ECE297: M2 Design Document Grading Rubric

Team ID:_____ Date:_____ CI: _____

	Exceeds Requirements	Meets Requirements	Below Requirements
Introduction	 Clearly identifies purpose of document Clearly identifies a potential user Clearly justifies choice of user Effectively uses a Situation/ Problem/Solution structure 	 Identifies purpose of document Identifies a potential user Justifies choice of user Reasonable use of a Situation/ Problem/Solution structure 	 Unclear identification of purpose of document Unclear identification of user Inadequately justifies choice of user Ineffective use of a Situation/Problem/Solution structure
System Architecture	 UML Component Diagram effectively identifies high-level components of the system UML Sequence Diagram effectively identifies the sequence of all interactions in the system Diagrams are concisely and clearly explained 	 UML Component Diagram identifies high-level components of the system UML Sequence Diagram identifies the sequence of the main interactions in the system Diagrams are reasonably well explained 	 UML Component Diagram is incomplete or incorrect UML Sequence Diagram is incomplete or incorrect Diagrams are poorly explained
System Requirements	 Functional requirements are carefully and clearly identified Objectives are highly specific and relevant to both the user and the programmer Specific goals have been assigned to objectives where appropriate Constraints are valid, verifiable and comprehensive 	 Functional requirements are identified Objectives are reasonably specific Goals have been assigned to objectives where appropriate Constraints are valid and verifiable but may be incomplete 	 Functions are missing or misidentified Objectives are missing or incorrect No goals have been assigned to objectives Constraints are missing or are not verifiable
Design Decisions	 Clearly identifies major design decisions Convincingly explains importance of decisions to the project 	 Identifies major design decisions Satisfactorily explains importance of decisions to the project 	 Fails to identify major design decisions Poor understanding of relevance of decisions to the project

	Effectively compares decisions to alternatives	Satisfactorily compares decisions to alternatives	Unconvincing comparison of decisions to alternatives
Conclusion	Sums up the document's purpose and key information in a highly concise and precise manner	Sums up the document's purpose and its key information	Unclear statement, disconnected to document's purpose and key information
Research	Carefully researchedAll citations are included and follow IEEE format	Satisfactory research Citations are included and most always follow IEEE format	Insufficient researchCitations are missing or do not follow IEEE format
Performance Evaluation	 Analysis is very clear and insightful Graphs or tables are properly labeled and effectively integrated into report 	 Analysis is clear and reasonably insightful Graphs or tables are labeled and adequately integrated into report 	 Analysis is unclear or incomplete Graphs or tables are unlabeled and poorly integrated into report
Language	 Highly appropriate for intended audience Language is highly precise and accurate Excellent concision and clarity Excellent use of both paragraphs and lists Free of error 	 Appropriate for intended audience Language is reasonably precise Reasonable concision and clarity Reasonable use of both paragraphs and lists Mainly free of error 	 Inappropriate for intended audience Language lacks precision Writing is wordy and/or confusing Poor use of paragraphs and lists High level of error
Format	 Carefully formatted with clear organization of information Highly effective use of both figures and tables Tables and figures are very clearly labeled 	 Adequate organization of information Effective use of figures and tables Tables and figures are clearly labeled 	 Poor organization of information Ineffective use of figures and tables Tables and figures are unclearly labeled or lack labels

Further comments: