Eating disorders : psychological & practical guide

Written by Léo Gayrard, state-certified psychologist

1 : Introduction

Eating disorders are often described as a problem with food. In reality, they touch on the heart of a person's relationship with the world.

Anorexia, bulimia, binge eating: these forms appear to be opposites—one involves deprivation, the other accumulation—but they share a common logic.

All reflect a conflict around desire, emptiness, and the relationship with the body.

For some, refusing to eat becomes a way of feeding on "nothing." For others, binge eating seeks to fill that same void.

In both cases, food is no longer a simple response to hunger: it becomes a language. Eating or depriving oneself says something that words can no longer express.

These behaviors are not just about a desire to be thin or a perception disorder. They express an attempt to regain control over an existence that feels overwhelming.

The person redirects the energy normally focused on relationships, projects, and the world toward their own body. This withdrawal gives an impression of control but gradually cuts them off from the flow of life.

This guide offers an interpretation that goes beyond the usual explanations.

It invites us to see how these disorders, far from being simple dietary mistakes, are part of a way of experiencing relationships with others, time, and desire. The goal is not to judge, but to open a path to understanding and rediscovering a living relationship with oneself

and the world.

These disorders are rarely rooted in a single factor. They combine personal history, family influences, cultural pressure, and significant events. But one common thread emerges: a tense relationship with desire and self-image.

In anorexia, refusing to eat does not simply mean refusing to gain weight. It is a way of saying "I decide what goes into my body," of appropriating an area of absolute power.

Binge eating or bulimia follow a parallel logic: filling oneself up so as not to feel empty, as if to prove that nothing is missing. In both cases, food becomes a way of talking about one's relationship with the world without using words.

This movement creates an impression of strength but establishes a closed circle. The connection with the outside world diminishes. Free time, relationships, and passions are replaced by constant preoccupation with the body, the scale, and rituals around meals.

The world seems to be reduced to a number of calories, a detail of one's figure, a schedule of compensations.

Recognizing this dynamic helps us understand why simply telling someone to "eat normally" is useless. The problem is not food, but the meaning it has taken on.

To find a way out, we need to reintroduce an interest in things that are beyond the control of the body: surprise, creation, the connections that make life unpredictable and vibrant.

The social context further amplifies these tensions. The contemporary obsession with thinness, performance, and image adds

to the pressure. Social media constantly exposes filtered and retouched bodies, leading everyone to make endless comparisons.

For someone who is already vulnerable, this stream of images can fuel withdrawal.

But the problem goes beyond an aesthetic ideal. It touches on the very relationship between desire and the body. Some seek to remain in a child's body to escape the sexual gaze.

Others eat to excess to build a protective armor of flesh, as if body mass could ward off intrusions. In both cases, it is an attempt to protect intimacy from a world perceived as invasive.

The paradox is that this control aims to escape the desire of others, but ends up trapping the subject in a closer dependency: that of the illness itself. The food circle becomes the only arena in which the conflict is expressed, at the cost of a drastic reduction in real freedom. Understanding this mechanism paves the way for another approach: reconnecting with the world so that food ceases to be the only language of inner life.

Opening this guide is already an admission that eating disorders cannot be reduced to willpower or the simple desire to eat. They reflect a complex relationship with desire, emptiness, and the outside world.

Extreme deprivation, excess, and focusing on a detail of the body are all ways of seeking control that cannot be found.

The goal of the proposed path is not to provide a quick fix, but to make another experience possible. As you explore the following chapters, you will see how the movement of desire can be restored, how surprise, speech, and creation can give the body a living place rather than a prison role.

This guide is not a substitute for medical or psychological support. It provides food for thought for those who want to understand what is going on and prepare the conditions for a return to a life where eating becomes a simple act once again, rather than the sole source of inner conflict.

2 : Eating emptiness or overflowing with emptiness

Anorexia, bulimia, and binge eating seem to be opposites: one restricts, the others overeat. However, they reflect the same logic: a relationship with a void that nothing can fill.

