

Non-singleton Elimination

Soudant Léo

fourth year student at ENS Paris Saclay

2025

Supervisor **Pierre-Merie Pédrot**, chargé de recherche at Inria Rennes-Bretagne-Atlantique,

Abstract

Contents

1	Introduction 1.1 The outline	2
2	Sheaves 2.1 Topoï and sheaves in topoï 2.2 Sheaves in type theory	2 2
3	Models	3
4	System T and MLTT 4.1 MLTT 4.2 System T	3
5	Meta-informations5.1 Time expenditure5.2 Difficulties	4
6	Conclusion	4
A	Dummy	

1 Introduction

1.1 The outline

2 Sheaves

2.1 Topoï and sheaves in topoï

Proofs, results and details for this section can often be found in *Sheaves in geometry and logic: A first introduction to topos theory* by Saunders Maclane and Ieke Moerdijk [1].

Definition 2.1 (Subobject). In a category, a subobject of X is an equivalence class of monomorphism $m: A \rightarrow X$, where the equivalence comes from the preorder where $m: A \rightarrow X$ is smaller than $m': A' \rightarrow X$ when there is a map $f: A \rightarrow A'$ with $m' \circ f = m$.

We deduce a presheaf **Sub** where **Sub**(X) is the set of subobjects of X, and **Sub**(f) : **Sub**(Y) \rightarrow **Sub**(X) sends $m: A \rightarrow Y$ to its pullback by $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

Definition 2.2 (Topos). A topos is a cartesian closed category with all finite limits and a suboject classifier Ω and an isomorphism $\mathbf{Sub}(X) \cong \mathbf{Hom}(X,\Omega)$ natural in X.

We note that topoï also have finite colimits.

A topos serves to give models of intuitionistic logic in classical mathematical language. It has an internal logic which is higher order.

For example, **Set** is a topos, and given a topos \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{E}/X , the category of maps with codomain X and commuting triangles, as well as $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{C}^{op}}$, the category of contravariant functors from a small category \mathbf{C} and natural transformation, are all topoï. In particular categories of presheaves are topoï, and correspond to Kripke models.

The subobject classifier Ω is equipped with an internal meet-semilattice structure inherited from the meet-semilattice structure on each $\mathbf{Sub}(X)$, which is natural in X.

Definition 2.3 (Lawvere-Tierney topology). A Lawvere-Tierney topology is a left exact idempotent monad j on the internal meet-semilattice on Ω .

- $id_{\Omega} \leq j$,
- $j \circ j \leq j$
- $j \circ \land = \land \circ j \times j$

From a topology j we extract a closure operator J_X of $\mathbf{Sub}(X)$ for any X.

Definition 2.4 (Dense subobject). A suboject U of X is dense if $J_XU = X$

A topology can be lifted to a left exact idempotent monad on the entirety of the topos, the sheafification monad.

Definition 2.5 (j-Sheaf in topos). An object F is a j-sheaf in a topos if for any dense subobject U of any object X, the morphism $\mathbf{Hom}(X,F) \to \mathbf{Hom}(U,F)$ obtained by precomposition is an isomorphism.

A j-Sheaf is up to isomorphism the result of sheafifying an object.

j-Sheaves form a topos. The sheaves on a presheaf topos correspond to Beth semantics.

2.2 Sheaves in type theory

Consider a type theory with a notion of proof irrelevant propositions Prop, e.g. book-HoTT with mere propositions, or ROCQ with SProp.

In this case, a Lawvere-Tierney topology may be similarly defined, as a monad:

- $J : \mathsf{Prop} \to \mathsf{Prop}$
- $\eta: \Pi(P: \mathsf{Prop}).P \to \mathsf{J}\ P$
- bind : $\forall (PQ : \mathsf{Prop}).\mathsf{J}\ P \to (P \to \mathsf{J}\ Q) \to \mathsf{J}\ Q$

Then a sheaf is just a type T with

- A map $\operatorname{ask}_T: \Pi(P:\mathsf{Prop}). \ \mathsf{J}\ P \to (P \to T) \to T$
- A coherence $\varepsilon_T : \Pi(P : \mathsf{Prop}) \ (j : \mathsf{J} \ P) \ (x : T)$. $\mathsf{ask}_T \ P \ j \ (\lambda p : P.x) = x$

Now, the sheafified of a type doesn't exists in general, if the theory admits quotient inductive types, it can then be defined as follow:

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{Inductive}\ \mathcal{S}_\mathsf{J}\ T : \mathsf{Type} := \\ &|\ \mathsf{ret} : T \to \mathcal{S}_\mathsf{J}\ T \\ &|\ \mathsf{ask} : \Pi(P : \mathsf{Prop}), \mathsf{J}\ P \to (P \to \mathcal{S}_\mathsf{J}\ T) \to \mathcal{S}_\mathsf{J}\ T \\ &|\ \varepsilon : \Pi(P : \mathsf{Prop})\ (j : J\ P)\ (x : \mathcal{S}_\mathsf{J}\ T). \ \mathsf{ask}\ P\ j\ (\lambda p : P.x) = x \end{split}$$

We note that by taking $I := \Sigma(P : \mathsf{Prop}) \cup J P$ and O(P, j) : P, a sheaf is then a

- ullet A type T
- A map $\operatorname{ask}_T : \Pi(i:I), (O \ i \to T) \to T$
- A coherence map $\varepsilon_T : \Pi(i:I) \ (x:T)$, $\mathsf{ask}_T \ i \ (\lambda o:O \ i.x) = x$

and simimlarily for sheafification. This is marginally simpler, and make sheaves appear as quotient dialogue trees, hence why we will henceforth consider (I, O)-sheaves instead of J-sheaves.

3 Models

A significant part of my internship was dedicated to contructing models of type theory in Rocq.

- 1. A model of a variant of Baclofen TT using dialogue trees. Predicates must be linearized before eliminating an inductive into them.
- 2. An exceptional model, with a type of exceptions E. A special type of dialogue trees where I = E and $Oi = \mathbf{0}$, the resulting theory is inconsistent (when E is inhabited), as always when $Oi \to \mathbf{0}$ for some i.
- 3. A model using sheaves

4 System T and MLTT

4.1 MLTT

We sought to extend the following variant of MLTT.

We consider a type of levels containing two elements ${\bf s}$ and ${\bf l}$ for small and large, with generic ℓ With terms :

$$M, N ::= x | \lambda x. M | MN | 0 | S | \mathbf{N}_{rec} | \bot_{rec} | \mathbf{N} | \bot | \Pi x : A.B | \Box_{\mathbf{s}} | \Box_{\mathbf{l}}$$

Contexts:

$$\Gamma ::= \Gamma, x : A|\cdot$$

And conversion rules:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{F\text{-}EMPTY} & \longrightarrow_{\text{I-well-formed}} & W_{F\text{-}EXT} & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash \text{well-formed}} \\ & \cfrac{\Gamma_{\text{INT-TYP}} & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{N} \equiv \mathbf{N} : \Box_{\ell}} \\ & \cfrac{\Gamma_{\text{Fun-Typ}} & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{M} \equiv A' : \Box_{\ell}} \\ & \cfrac{\Gamma_{\text{Fun-Typ}} & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{M} x : A, B \equiv \Pi x : A', B' : \Box_{\ell}} \\ & \cfrac{\Gamma_{\text{NT-Typ}} & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : A, B \equiv \Pi x : A', B' : \Box_{\ell}} \\ & \cfrac{\Gamma_{\text{Typ-Typ}} & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash \Box_{\mathbf{S}} : \Box_{\mathbf{I}}} \\ & \cfrac{\Gamma_{\text{Fun-Intro}} & \cfrac{\Gamma_{\text{Fun-Intro}} & \cfrac{\Gamma_{\text{Fun-ELIM}} & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash M \equiv M' : \Pi x : A, B & \Gamma \vdash N \equiv N' : A}{\Gamma \vdash M N \equiv M' N' : B(N/x)} \\ & \cfrac{\Lambda_{\text{XIOM}} & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv x : A} & \cfrac{\Gamma_{\text{NT-Succ}} & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash N \equiv N' : A} \\ & \cfrac{\Gamma_{\text{NT-Succ}} & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash N \equiv S \equiv S : \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{N}} \\ & \cfrac{\Gamma_{\text{Int-Rec}} & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash \text{well-formed}}{\Gamma \vdash N_{\text{Tec}} \equiv N_{\text{Tec}} : \Pi A : \mathbf{N} \to \Box_{\mathbf{S}}, A0 \to (\Pi n : \mathbf{N}, An \to A(Sn)) \to \Pi n : \mathbf{N}, An} \\ & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A : \mathbf{N} \to \text{square}_{\mathbf{S}} & \Gamma \vdash N_0 \equiv N'_0 \equiv A0}{\Gamma \vdash N_{\text{Tec}} A N_0 N_0 0 \equiv N'_0 : A0} \\ & \cfrac{\Gamma \vdash N_{\text{Tec}} A N_0 N_0 0 \equiv N'_0 : A0}{\Gamma \vdash N_{\text{Tec}} A N_0 N_0 0 \equiv N'_0 : A0} \end{aligned}$$

4.2 System T

To identify and solve problems in a simpler envirronement, we studied a modified System T before, based on the following variant.

With types:

$$A ::= A \rightarrow A|\mathbf{N}| \perp$$

Terms:

$$M, N ::= x |\lambda x. M| MN |0| S |\mathbf{N}_{rec}| \perp_{rec}$$

Contexts:

$$\Gamma ::= \Gamma, x : A|$$

And conversion rules:

5 Meta-informations

5.1 Time expenditure

5.2 Difficulties

The subject is rather vast and a bit unclear.

Doing logical relations for MLTT is a large task, even before adding sheaves. My next attempt will probably start from logrel rocq insted of nothing

6 Conclusion

Appendix

A Dummy

References

[1] Saunders MacLane and Ieke Moerdijk. Sheaves in geometry and logic: A first introduction to topos theory. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.