


Clifford Tableaus and the Stabilizer Algorithm

Leonard Uscinowicz

Technical University of Munich

December 20th, 2024



1 Preliminary Definitions

2 Stabilizer Formalism

Pauli Matrices

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

[1] Scott Aaronson and Daniel Gottesman. "Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits". In: *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* 70.5 (2004), p. 052328

Pauli Matrices

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Products of Pauli matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} I^2 &= X^2 = Y^2 = Z^2 = I \\ IX &= XI = X & IY &= YI = Y & IZ &= ZI = Z \\ XY &= iZ & YX &= -iZ \\ YZ &= iX & ZY &= -iX \\ ZX &= iY & XZ &= -iY \end{aligned}$$

[1] Scott Aaronson and Daniel Gottesman. "Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits". In: *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* 70.5 (2004), p. 052328

Group (G, \cdot) is a non-empty set G with a binary group multiplication operation " \cdot ".

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Group Theory

Group (G, \cdot) is a non-empty set G with a binary group multiplication operation " \cdot ".

Properties:

■ **Closure:** $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G \implies g_1 \cdot g_2 \in G$

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Group Theory

Group (G, \cdot) is a non-empty set G with a binary group multiplication operation " \cdot ".

Properties:

■ **Closure:** $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G \implies g_1 \cdot g_2 \in G$

■ **Associativity:** $\forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G \implies g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot g_3) = (g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot g_3$

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Group Theory

Group (G, \cdot) is a non-empty set G with a binary group multiplication operation " \cdot ".

Properties:

■ **Closure:** $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G \implies g_1 \cdot g_2 \in G$

■ **Associativity:** $\forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G \implies g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot g_3) = (g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot g_3$

■ **Identity:** $\exists e \in G$ such that $\forall g \in G \implies e \cdot g = g \cdot e = g$

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Group Theory

Group (G, \cdot) is a non-empty set G with a binary group multiplication operation " \cdot ".

Properties:

■ **Closure:** $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G \implies g_1 \cdot g_2 \in G$

■ **Associativity:** $\forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G \implies g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot g_3) = (g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot g_3$

■ **Identity:** $\exists e \in G$ such that $\forall g \in G \implies e \cdot g = g \cdot e = g$

■ **Inverse:** $\forall g \in G \implies \exists g^{-1} \in G$ such that $g \cdot g^{-1} = g^{-1} \cdot g = e$

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Pauli Group

Definitions

\mathcal{P}_n is defined as the group of n -qubit Pauli operators.

It consists of all tensor products of n Pauli matrices, with a phase factor ± 1 or $\pm i$.

[1] Scott Aaronson and Daniel Gottesman. “Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits”. In: *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* 70.5 (2004), p. 052328

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Pauli Group

Definitions

\mathcal{P}_n is defined as the group of n -qubit Pauli operators.

It consists of all tensor products of n Pauli matrices, with a phase factor ± 1 or $\pm i$.

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{\pm I, \pm iI, \pm X, \pm iX, \pm Y, \pm iY, \pm Z, \pm iZ\}$$

[1] Scott Aaronson and Daniel Gottesman. “Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits”. In: *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* 70.5 (2004), p. 052328

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Pauli Group

Definitions

\mathcal{P}_n is defined as the group of n -qubit Pauli operators.

It consists of all tensor products of n Pauli matrices, with a phase factor ± 1 or $\pm i$.

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{\pm I, \pm iI, \pm X, \pm iX, \pm Y, \pm iY, \pm Z, \pm iZ\}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \left\{ i^m \bigotimes_{j=1}^n \sigma_{k_j} \mid m, k_j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \sigma_0 = I, \sigma_1 = X, \sigma_2 = Y, \sigma_3 = Z \right\}$$

[1] Scott Aaronson and Daniel Gottesman. “Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits”. In: *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* 70.5 (2004), p. 052328

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Pauli Group

Definitions

\mathcal{P}_n is defined as the group of n -qubit Pauli operators.

It consists of all tensor products of n Pauli matrices, with a phase factor ± 1 or $\pm i$.

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{\pm I, \pm iI, \pm X, \pm iX, \pm Y, \pm iY, \pm Z, \pm iZ\}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \left\{ i^m \bigotimes_{j=1}^n \sigma_{k_j} \mid m, k_j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \sigma_0 = I, \sigma_1 = X, \sigma_2 = Y, \sigma_3 = Z \right\}$$

Size of a Pauli Group: $|\mathcal{P}_n| = 4^{n+1}$

[1] [Scott Aaronson and Daniel Gottesman](#). “Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits”. In: *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* 70.5 (2004), p. 052328

[2] [Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang](#). *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Pauli Group Operations

Given two Pauli operators $P = i^{m_P} \bigotimes_{j=1}^n P_j$ and $Q = i^{m_Q} \bigotimes_{j=1}^n Q_j$, their product, as necessitated by Group Definition, is:

$$P \cdot Q = i^{m_P+m_Q} \bigotimes_{j=1}^n P_j Q_j$$

[1] Scott Aaronson and Daniel Gottesman. “Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits”. In: *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* 70.5 (2004), p. 052328

Pauli Group Operations

Given two Pauli operators $P = i^{m_P} \bigotimes_{j=1}^n P_j$ and $Q = i^{m_Q} \bigotimes_{j=1}^n Q_j$, their product, as necessitated by Group Definition, is:

$$P \cdot Q = i^{m_P+m_Q} \bigotimes_{j=1}^n P_j Q_j$$

P commutes with Q if the number of indices j such that P_j anti-commutes with Q_j is even.

[1] Scott Aaronson and Daniel Gottesman. “Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits”. In: *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* 70.5 (2004), p. 052328

Group Generators

A set of l elements $\{g_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ generates a group G if every element $g \in G$ can be written as a product of the generators.

In this case, the group G can be written in terms of its generators:

$$G = \langle g_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq l \rangle$$

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Group Generators

A set of l elements $\{g_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ generates a group G if every element $g \in G$ can be written as a product of the generators.

In this case, the group G can be written in terms of its generators:

$$G = \langle g_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq l \rangle$$

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_1 &= \langle X, Z, iI \rangle \\ \langle X \rangle &= \{I, X\} \end{aligned}$$

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

1 Preliminary Definitions

2 Stabilizer Formalism

Stabilizer Groups

Definitions

- Element $g \in \mathcal{P}_n$ **stabilizes** $|\psi\rangle$ iff $g|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$.
 $|\psi\rangle$ is eigenstate of g with eigenvalue $+1$.

Stabilizer Groups

Definitions

- Element $g \in \mathcal{P}_n$ **stabilizes** $|\psi\rangle$ iff $g|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$.
 $|\psi\rangle$ is eigenstate of g with eigenvalue $+1$.
- $S \triangleq$ Subgroup of the Pauli Group \mathcal{P}_n : $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}_n$.

Stabilizer Groups

Definitions

- Element $g \in \mathcal{P}_n$ **stabilizes** $|\psi\rangle$ iff $g|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$.
 $|\psi\rangle$ is eigenstate of g with eigenvalue $+1$.

- $S \triangleq$ Subgroup of the Pauli Group \mathcal{P}_n : $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}_n$.

- $V_S \triangleq$ Set of n -qubit states stabilized by S :

$$V_S = \{|\psi\rangle \mid S \subseteq \mathcal{P}_n, \forall g \in S \text{ holds: } g|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle\}$$

Stabilizer Groups

Properties

Not just any subgroup S of the Pauli group can be used as the stabilizer for a non-trivial vector space V_S .

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Stabilizer Groups

Properties

Not just any subgroup S of the Pauli group can be used as the stabilizer for a non-trivial vector space V_S .

Example: $S = \{\pm I, \pm X\}$

Stabilizer Groups

Properties

Not just any subgroup S of the Pauli group can be used as the stabilizer for a non-trivial vector space V_S .

Example: $S = \{\pm I, \pm X\}$

$$(-I) \in S \text{ and } (-I) |\psi\rangle = -|\psi\rangle \implies |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \implies V_S = \{\vec{0}\} \text{ (trivial)}$$

Stabilizer Groups

Properties

Not just any subgroup S of the Pauli group can be used as the stabilizer for a non-trivial vector space V_S .

Example: $S = \{\pm I, \pm X\}$

$$(-I) \in S \text{ and } (-I) |\psi\rangle = -|\psi\rangle \implies |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \implies V_S = \{\vec{0}\} \text{ (trivial)}$$

Conditions for S such that V_S not trivial:

■ **Commutativity:** $\forall g_1, g_2 \in S$ holds: $g_1 g_2 = g_2 g_1$

Stabilizer Groups

Properties

Not just any subgroup S of the Pauli group can be used as the stabilizer for a non-trivial vector space V_S .

