Weekly Memo

Date	07/03/2014		
Prepared By	Aryamman Jain		
Project Manager	Ted Nolan		
Team Leader	N/A		
	AJ - Aryamman Jain (999554076)		
Team Members	PM - Pranav Mehndiratta (999480725)		
	VV - Vaibhav Vijay (1000073029)		

Activities

Task	Assigned To	Due Date	Completed		
Design Document					
Discuss Milestone 2 Evaluation/Improvements	Team	01/03/2014	✓		
Discuss Milestone 3 Document Specifications	Team	02/03/2014	✓		
Develop UML Usecase draft	Team	02/03/2014	✓		
Revise M2 submitted document and make required improvements	-	09/03/2014	IPR		
Add new design decisions, exec summary	-	09/03/2014	IPR		
Storage Server Development					
Discuss Milestone 2 code test results	Team	01/03/2014	✓		
Debug client-server for M2 errors	Team	03/03/2014	✓		
Discuss Milestone 3 Code Specifications	Team	04/03/2014	✓		
Design new protocol for arbitrary number of arguments	Team	05/03/2014	✓		
Implement new protocol	Team	09/03/2014	IPR		

Design Document

The team discussed CI comments and evaluation of the Milestone 2 submissions and noted important changes for Milestone 3. Primary amendments decided are re-authoring the Design Decisions, adding details, modifying any claims with justifications and obtaining peer reviewed resources supporting these decisions. Usecase diagrams were developed individually and combined to form a first draft.

Storage Server Development

The team evaluated the test results for the Milestone 2 code and debugged the failed tests. We improved functionalities in the code and discussed the major modifications for Milestone 3.

ECE297 Weekly Memo Grading Rubric Team:_____ Team Member:_____ Date:_____

	Exceeds Requirements	Meets Requirements	Below Requirements
Table of Activities	Excellent evidence of project management skills	Reasonable evidence of project management skills	Little evidence of project management skills
	Comprehensive identification of near-term activities	Adequate identification of near- term activities	Inadequate identification of near- term activities
	Clear allocation of responsibilitiesVery clearly written	Reasonably clear allocation of responsibilities	Unclear allocation of responsibilities
	l car account of the contract	Clearly written	Unclearly written
Written Discussion	Adds relevant detail to activities, challenges, or problems that warrant discussion	Adds sufficient detail to activities, challenges, or problems that warrant discussion	Adds little detail to activities, challenges, or problems that warrant discussion
	Very concisely and clearly written	Concisely written with reasonable clarity	Wordy, sometimes confusing, or high level of error
Format	Carefully formatted with clear organization of information	Well formatted with reasonably clear organization of information	Poor formatting with unclear organization of information
	Highly effective use of headings and subheadings	Satisfactory use of headings and subheadings	Poor use of headings and subheadings
	Very clear connection between Table of Activities and Written Discussion	Clear connection between Table of Activities and Written Discussion	Confusing connection between Table of Activities and Written Discussion

Further comments: