

CS325 - Group Assignment 2

Group: 123gogogo

Group members:

Ziwen Tong

Yu Chien, Lin

Xiaoru Chen

2020-10-26

Description of our algorithm:

We solved this problem by using dynamic programming, we are remembering all the intermediate results during iteration to avoid repeated calculation, we are using space to win time.

STEP 1:

Read from the input file, get variable n and put the matrix into a Two-Dimensional array *score*.

STEP 2:

Variables:

$x[i, j]$: represents the maximum value(score) we can get from the path starting from square $[i, j]$.

$score[i, j]$: represents the numerical value at square $[i, j]$.

maxScore: represents the maximum path value of all points that have been iterated as the starting point.

Iterate the matrix from bottom right.

Base case: the matrix size is 1×1 , or if we start to iterate the matrix from bottom right corner, then $x[i, j]$ = the bottom right corner value, which is $score[i, j]$

Condition #1: If the starting square is a bottom square ($i = n - 1$):

If the starting square is a bottom square ($i = n - 1$), then its $x[i, j]$ can be determined by the sum of the maximum value(score) on its right, which is $x[i, j+1]$, and $score[i, j]$, which is itself.

However, if $x[i, j+1]$ is negative, that means the maximum value of that path will decrease the value of our $x[n-1, j]$, we just simply choose to end the game at the current square. If $x[i, j+1]$ is positive, that means the maximum value of that path will increase the value of our $x[n-1, j]$.

Condition #2: If the starting square is on the most right column ($j = n - 1$):

If the starting square is on the most right column ($j = n - 1$), then its $x[i, j]$ can be determined by the sum of the maximum value(score) on its bottom, which is $x[i+1, j]$, and $score[i, j]$, which is itself. However, if $x[i+1, j]$ is negative, that means the maximum value of that path will decrease the value of our $x[i, n-1]$, we just simply choose to end the game at the current square. If $x[i+1, j]$ is positive, that means the maximum value of that path will increase the value of our $x[i, n-1]$.

Condition #3: If we are not at bottom or the most right column:

When we are at square i, j , we can either go right or down. We already know the value $x[i, j+1]$ and $x[i+1, j]$, we can now decide which path yields a larger score.

Compare the value of $x[i, j+1]$ and $x[i+1, j]$. If $(x[i, j+1] > x[i+1, j])$, then the right direction has a larger path value, otherwise, the downward direction has a larger path value.

Then, we can get the maximum path value by adding the value of $score[i, j]$ (itself) to the maximum (larger) path value.

And then we update our $maxScore$ if $x[i, j]$ is larger than the previous largest path value.

STEP 3:

Write out *maxScore* into an output file.

Running time analysis:

We iterate through the matrix by using a nested-loop. We decrease i value from $n-1$ to 0 , and decrease j value from $n-1$ to 0 . And the time complexity of the max function is $O(1)$.

Therefore, the time complexity of our algorithms is:

$$T(n) = (O(n) \times O(1)) \times (O(n) \times O(1)) = O(n^2)$$

Correctness:

Our algorithm iteratively verifies all the maximum path value of each square that goes right and downwards.