Sub}(X)$ for any X.

Definition 2.4 (Dense subobject). A suboject U of X is dense if $J_XU = X$

A topology can be lifted to a left exact idempotent monad on the entirety of the topos, the sheafification monad.

Definition 2.5 (j-Sheaf in topos). An object F is a j-sheaf in a topos if for any dense subobject U of any object X, the morphism $\mathbf{Hom}(X,F) \to \mathbf{Hom}(U,F)$ obtained by precomposition is an isomorphism.

A j-Sheaf is up to isomorphism the result of sheafifying an object.

j-Sheaves form a topos. The sheaves on a presheaf topos correspond to Beth semantics.

2.2 Sheaves in type theory

Consider a type theory with a notion of proof irrelevant propositions Prop, e.g. book-HoTT with mere propositions, or ROCQ with SProp.

In this case, a Lawvere-Tierney topology may be similarly defined, as a monad:

- $J : \mathsf{Prop} \to \mathsf{Prop}$
- $\eta: \Pi(P: \mathsf{Prop}).P \to \mathsf{J}\ P$
- bind : $\forall (PQ : \mathsf{Prop}).\mathsf{J}\ P \to (P \to \mathsf{J}\ Q) \to \mathsf{J}\ Q$

Then a sheaf is just a type T with

- A map $\operatorname{ask}_T: \Pi(P:\mathsf{Prop}). \ \mathsf{J}\ P \to (P \to T) \to T$
- A coherence $\varepsilon_T : \Pi(P : \mathsf{Prop}) \ (j : \mathsf{J} \ P) \ (x : T)$. $\mathsf{ask}_T \ P \ j \ (\lambda p : P.x) = x$

Now, the sheafified of a type doesn't exists in general, if the theory admits quotient inductive types, it can then be defined as follow:

$$\begin{split} & \text{Inductive } \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{J}} \ T : \mathsf{Type} := \\ & | \ \mathsf{ret} : T \to \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{J}} \ T \\ & | \ \mathsf{ask} : \Pi(P : \mathsf{Prop}), \mathsf{J} \ P \to (P \to \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{J}} \ T) \to \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{J}} \ T \\ & | \ \varepsilon : \Pi(P : \mathsf{Prop}) \ (j : J \ P) \ (x : \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{J}} \ T). \ \mathsf{ask} \ P \ j \ (\lambda p : P.x) = x \end{split}$$

We note that by taking $I := \Sigma(P : \mathsf{Prop}).\mathsf{J}\ P$ and $O\ (P,j) : P$, a sheaf is then a

- \bullet A type T
- A map $\operatorname{ask}_T: \Pi(i:I), (O\ i \to T) \to T$
- A coherence map $\varepsilon_T : \Pi(i:I) \ (x:T)$, $\mathsf{ask}_T \ i \ (\lambda o:O \ i.x) = x$

and simimlarily for sheafification. This is marginally simpler, and make sheaves appear as quotient dialogue trees, hence why we will henceforth consider (I, O)-sheaves instead of J-sheaves.

3 Models

A significant part of my internship was dedicated to contructing models of type theory in Rocq.

- 1. A model of a variant of Baclofen TT using dialogue trees. Predicates must be linearized before eliminating an inductive into them.
- 2. An exceptional model, with a type of exceptions E. A special type of dialogue trees where I = E and $Oi = \mathbf{0}$, the resulting theory is inconsistent (when E is inhabited), as always when $Oi \to \mathbf{0}$ for some i.
- 3. A model using sheaves, which requires univalence, and quotient inductive types to model positive types.
- 4. A incomplete model using presheaves.

4 System T and MLTT

4.1 MLTT

We sought to extend the following variant of MLTT.

We consider a type of levels containing two elements ${\bf s}$ and ${\bf l}$ for small and large, with generic ℓ With terms :

$$M, N ::= x | \lambda x. M | MN | 0 | S | \mathbf{N}_{rec} | \perp_{rec} | \mathbf{N} | \perp | \Pi x : A.B | \square_{\mathbf{s}} | \square_{\mathbf{l}}$$

Contexts:

$$\Gamma ::= \Gamma, x : A|\cdot$$

And conversion rules:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{W}_{\text{F-EMPTY}} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\cdot \vdash \text{well-formed}} & \text{W}_{\text{F-EXT}} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash \text{well-formed}} \\ & \text{Int-Typ} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{N} \equiv \mathbf{N} : \Box_{\ell}} & \text{Emp-Typ} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \bot \equiv \bot : \Box_{\ell}} \\ & \text{Fun-Typ} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : \Box_{\ell} \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B \equiv B' : \Box_{\ell}}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : A, B \equiv \Pi x : A', B' : \Box_{\ell}} & \text{Typ-Typ} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \Box_{\mathbf{s}} : \Box_{\mathbf{l}}} \\ & \text{Fun-Intro} \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M \equiv M' : B \quad \Gamma \vdash A \equiv A : \Box_{\ell}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x . M \equiv \lambda x . M' : \Pi x : A, B} & \text{Fun-Elim} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M \equiv M' : \Pi x : A, B \quad \Gamma \vdash N \equiv N' : A}{\Gamma \vdash M N \equiv M' N' : B (N/x)} \\ & \text{Axiom} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash x \equiv x : A} & \text{Beta} \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M \equiv M' : B \quad \Gamma \vdash N \equiv N' : A}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x . M) N \equiv M' (N'/x) : B(N/x)} \\ & \text{Int-Zero} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash 0 \equiv 0 : \mathbf{N}} & \text{Int-Succ} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash S \equiv S : \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{N}} \\ & \text{Int-Rec} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash N_{\text{rec}} \equiv \mathbf{N}_{\text{rec}} : \Pi A : \mathbf{N} \to \Box_{\mathbf{s}}, A0 \to (\Pi n : \mathbf{N}, An \to A(Sn)) \to \Pi n : \mathbf{N}, An} \end{aligned}$$

3

$$\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow square_{\mathbf{s}} \quad \Gamma \vdash N_0 \equiv N_0' \equiv A0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash N_S \equiv N_S : \Pi n : \mathbf{N}, An \rightarrow A(Sn)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{N}_{rec}AN_0N_S0 \equiv N_0' : A0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow square_{\mathbf{s}} \quad \Gamma \vdash N_0 \equiv N_0' \equiv A0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash N_S \equiv N_S' : \Pi n : \mathbf{N}, An \rightarrow A(Sn) \quad \Gamma \vdash N \equiv N' : \mathbf{N}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{N}_{rec}AN_0N_S(SN) \equiv N_S'N'(\mathbf{N}_{rec}A'N_0'N_S'N') : A(SN)$$

$$E_{\mathrm{MP-Rec}} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \bot_{rec} \equiv \bot_{rec} : \Pi A : \bot \rightarrow \Box_{\mathbf{s}}, \Pi e : \bot, Ae}$$

$$S_{\mathrm{YM}} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M \equiv M' : A}{\Gamma \vdash M' \equiv M : A} \quad T_{\mathrm{RANS}} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M \equiv M' : A}{\Gamma \vdash M \equiv M' : A}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash M \equiv M' : A$$

But it is useful to consider the extension, with new terms : $M, N := \dots |\mathbf{B}|\mathbf{B}_{rec}|\overline{\mathsf{tt}}|\overline{\mathsf{ff}}$ And conversion rules

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Bool-True} \dfrac{\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \overline{\operatorname{tt}} \equiv \overline{\operatorname{tt}} : \mathbf{B}} & \operatorname{Bool-False} \dfrac{\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \overline{\operatorname{ff}} \equiv \overline{\operatorname{ff}} : \mathbf{B}} \\ \\ \operatorname{Bool-Rec} \dfrac{\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{B}_{\operatorname{rec}} \equiv \mathbf{B}_{\operatorname{rec}} : \Pi A : \mathbf{B} \to \square_{\mathbf{s}}, A \overline{\operatorname{tt}} \to A \overline{\operatorname{ff}} \to \Pi b : \mathbf{B}, A b} \\ \\ \dfrac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A : \mathbf{B} \to \square_{\mathbf{s}}}{\Gamma \vdash M_{\overline{\operatorname{tt}}} \equiv M'_{\overline{\operatorname{tt}}} \equiv A \overline{\operatorname{tt}}} & \Gamma \vdash M_{\overline{\operatorname{ff}}} \equiv M_{\overline{\operatorname{ff}}} : A \overline{\operatorname{ff}} \\ \\ \Gamma \vdash A \equiv A : \mathbf{B} \to \square_{\mathbf{s}} \\ \\ \dfrac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A : \mathbf{B} \to \square_{\mathbf{s}}}{\Gamma \vdash A \overline{\operatorname{tt}}} & \Gamma \vdash M_{\overline{\operatorname{ff}}} \equiv M'_{\overline{\operatorname{ff}}} : A \overline{\operatorname{ff}} \\ \\ \dfrac{\Gamma \vdash M_{\overline{\operatorname{tt}}} \equiv M_{\overline{\operatorname{tt}}} \equiv A \overline{\operatorname{tt}}}{\Gamma \vdash M_{\overline{\operatorname{ff}}}} & \Gamma \vdash M_{\overline{\operatorname{ff}}} \equiv M'_{\overline{\operatorname{ff}}} : A \overline{\operatorname{ff}} \\ \\ \dfrac{\Gamma \vdash B_{\operatorname{rec}} A M_{\overline{\operatorname{tt}}} M_{\overline{\operatorname{ff}}} \overline{\operatorname{ff}}}{\Gamma \vdash M_{\overline{\operatorname{ff}}}} & M'_{\overline{\operatorname{ff}}} : A \overline{\operatorname{ff}} \end{array}$$

4.2 System T

To identify and solve problems in a simpler envirronement, we studied a modified System T before, based on the following variant.

With types:

$$A ::= A \rightarrow A|\mathbf{N}| \perp$$

Terms:

$$M, N ::= x |\lambda x. M| MN |0| S |\mathbf{N}_{rec}| \perp_{rec}$$

Contexts:

$$\Gamma ::= \Gamma, x : A|\cdot$$

And conversion rules:

Fun-Intro
$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, x:A \vdash M \equiv M':B \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \lambda x.M \equiv \lambda x.M':A \rightarrow B \end{array} \qquad \text{Fun-Elim} \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash M \equiv M':A \rightarrow B & \Gamma \vdash N \equiv N':A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash MN \equiv M'N':B \end{array} \\ \hline Axiom \begin{array}{c} x:A \in \Gamma \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash x \equiv x:A \end{array} \qquad \text{Beta} \begin{array}{c} \Gamma, x:A \vdash M \equiv M':B & \Gamma \vdash N \equiv N':A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.M)N \equiv M':B \end{array} \\ \hline Int-Zero \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash 0 \equiv 0:N \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash S \equiv S:N \rightarrow N \end{array} \\ \hline Int-Rec \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash N_{rec} \equiv N_{rec}:A \rightarrow (N \rightarrow A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow N \rightarrow A \end{array} \\ \hline Int-Rec-Zero \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash N_S \equiv N_S:N \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash N_S \equiv N_S:N \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \end{array} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash N_0 \equiv N_0' \equiv A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash N_0 \equiv N_0' \equiv A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash N_0 \equiv N_0' \equiv A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash N_0 \equiv N_0' \equiv A \end{array} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash N_0 \equiv N_0' \equiv A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash N_0 \equiv N_0 \equiv A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash N_0 \equiv N_0 \equiv A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash N_0 \equiv A$$

5 Martin Baillon's ShTT

My work was meant to generalize the work of my supervisor past PhD. student, Martin Baillon. He worked on a roughly similar MLTT extended with boolean and a generic function α .

$$M, N ::= \dots | \alpha$$

with secondary forcing contexts, with n and b an integer and boolean respectively

$$\mathcal{L} ::= \mathcal{L}, n \mapsto b|.$$

We write $n \mapsto_{\mathcal{L}} b$ when $n \mapsto b$ appears in \mathcal{L} , and $n \not\mapsto_{\mathcal{L}}$ when neither $n \mapsto$ tt nor $n \mapsto$ ff do.

And conversion rules:

name
$$\frac{\mathcal{L}, \Gamma \vdash ?_0 \quad \cdots \quad \mathcal{L}, \Gamma \vdash ?_n}{\mathcal{L}, \Gamma \vdash ?}$$

whenever the following is a rule of MLTT (with booleans)

$$_{\text{name}} \frac{\Gamma \vdash ?_0 \quad \cdots \quad \Gamma \vdash ?_n}{\Gamma \vdash ?}$$

Exception made of WF-EMPTY, which becomes

The new conversion rules are:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L},\Gamma\vdash \text{well-formed} \quad n\not\mapsto_{\mathcal{L}}}{\mathcal{L},n\mapsto b,\Gamma\vdash \text{well-formed}}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L},\Gamma\vdash \text{well-formed}}{\mathcal{L},\Gamma\vdash \alpha\equiv\alpha:\mathbf{N}\to\mathbf{B}} \quad \text{Ask} \frac{\mathcal{L},\Gamma\vdash \text{well-formed} \quad n\mapsto_{\mathcal{L}}b}{\mathcal{L},\Gamma\vdash \alpha\overline{n}\equiv\overline{b}}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L},n\mapsto \text{tt},\Gamma\vdash M\equiv M':A \quad \mathcal{L},n\mapsto \text{ff},\Gamma\vdash M\equiv M':A \quad n\not\mapsto_{\mathcal{L}}b}{\mathcal{L},\Gamma\vdash M\equiv M':A}$$

6 Logical relations

6.1 System T extension

7 Meta-informations

7.1 Time expenditure

7.2 Difficulties

The subject is rather vast and a bit unclear.

Doing logical relations for MLTT is a large task, even before adding sheaves. My next attempt will probably start from logrel rocq insted of nothing

8 Conclusion

Appendix

A Dummy

References

[1] Saunders MacLane and Ieke Moerdijk. Sheaves in geometry and logic: A first introduction to topos theory. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.