In anorexia, refusing food becomes a way of "feeding on nothing." The person finds a form of power in deprivation, as if the absence of food itself became nourishment.

In bulimia or hyperphagia, the opposite appears to be true: people eat to fill, to saturate this void. But the result is the same: the void remains.

Each excess calls for a new excess, each compensatory meal leaves the same inner question unanswered.

In both cases, food is no longer a simple biological need. It takes the place of language, a means of expressing a tension that words cannot convey. Depriving oneself or gorging oneself becomes a way of communicating with oneself and with the world, a message that says, "I decide what goes in and what doesn't," or "I fill what cannot be filled."

Understanding this logic helps to avoid false leads. It is not a matter of misperception or a simple lack of willpower. It is a way of organizing one's relationship to desire, to lack, and to others.

This relationship to emptiness is not a simple metaphor. For the person concerned, it is a concrete experience. The feeling of hunger, weighing oneself, and the ritual surrounding meals become benchmarks that organize the day.

The emptiness felt in the stomach or the fullness after a binge

give a sense of existence, as if the reality of the body were replacing a broader reality that has become elusive.

This mechanism produces a feeling of control. One can decide not to eat or, on the contrary, to eat beyond all measure. But this control is paradoxical: the more one seeks it, the more it eludes one.

Emptiness calls for further deprivation, fullness for further compulsion.

This logic explains why injunctions such as "just eat a balanced diet" have no effect. The problem is not nutritional balance, but the place that food has taken in the economy of desire.

Food becomes a central symbol, a unique stage on which fear, anger, the need for recognition, and the quest for meaning are played out.

In this context, food ceases to be a simple fuel. It becomes an object of thought, almost a sacred obsession. The choice of each food, the meticulous weighing or, conversely, the total loss of control during a crisis reflect a scenario in which control, fear, and self-assertion are played out.

This shift in attention to the stomach or the scale reduces the importance of the rest of life. Leisure, relationships, studies, and work lose their significance.

The universe focuses on one action—eating or starving oneself—to the point that all other connections seem secondary.

This narrowing of focus cannot be corrected by a simple decision. It requires gradually reintroducing an interest in things that escape the logic of emptiness. Discovering a creative activity, sharing a moment without thinking about food, rediscovering a curiosity for

the unexpected are all small acts that break the cycle.

It is this movement, more than the search for a "good" diet, that opens up a lasting solution.

Understanding the function of emptiness also helps to clear up certain misunderstandings. A person who refuses to eat is not simply a victim of a distortion of perception. They see reality very clearly, but their universe of meaning has shifted.

The thigh or stomach becomes the center of the world. The goal is not to conform to an aesthetic standard but to make part of the body disappear, an impossible goal that fuels anxiety.

Conversely, those who eat to excess are not simply indulging in gluttony. The excess aims to fill the void, to create immediate fulfillment, while knowing that it will quickly be followed by a feeling of lack.

These actions reflect the same attempt: to control the relationship with desire and the Other, to find mastery where life seems uncertain.

This chapter has highlighted the common logic behind different eating disorders: a struggle with emptiness, whether chosen or filled.

The following chapters will explore how this struggle fits into the history of the body, relationships with others, and desire.

3 : Withdrawal and disengagement from the world

One of the common traits of eating disorders is withdrawal. This is not just a decrease in social interaction, but a profound transformation of psychic energy.

Attention and desire, instead of being directed toward others, projects, or creativity, are turned inward toward the body. Life becomes focused on controlling a number or an anatomical detail.

This movement gives the impression of personal power. Deciding on every bite, calculating every calorie expenditure, organizing binge eating or extreme fasting seems to offer absolute control.

But this power is an illusion: it impoverishes one's relationship with the world. Friendships, romantic relationships, and family ties lose their importance, sometimes to the point of disappearing.

This is referred to as disinvestment. The energy that normally allows us to build, love, and discover is absorbed by monitoring the body.

This withdrawal is not simply a choice of solitude. It responds to the fear of being touched, surprised, or transformed by others. By withdrawing, the person believes they are avoiding dependence, but they lock themselves into a one-sided dialogue with themselves.

This withdrawal is not always visible from the outside. One can continue to study, work, and see loved ones, while experiencing an inner disconnect. The outside world becomes a mere functional stage, while the essential drama plays out in the secrecy of the relationship with the body.

Every decision—accepting a meal, refusing an outing, planning a crisis—is aimed above all at preserving this closed environment.

This dynamic explains why the illness resists well-meaning advice. It is maintained not only out of habit but because it offers a reassuring framework.

Within this framework, everything is predictable: weighing, eating rituals, repetitive thoughts. The unexpected, which could reawaken desire, is perceived as a threat.

Yet it is this very unpredictability that will breathe new life into the person. By encountering people, activities, and ideas that cannot be calculated, the person can begin to engage with the world again.

This is not an immediate step but a patient process that requires tolerance of uncertainty.

This withdrawal can also be understood as an attempt to protect oneself from a world that is perceived as intrusive. By reducing life to a small number of repeated gestures, the person believes they can control surprises. In this way, they avoid a more radical anxiety: that of freedom, where nothing and no one can guarantee a happy outcome.

Catastrophic scenarios—fear of being judged, punished, discovered—paradoxically serve as a refuge. They paint a picture of a predictable world where an imaginary Other watches over and punishes.

This fiction is reassuring: it maintains the idea that there is an authority that sets limits. But behind this fear lies a deeper fear: that no punishment will come, that in reality there is no one to tell us what to do.

Recognizing this fear of impunity is a decisive step. It shows that the problem is not only food but also the relationship to

freedom.

Accepting that nothing is written in stone means reopening the space of desire, where we act because we want to, not in response to a threat.

The transition from withdrawal to a lively presence does not consist of forcing a return to normality. Rather, it involves gradually introducing experiences that reawaken curiosity.

This could be an unexpected exchange, a creative activity, or an engagement that does not depend on bodily control.

These small openings shift psychic energy outward. They show that security does not lie in closure but in the ability to encounter the unexpected.

They also remind us that desire does not need a single object: it can be nourished by multiple encounters, even those that seem insignificant at first.

In conclusion to this chapter, withdrawal into oneself appears to be a survival strategy that ultimately suffocates. Breaking this cycle does not mean abandoning caution, but accepting openness as a condition of true freedom.

4 : Rejection of the sexual body and fear of desire

A constant feature of eating disorders, especially anorexia, is the rejection of a sexualized body. Puberty, with its transformations, confronts everyone with gender differences and the gaze of others.

For some young girls, this transition is experienced as a threat: the body becomes a place of lust or judgment. Depriving oneself of food can then be a way of freezing time, of remaining in a child's body, without curves, without being subject to the desire of others.

This approach is not conscious in the sense of being calculated. It responds to a deeper concern: how can one exist as a subject when one feels reduced to an object of desire?

By erasing the signs of maturity, the person believes they are regaining power over what escapes them.

The same mechanism can be found, in a different form, in bulimia or hyperphagia. Excessive eating can serve as armor, building a protective mass that diverts the gaze or discourages approach.

In both cases, it is a difficult relationship with sexuality and connection with others.

Behind this refusal, there is often a fear of too much freedom. Sexuality involves the unexpected, encounters, and the risk of being overwhelmed. By seeking to escape the adult body, we also seek to escape these surprises.

Controlling food becomes a way of keeping at bay a world that is perceived as invasive.

This logic is coupled with an illusion of control. By deciding on every gram swallowed or rejected, we believe we are escaping

dependence on the desires of others.

But this power is paradoxical: the more we reinforce it, the more we define ourselves in relation to that same desire, since the whole project aims to counter it.

This is not simply a misunderstanding of body image. It is a deeper conflict about how to inhabit a living body, how to bear its changes, its visibility, its relationships.

This rejection of the adult body does not mean a lack of interest in relationships. On the contrary, it reveals a strong sensitivity to the gaze and desire of others.

The person fears that this desire will define them entirely. They prefer to control the scene rather than risk an encounter that defies calculation.

Control over the body thus becomes a way of negotiating with anxiety. It allows them to believe that they are in control, even as they deprive themselves of experiences that nourish life.

This paradox—seeking freedom by fleeing connection—traps them in a loneliness that reinforces their dependence on eating rituals.

Recognizing this mechanism opens up another perspective. It is not a question of forcing acceptance of the sexualized body, but of reintroducing, at a tolerable pace, situations where the body can be experienced as a living presence, not as a threat.

Gradually, the challenge is no longer to deny the body but to rediscover freedom in the way we inhabit it. This involves experiences where the body acts and feels without being reduced to an image: physical activity experienced as movement, creative

gestures, relationships where words flow before judgment.

These steps, however modest, reintroduce the idea that the body is not just an object to be looked at. It is also a place of simple pleasure, action, and encounter.

As this experience grows, the fear of desire can be transformed: it ceases to be a threat and becomes an opening, an energy that connects.

This chapter has shown how eating disorders express a difficulty in accepting the living and sexual dimension of the body. The next section will explore how fixation on a part of the body prolongs this conflict by reducing existence to an endless detail.

5 : From the whole to the part : endless fixation

A striking feature of eating disorders is the focus on a specific part of the body. A thigh, a stomach, or a cheek becomes the center of all concerns.

One's entire existence seems to depend on the shape of this detail.

This concentration is not the result of a distorted vision. The person sees physical reality clearly: even a very thin thigh will always have some thickness.

The problem is not perception but the meaning given to this fragment. It must disappear, which is impossible, and it is this impossibility that fuels the obsession.

By focusing on one part, we avoid the complexity of the whole. Life, relationships, and plans are reduced to a single measure. This shift is reassuring: it creates a clear goal, even if it is unachievable.

But it is confining, because nothing can satisfy a goal that requires pure and simple disappearance.

This focus transforms the way we experience time. Every day becomes a series of checks: mirror, scale, measurements. The slightest variation triggers a cycle of calculations and rituals. The outside world loses its importance, replaced by continuous monitoring of a fragment of the body.

This process has a logic: by reducing life to a detail, we believe we can control the whole. We spare ourselves the uncertainty of relationships, projects, and creation. The price to pay is a loss of movement.

The body is no longer a place of varied sensations but an object to be corrected.

Breaking this cycle is not about convincing oneself that perception is false. It is about restoring the body's complexity: movement, pleasure, strength, the ability to encounter.

It is by reintroducing these dimensions that attention can shift from the detail to a broader experience of oneself and the world.

This fixation on a fragment of the body also acts as a language. It expresses, without words, a broader fear: fear of change, of the gaze of others, of the freedom of desire without guarantee.

By focusing all anxiety on one detail, we obtain a precise target, more bearable than the unknown of the world.

This mechanism explains why rational advice – "your body is already thin" – has no effect. It addresses a question of form, when the issue is one of meaning.

The problem is not to correct a visual error but to shift the way we give meaning to existence.

Regaining a broader perspective requires broadening one's experience. Exploring activities where the body is felt as a whole—dance, sports experienced as play, mindful walking—can help break the hold of detail and make bodily presence richer than the mere obsession with erasure.

Breaking free from fixation on a detail of the body requires changing one's relationship to image. It is not a question of stopping looking at oneself, but of learning to see differently. Looking at a landscape, a work of art, a friend's face, is already broadening the horizon of one's gaze.

This reintroduces the dimension of a world larger than one's own silhouette.

This openness is not a superficial distraction. It reactivates the capacity for surprise. Little by little, the body can be experienced as a partner in experiences—walking, feeling, creating—rather than as a problem to be erased.

Thus ends this chapter: fixation on one part is not a simple error of perception but a strategy for escaping the unknown. To overcome it is to restore complexity to life and depth to freedom.

6 : The illusory power of « nothingness »

Another key aspect of eating disorders is the search for power in a vacuum. Refusing food, binge eating and then purging, obsessively counting calories: these are all behaviors that give the impression of absolute power.

By deciding what enters the body and what does not, one feels in control of a domain that no one can invade.

This feeling of control can be intoxicating. It creates a zone where you always have the last word, even if everything else seems to be slipping away. But it is an illusory power.

It builds nothing lasting and does not protect you from anxiety. On the contrary, it traps you in a loop where each victory requires greater deprivation or excess.

This power of "nothingness" is therefore not true autonomy. It replaces the risk of encounter, failure, and surprise with closed control.

Breaking out of this logic requires rediscovering another form of strength: that which comes from action, creation, and living relationships with others and with the world.

This apparent power is seductive because it gives the feeling of escaping all dependence. We believe we owe nothing to the world, neither food nor the gaze of others. In reality, we remain prisoners of a single bond: that of compulsion itself.

The need to control or exceed ends up deciding everything.

The paradox is that this emptiness, presented as a conquest, functions as a barrier. It prevents surprise and encounter, two

essential conditions of living desire.

By fleeing dependence on the Other, we create a new, more subtle dependence: dependence on an endless ritual.

Becoming aware of this illusion does not remove the difficulty, but it opens a first passage. It becomes possible to seek another form of strength: no longer refusing or saturating, but acting, creating, taking part in exchanges that cannot be reduced to a number or a calculation.

Breaking with the fascination of emptiness means accepting a form of vulnerability. It means recognizing that we depend on the world to nourish ourselves, to connect, to transform. This dependence is not a weakness but a condition of life.

It allows us to experience the joy of being affected, surprised, overwhelmed.

This openness does not happen overnight. It requires concrete actions: sharing a meal without calculation, engaging in an activity where the body acts freely, entering into a conversation that has no predefined goal. These experiences, modest in appearance, shift the center of gravity: power no longer resides in deprivation or excess, but in the capacity for encounter.

Thus, "nothingness" ceases to be a refuge and becomes what it is in living life: a space for the unexpected, not a fortress against the world.

By leaving behind the logic of "nothingness," we discover a more lasting strength. It is the strength to allow ourselves to be affected, to take risks in the face of the unexpected. It is no longer a power of control but a capacity to respond.

The body is no longer a battlefield but a partner in life.

This transformation does not deny the difficulty of the path. It offers another direction: to nourish desire instead of shutting it down. Every act that opens us up—a shared meal, a creative project, an unexpected encounter—pushes back the grip of emptiness and restores the world to its rightful place.

Thus ends this chapter: the power of "nothingness" is not true freedom. True autonomy consists in inhabiting lack, in making it a source of movement rather than a refuge.

7 : Rediscovering the movement of desire

After highlighting the logic of emptiness and control, it is time to reactivate the movement of desire. Desire is not a whim: it is the force that connects us to life, that drives us to create, to love, to discover.

Its purpose is not to be fulfilled, but to maintain the momentum that makes existence alive.

Rediscovering this movement means first recognizing that the result is not the most important thing. What matters is not that projects "work," but that they are undertaken. A drawing, a walk, an encounter do not need measurable success to have value.

They open up a space where something can happen, where surprise becomes possible again.

This orientation shifts the center of gravity of life. Instead of constantly monitoring the body, we become interested in what in the world arouses our curiosity.

It is a patient path: desire is rekindled by simple, repeated gestures that restore our taste for action and relationships.

This renewal comes through concrete actions. Seeking out an unknown place, reading an author you've never explored before, joining a community project: these are all ways to broaden your horizons without waiting for the perfect urge.

Desire feeds on these shifts, even modest ones.

It is also important to give the body a lively role again. Moving, walking, playing a sport or dancing where you feel the effort and your breathing allows you to reconcile the physical experience with

the pleasure of action, far from simple calorie counting.

These actions remind us that the body is a partner in discovery, not an enemy.

Finally, connection with others is central. Engaging in conversation without a plan, sharing a meal without obsessing over control, daring to meet someone who may surprise you are concrete steps.

Desire thus regains its primary function: to set things in motion, to connect and to create.

Reactivating desire also requires working on speech.

Speaking not to convince or justify oneself, but to allow the unexpected to arise. In a genuine exchange, we do not know the conclusion in advance.

This uncertainty, far from being a weakness, nourishes creativity and encounter.

These practices shift the focus from monitoring the body to a broader openness. They remind us that desire is not an object to be attained but a movement to be nurtured, capable of transforming our relationship with ourselves and the world.

As these experiences accumulate, food loses its central role. Eating becomes an ordinary act again, not the scene of conflict.

Desire can then unfold in the diversity of encounters, projects, and creations.

This path is neither quick nor linear. It involves setbacks and moments of doubt.

But each step that opens up to the unexpected consolidates a

more real autonomy than the illusory power of control. It is a freedom that does not seek to abolish lack, but to experience it as a source of movement.

In conclusion to this chapter, rediscovering desire does not mean rediscovering an endless appetite, but relearning how to connect with life in all its forms. It is this connection, and not control over the body, that constitutes true strength.

8 : Paths of support and conclusion

Recovering from an eating disorder cannot be done alone. The first step is often medical: regular follow-ups with a doctor or specialized team help to ensure physical health and prevent complications.

Psychological support, either individual or in a group setting, helps to put the experience into words and rekindle desire.

Family and friends also play a key role. They can offer a non-judgmental presence and suggest shared moments where eating becomes a simple act again.

It is not about monitoring, but about creating a space where the person is no longer alone with their ritual.

This external support does not replace personal responsibility. It creates the conditions for freedom to become possible again: a freedom that is not absolute control, but the ability to choose connections, to nurture projects, to allow oneself to be surprised.

Regaining a free life also means reinventing one's relationship with the body. Rather than seeking perfection, it is about reconnecting with sensation and movement.

Walking, dancing, gentle exercise, conscious breathing: any activity in which the body acts for pleasure or relaxation reminds us that it can be a partner, not a battlefield.

Even eating can become a simple experience again. Sharing a meal for the sake of conviviality, exploring new flavors, and cooking as a creative game are concrete ways to break the association between food and conflict.

These modest gestures build a daily life where we feed on relationships and discoveries as much as on food.

Reconstruction is not limited to the disappearance of symptoms. It consists of rediscovering a creative relationship with the world.

Art, reading, collective engagement, and learning new skills are all ways of shifting psychic energy towards meaningful projects.

This movement makes it possible to transform the old relationship with lack. The void is no longer a hole to be filled or a power to be cultivated. It becomes an opening again, a space where new desires can arise.

In this context, self-esteem takes on a different meaning: no longer a constant evaluation, but confidence in the ability to act and create, even without a guarantee of results.

This guide ends with a simple invitation: to break out of the cycle of eating disorders is to rediscover the freedom to desire and allow oneself to be transformed.

It is not a question of returning to a "before," but of making room for an open life, where the body, speech, and relationships resume their living role.

The journey takes time, care, and sometimes various forms of support. But every gesture that connects—a shared meal, a creation, an unexpected encounter—shows that the power of desire is intact.

This power is not meant to fill a void: it allows us to experience loss as an inexhaustible source of movement and meaning.

Thus concludes this journey. May these pages help each of us recognize our own capacity for creation, beyond control, in a fully lived existence.