

Internship defense M2  
Parisian Master of Research in Computer Science  
Élimination non-singleton :  
Avec les faisceaux, c'est pas faux

**Soudant Léo**, fourth year student at ENS Paris Saclay

February 28th to July 30th 2023

Supervisor  
**Pierre-Marie Pédrot**

# Table of contents

1 Topoi

2 Sheaves in Type theory

3 Sheaf type theories

4 Bibliography

# The starting point

Cartesian closed  
categories

$\Leftrightarrow$

Simply typed  
 $\lambda$ -calculus

$\Leftrightarrow$

Negative fragment  
of intuitionistic  
propositional logic

$\text{Hom}(A \times B, C)$

$\simeq$

$\text{Hom}(A, B \rightarrow C)$

$\lambda x : A. t : A \rightarrow B$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B}$$

# Subobject classifier

$$\text{Sub}(A) \simeq \text{Hom}(A, \Omega)$$

$\text{Sub}(A) :=$  equivalence classes of monomorphisms  $X \rightarrowtail A$ .

Introduces a type of proposition in the  $\lambda$ -calculus, as well as subobjects

- All finite limits as well. Finite colimits are recovered[1].

# Formulas

$\wedge, \vee, \forall, \exists, \neg, \perp, \top, \Rightarrow$  can all be expressed e.g.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \wedge Y & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & A \end{array}$$

So can  $=$  and  $\in$ . Equality is the predicate  $A \times A \rightarrow \Omega$  given by the diagonal  $A \rightarrowtail A \times A$ .

Objects similar to the set theoretic  $\{x \in A \mid \phi(x)\}$  can be constructed.

# Internal logic of $\Omega$

- $\wedge : \text{Sub}(A) \times \text{Sub}(A) \rightarrow \text{Sub}(A)$
- $\wedge : \text{Hom}(A, \Omega) \times \text{Hom}(A, \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \Omega)$
- $\wedge : \text{Hom}(A, \Omega \times \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \Omega)$
- $\wedge : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$

Similarly for  $\vee, \perp, \top, \Rightarrow$ .

# Topoi of presheaves

For a small category  $\mathbf{C}$ , its category of presheaves is noted  $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} := \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{C}^{op}}$ .

$$(P \times Q)(C) = P(C) \times Q(C)$$

$$P^Q(C) \simeq \mathbf{Nat}(yC, P^Q) \simeq \mathbf{Nat}(yC \times Q, P)$$

$\Omega(C)$  is the set of subpresheaves of  $yC$  (also called sieves on  $C$ ).  
(A subpresheaf is pointwise a subset, with function restricted)

Small limits are pointwise.

# Sheaves

A sheaf = A presheaf with a compatibility property.

A Grothendieck topology introduces a notion of cover.

- If  $f : D \rightarrow C$  is in  $S(D)$ , then it is covered by  $S$ .
- If  $f$  is covered then  $f \circ g$  is covered.
- If  $S$  covers  $f$  and  $R$  covers any map of  $S(D)$  for all  $D$ , then  $R$  covers  $f$

We note the sieve of all maps covered by  $S$  as  $\overline{S}$ .

A sheaf is a presheaf where the inclusion of  $\text{Nat}(\overline{S}, F)$  in  $\text{Nat}(S, F)$  is an equivalence.



# Example : Sheaves on $\mathbb{R}^2$

$\mathbf{C} := \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  (poset : at most one map between object).

We fix  $C := \mathbb{R}^2$ .

$S$  covers  $U := (U \subseteq \bigcup S)$  :

- if  $U \in S$  then  $U \subseteq \bigcup S$
- if  $U \subseteq \bigcup S$ , and  $V \subset U$ , then  $V \subseteq \bigcup S$
- if  $U \subseteq \bigcup S$ , and for all  $V \in S$ ,  $V \subseteq \bigcup R$ , then  $U = \bigcup R$

# The topos of sheaves

Finite limits of sheaves as presheaves are sheaves and are finite limits for sheaves.

$F^P$  is a sheaf whenever  $F$  is.

$\Omega$  contains the sieves which are also sheaves, that is which only cover themselves.

# Lawvere-Tierney topology

Sheaves are defined through an operator  $j : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  for which

- $id \leq j$ , since  $S \subseteq \overline{S}$
- $\Rightarrow \circ id \times j \leq \Rightarrow \circ j \times j : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ , since  $\overline{S} \subseteq \overline{R}$  whenever  $S \subseteq \overline{R}$

This can be defined in any topos.

Or, rather, any type theory with a type of (strict) propositions.

# Table of contents

- 1 Topoi
- 2 Sheaves in Type theory
- 3 Sheaf type theories
- 4 Bibliography

# $J$ -Sheaves

Given  $J : \text{Prop} \rightarrow \text{Prop}$  with

- $\text{ret}_J : \prod P, P \rightarrow JP$
- $\text{bind}_J : \prod QP, JP \rightarrow (P \rightarrow JQ) \rightarrow JQ$

A sheaf is then a type  $F$  together with

- A map  $\text{ask} : \prod P, JP \rightarrow (P \rightarrow F) \rightarrow F$
- Such that for all proposition  $P$  and any proof  $j$  of  $JP$ ,  
 $\text{ask } P \ j \ (\lambda \_, f) = f$

# $IO$ -sheaves

Noting  $I := \Sigma P. JP : \text{Type}$  and  $O(P, j) := P : I \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ ,

A sheaf is equivalently given by:

$$\text{Shf} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F : \text{Type} \\ \text{ask} : \Pi i : I, (O\ i \rightarrow F) \rightarrow F \\ \varepsilon : \Pi (i : I)(f : F), \text{ask}\ i\ (\lambda \_, f) = f \end{array} \right\}$$

A type has at most one sheaf structure (with univalence).

# Table of contents

- 1 Topoi
- 2 Sheaves in Type theory
- 3 Sheaf type theories**
- 4 Bibliography

# The sheaf model

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\text{Type}] &:= \{F := \text{Shf}; \dots\} \\
 [\Pi a : A, B] &:= \{F := \Pi a : \llbracket A \rrbracket, \llbracket B \rrbracket; \dots\} \\
 \llbracket A \rrbracket &:= F[A]
 \end{aligned}$$



# Positive types

Interpreting positive types requires higher inductive types.

Inductive  $B : \text{Type} :=$

| true : B

| false : B

| ask<sub>B</sub> :  $\prod(i : I).(O\ i \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B$

|  $\varepsilon_B : \prod(i : I) (b : B). \text{ask } i (\lambda_. b) = b$

$[B] \quad := F := B; \text{ask} := \text{ask}_B; \varepsilon := \varepsilon_B$

# Basic sheaf theory

$$[\text{ask}] := \text{ask}$$

But  $\text{ask}$  has type  $\prod(A : \text{Shf})(i : I), (O \ i \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A$ , should be  $\llbracket ? \rrbracket$

An approximation :

$$\frac{O_i, \Gamma \vdash k : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ask}_i k : A} \qquad \frac{}{O_i, \Gamma \vdash \text{ask}_i k \equiv k}$$

With  $[\text{ask}_i k] := \text{ask} [A] \ i \ (\lambda(o_i : O_i), [k])$

## Geometric formulas

$$\bigwedge_{i:I} \left( \forall \vec{x}, O_i \Rightarrow \bigvee_{j:J_i} \exists \vec{y}, Q_{i,j} \right)$$

Up to curryfication, of the shape  $\Pi i, O_i$ .

$$\frac{Q_{i,1}, \vec{y}, \Gamma \vdash k_1 : A \quad \cdots \quad Q_{i,n}, \vec{y}, \Gamma \vdash k_n : A}{O_i, \Gamma \vdash \text{ask}_i A \vec{x} \vec{k} : A}$$

# Normalisation of a modified system $\mathcal{T}$

- No types-as-terms
- Natural numbers, functions, empty type

$$\bigwedge_{i:I} \bigvee_{j:J_i} o_{i,j}$$

Proof of normalisation through logical relations.

# Example of working theory

With an extra cohen real  $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bigwedge_n \top \rightarrow (n \mapsto_\alpha \text{true}) \vee (n \mapsto_\alpha \text{false})) \\ \wedge \quad & (\bigwedge_n (n \mapsto_\alpha \text{true}) \wedge (n \mapsto_\alpha \text{false}) \rightarrow \perp) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{}{n \mapsto_\alpha b \vdash \alpha \, n \equiv b : \mathbb{B}} \text{ and } \text{ask}_n A := B_{\text{rec}} A (\alpha \, n)$$

Can be used to show continuity of functionals  $(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

# Table of contents

- 1 Topoi
- 2 Sheaves in Type theory
- 3 Sheaf type theories
- 4 Bibliography**

# Bibliography I

- [1] Saunders MacLane and Ieke Moerdijk. *Sheaves in geometry and logic: A first introduction to topos theory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.