Weekly Memo

Date	April 3, 2014	
Prepared By	Pranav Mehndiratta	
Project Manager	Ted Nolan	
Team Leader	N/A	
	Aryamman Jain (999554076)	
Team Members	Pranav Mehndiratta (999480725)	
	Vaibhav Vijay (1000073029)	

Activities

Task	Assigned To	Due Date	Completed			
Design Document						
Revise M3 document	Team	March 30, 2014 ✓				
Add visualizations	Team	March 30, 2014 ✓				
Specify use case scenario	Team	March 30, 2014 ✓				
Modify executive summary	Team	March 31, 2014 ✓				
New design decisions	Team	March 31, 2014	✓			
Finalization of overall document	Team	March 31, 2014	✓			
Storage Server						
No discussion of M3 results needed	-	-	-			
Discuss M4 specifications	Team	April 3, 2014	✓			
Design new protocol for concurrency	Team	April 4, 2014	IPR			
Changes to configuration file	Team	April 5, 2014	IPR			
Performance evaluation and analysis	Team	April 5, 2014	IPR			
Implement threads and concurrency	Team	April 5, 2014	IPR			
Data synchronization	Team	April 6, 2014	IPR			
Transactions	Team	April 6, 2014	IPR			
Final Presentation						
Detailed discussion of entire project	Team	April 8, 2014	IPR			
Server performance results	Team	April 8, 2014	IPR			
Get suits for presentation	Team	April 9, 2014	✓			
Presentation outline	Team	April 10, 2014	IPR			
Presentation slides	Team	April 11, 2014	IPR			

ECE297 Weekly Memo Grading Rubric
Team: CD-037 Team Member: Pranav Mehndiratta **Date:** April 4, 2014

	Exceeds Requirements	Meets Requirements	Below Requirements
Table of Activities	Excellent evidence of project management skills	Reasonable evidence of project management skills	Little evidence of project management skills
	Comprehensive identification of near-term activities	Adequate identification of near- term activities	Inadequate identification of near- term activities
	Clear allocation of responsibilities Very clearly written	Reasonably clear allocation of responsibilities	Unclear allocation of responsibilities
	January 188	Clearly written	Unclearly written
 Written Discussion Adds relevant detail to activities, challenges, or problems that warrant discussion 	Adds sufficient detail to activities, challenges, or problems that warrant discussion	Adds little detail to activities, challenges, or problems that warrant discussion	
	Very concisely and clearly written	Concisely written with reasonable clarity	Wordy, sometimes confusing, or high level of error
 Carefully formatted with clear organization of information Highly effective use of headings and subheadings 	Well formatted with reasonably clear organization of information	Poor formatting with unclear organization of information	
	and subheadings	Satisfactory use of headings and subheadings	Poor use of headings and subheadings
	Very clear connection between Table of Activities and Written Discussion	Clear connection between Table of Activities and Written Discussion	Confusing connection between Table of Activities and Written Discussion

Further comments: