

# Sharing optimization for sheaf laplacian learning Reload

Leonardo Di Nino

April 2024

## 1 Setting up the problem

The problem we want to solve is the following one:

$$\min_L \operatorname{tr}(X^T L X)$$

that can be recasted as following:

$$\min_{\{B_e^T B_e\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_e X^T B_e^T B_e X\right)$$

Leveraging trace linearity and adding the regularization term we finally have:

$$\min_{\{B_e^T B_e\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}}} \sum_e \operatorname{tr}(X^T B_e^T B_e X) - \lambda \log \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_e B_e^T B_e\right) + \mu \left\| \sum_e B_e^T B_e \right\|_F^2$$

This problem is a sharing optimization one where we are basically linking the local optimization over each possible edge to the global learning problem of the laplacian. We can leverage decomposition over the local optimization, while we have no decomposition on the regularization term. If we use the usual techniques of decoupling likelihood and regularization, we get the following problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min_{\{B_e^T B_e\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}}} \sum_e \operatorname{tr}(X^T B_e^T B_e X) - \lambda \log \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_e D_e^T D_e\right) + \mu \left\| \sum_e D_e^T D_e \right\|_F^2 \\ B_e^T B_e - D_e^T D_e = 0, \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E} \end{array} \right.$$

We define  $F$  and  $G$  the two regularization functions. This problem can be solved in an ADMM fashion linearly with respect to the quadratic terms: this will help us in recasting the step (2) using the usual trick in dealing with shared optimization to decrease the number of variables.

$$(B_e^T B_e)^{k+1} = \underset{B_e^T B_e}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ \operatorname{tr}(X^T B_e^T B_e X) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|B_e^T B_e - (D_e^T D_e)^k + u_e^k\|^2 \} \quad (1)$$

$$\{D_e^T D_e\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}}^{k+1} = \underset{\{D_e^T D_e\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ -\lambda F(\sum_e D_e^T D_e) + \mu G(\sum_e D_e^T D_e) + \sum_e \frac{\rho}{2} \|(B_e^T B_e)^{k+1} - D_e^T D_e + u_e^k\|^2 \} \quad (2)$$

$$u_e^{k+1} = u_e^k + [(B_e^T B_e)^{k+1} - (D_e^T D_e)^{k+1}] \quad (3)$$

## 2 The global update

Let's focus for now on step (2) that will yield a proximal mapping step if treated properly. Setting

$$\bar{L} = \frac{1}{E} \sum_e D_e^T D_e, \quad E = |\mathcal{E}|$$

$$a_e = (B_e^T B_e)^{k+1} + u_e^k$$

we can rewrite step (2) as following:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min_{\{D_e^T D_e\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}}} -\lambda F(E\bar{L}) + \mu G(E\bar{L}) + \sum_e \frac{\rho}{2} \|D_e^T D_e - a_e\|^2 \\ \bar{L} - \frac{1}{E} \sum_e D_e^T D_e = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

The lagrangian of the problem is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\lambda F(E\bar{L}) + \mu G(E\bar{L}) + \sum_e \frac{\rho}{2} \|D_e^T D_e - a_e\|^2 + \nu (\bar{L} - \frac{1}{E} \sum_e D_e^T D_e)$$

So that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial D_e^T D_e} = \rho (D_e^T D_e - a_e) - \frac{\nu}{E} = 0$$

$$D_e^T D_e = a_e + \frac{\nu}{\rho E}$$

Now we can rewrite  $\bar{L}$  as

$$\bar{L} = \frac{1}{E} \sum_e D_e^T D_e = \frac{1}{E} \sum_e (a_e + \frac{\nu}{\rho E}) = \bar{a} + \frac{\nu}{\rho E}$$

so that

$$\frac{\nu}{\rho E} = \bar{L} - \bar{a}$$

Finally we have the following:

$$(D_e^T D_e)^{k+1} = a_e + \bar{L} - \bar{a} = (B_e^T B_e)^{k+1} + u_e^k + \bar{L} - (\overline{B_e^T B_e})^{k+1} - \bar{u}^k \quad (4)$$

