Supplementary Material: Semi-Asynchronous Federated Split Learning for Computing-Limited Devices in Wireless Networks

Huiqing Ao, Hui Tian, Wanli Ni, Gaofeng Nie, and Dusit Niyato, Fellow, IEEE

APPENDIX A PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We define $m{g}_{n,t} = \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} m{g}_{n,t}^{\tau}$ and $ar{m{g}}_{n,t} = \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} ar{m{g}}_{n,t}^{\tau}$, thus $\mathbb{E}[m{g}_{n,t}] = ar{m{g}}_{n,t}$. We also define the optimal model parameter $\mathbf{w}^* = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} F(\mathbf{w})$, $\mathbf{w}_{n,t} = \mathbf{w}_{n,t}^0$, and $\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} = \mathbf{w}_{n,t}^H$. Since the global loss function $F(\mathbf{w})$ is ℓ -smooth, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{t+1})] - F^* \leq \frac{\ell}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2]$$

$$= \frac{\ell}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{n,t} \rho_{n,t} \mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2]$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} \frac{\ell}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{n,t} \rho_{n,t} (\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}^*)\|^2]$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \frac{\ell N}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}[\|m_{n,t} \rho_{n,t} (\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}^*)\|^2]$$

$$= \frac{\ell N}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{n,t}^2 \rho_{n,t}^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2],$$
(1)

where (a) follows the fact that $\sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{n,t} \rho_{n,t} = 1$, and (b) follows by applying the basic inequality $\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n\|^2 \le \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \|^2$ $N \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|a_n\|^2$. Now, we can bound $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2]$ by applying the following lemmas.

Lemma 2. Under Assumptions 1 and 2, for any x, y, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $\langle \nabla F_n(x), z - y \rangle \ge F_n(z) - F_n(y) + \frac{\mu}{4} ||y - z||^2 - \frac{\mu}{4} ||y - z||^2$ $\ell \| \boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{x} \|^2$, $\forall n$ [1].

Lemma 3. Under Assumption 3, then the variance of $g_{n,t}$ fulfills $\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{g}_{n,t} - \bar{\boldsymbol{g}}_{n,t}\|^2 \leq H^2 \delta^2$, $\forall n, \ \forall t.$

Proof: Since the variance of stochastic gradients is bounded, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{g}_{n,t} - \bar{\boldsymbol{g}}_{n,t}\|^{2}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \nabla F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau}) - \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \nabla F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau}; \mathcal{B}_{n,t}^{\tau})\right\|^{2}\right]$$

H. Ao, H. Tian, and G. Nie are with State Key Laboratory of Networking and Switching Technology, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China (e-mail: hqao@bupt.edu.cn; tianhui@bupt.edu.cn; niegaofeng@bupt.edu.cn).

W. Ni is with Department of Electronic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China (e-mail: niwanli@tsinghua.edu.cn).

D. Nivato is with College of Computing and Data Science, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore (email: dniyato@ntu.edu.sg).

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \left(\nabla F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau}) - \nabla F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau}; \mathcal{B}_{n,t}^{\tau})\right)\right\|^{2}\right]$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} H \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau}) - \nabla F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau}; \mathcal{B}_{n,t}^{\tau})\right\|^{2}\right]$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} H^{2} \delta^{2}, \tag{2}$$

where (a) follows by the $\|\sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{a}_n\|^2 \le N \sum_{n=1}^N \|\boldsymbol{a}_n\|^2$, and (b) follows Assumption 3.

Lemma 4. Under Lemma 2, Assumptions 3 and 4, we have $\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^* - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2 \le (1 - \frac{\mu H \eta_t}{2}) \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2 + 4H\Lambda\eta_t + \frac{H(H-1)(2H-1)}{3}\ell\eta_t^3 G^2 + 2\eta_t^2 H^2(\delta^2 + G^2), \ \forall n, \ \forall t.$

Proof. The proof is presented in Appendix C.

Hence, $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2]$ with Lemmas 3 and 4 can be rewritten as

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \eta_t \mathbf{g}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^* - \eta_t \mathbf{g}_{n,t} - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t} + \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^* - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2] + \mathbb{E}[\|\eta_t \mathbf{g}_{n,t} - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2]$$

$$- 2\mathbb{E} \langle \mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^* - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}, \eta_t \mathbf{g}_{n,t} - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t} \rangle$$

$$\leq (1 - \frac{\mu H \eta_t}{2}) \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2 + 4H\Lambda \eta_t$$

$$+ \frac{H(H - 1)(2H - 1)}{3} \ell \eta_t^3 G^2 + \eta_t^2 H^2 (3\delta^2 + 2G^2), \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbb{E}\langle \mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^* - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}, \eta_t \mathbf{g}_{n,t} - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t} \rangle = 0$. With diminishing learning rate $\eta_t = \frac{\varrho}{t+\iota}$ with $\varrho > 0$, and $\iota > 0$, we claim that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2] \le \frac{\zeta}{t+\iota} + \frac{8\Lambda}{\iota\iota},\tag{4}$$

where $\zeta = \max\{\iota \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_0 \mathbf{w}^*\|^2, \frac{2(H(H-1)(2H-1)\ell G^2\varrho^3 + 3\varrho^2 H^2(3\delta^2 + 2G^2))}{3(\mu H\varrho - 2)}\}$. This be proved through induction method.

For t=0, $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,0}-\mathbf{w}^*\|^2]=\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_0-\mathbf{w}^*\|^2=\frac{\iota\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_0-\mathbf{w}^*\|^2}{\iota}\leq \frac{\zeta}{\iota}+\frac{8\Lambda}{\mu},$ where $\mathbf{w}_{n,0}=\mathbf{w}_0$ denotes the initial global model. For the case of t+1,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2]$$

$$\leq (1 - \frac{\mu H}{2} \frac{\varrho}{t+\iota}) (\frac{\zeta}{t+\iota} + \frac{8\Lambda}{\mu}) + (\frac{\varrho}{t+\iota})^2 H^2 (3\delta^2 + 2G^2)$$

$$+ \frac{4H\Lambda\varrho}{t+\iota} + \frac{H(H-1)(2H-1)}{3} \ell G^2 (\frac{\varrho}{t+\iota})^3$$

$$= \frac{\zeta}{t+\iota} - \frac{\mu H \varrho}{2(t+\iota)^{2}} \zeta + \frac{8\Lambda}{\mu} + \frac{\varrho^{2} H^{2}(3\delta^{2} + 2G^{2})}{(t+\iota)^{2}} - \frac{4H\Lambda\varrho}{t+\iota} + \frac{4H\Lambda\varrho}{t+\iota} + \frac{H(H-1)(2H-1)\ell G^{2}\varrho^{3}}{3(t+\iota)^{3}}$$

$$= \frac{t+\iota-1}{(t+\iota)^{2}} \zeta + \frac{8\Lambda}{\mu} + \frac{(t+\iota)(2-\mu H\varrho)}{2(t+\iota)^{3}} \zeta$$

$$+ \frac{H(H-1)(2H-1)\ell G^{2}\varrho^{3} + 3(t+\iota)\varrho^{2} H^{2}(3\delta^{2} + 2G^{2})}{3(t+\iota)^{3}}$$

$$\leq \frac{t+\iota-1}{(t+\iota)^{2}-1} \zeta + \frac{8\Lambda}{\mu}$$

$$\leq \frac{\zeta}{t+\iota+1} + \frac{8\Lambda}{\mu}, \tag{5}$$

where ζ satisfies $\zeta \geq \frac{2(H(H-1)(2H-1)\ell G^2\varrho^3+3\varrho^2H^2(3\delta^2+2G^2))}{3(\mu H\varrho-2)}$. By setting $\varrho>\frac{2}{\mu}>0$, so we have

$$\zeta = \max\{\iota \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{w}_0 - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2, \frac{J_1(H)}{3(\mu H \varrho - 2)}\}$$

$$\leq \iota \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{w}_0 - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2 + \frac{J_1(H)}{3(\mu H \varrho - 2)}, \tag{6}$$

where $J_1(H) = 2(H(H-1)(2H-1)\ell G^2 \varrho^3 + 3\varrho^2 H^2(3\delta^2 + 2G^2)).$

Then applying (5) and (6) into (1), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{t+1})] - F^* \leq \frac{\ell N}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{n,t}^2 \rho_{n,t}^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2]$$

$$\leq \frac{\ell N}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{n,t} \rho_{n,t}^2 \left(\frac{\zeta}{t+\iota+1} + \frac{8\Lambda}{\mu}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\ell N \sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{n,t} \rho_{n,t}^2}{2(t+\iota+1)} (\iota \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{w}_0 - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2 + \frac{J_1(H)}{3(\mu H \varrho - 2)})$$

$$+ \frac{4\ell \Lambda N \sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{n,t} \rho_{n,t}^2}{\mu}.$$
(7)

Now, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

APPENDIX B PROOF OF THEOREM 2

For any $t_0 \leq t$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2] \le N \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}[\|m_{n,t}\rho_{n,t}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0})\|^2]$$

$$= N \sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{n,t}^2 \rho_{n,t}^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2].$$
(8)

Similar to the process of Theorem 1, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \eta_t \mathbf{g}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0} - \eta_t \mathbf{g}_{n,t} - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t} + \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0} - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2] + \mathbb{E}[\|\eta_t \mathbf{g}_{n,t} - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2]$$

$$- 2\mathbb{E} \langle \mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0} - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}, \eta_t \mathbf{g}_{n,t} - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t} \rangle$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0} - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2] + \eta_t H^2 \delta^2. \tag{9}$$

Next, we can bound the first term in (9) as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0} - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2 \\
= \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2 - 2\mathbb{E} \langle \mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}, \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t} \rangle \\
\leq \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 + 2\eta_t^2 H^2(\delta^2 + G^2) \\
+ 2\eta_t \mathbb{E} \langle \mathbf{w}_{t_0} - \mathbf{w}_{n,t}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t} \rangle \\
\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 + 2\eta_t^2 H^2(\delta^2 + G^2) \\
+ \frac{\eta_t}{H} \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 + \eta_t H \mathbb{E}\|\bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2 \\
\leq \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 + 2\eta_t^2 H^2(\delta^2 + G^2) \\
+ \frac{\eta_t}{H} \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 + 2\eta_t H^3(\delta^2 + G^2) \\
= (1 + \frac{\eta_t}{H}) \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 + 2\eta_t H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta_t + H)$$
(10)

where (a) is by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and AM-GM inequality.

Plugging (10) back to (9), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 \le (1 + \frac{\eta_t}{H}) \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 + 2\eta_t H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta_t + H) + \eta_t H^2 \delta^2.$$
(11)

After the recursion with $\eta_t = \eta$, we further have

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 \leq (1 + \frac{\eta}{H}) \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t-1} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2
+ 2\eta H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta + H) + \eta H^2 \delta^2
\leq (1 + \frac{\eta}{H})^2 \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t-2} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 + (1 + \frac{\eta}{H})(\eta H^2 \delta^2 + 2\eta_t H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta + H)) + 2\eta H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta + H) + \eta H^2 \delta^2
\leq \dots \leq (2\eta H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta + H) + \eta H^2 \delta^2) \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (1 + \frac{\eta}{H})^i
\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} (2\eta H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta + H) + \eta H^2 \delta^2)(1 + \frac{\eta}{H})^{t-1}, \quad (12)$$

where (a) follows the summation of geometric progression, we have $\sum_{i=0}^{t-1}(1+\frac{\eta}{H})^i=\frac{(1+\frac{\eta}{H})^t-1}{\frac{\eta}{H}}\leq (1+\frac{\eta}{H})^{t-1}.$

Hence, (11) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t_0}\|^2 \le 2\eta H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta + H) + \eta H^2 \delta^2 + (2\eta H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta + H) + \eta H^2 \delta^2)(1 + \frac{\eta}{H})^t.$$
(13)

For $t_0 = t$, we achieve

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_t\|^2 \le NM_t(2\eta H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta + H) + \eta H^2\delta^2 + (2\eta H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta + H) + \eta H^2\delta^2)(1 + \frac{\eta}{H})^t), \tag{14}$$

where $M_t = \sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{n,t} \rho_{n,t}^2$.

Given Assumption 1, the global loss function $F(\mathbf{w})$ is ℓ -smooth, so we have

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - F(\mathbf{w}_t)]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \langle \nabla F(\mathbf{w}_t), \mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_t \rangle + \frac{\ell}{2} \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_t\|^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \langle \nabla F(\mathbf{w}_t), \sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{n,t} \rho_{n,t} (\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \eta \boldsymbol{g}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_t) \rangle$$

$$+\frac{\ell}{2}\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}$$

$$\leq -\eta \mathbb{E} \langle \nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}), \mathbf{g}_{n,t} \rangle + \mathbb{E} \langle \nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}), \mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t} \rangle$$

$$+\frac{\ell}{2}\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} -\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2} + \|\mathbf{g}_{n,t}\|^{2} - \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \mathbf{g}_{n,t}\|^{2}]$$

$$+\mathbb{E} \langle \nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}), \mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t} \rangle + \frac{\ell}{2}\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}$$

$$\leq -\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2}] + \frac{\eta}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \mathbf{g}_{n,t}\|^{2}]$$

$$+\mathbb{E} \langle \nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}), \mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t} \rangle + \frac{\ell}{2}\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} -\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2}] + \frac{\eta\sigma^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2D}\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2}]$$

$$+\frac{D}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}] + \frac{\ell}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}]$$

$$= (\frac{1}{2D} - \frac{\eta}{2})\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2}] + \frac{\eta\sigma^{2}}{2} + \frac{D}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}]$$

$$+ \frac{\ell}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}], \tag{15}$$

where (a) follows $\langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{a}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{b}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{b}\|^2$, (b) follows Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and AM-GM inequality, and D > 0 is a constant.

Applying (14) and (12) to (15), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - F(\mathbf{w}_{t})]$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{2D} - \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2}] + \frac{\eta \sigma^{2}}{2} + \frac{J_{2}(H)D}{2} (1 + \frac{\eta}{H})^{t-1} + \frac{\ell J_{2}(H)N}{2} M_{t} (1 + \frac{\eta}{H})^{t} + \frac{\ell J_{2}(H)NM_{t}}{2}, \tag{16}$$

where $J_2(H) = 2\eta H^2(\delta^2 + G^2)(\eta + H) + \eta H^2\delta^2$.

Suppose $\eta > \frac{1}{D}$ and recursively utilizing the above inequality from t = 0 to t = T - 1, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2}
\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{0})] - \mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{T})]}{T(\frac{\eta}{2} - \frac{1}{2D})} + \frac{J_{2}(H)((1 + \frac{\eta}{H})^{T-1} - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\eta}{H}})}{T\frac{\eta}{H}(\frac{\eta}{D} - \frac{1}{D^{2}})}
+ \frac{\ell J_{2}(H)N\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} M_{t}(1 + \frac{\eta}{H})^{T}}{T(\eta - \frac{1}{D})} + \frac{\ell J_{2}(H)N\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} M_{t}}{T(\eta - \frac{1}{D})}
+ \frac{\eta \sigma^{2}}{\eta - \frac{1}{D}}.$$
(17)

By setting $\eta < H(e^{\frac{1}{T}}-1)$, we can conclude that $\frac{(1+\frac{\eta}{H})^T}{T}$ is a monotonic decreasing function. Therefore, when $T\to\infty$, the first, second, third and fourth terms on the right-hand side of (17) go to zero. To this end, Theorem 2 is proved.

APPENDIX C PROOF OF LEMMA 4

We first split the following term into three terms as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^* - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2 + \mathbb{E}[\|\eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2] - 2\mathbb{E}\langle \mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^*, \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t} \rangle.$$
(18)

The second term in (18) can be bounded as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\eta_{t}\bar{\boldsymbol{g}}_{n,t}\|^{2}] \\
\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} 2\eta_{t}^{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{g}}_{n,t} - \boldsymbol{g}_{n,t}\|^{2}] + 2\eta_{t}^{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\boldsymbol{g}_{n,t}\|^{2}] \\
= 2\eta_{t}^{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} (\nabla F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau}) - \nabla F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau}; \mathcal{B}_{n,t}^{\tau}))\|^{2}] \\
+ 2\eta_{t}^{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \nabla F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau}; \mathcal{B}_{n,t}^{\tau})\|^{2}] \\
\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} 2\eta_{t}^{2}H^{2}\delta^{2} + 2\eta_{t}^{2}H^{2}G^{2} \\
= 2\eta_{t}^{2}H^{2}(\delta^{2} + G^{2}), \tag{19}$$

where (a) follows by applying $\|a\|^2 \le 2\|a - b\|^2 + 2\|b\|^2$, and (b) is by Jensen's inequality, Assumptions 3 and 4.

Next, we can bound the third term in (18) as follows:

$$-2\mathbb{E}\langle \mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^{*}, \eta_{t} \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t} \rangle$$

$$= -2\eta_{t} \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \mathbb{E}\langle \mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^{*}, \nabla F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau}) \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} -2\eta_{t} \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \mathbb{E}[(F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}) - F_{n}(\mathbf{w}^{*}) + \frac{\mu}{4} \|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^{*}\|^{2})$$

$$-\ell \|\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{T} - \mathbf{w}_{n,t}\|^{2}]$$

$$= -2\eta_{t} \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \mathbb{E}[(F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}) - F^{*}) - (F_{n}(\mathbf{w}^{*}) - F^{*}))$$

$$+ \frac{\mu}{4} \|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^{*}\|^{2} - \ell \|\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau} - \mathbf{w}_{n,t}\|^{2}]$$

$$\leq -2\eta_{t} \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \mathbb{E}[(F_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n,t}) - F^{*}) - |F_{n}(\mathbf{w}^{*}) - F^{*}|$$

$$+ \frac{\mu}{4} \|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^{*}\|^{2} - \ell \|\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau} - \mathbf{w}_{n,t}\|^{2}]$$

$$\leq 2\eta_{t} \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \mathbb{E}[(F^{*} - F_{n}^{*}) + \Lambda - \frac{\mu}{4} \|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^{*}\|^{2}$$

$$+ \ell \|\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau} - \mathbf{w}_{n,t}\|^{2}]$$

$$\leq 2\eta_{t} \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \mathbb{E}[|F^{*} - F_{n}^{*}| + \Lambda - \frac{\mu}{4} \|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^{*}\|^{2}]$$

$$+ \ell \|\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{T} - \mathbf{w}_{n,t}\|^{2}]$$

$$\leq 4\eta_{t} H \Lambda - \frac{\mu\eta_{t}}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^{*}\|^{2}]$$

$$+ 2\eta_{t} \ell \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t}^{\tau} - \mathbf{w}_{n,t}\|^{2}]$$

$$= 4\eta_{t} H \Lambda - \frac{\mu\eta_{t}H}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^{*}\|^{2}]$$

$$+ 2\eta_{t} \ell \sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \mathbb{E}[\|-\eta_{t} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} g_{n,t}^{j}\|^{2}]$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} 4\eta_{t} H \Lambda - \frac{\mu\eta_{t}H}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^{*}\|^{2}]$$

$$+ \frac{H(H-1)(2H-1)}{2} \ell \eta_{t}^{3} G^{2}. \tag{20}$$

where (a) is due to the Lemma 2, and (b) follows the Jensen's inequality and $\sum_{\tau=0}^{H-1} \tau^2 = \frac{H(H-1)(2H-1)}{6}$. Combining (19) and (20), we can conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^* - \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{n,t}\|^2
\leq (1 - \frac{\mu H \eta_t}{2}) \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}_{n,t} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2 + 4H\Lambda \eta_t
+ \frac{H(H-1)(2H-1)}{3} \ell \eta_t^3 G^2 + 2\eta_t^2 H^2(\delta^2 + G^2).$$
(21)

Now, we complete the proof of Lemma 4.

REFERENCES

[1] S. P. Karimireddy, S. Kale, M. Mohri, S. J. Reddi, S. U. Stich, and A. T. Suresh, "SCAFFOLD: Stochastic controlled averaging for federated learning," in Proc. ICML, vol. 119, Jul. 2020, pp. 5132-5143.