Example: $S = \{\pm I, \pm X\}$

$$(-I) \in S \text{ and } (-I) |\psi\rangle = -|\psi\rangle \implies |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \implies V_S = \{\vec{0}\} \text{ (trivial)}$$

Conditions for S such that V_S not trivial:

- **Commutativity:** $\forall g_1, g_2 \in S$ holds: $g_1 g_2 = g_2 g_1$
- **Strict Identity:** $-I \notin S, iI \notin S, -iI \notin S$

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Stabilizer Conditions

Commutativity Proof

Let V_S be non-trivial and let $g_1, g_2 \in S$.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Stabilizer Conditions

Commutativity Proof

Let V_S be non-trivial and let $g_1, g_2 \in S$.

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 are tensor products of Pauli matrices.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Stabilizer Conditions

Commutativity Proof

Let V_S be non-trivial and let $g_1, g_2 \in S$.

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 are tensor products of Pauli matrices.

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 must either commute or anti-commute.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Stabilizer Conditions

Commutativity Proof

Let V_S be non-trivial and let $g_1, g_2 \in S$.

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 are tensor products of Pauli matrices.

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 must either commute or anti-commute.

Suppose g_1 and g_2 anti-commute:

$$|\psi\rangle = g_1 g_2 |\psi\rangle = -g_2 g_1 |\psi\rangle = -|\psi\rangle \iff |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \implies V_S \text{ is trivial.}$$

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Stabilizer Conditions

Commutativity Proof

Let V_S be non-trivial and let $g_1, g_2 \in S$.

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 are tensor products of Pauli matrices.

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 must either commute or anti-commute.

Suppose g_1 and g_2 anti-commute:

$$|\psi\rangle = g_1 g_2 |\psi\rangle = -g_2 g_1 |\psi\rangle = -|\psi\rangle \iff |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \implies V_S \text{ is trivial.}$$

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 anti-commuting leads to a contradiction.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Stabilizer Conditions

Commutativity Proof

Let V_S be non-trivial and let $g_1, g_2 \in S$.

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 are tensor products of Pauli matrices.

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 must either commute or anti-commute.

Suppose g_1 and g_2 anti-commute:

$$|\psi\rangle = g_1 g_2 |\psi\rangle = -g_2 g_1 |\psi\rangle = -|\psi\rangle \iff |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \implies V_S \text{ is trivial.}$$

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 anti-commuting leads to a contradiction.

$\implies g_1$ and g_2 commute.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Stabilizer Conditions

Strict Identity Proof

Let V_S be non-trivial.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Stabilizer Conditions

Strict Identity Proof

Let V_S be non-trivial.

$$(-I) \in S \quad \implies \quad |\psi\rangle = (-I) |\psi\rangle = -|\psi\rangle \quad \iff \quad |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \quad \implies \quad V_S \text{ is trivial.}$$

$$(iI) \in S \quad \implies \quad |\psi\rangle = (iI) |\psi\rangle = i|\psi\rangle \quad \iff \quad |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \quad \implies \quad V_S \text{ is trivial.}$$

$$(-iI) \in S \quad \implies \quad |\psi\rangle = (-iI) |\psi\rangle = -i|\psi\rangle \quad \iff \quad |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \quad \implies \quad V_S \text{ is trivial.}$$

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Stabilizer Conditions

Strict Identity Proof

Let V_S be non-trivial.

$$(-I) \in S \implies |\psi\rangle = (-I) |\psi\rangle = -|\psi\rangle \iff |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \implies V_S \text{ is trivial.}$$

$$(iI) \in S \implies |\psi\rangle = (iI) |\psi\rangle = i|\psi\rangle \iff |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \implies V_S \text{ is trivial.}$$

$$(-iI) \in S \implies |\psi\rangle = (-iI) |\psi\rangle = -i|\psi\rangle \iff |\psi\rangle = \vec{0} \implies V_S \text{ is trivial.}$$

$-I \in S, iI \in S, -iI \in S$ lead to contradictions.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix Structure

Suppose $S = \langle g_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq l \rangle$.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix Structure

Suppose $S = \langle g_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq l \rangle$.

Extremely useful way of presenting the generators: **Check Matrix** H_S

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix Structure

Suppose $S = \langle g_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq l \rangle$.

Extremely useful way of presenting the generators: **Check Matrix** H_S

H_S is an $l \times 2n$ binary matrix whose rows correspond to the generators g_1 through g_l .

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix Structure

Suppose $S = \langle g_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq l \rangle$.

Extremely useful way of presenting the generators: **Check Matrix** H_S

H_S is an $l \times 2n$ binary matrix whose rows correspond to the generators g_1 through g_l .

Example:

$$l \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc|cccccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right.$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_n \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_n$

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix

Interpretation

- Row i corresponds to generator $g_i \in S$.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix

Interpretation

- Row i corresponds to generator $g_i \in S$.
- Left $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain X s.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix

Interpretation

- Row i corresponds to generator $g_i \in S$.
- Left $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain X s.
- Right $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain Z s.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix

Interpretation

- Row i corresponds to generator $g_i \in S$.
- Left $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain X s.
- Right $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain Z s.
- Presence of 1 in both submatrices indicates Y in that generator.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix

Interpretation

- Row i corresponds to generator $g_i \in S$.
- Left $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain X s.
- Right $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain Z s.
- Presence of 1 in both submatrices indicates Y in that generator.

More explicitly, with $h_{i,j}$ denoting the element of H_S at row i and column j :

- If g_i contains I on the j^{th} qubit $\implies h_{i,j} = 0$ and $h_{i,n+j} = 0$.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix

Interpretation

- Row i corresponds to generator $g_i \in S$.
- Left $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain X s.
- Right $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain Z s.
- Presence of 1 in both submatrices indicates Y in that generator.

More explicitly, with $h_{i,j}$ denoting the element of H_S at row i and column j :

- If g_i contains I on the j^{th} qubit $\implies h_{i,j} = 0$ and $h_{i,n+j} = 0$.
- If g_i contains X on the j^{th} qubit $\implies h_{i,j} = 1$ and $h_{i,n+j} = 0$.

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix

Interpretation

- Row i corresponds to generator $g_i \in S$.
- Left $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain X s.
- Right $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain Z s.
- Presence of 1 in both submatrices indicates Y in that generator.

More explicitly, with $h_{i,j}$ denoting the element of H_S at row i and column j :

- If g_i contains I on the j^{th} qubit $\implies h_{i,j} = 0$ and $h_{i,n+j} = 0$.
- If g_i contains X on the j^{th} qubit $\implies h_{i,j} = 1$ and $h_{i,n+j} = 0$.
- If g_i contains Z on the j^{th} qubit $\implies h_{i,j} = 0$ and $h_{i,n+j} = 1$.

[2] [Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang](#). *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix

Interpretation

- Row i corresponds to generator $g_i \in S$.
- Left $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain X s.
- Right $l \times n$ submatrix contains 1s to indicate which generators contain Z s.
- Presence of 1 in both submatrices indicates Y in that generator.

More explicitly, with $h_{i,j}$ denoting the element of H_S at row i and column j :

- If g_i contains I on the j^{th} qubit $\implies h_{i,j} = 0$ and $h_{i,n+j} = 0$.
- If g_i contains X on the j^{th} qubit $\implies h_{i,j} = 1$ and $h_{i,n+j} = 0$.
- If g_i contains Z on the j^{th} qubit $\implies h_{i,j} = 0$ and $h_{i,n+j} = 1$.
- If g_i contains Y on the j^{th} qubit $\implies h_{i,j} = 1$ and $h_{i,n+j} = 1$.

[2] [Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang](#). *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

Check Matrix

Example Steane Code

For Readability tensor product operator signs are left out. $\sigma_i \sigma_j$ corresponds to $\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc|cccccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \cong \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{Generator} & \text{Operator} \\ \hline g_1 & III XXXX \\ g_2 & IXX I IXX \\ g_3 & XI XI XI X \\ g_4 & III ZZZZ \\ g_5 & IZZ I IZZ \\ g_6 & ZI ZI ZI Z \\ \hline \end{array}$$

[2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010

References

- [1] Scott Aaronson and Daniel Gottesman. “Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits”. In: *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* 70.5 (2004), p. 052328.
- [2] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010.