This means that the multipliers are shared in the following way:

$$u_e^{k+1} = \cancel{u_{\mathfrak{e}}^k} + \cancel{(B_e^T B_e)^{k+1}} - \cancel{(\overline{B_e^T B_e})^{k+1}} - \cancel{u_{\mathfrak{e}}^k} - \bar{L} + (\overline{B_e^T B_e})^{k+1} + \bar{u}^k$$

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + [(\overline{B_e^T B_e})^{k+1} - \bar{L}^{k+1}]$$

Now we should highlight that plugging (4) into (2) we get

$$\bar{L}^{k+1} = \underset{\bar{L}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ -\lambda \log \operatorname{tr}(E\bar{L}) + \mu \|E\bar{L}\|_F^2 + \frac{\rho E}{2} \|\bar{L} - (\overline{B_e^T B_e})^{k+1} + u^k\|^2 \}$$

We can solve this strongly convex problem calling any solver library.

### 3 The local updates

Up to now we have collected the following ADMM scheme:

$$(B_e^T B_e)^{k+1} = \underset{B_e^T B_e}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ \operatorname{tr}(X^T B_e^T B_e X) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|B_e^T B_e - (B_e^T B_e)^k - \bar{L}^k + (\overline{B_e^T B_e})^k + u^k\|^2 \} \quad (5)$$

$$\bar{L}^{k+1} = \underset{\bar{L}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ -\lambda \log \operatorname{tr}(E\bar{L}) + \mu \|E\bar{L}\|_F^2 + \frac{\rho E}{2} \|\bar{L} - (\overline{B_e^T B_e})^{k+1} + u^k\|^2 \} \quad (6)$$

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + [(\overline{B_e^T B_e})^{k+1} - \bar{L}^{k+1}] \quad (7)$$

We can tackle the local update considering the problem to be linear in  $B_e^T B_e$ : given this the problem is strongly convex and has a global minimum defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial B_e^T B_e} [\text{tr}(X^T B_e^T B_e X) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|B_e^T B_e - (B_e^T B_e)^k - \bar{L}^k + (\overline{B_e^T B_e})^k + u^k\|^2] &= 0 \quad (8) \\ XX^T + \rho(B_e^T B_e - (B_e^T B_e)^k - \bar{L}^k + (\overline{B_e^T B_e})^k + u^k) &= 0 \\ B_e^T B_e &= \frac{1}{\rho} [(B_e^T B_e)^k + \bar{L}^k - (\overline{B_e^T B_e})^k - u^k - XX^T] \end{aligned}$$

## 4 A two steps algorithm

So we have the following pipeline:

1. We solve the original minimum total variation problem over the sheaf laplacian considering all the possible edges deploying the following ADMM scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} (B_e^T B_e)^{k+1} &= \frac{1}{\rho} [(B_e^T B_e)^k + \bar{L}^k - (\overline{B_e^T B_e})^k - u^k - XX^T] \\ \bar{L}^{k+1} &= \underset{\bar{L}}{\text{argmin}} \{ -\lambda \log \text{tr}(E\bar{L}) + \mu \|E\bar{L}\|_F^2 + \frac{\rho E}{2} \|\bar{L} - (\overline{B_e^T B_e})^{k+1} + u^k\|^2 \} \\ u^{k+1} &= u^k + [(\overline{B_e^T B_e})^{k+1} - \bar{L}^{k+1}] \end{aligned}$$

2. Since the following holds:

$$\text{tr}(X^T B_e^T B_e X) = \|B_e X\|^2 = \|\mathcal{F}_{u \triangleleft e} X_u - \mathcal{F}_{v \triangleleft e} X_v\|^2 \quad (9)$$

we sort all the expressed energy of the linear maps through the quantity  $\text{tr}(X^T B_e^T B_e X)$  and retrieve the first  $K$  if the number of edges is an a priori knowledge, or we retrieve the best structure for the topology through a nested procedure.